HISTORY OF THE STRUGGLE

FOR

SLAVERY EXTENSION OR RESTRICTION

IN THE

UNITED STATES.

FROM THE

DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE

TO THE PRESENT DAY.

MAINLY

COMPILED AND CONDENSED FROM THE JOURNALS OF CONGRESS AND
OTHER OFFICIAL RECORDS, AND SHOWING THE VOTE BY
YEAS AND NAYS ON THE MOST IMPORTANT
DIVISIONS IN EITHER HOUSE.

BY HORACE GREELEY. On to.

NEW YORK:

DIX, EDWARDS & CO., 321 BROADWAY.

Fift of Oliver Johnson

Entered, according to Act of Congress, in the year 1856, by DIX, EDWARDS & CO.,

In the Clerk's Office of the District Court for the Southern District of New York.

CONTENTS.

	rage.
I. Slavery in the Colonies	1
Origin in 1620—Virginia the first Siave colony—Prohibited in Georgia—Adjudged illegal in Massachusetts—Slave Importation prohibited in Virginia and other colonies—Lord	
Mansfield's Decision.	1 to 8
II. Slavery under the Confederation	3
State cossions of Public Lands—Mr. Jefferson's Slavery Restriction in 1784—Defeated in Continental Congress by a minority vote—Mr. Dane's modified Restriction (1787)— Carried	3 to 4
III. Under the Federal Constitution	4
Constitutional provisions affecting Slavery—History thereof—Amondments -	4 to 5
IV. Cessions of Southern Territory	5
Kentucky formed from Virginia—Tennessee from North Carolina—Alabama from Geor- gta—Congress forbidden by terms of cession to abolish or prohibit Slavery	5
V. Early attempts to override the Ordinance of '87	5
Ohio—Indiana—John Randolph's Report—Cæsar Rodney's—Mr. Garnett's—Mr. Parke's —Jesse Franklin's	5 to 7
VI. The First Missouri Struggle	. 8
Purchase of Louisiana—Missouri Territory—Applies for Admission as a State—Gen. Tall- madge's Restriction on Slavery—Yeas and Nays thereon—Debate by Hon. Messes. T. Fuller of Mass. James Tallmadge of N. Y., Scott of Mo., Oobb of Ga., and Livermore	
of N. H.—Vote in the Senate—Bill fails by disagreement	8 to 21
VII. The Second Missouri Struggle	21
Missouri Question Revived—Memorial of Daniel Webster Resolves of the Legislatures of New-York, New-Jersey, Pennsylvania, Dolaware and	22
	25 to 27
	27 to 23
Votes in the Honse—Compromise Bill passed	29 to 39
VIII. The Third Missouri Struggle	- 30
Missouri Constitution respecting negroes—Mr. Clay's second Compromise adopted.	30 to 31
IX. Extension of Missouri	- 31
Mr. Clayton's Report—Adopted without division.	- 81

iv CONTENTS.

		P	age.
X.	The Annexation of Texas	-	31
		31 t	0 32
	Anti-Annoxation Address of J. Q. Adams, Seth M. Gates, and others, to the People of		
	the Urited States,	-	32
	Northern opposition-The Secret Circular,	-	36
	Mr. Calhoun's Annexation Dispatch to W. R. King, Embassador at Paris,	•	37
	Polk and Dallas elected-Propositions in Congress respecting Aunoxation-Vetes there-		
	on-Measure Carried-The Joint Resolves,	40 t	0 41
ΥT	The Wilmot Proviso		44
****	Proceedings and Votes it. Congress thereon,	44 1	to 46
	Gen. Cass's letter to A. O. P. Nichelson,		47
	Congress resumed-Mr. I. P. Walker's proposition-Mr. R. W. Thompson's amond-		
		48 (to 52
XΠ.	Oregon (Bill to organize as a Territory)	-	52
	Ordinance of '87 applied-Mr. Douglas moves to extend Missouri Compromise Line to		
	the Pacific-Senate approves-House rejects-Senate recedes-Bill passed,	52 t	to 54
XIII.	The Compromise of 1850	•	54
	President Taylor's Message-Gen. Houston's Resolves-Mr. Clay's-Mr. John Bell's-		
	Mr. Clay's plan discussed by Messrs. Footo of Miss., Mason of Va., Jeff. Davis—Mr.		
		54	to 59
	Select Committee raised by the Senate-Mr. Clay's Report,	٠	60
	Proceedings and votes in Congress thereon,	60	to 69
	The Acts of 1850 concerning California, Texas, New-Mexico, and Utah,	•	70
77137	The Kansas-Nebraska Struggle		71
AIV.	20	-	
	Atchison in '53-Piorce's Inaugural and First Message,	•	72
	Mr. Douglas's Report introducing the Nebraska Bill,	•	78
	Proceedings and Votes in Sonate,	•	74
	Gov. Seward's closing Speech against the bill,	•	77 82
	Proceedings and Votes in the House, The Kansas Nebraska Bill,	•	85
	President Piorco's Special Kansas Messago,	:	89
	Mr. Douglas's Senato Report thereon,		93
	Mr. Collamor's Minority Report,		110
	The Kansas Investigation—Mr. Dunn's proposition—Yeas and Nays thereon,		118
	Report of the Investigating Committee,		119
	The House on Free Kansas Constitution-Mr. Dunn's Substitute-Votes-Bill passed,		146
	The Topeka Constitution,		148
	The Senate on Kansas-Donglas's new proposition-Various amendments rejected-		
	Bill passed,		158
	The Toomba-Donglas bill,		158
	Mr. Dunn's bill to recognize Kansas,	•	161
	Mr. Matteson's proamble and resolves based on Annexation of Texas,		163
	Ways note on regading from Drawing to the Army bill		163

HISTORY OF

SLAVERY EXTENSION

MAINLY BY DOCUMENTS.

SLAVERY'IN THE COLONIES.

HUMAN Slavery, as it existed in the pagan world, and especially in the infancy, vigor, and decline of Greek and Roman civilization, gradually died out in the advancing light of Christianity. When Columbus opened the New World to European enterprise and settlement, the serfdom of Russia and Hungary, and the mild bondage of Turkey —each rather an Asiatic or Scythian than a European power—were the last remaining vestiges of a system which had pervaded, and mastered, and ruined, the vast empires of Alexander and the Cæsars. The few ignorant and feeble dependents elsewhere held in virtual bondage by force rather of custom than of positive law, serve rather to establish than disprove this general statement.

Lust of gold and power was the main impulse of Spanish migration to the marvelous regions beyond the Atlantic. And the soft and timid Aborigines of tropical America, especially of its islands, were first compelled to surrender whatever they possessed of the precious metals to the imperious and grasping strangers; next forced to disclose to those strangers the sources whence they were most readily obtained; and finally driven to toil and delve for more, wherever power and greed supposed they might most readily be obtained. From this point, the transition to general en-slavement was ready and rapid. The gentle and indolent natives, unaccustomed to rugged, persistent toil, and revolting at the harsh and brutal severity of their Christian masters, had but one unfailing resource—death. Through privation, hardship, exposure, fatigue and despair, they drooped and died, until millions were reduced to a few miserable thousands within the first century of Spanish rule in America.

A humane and observant priest (Las Casas,) witnessing these crucities and sufferings, was moved by pity to devise a plan for their termination. He suggested and urged the policy of substituting for these feeble and perish-

Africa, whom their eternal wars and marauding invasions were constantly exposing to captivity and sale as prisoners of war, and who, as a race, might be said to be inured to the hardships and degradations of Slavery by an immemorial experience. The suggestion was unhappily approved, and the woes and miseries of the few remaining Aborigines of the islands known to us as " West Indies," were inconsiderably prolonged by exposing the whole continent for unnumbered generations to the evils and horrors of African slave-The author lived to perceive and deplore the consequences of his expedient.

The sanction of the Pope having been obtained for the African slave-trade by representations which invested it with a look of philanthropy, Spanish and Portuguese mercantile avarice was readily enlisted in its prosecution, and the whole continent, north and south of the tropics, became a slave-mark before the close of the sixteenth century.

Holland, a comparatively new and Protestant state, unable to shelter itself from the reproaches of conscience and humanity behind a Papal bull, entered upon the new traffic more tardily; but its profits soon overbore all scruples, and British merchants were not proof against the glittering evidences of their success. But the first slave-ship that ever entered a North American port for the sale of its human merchandise, was a Dutch trading-vessel which landed twenty negro bondmen at Jamestown, the nucleus of Virginia, almost simultaneously with the landing of the Pilgrims of the Mayflower on Plymouth rock. Dec. 22d, 1620.

The Dutch slaver had chosen his market with sagacity. Virginia was settled by Ca-VALIERS—gentlemen-adventurers aspiring to live by their own wits and other men's labor -with the necessary complement of followers and servitors. Few of her pioneers cherished any carnest liking for downright, persistent, muscular exertion; yet some ex-ertion was urgently required to clear away the heavy forest which all but covered the soil of the infant colony, and grow the Toing "Indians" the hardier natives of Western | bacco which easily became its staple export,

by means of which nearly everything required | by its people but food was to be paid for in England. The slaves, therefore, found ready purchasers at satisfactory prices, and the success of the first venture induced others; until uot only Virginia but every pare of British America was supplied with African

This traffic, with the bondage it involved. had no justification in British nor in the early colonial laws; but it proceeded nevertheless, much as an importation of dromedaries to replace with presumed economy our horses and oxen might now do. Georgia was the first among the colonies to resist and remand it in her original charter under the lead of her noble founder-Governor, General Oglethorpe; but the evil was too formidable and inveterate for local extirpation, and a few years saw it established, even in Georgia; first evading or defying, and at length mold-

ing and transforming the law

It is very common at this day to speak of our revolutionary struggle as commenced and hurried forward by a union of free and slave colonies; but such is not the fact. However slender and dubious its legal basis, Slavery existed in each and all of the colonies that united to declare and maintain their independence. Slaves were proportionately more numerous in certain portions of the South; but they were held with impunity throughout the North, advertised like dogs or horses, and sold at auction, or otherwise, as chattels. Vermont, then a territory in dispute between New-Hampshire and New-York, and with very few civilized inhabitants, mainly on its southern and eastern borders, is probably the only portion of the revolutionary confederation never polluted by the tread of a slave.

The spirit of liberty, aroused or intensi-

fied by the protracted struggle of the colonists against usurped and abused power in the mother country, soon found itself engaged in natural antagonism against the current form of domestic despotism. "How shall we complain of arbitrary or unlimited power exerted over us, while we exert a still more despotic and inexcusable power over a dependent and benighted race?" was very fairly asked. Several suits were brought in Massachusetts-where the fires of liberty burnt earliest and brightest-to test the legal right of slaveholding; and the leading Whigs gave their moncy and their legal services to support these actions, which were generally, on one ground or another, successful. Efforts for an express law of cmancipation, however, failed even in Massachusetts; the Legislature, doubtless, apprehending that such a measure, by alienating the slaveholders, would increase the number and power of the Tories; but in 1777, a privateer having brought a lot of captured slaves into Jamaica, and advertised

had them set at liberty. The first Continental Congress which resolved to resist the usurpations and oppressions of Great Britain by force, had already declared that our struggle would be "for the cause of human nature," which the Congress of 1776, under the lead of Thomas Jefferson, expanded into the noble affirmation of the right of "all men to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness" contained in the immortal preamble to the Declaration of Independence. A like averment that 'all men are born free and equal,' was in 1780 inserted in the Massachusetts Bill of Rights; and the Supreme Court of that State, in 1783, on an indictment of a master for assault and battery, held this declaration a bar to slaveholding henceforth in the state.

A similar clause in the second Constitution of New-Hampshire, was held by the courts of that State to secure freedom to every child, born therein after its adoption. Pennsylvania, in 1780, passed an act prohibiting the further introduction of slaves and securing freedom to all persons born in that State thereafter. Connecticut and Rhode Island passed similar acts in 1784. Virginia, 1778, on motion of Mr. Jefferson, prohibited the further importation of slaves; and in 1782, removed all legal restrictions on emancipation: Maryland adopted both of these in 1783. North Carolina, in 1786, declared the introduction of Slaves into that state " of evil consequences and highly impolitic," and imposed a duty of £5 per head thereon. New-York and New-Jersey followed the example of Virginia and Maryland, including the domestic in the same interdict with the foreign slave trade. Neither of these States, however, declared a general emancipation until many years thereafter, and Slavery did not wholly cease in New-York until about 1830, nor in New-Jersey till a much later date. distinction of free and slave States, with the kindred assumption of a natural antagonism between the North and South, was utterly unknown to the men of the Revolution.

Before the Declaration of Independence. but during the intense forment which preceded it, and distracted public attention from everything clse, Lord Mansfield had rendered his judgment from the King's Boneh, which expelled Slavery from England, and ought to have destroyed it in the colonies as well. The plaintiff in this famous case was James Somerset, a native of Africa, carried to Virginia as a slave, taken thence by his master to England, and there incited to resist the claim of his master to his services, and assert his right to liberty. In the first recorded case, involving the legality of modern slavery in England, it was held (1697) that negroes, "being usually bought and sold among merchants as merchandise, and also being infidels, there might them for sale, the General Court, as the leg-be a property in them sufficient to maintain islative assembly was called, interfered and trover." But this was overruled by Chief Jusing that "so soon as a negro lands in England he is free :"and again, (1702) that "there is no such thing as a slave by the law of England." This judgment proving exceedingly troublesome to planters and merchants from slaveholding colonies visiting the mother country with their servants, the merchants concerned in the American trade, in 1729, procured from Yorke and Talbot, the Attorney General and Solicitor General of the Crown, a written opinion that negroes, legally enslaved elsewhere, might be held as slaves in England, and that even baptism was no bar to the master's claim. This opinion was, in 1749, held to be sound law by Yorke (now Lord Hardwicke), sitting as Judge, on the ground that, if the contrary ruling of Lord Holt were upheld, it would abolish slavery in Jamaica or Virginia as well as in England: British law being paramount in each. Thus the law stood until Lord Mansfield, in Somerset's case, reversed it with evident reluctance, and after having vainly endeavored to bring about an accommodation between the parties. When delay would serve no longer, and a judgment must be rendered, Mansfield declared it in these memorable words:

"We cannot direct the law; the law must direct us, ** The state of Slavery is of such a nature that it is incapable of being introduced on any reasons, moral or political, but only by posi-tive law, which preserves its force long after the reasons, occasion, and time itself whence it was created, is erased from the memory. It is so odious that nothing can be sufficient to support it but positive law. Whatever inconveniences, therefore, may follow from the decision, I cannot say this case is allowed or approved by the ls v of England, and therefore the black must be discharged.'

The natural, if not necessary, effect of this decision on Slavery in these colonies, had their connection with the mother country been continued, is sufficiently obvious.

TT.

SLAVERY UNDER THE CONFEDERATION.

THE disposition or management of unpeopled territories, pertaining to the thirteen recent colonies now confederated as independent States, early became a subject of solicitude and of bickering among those States, and in Congress. By the terms of their charters, some of the colonics had an indefinite extension westwardly, and were only limited by the power of the granter. Many of these charters conflicted with each other the same territory being included within the limits of two or more totally distinct colonies. As the expenses of the Revolutionary struggle began to bear heavily on the resources of the States, it was keenly felt by some that their share in the advantages of the expected triumph, would be less than that of others. Massachusetts, Connecticut, New-York, Vir-

tice Holt from the King's Bench (1697,) rul- | claim to spacious dominions outside of their proper boundaries; while New-Hampshire (se in Vermont), Rhode Island, New-Jersey, Maryland, Delaware and South Carolina, possessed no such boasted resources to meet the war-debts constantly augmenting. They urged, therefore, with obvious justice: that these unequal advantages ought to be surrendered, and all the lands included within the territorial limits of the Union, but outside of the proper and natural boundaries of the several States, respectively, should be ceded to, and held by, Congress, in trust for the common benefit of all the States, and their proceeds employed in satisfaction of the ginia reserved a sufficiency beyond the Ohio to furnish the bounties promised to her revolutionary officers and soldiers. Connecticut, a western reserve, since largely settled from the parent State. Massachusetts reserved five millions of acres, located in Western New York, which she claimed to be entitled by her charter to own. In either of these cases, the fee only was reserved, the sovereignty being surrendered.

The cessions were severally made during, or directly after, the close of the Revolutionary War. And one of the most obvious duties devolved on the Continental Congress, which held its sessions in Philadelphia directly after the close of that exhausting struggle, was the framing of an act or ordinance for the government of the vast domain thus committed to its care and disposal.

The responsible duty of framing this ordinance was devolved by Congress on a Select Committee, consisting of Mr. Jefferson of Va. (Chairman), Chase of Md., and Howell of R. I.; who in due time reported a plan for the government of the Western Territory, contemplating the whole region included within our boundaries west of the old thirteen States. and as far south as our 31st degree of North latitude; territory as yet partially ceded to the Confederation, but which was expected to be so, and embracing several of our present Slave States. This plan contemplated the ultimate division of this territory into seventeen States, eight of them situated below the parallel of the Falls of the Ohio (now Louisville), and nine above it. Among other rules reported from this Committee by Mr. Jefferson, for the government of this vast region, was the following:

"That after the year 1800, of the Christian era, there shall be neither Slavery for involuntary servitude in any of the said States, otherwise than in punishment of crimes, whereof the party shall have been convicted to be personally guilty."

April 19th, 1784 .- Congress having the aforesaid Report under consideration, Mr. ginia, North Carolina, and Georgia, laid Spaight, of N. C., moved the striking out of the above paragraph. Mr. Read, of S. C., seconded the motion. The ayes and nays, being required by Mr. Howell, were ordered. and put in this form-" Shall the words moved to be stricken out stand?"-nad decided on follows :

Charles Ionono.	
N. HAMPSHIRK . Mr. Foster ay Blouvelt ay	Ay.
MASSACHUSETTS . "Gerry ay "Partridge ay	
Rhode Island. "Ellery ay "Howell ay	Av.
CONNECTICUT "Sherman ay "Wadaworth . ay	Av.
NEW-YORK "Do Witt ay "Porino ay	Av.
PENNSYLVANIA . " Mifflin ay " Montgomery . ay	<i>}</i> 1y.
MARYLAND "MeHenry no) } Divided
VIRGINIA "Stone · no "Jofferson ay "Hardy no	
" Mercer no " Mercer no N. Carolina " Williamson . ny	No.
S. CAROLINA "Williamson . ay "Spaight no "Read no	`
B. CAROLINA "Rend no "Berosford no	No.

So the question was lost, and the words were struck out.

Lost-although six States voted aye to only three nay; and though of the members present, fifteen voted for, to six against, Mr. Jefferson's proposition. But the Articles of Confederation required a vote of nine States to carry a proposition; and, failing to receive so many, this comprehensive exclusion of Slavery from the Federal Territories was defeated

The Ordinance, thus depleted, after undergoing some further amendments, was finally approved April 23rd-all the delegates, but those from South Carolina, voting in the affirmative.

In 1787 the last Continental Congress. sitting in New York simultaneously with the Convention at Philadelphia which framed our Federal Constitution, took up the subject of the government of the Western Territory, raising a Committee thereon. of which Nathan Dane, of Massachusetts, was Chairman. That Committee reported (July 11th), "An Ordinance for the government of the Territory of the United States, Northwest of the Ohio"—the larger area contemplated by Mr. Jefferson's bill not having been ceded by the Southern States claiming dominion over it. This bill embodied many of the provisions originally drafted and reported by Mr. Jefferson, but with some modifications, and concludes with six unalterable articles of perpetual compact, the last of them as follows:

"There shall be noither slavery nor involun-tary servitude, in the said territory, otherwise than in punishment of crimes, whereof the parties shall be duly convicted."

To this was added, prior to its passage,

tives from labor or service, soon after embodied in the Federal Constitution; and in this shape, the entire ordinance was adopted (July 13th) by a manimous vote, Georgia and the Carolinas concurring.

TIT

UNDER THE CONSTITUTION.

Tue old Articles of Confederation having proved inadequate to the creation and maintenance of a capable and efficient national or central authority, a Convention of Delgates from the several States, was legally assem-bled in Philadelphia, in 1787—George Washington President : and the result of its labors was our present Federal Constitution, though some amendments, mainly of the nature of restrictions on Federal power, were proposed by the several State Conventions assembled, to pass upon that Constitution, and adopted. The following are all the provisious of that instrument, which are presumed to relate to the subject of Slavery :

(Proamble): "Wo, the people of the United States, in error to form a more perfect Union, establish justice, insure demostic tranquillity, provide for the common defense, promote the general welfare, and secure the blossings of liberty to ourselves and our pestority do ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States

of America.

"Art. I. § 1. All legislative powers heroin granted, shall be vosted in a Congress of the United States, which shall consist of a Senate and

House of Representatives.

"§ 2. * * Ropresentatives and direct taxes shall be apportioned among the several States which may be included within this Union, accordwhich may be included within this Union, according to their respective numbers, which shall be determined, by adding to the whole number of rice persons, including those bound to servitude rice persons, including those bound to servitude taxed, three-fifths of all other persons.

"5 9. The migration or importation of such persons as any of the States now existing, shall think proper to admit, shall not be prohibited by the Congress prior to the year 1986, but a tax or one cach persons of section persons and the section of such persons.

dne

on each person.

"The privilege of the writ of habeas corpus shall not be suspended, unless whou, in cases of rebellion or invasion, the public safety may re-

quire it.
"No bill of attainder, or ex post facto laws

shall be passed.
"Art. III. § 3. Treason against the United States, shall consist only in levying war against them, or in adhering to their enemies, giving

them aid and comfort.

"Art. IV. § 2. The citizens of each State shall be entitled to all the privileges of citizens, in the

several States.
"Ne person held to service or labor in one State, under the laws thereof, escaping into another, shall, in consequence of any law or regulation therein, be discharged from such service or labor, but shall be delivered up on claim of the party to whom such service or labor may be

due.

"§ 3. New States may be admitted by the Congress into this Union; but no new State shall be formed or erected within the jurisdiction of any other State; nor any State be formed by the the stipulation for the delivery of fugi- junction of two or more States, or parts of States, without the cousent of the legislatures of the States concerned, as well as of the Congress.

"The Congress shall have nower to dispose of, and make all needful ratios and regulations respecting the orterlary or either property, beloughing to the United States; and undring in this Constitution shall, be no constructed as to prejudice any claims of the United States, or of any particular States.

"§ 4 The United States shall guarantee to overy State is this Union, a republican form of government, and shall protect each of them sgainet invasion; and on application of the legislature, or of the executive when the legislature

commot be convened, against domestic violence.

"Art, VI. This Combittion; and the lawre of
the United States, which shall be unde in purnance thereofe, and all the treatics made, or which shall be made, under the authority of the United States, shall be the supreme law of the land; and the judges in every State shall be bound thoreby, anything in the Constitution or lawr of any State to the contrary notwithstandling."

The above are all—and perhaps more than all—the clauses of the Constitution, that have been quoted on one side or the other as bearing upon the subject of Slavery.

It will be noted that the word "slave," or slavery" does not appear therein. Mr. "slavery" does not appear therein. Mr. Madison, who was a leading and observant member of the Convention, and who took notes of its daily proceedings, affirms that this silence was designed—the Convention being unwilling that the Constitution of the United States should recognize property in human beings. In passages where slaves are presumed to be contemplated, they are uniformly designated as " persons," never as Contemporary history proves that it was the belief of at least a large portion of the delegates that Slavery could not long survive the final stoppage of the slavetrade, which was expected to (and did) occur in 1808. And, were Slavery this day banished forever from the country, there might, indeed, be some superfluous stipulations in the Federal compact or charter: but there are none which need be repealed, or essentially modified.

A direct provision for the restoration of fugitive slaves to their masters was, at least once, voted down by the Convention. Finally, the clause respecting persons "held to service or labor," was proposed by Mr. Butler, of South Carolina, and adopted, with little or no opposition.

The following, among the amendments to the Constitution proposed by the ratifying conventions of one or more States, and adopted, are supposed by some to bear on the questions now agitated relative to Slavery:

"Art. I. Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or of the rights of the people peacefully to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.

"Art. II. A well-regulated militis being neces-

sery to the security of a free State, the __int of the people to keep and bear arms shall not be infringed.

Art. V. No person shall but a deprived of life, litterty, or property, without due process of law; nor shall private property be taken for public ass without just componsation."

TΨ

CESSIONS OF SOUTHERN TERRITORY.

The State of Kentucky was set off from the State of Virginia in 1790, by mutual agreement, and admitted into the Union by act of Congress, passed Pebruary 4th, 1791; to take effect June 1st, 1792. It was never a territory of the United States, nor under Federal jurisdiction, except as a State, and inherited Stavery from the 'Old Dominion.'

The State of North Carolina, like several others, claimed, during and after the Revolution, that her territory extended westward to the Mississippi. The settlers west of the Alleganies resisted this claim, and a portion of them assumed to establish (1784-5) the State of Frankland, in what is now East Tennessec; but North Carolina forcibly resisted and subverted this, and a considerable portion of the people of the embryo State derided its authority, and continued to act and vote as citizens of North Carolina. A delegate (William Cocke) was sent from Frankland to the Continental Congress, but was not received by that body. On the 22nd of December, 1789, however-one month after her ratification of the Federal Constitution-North Carolina passed an act, ceding, on certain conditions, all her territory west of her present limits to the United States. Among the conditions exacted by her, and agreed to, by Congress, (Act approved April 2nd, 1790) is the following:

"Provided always, that no regulations made, or to be made, by Congress shall tend to emancipate slaves."

Georgia, in like manner, ceded (April 2nd, 1802) the territories lying west of her present limits, now forming the States of Alabama and Mississippi. Among the conditions exacted by her, and accepted by the United States, is the following:

"Fifthly. That the territory thus ceded shall become a State, and be admitted into the Union as soon as it shall contain sixty thousand free inhabitants, or, at an earlier period, if Congress shall think it expedient, on the same conditions and restrictions, with the same privileges, and in the same manner, as is provided in the ordinance of Congress of the 13th day of July, 1767, for the States; which ordinance shall, in all its parts extend to the territory contained in the present set of Cession, the article only excepted which forbids slavery."

37

EARLY ATTEMPTS TO OVERRIDE THE ORDINANCE.

WHEN Ohio (1802-3) was made a State, the residue of the vast regions,

originally conveyed by the ordinance of '97, was continued under Federal pupilage, by the name of "Indiana Territory," whereof Wm. Henry Harrison (since President) was An earnest though appointed Governor. quiet effort was made by the Virginia ele-ment, which the location of her military bounty warrants on the soil of Ohio had infused into that embryo State, to have Slavery for a limited term authorized in her first Constitution; but it was stremously resisted by the New England element, which was far more considerable, and defeated. The Virginium either had or professed to have the countenance of President Jefferson, though his hostility to Slavery, as a permanent social state, was are subted. It was quite commonly argued that, ough Slavery was injurious in the long run, yet, as an expedient while clearing away the heavy forests, opening settlements in the wilderness, and surmounting the inevitable hardships and privations of border life, it might be tolerated, and even regarded with favor. Accordingly, the new Territory of Indiana made repeated efforts to procure a relaxation in her favor of the restrictive clause of the Ordinanee of '87, one of them through the instrumentality of a Convention assembled in 1802-3, and presided over by the Territorial Governor; so he, with the great body of his fellow-delegates, memorialized Congress, among other things, to suspend temporarily the operation of the sixth article of the Ordinance aforesaid. This memorial was referred in the House to a select committee of three, two of them from Slave States, with the since celebrated John Randolph as chairman. On the 2nd of March, 1803, Mr. Randolph made what appears to have been a unanimous report from this Committee, of which we give so much as relates to Slavery—as follows:

"The rapid population of the State of Ohio unfliciently vertices, in the opinion of your committee, that the labor of slaves is not necessary to promote the growth and settlement of colonies in that region. That this labor—demonstrably the dearest of any—eun only be employed in the calibration of products more shadowly the control of the control of

The Committee proceed to discuss other subjects set forth in the prayer of the memorial, and conclude with eight resolves, whereof the only one relating to Slavery is as follows:

"Resolved, That it is inexpedient to suspend, for a limited time, the operation of the sixth article of the compact between the original States and the 'people and States west of the river Ohio."

This Report, having been made at the close of the Session, was referred at the next to a new Committee, whereof Ossar Rodney, a new Representative from Delaware, was Chairman. Mr. Rodney from this Committee reported (February 17th, 1894).

"That, taking into their consideration the facts stated in the said memoral and petition, they are induced to believe that a qualified suspension, for a limited time, of the sixth artificed compact however the original States and the people and States work if the river Ohio, might be productive of benefit and advantage to said Territory".

The Report goes on to discuss the other topics embraced in the Indiana memorial, and concludes with eight resolves, of which the first (and only one relative to Slavery) is as follows:

"Resolved, That the sixth article of the Ordinance of 1787, which probabiled Slavery within the said Territory, building Slavery within the said Territory, but no as to permit the said of the said from the said from a said of the said from any of the individual State; promited, that such individual State does not permit the importation of alaves from foreign countries and provided, further, that the decondants of all such slaves shall, if saides, be froe at the age of twonty-five years, and, if females, at the age of twonty-five years, and, if females, at the age of twonty-five years,

The House took no action on this Reporttro original memorial from Indiana, with several additional memorials of like purport, was again, in 1805-6, referred by the House to a select committee, whereof Mr. Garnett of Virginia was chairman, who, on the 14th of February, 1806, made a report in favor of the prayer of the petitioners—as follows:

That, having attentively considered the facts stated in the said petitions and memorials, they are of uplnion that a qualified suspension, for a limited time, of the sixth article of compact between the original States, and the people and States west of the river Ohic, would be beneficial to the people of the Indiana Territory. Denoting the state Teacher of the Indiana Territory.

person on one artune is an opper amines universally desired in that Territory.

If appears to your committee to be a question strictly direct the committee of the control of of the co

thern, as for us possible. If this danger does exist, or there is any cause to apprehend it, and our Western brethren are not only willing but desirous to aid us in taking precautions against it. would it not be wise to accept their assistance?

We should benefit ourselves, without injuring them, as their population must always so far excoed any black population which can ever exist in that country, as to render the idea of dauger from that source chimerical.

After discussing other subjects embodied in the Indiana memorial, the committee close with a series of Resolves, which they commend to the adoption of the House. The first and only one germane to our subject is us follows:

ACCOUNTS, that the sixth article of the Ordinance of 1787, which prohibits Slavery within the Indiana Territory, he suspended for ten years, so us to permit the introduction of slaves, born within the United States. Resolved, That the sixth article of the Ordiorn within the United States, from any of the individual States.

This report and resolve were committed and made a special order on the Monday following, but were never taken into c usid-

At the next session, a fresh letter from Gov. William Henry Larrison, inclosing resolves of the Legislative Council and House of Representatives in favor of suspending, for a limited period, the sixth article of compact aforesaid, was received (Jan. 21st, 1807) and referred to a Select Committee, whereof Mr. B. Parke, delegate from said Territory, was made chairman. The entire Committee (Mr. Nathaniel Macon of N. C. being now Speaker) consisted of

Messrs. Alston of N. C Masters of N. Y. Morrow of Ohio. Parke of Ind.

Rhea of Tenn. Sandford of Ky. Trigg of Va.

Mr. Parke, from this Committee, made (Feb. 12th.) a third Report to the House in favor of granting the prayer of the memorialists. It is as follows:

"The resolutions of the Legislative Council and House of Representatives of the Indiana Territory, relate to a suspension, for the term of ten years, of the sixth article of compact between the United States and the Territories and States northwest of the river Ohio, passed the 13th July, 1787. That article declares that there shall be neither Slavery nor involuntary servitude in the said Territory.

"The suspension of the said article would operate an immediate and essential benefit to the Territory, as emigration to it will be inconsiderable for many years, except from those States where Slavery is tolerated.

And although it is not considered expedient to force the population of the Territory, yet it is desirable to connect its scattered settlements, and, in admitted political rights, to place it on an equal footing with the different States. From the interior situation of the Territory, it is not believed that slaves could ever become so numerous as to endanger the internal peace or future prosperity of the country. The current of emigration flow-ing to the Western country, the Territories should all be opened to their introduction. The abstract question of Liberty and Slavery is not involved in : the proposed measure, as Slavery now exists to a Chio, I considerable extent in different parts of the Union; consin.

it would not augment the number of slaves, but merely authorize the removal to Indiana of such as are held in bondage in the United States. Slavery is an evil, means ought to be devised to render it loss dangerous to the community, and by which the haptess situation of the slaves would be most ameliorated; and to accomplish these abjects, no measure would be so effectual as the one proposed. The Committee, therefore, re-spectfully submit to the House the following reso-

"Resolved, That it is expedient to suspend, from and after the 1st day of January, 1898, the sixth article of compact between the United States and the Territories and States northwest of the Ohio, passed the 13th day of July, 1787, for the term of ten years."

This report, with its predecessors, was committed, and made a special order, but never taken into consideration.

The same letter of Gen. Harrison, and resolves of the Indiana Legislature, were submitted to the Senate, Jan. 21st, 1807. They were laid on the ir ble "for consideration and do not appear to have even been referred at that session; but at the next, or first session of the fourth Congress, which convened Oct. 26th, 1807, the President (Nov. 7th) submitted a letter from Gen. Harrison and his Legislature—whether a new or the old one does not appear-and it was now referred to a select committee, consisting of Messrs. J. Franklin of N. C., Kitchel of N. J., and Tiffin of Ohio.

Nov. 13th, Mr. Fran, lin, from said committee, reported as follows:

"The Legislative Council and House of Rep resentatives, in their resolutions, express their resentatives, in their resolutions, express their sense of the propriety of introducing Slavery into their Territory, and solicit the Congress of the United States to suspend, for a given number of years, the sixth article of compact, in the ordinance for the government of the Territory northwest of the Chio, passed the 13th day of July, 1787. That article declares: 'There shall be nei 1787. That article declares: 'There shall be nei ther Slavery nor involuntary servitude within the said Territory.'

"The citizens of Clark County, in their remor-strance, express their sense of the impropriety of the measure, and solicit the Congress of the United States not to act on the subject, so as to permit the introduction of slaves into the Territory; at least, until their population shall entitle them to form a constitution and State govern-

"Your Committee, after dnly considering the matter, respectfully submit the following resolution:

"Resolved, That it is not expedient at this time to suspend the sixth article of compact for the government of the Territory of the United States northwest of the River Ohio."

And here ended, so far as we have been able to discover, the effort, so long and earnestly persisted in, to procure a suspension of the restriction in the Ordinance of 1787, so as to admit Slavery, for a limited term, into the Terrritory lying between the Ohio and Mississippi rivers, now forming the States of Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, Michigan, and Will

THE PIRST MISSOURI STRUGGLE.

The vast and indefinite territory known as Louisians, was ceded by France to the United States in the year 1803, for the sum of \$15,000,000, of which \$3,750,000 was devoted to the payment of American claims on France. This territory had just before been ceded by Spain to France without pecuniary bousideration. Slawholdling had long been keep the property of the pr

"Art. III. The inhabitants of the celed terribery shall be incorporated into the Unitor of the United States, and admitted as soon as possible, the control of the property of the Federal Constitation, to the principles of the Federal Constitation, the control of the control of the tages and immunities of citizens of the United States and in the mean time they shall be maintained and protected in the free enjoyment of their liberty, property, and the religion which they profess."

The State of Louisiana, embodying the southern portion of this acquired territory, was recognized by Congress in 1811, and fully admitted in 1812, with a State Constitution. Those who chose to dwell among the inhabitants of the residue of the Louisiana purchase, henceforth called Missouri Territory, continued to hold slaves in its sparse and small, but increasing settlements, mainly in its southeastern quarter, and a pro-slavery court—perhaps any court— would undoubtedly have pronounced Slavery legal anywhere on its vast expanse, from the Mississippi to the crests of the Rocky Mountains, if not beyond them, and from the Red River of Louisiana to the Lake of the Woods.

The XVth Congress assembled at Washington, on Monday, Dec. 1st, 1817. Henry Clay was chosen Speaker of the House. Mr. John Scott appeared on the 8th, as delegate from Missouri Territory, and was admitted to a seat as such. On the 16th of March following, he presented petitions of sundry inhabitants of Missouri, in addition to similar petitions already presented by him, praying for the admission of Missouri into the Union as a State, which were, on motion, referred to a Select Committee, consisting of

Messrs. Scott of Mo. Poindexter of Miss. Robertson of Ky. Hendricks of Ind. Livermore of N. H. Mills of Mass. Baldwin of Pa.

April 3rd, Mr. Scott, from this Committee, reported a bill to anthorize the People of Missouri Territory to form a Constitution and State Government, and for the admission of such State into the Union on an equal footing with the original States; which bill was read the first and second time, and sent to the Committee of the Whole, where it eight for the remainder of the session.

That Congress convened at Washington

for its second session, on the 16th of November, 1818. Peb. 13th, the House went into Committee of the Whole—Gen. Smith, of Md., in the chair—and took up the Missouri bill aforesaid, which was considered through that sitting, as also that of the 15th, when several amendments were adopted, the most important of which was the following, moved in Committee by Gen. James Tallmadge, of Dutchess County, New York, (lately deceased:)

"And provided also, That the further introduction of Slavery or involuntary servitude be prohibited, except for the punishment of crimes, whereof the party shall be duly convicted; and that all children of slaves, born within the said State, after the admission thereof into the Union, shall be free, but may be held to service until the age of twenty-five years."

On coming out of Committee, the Yeas and Nays were called on the question of agreeing to this amendment, which was sustained by the following vote: [taken first on agreeing to so much of it as precedes and includes the word "convicted."]

YEAS-For the Restriction :

NEW HAMPSHIER.—Clifton Clayett, Samuel Hale, Arthur Livermore, Nathaniel Uphane-A MASSACHUSETTS—(then including Maire)—Benjamin Adams, Samuel C. Aueu, Walter Folger, ir. Timothy Fuller, Joshus Gage, Enoch Lincoth, Elijah H Mills, Marcas Morton, Jereniah Nelson, Benjamin Orr, Thomas Rice, Nathaniel Rugges, Zabdiel Sampson, Nathaniel Silsbee, John Wilson—E. Denny Ist. van James R. Massu—Il Puons Ist. van James R. Massu—Il

Suspec, John Wilson—15.
RHODE ISLAND.—James B. Mason—1.
CONNECTICUT.—Sylvester Gilbert, Ebenezer
Huntington, Jonathan O. Moseley, Timothy Pitkin, Samuel B. Sherwood, Nathaniel Terry, Thomas S. Williams—7.

mas S. Williams—7.
VERMONT.—Samuel C. Crafts, William Hunter,
Orsamus C. Merrill, Charles Rich, Mark Rich-

ards—5.

New-York—Oliver C. Comstock, John P. Cushman, John R. Drake, Benjamin Ellicott Joseph State Benjamin Ellicott Joseph State Benjamin Ellicott Joseph State Benjamin Ellicott Joseph State Benjamin Blicott John State Benjamin Ellicott John State Benjamin Blicott John State Benjamin B

NEW-JERSEY.—Ephraim Bateman, Benjamin Bennett, Charles Kinsey, John Linn, Henry Southard—5.

PERSTYVANIA—William Anderson, Andrew Boden, Isaac Darlington, Joseph Heister, Joseph Hoster, Joseph Holling, Jevob Hostelter, William Maclay, Heister, Heist

Ogie, Homes Fatterson, Levi Pawing, Homes J. Rogers, John Sergeant, James M. Wallace, John Whiteside, William Wilson—20. OHIO.—Levi Barber, Philemon Beecher, John W. Campbell, Samuel Herrick, Peter Hitchcock

INDIANA.—William Hendricks...1.
DELAWARE.—Willard Hall...I.

Total Yeas 87—only one (the last named) from a Slave State.

NAYS—Against the Restriction:

Massachusetts.—John Holmes, Jonathan
Mason, Henry Shaw—3.

Naw-York.-Daniel Cruger, David A. Ogden, Henry R. Storrs-3.

NEW HAMPSHIEL — Joseph Bloomfield—1, NEW HAMPSHIEL — John F. Parrott— Osio. — William Henry Harrison—1.

ILLINOIS .- John McLean-1. [10 from Free States.]

DELAWARE.-- For is McLane-1

MARYLAND .- A chibald Austin, Thomas Bayly; Thomas Culbreth, Peter Little, George Peter, Philip Reed, Samuel Ringgold, Samuel Smith,

Philip Stuart—9.

Virginia.—William Lee Ball, Philip F. Barbour, Burwell Bassett, William A. Burwell, Edward Colston, Robert S. Garnett, James Johnson, William J. Lewis, William McCoy, Hugh Nelson, Thomas M. Nelson, John Pegram, James Pindall, James Pleasants, Ballard Smith. Alexander James Pleasants, Ballard Smith. Alexander Smyth, Henry St. George Tucker, John Tyler—

NORTH CAROLINA.—Joseph H. Bryan, William Davidson, Weldon N. Edwards, Charles Fisher, Thomas H. Hall James Owen, Lemuel Sawyer, Thomas Little, Jesse Slocumb, James G. Smith, James Stewart, Felix Walker, Lewis Williams—

15.

SOUTH CAROLISA.—James Ervin, William Lowndes, Henry Middleton, Wilson Nesbitt, Elbert Simkins, Sterling Tucker—6.

GEORGIA.—Joel Abbot, Thomas W. Cobb, Zadoc Cook, William Ferrel!—4.

KENTUCKY.—Hilliam Ferrel!—4.

KENTUCKY.—Hilliam Ferrel!—5.

KENTUCKY.—Hilliam Ferrel!—5.

KENTUCKY.—William Device Market Nesson, jr., Joseph Kenton, Anthony News, Device Market Nesson, Sterling Market Nesson, TENNESSEE .- William G. Blount, Francis

Jones, George W. L. Marr, John Rhea-4. MISSISSIFFI -- George Poindexter-1.

LOUISIANA.-Thomas Butler-1.

Total Nays, 76-10 from Free States, 66 from Slave States.

The House now proceeded to vote on the residue of the reported amendment [from the word "convicted" above], which was likewise sustained .- Yeas 82; Nays 78.

Mosers, Barber and Campbell of Ohio, Linn of N. J., and Mason of R. I., who on the former divi-sion voted Yes, now voted Nay.

Messrs. Schuyler and Westerlo of N. Y. (Yeas before) did not vote now. Gen. Smith of Md. changed from Nay before to Yea now.

So the whole amendment—as moved by Gen. Tallmadge in Committee of the Whole, and there carried-was sustained when reported to the House.

Mr. Storrs of New-York (opposed to the Restriction), now moved the striking out of so much of the bill as provides that the new State shall be admitted into the Union "on an equal footing with the original States"-which, he contended, was nullified by the votes just taken. The House negatived the motion.

Messrs Desha of Kv., Cobb of Ga., and Rhea of Tenn., declared against the bill as amended.

Messrs. Scott of Mo., and Anderson of Ky., preferred the bill as amended to none.

The House ordered the bill, as amended, to a third reading; Yeas 98; Nays 56. The bill thus passed the House next day, and was sent to the Senate.

question (Feb. 15th) appears in the Appendix to Niles's Register, vol. xvi.

BOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, FEB. 15, 1819.

Mr. Tallmadge, of New York, having moved the following amendment, on the Saturday preceding-

"And provided that the introduction of Slavery, or involvatary screitide, be prohibited, except for the punishment of crimes, whereof the party has been duly convicted, and that all children born within the said State, after the admission thereof into the Union, shall be declared free at the age of 25 years." Mr. FULLER, of Massachusetts, said, that

in the admission of new States into the Union he considered that Congress had a discretionary power. By the 4th article and 3d section of the Constitution, Congress are authorized to admit them; but nothing in that section, or in any part of the Constitution, enjoins the admission as imperative, under any circumstances. If it were otherwise, he would request gentlemen to point out what were the circumstances or conditions precedent, which being found to exist, Congress must admit the new State. All discretion would, in such case, be taken from Congress, Mr. F. said, and deliberation would be useless. The hou speaker (Mr. Clay) has said that Congress has no right to prescribe any condition whatever to the newly-organized States, but must admit them by a simple act, leaving their sovereignty unrestricted. [Here the speaker explained—he did not intend to be understood in so broad a sense as Mr. F. stated.] With the explanation of the honorable gentleman, Mr. F. said, I still think his ground as untenable as before. We certainly have a right, and our duty to the nation requires, that we should examine the actual state of things in the proposed State; and, above all, the Constitution expressly makes a REPUBLICAN form of government in the several States a fundamental principle, to be preserved under the sacred guarantee of the national legislature.—[Art. 4, sec. 4.] It clearly, therefore, is the duty of Congress, before admitting a new sister into the Union, to ascertain that her constitution or form of government is republican. Now, ir, the amendment proposed by the gentleman from New York, Mr. Tallmadge, merely requires that Slavery shall be probibited in Missouri. Does this imply anything more than that its constitu-tion shall be republican? The existence of elevery in any State is, so far, a departure from republi-can principles. The Declaration of Independence, enned by the illustrious statesman then, and at this time, a citizeu of a State which admits Slave this time, a citizen of a State Which admits Slavery, defines the principle on which our rational and state constitutions are all professedly founded, The second paragraph of that instrument begins thus: "We hold these truths to be self-evident—that all mea are created equal—that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable rights; that among these are file, LIERTY, and the pursuit of happiness." Since, then, it cannot be desired that slave are mea, it follows that they be defined that staves are men, it honors that they are, in a purely republican government, born free, and are entitled to liberty and the pursuit of happiness. [Mr. Fuller was bere intorrupted by several gentlemen, who thought it improper to question in debets the republican chiracter of the save-holding States, which had also a tendency, as one gentleman (Mr. Colston, of Virginia) said, to deprive these States of the right to hold slaves as property, and he adverted to the probability that there might be slaves in the gallery, listening to the debate.] Mr. F. assured the gentleman that nothing was farther from his thoughts, than nt to the Senate.

The following sketch of the debate on this other States, which held slaves when the Constiunion was established, to continue to hold them. With that subject the National Legislature could not Interfere, and olight not to attempt it. But, W. F. conduced, if gentlemen will be patient, they will see that my remarks will neither deroute from the consultational rights of the States, not from a due respect to their soveral forms of government. Sir, it is my with a full more of government, Sir, it is my with a full more of the contract o

My reason, Mr. Chairman, for recurring to the Poclaration of our Independence, was to draw from an authority admitted in all parts of the Union, a delluition of the basis of republican government. If, then, all men have equal rights, it can no more comport with the principles of a free government to exclude men of a certain color from the enjoyment of "liberty and the pursuit of happiness," than to exclude these who have of happiness," than to exclude these who have not attained a certain portlen of wealth, or a certain stature of bedy, or to found the exclusion on any other caprictors or accidental circumstance.

Suppose Missouri, before her admission as a
State, were to submit to us her Constitution, by
which no person could elect, or be clocked to any office, unless he possessed a clear annual income of twenty thousand dollars; and suppose we had ascortained that only five, or a very small num-ber of porsons had such an estate, would this be anything more or less than a real aristocracy, under a ferm nominally republican? Election and representation, which some contend are the only essential principles of republics, would exist only in name-a shadow without substance, a onry m namo—a snanow without substance, a body without a soul. B: tif all the other inhabit-ants were to be made slaves, and mere property of the favored few, the outrage on principle would be still more palpable. Yet, sir, it is demonstra-ble, that the exclusion of the black population from all political freedom, and making them the property of the white it as a could a stable in property of the whites, is an equally palpable in-Vasion of right, and abandonment of principle. If we do this in the admission of new States, we violate the Constitution, and we have not now the excuse which existed when our National Constitution was established. Then, to effect a concert of interests, it was proper to make concessions. The States where Slavery existed not only claimed the right to continue it, but it was manifest that a general emancipation of slaves could not be asked Their political existence would have been in jeopardy; both masters and slaves must have been involved in the most fatal conse-

"To guard against such intolerable evils, it is provided in the Constitution," that the migration or importation of such persons, as any of the cristing States think proper to admit, shall not be prohibited till 1898."—Art. 1, sec. 9. And it is provided elsewhere, that persons held to service by the laws of any State, shall be given up by other States, to which they may have escaped, other States, to which they may have escaped,

cto—Art. 4, sec. 2.
These provisions effectually recognized the right in the States, which, at the time of framing the Constitution, held the blacks in Slavery, to continue so to hold them until they should think proper to meliorate their condition. The Constitution is a compact among all the States then existing, by which certain principles of government are established for the whole, and for each individual State. The predominant principle in both respects is, that ALL REN are FREE, and have an EQUAL RIGHT OF LIERKY, and all other privileges; or, in other words, the predominant principle is BEFULLARINS, in its flargest sense. But,

then, the same compact contains certain exceptions. The Sintee then holding silven are pernitted, from the necessity of the cose, and for the neckee of mulen, to exclude the republican principle on far, and only so far, as to retain their sinves in severation, and solven program, as that been the nearly mild they should think it proper or safe as every. The compact contains on its face the general principle and the exceptions. But the general principle and the exceptions. But the strength of the safe the exceptions of the safe telescent of the safe that the exceptions is detremediated by the safe that the exceptions of the argualtical form of government to all the States. This clause, indeed, much be construct in countertion with the overplane, but only public the my other States than those in which Slavery was allowed that the formattion of the Constitution.

The honorable speaker cites the first clause in the 2d section of the 4th article-" The citizens of each State shall be outitled to all the privileges and liminunities of citizons of the several States," which he thinks would be violated by the condi-tion proposed in the Constitution of Missouri. To keep slaves-to make one portion of the population the property of another, hardly deserves to be called a privilege, since what is gained by the masters must be lest by the slaves. But, the productily of this consideration, I think the observations already offered to the committee, show-ing that holding the black population in servitude is an exception to the general principles of the Constitution, and cannot be allowed to extend beyond the fair import of the terms by which that exception is provided, are a sufficient answer to the objection. The gentleman proceeds in the same train of reasoning, and asks, if Congress can require one condition, how many more can be required, and whore these conditions will end? With regard to a republican constitution, Congress are obliged to require that condition, and that is enough for the present question; but I contend, further, that Congress has a right, at their discretion, to require any other reasonable condition. Several others were required of Ohio, Indiana, Illinois and Mississippi. The State of Louisiana, which was a part of the territory ceded to us at which was a part of the territory ceded to us at the same time with Missouri, was required to provide in her Constitution for trials by jury, tho writ of habese corpus, the principles of civil and religious liberty, with several others, peculiar to that State. These, certainly, are none of them more indispensable ingredients in a regulbinean form of government than the equality of privileges of all the population; yet these have not been denied to be reasonable and amountable that National be reasonable, and warranted by the National Constitution in the admission of new States. Nor need gentlemon apprehend that Congress will set no reasonable limits to the conditions of admission. In the exercise of their constitutional dission. In the exercise of their constitutions dis-cretion on this subject, they are, as in all other cases, responsible to the people. Their power to levy direct taxes is not limited by the Constitu-tion. They may all y a tax of one million of dollars, or of a hundred millions, without violating the letter of the Constitution; but if the latter enormous and unreasonable sum were levied, or even nous and unreasonable sum were levice, of even the former, without evident necessity, the people have the power in their own hands—a speedy corrective is found in the return of the elections. This remedy is so certain, that the representatives of the people can never lose sight of it; and, consequently, an abuse of their powers to any con-siderable extent can never be apprehended. The same reasoning applies to the exercise of all the powers entrusted to Congress, and the admission of new States into the Union is in ne respect an

One gentleman, however, has contended against the amendment, because it abridges the rights of the slaveholding States to transport their slaves to the new States, for sule or otherwise. This orgument is attempted to be outered in various ways, and particularly by the clause in the Con-stitution last cited. It admits, however, of a very clear mawer, by recurring to the 9th rection of article lut, which provides that "the migration or importation of much persons as any of the States then existing shall admit, shall not be prohibited by Congress till 1808." This clearly implies, that the migration and importation may be prohibited after that your. The Importation has been prahibited, but the migration has not hitherto been restrained; Congress, however, may restrain it, when it may be judged expedient. It is, indeed, contonded by some centlemon, that migration is either synonymous with importation, or that it means something different from the transportation of slaves from one Stute to another. It certainly is not synonymous with importation, and would not have been used if it had been so. It cannot mean exportation, which is also a definite and precise torm. It cannot mean the reception of free blacks from foreign countries, as is alleged by some, because no possible reas a existed for regulating their admission by the Constitution; no free blacks over came from Africa, or any other comtry, to this; and to introduce the provision by the side of that for the importation of slaves, would have been absurd in the highest degree. What alternative remains but to apply the term " migration" to the transportation of slaves from those States, where they are admitted to be held, to other States. Such a provision might have in view a very natural object. The price of slaves might be affected so far by a saddlen prohibition to transport slaves in m State to State, that it was as reasonable to guard against that inconvenience as against the sudden interdiction of the importa-Hitherto it has not been found necessary for Congress to prohibit migration or transportation from State to State. But now it becomes the right and duty of Congress to guard against the further extension of the intolerable evil and the

orying enormity of Slavery.

The oxpedieucy of this measure is very apparent. The opening of an extensive slave market will tempt the cupidity of these who, otherwise, perhaps, might gradually omancipate their claves. We have heard much, Mr. Chairman, of the Colonization Society; an institution waich is the favorite of the humane gentlemen in the slaveholding States. They have long been lamenting the miseries of Slavery, and earnestly seeking for a remedy compatible with their own safety, and the happiness of their slaves. At last the great desideratum is found—a colony in Africa for the eman-cipated blacks. How will the generous intentions of these humane persons be frustrated, if the price of slaves is to be doubled by a new and boundless market! Instead of emancipation of the slaves, it is much to be feared, that unprincipled wretches will be found kidnapping those who are already free, and transporting and selling the lapless vio-tims into hopeless bondage. Sir, I really hope that Congress will not contribute to discounte-nance and render abortive the generous and philanthropic views of this most worthy and laudable society. Rather let us hope, that the time is not very remote, when the shores of Africa, which have so long been a scene of barbarous rapacity and savage cruelty, shall exhibit a race of free and enlightened people—the offspring, indeed, of cannibals or of slaves; but displaying the virtues of civilization and the energies of independent freemen. America may then hope to see the developement of a germ, now scarcely visible, cherished and matured under the genial warmth of our country's protection, till the fruit shall appear in the regeneration and happiness of a boundless continent

said, that we are bound, by the treaty of cossion with France, to admit the ceded territory into the Union, "as soon as passible,". It is obvious that the President and Renate, the treaty-making power, cannot make a stipulation with any for-cign nation in derogation of the constitutional powers and duties of this Lionee, by making it imperative on us to admit the new territory according to the literal tonor of the phrase; but the additional words in the treaty, "according to the principles of the Constitution," put it beyond all doubt that no such compulsory administra was intended, and that the republican principles of our Constitution are to govern us in the admission of this, as well as all the new States, in the national family.

Mr. Tallmadge, of New York, rose-Sir, said ho, it has been my desire and my intention to avoid any debato on the present puinful and impleasant subject. When I had the honor to submit to this House the amendment now under consideration, I accompanied it with a declarato the nowly acquired torritory across the Missis-sippi; and I then expressly declared, that I would in no manner intermeddle with the sleveholding States, nor attempt manunission in any one of the original States in the Union. Sir, I even went further, and stuted that I was aware of the deli-cacy of the subject—and, that I had learned from southorn gentlemen the difficulties and the dan-gers of having free blacks intermingling with slaves; and, on that account, and with a view to the safety of the white population of the adjoining States, I would not even relocate the prohibition of Slavory in the Alabama territory; because, surrounded as it was by siaveholding States, and with "ly inaginary lines of division, the interaction of the state o be prevented, and a servile war might be the re-While we deprecate and mourn over the evil of Slavery, humanity and good morals require us to wish its obolition, under circum cances consistent with the safety of the white population. Willingly, therefore, will I submit to an evil Willingly, therefore, will I submit to an evil which we cannot safely remedy. I admitted all that had been said of the danger of having free blacks visible to slaves, and, therefore, did not hesitate to pledge myscli that I would neither advise nor attempt coercive manumission. But, sir, ail these reasons cease when we cross the banks of the Mississippi, into a territory separated by a natural boundary—a newly acquired territory, never contemplated in the formation of our government, not included within the compromise or mutual pledge in the adoption of our Constitu-tion—a new territory acquired by our common fund, and ought justly to be subject to our common legislation.

Sir, when I submitted the amendment now under consideration, accompanied with these explanations, and with these avowals of my incapatanious, and with these avowals of my in-tentions and of my motives—I did expect that gentlemen who might differ from me in opinion would appreciate the liberality of my views, and would appreciate the needing of any views, and would meet me with moderation, as upon a fair subject for general legislation. I did expect, at least, that the frank declaration of my views would protect me from harsh expressions, and from the unfriendly imputations which have been cast out on this occasion. But, sir, such has been the character and the violence of this debate, and expressions of so much intemperance. and of an aspect so threatening have been used, that continued silence on my pert would ill be-come me, who had submitted to this house the original proposition. While this subject was under debate before the Committee of the whole, ontinent.

I did not take the floor, and I avail myself of this occasion to acknowledge my obligations to my ficula (Er. Toylor and Mr. Mills) for the expressions, really intending the meaning which has more in which they supported my amendment, the words seem to import, and which had been ct a time when I was unable to partake in the uttered against the gentleman from New Humpdobate. I had only on that day returned from a shire. [Bir. Nelson, of Virginia, in the Chair, Journey, long in its extent and pointful in its oc- called to order, and eadle no personal romarks casien; and from an affection of my breast I could not then speak. I cannot yet hope to do justice to the subject; but I do hepe to say enough to assure my friends that I have not left them in the centroversy, and to convince the oppenents of the measure, that their violence has not driven me from the debate.

Sir, the hon, gentleman from Missouri (Mr. Scett, who has just resumed his seat, has told us of the ides of March, and has cantiened us to "bewere of the fate of Cæsar aud of Reme." Another ware of the late of Creatr and of Rome." Another gentleman (Lir. Cobb) from Georgia, in addition to other expressions of great warmth, has said, that if we persiat, the Union will be dissolved; and with a leek fixed on me, has told us, "we have kindled a fire which all the waters of the ocean cannet put out, which seas of bleed can only ox-

tinguish Language of this sort has ne effect on me: my purpose is fixed, it is intervoven with my existence; its durability is limited with my life; it is a great and glerious cause, setting bounds to a clavery the mest cruel and debasing the world has ever witnessed; it is the freedom of man; it is the cause of unredcomed and unregenerated

hnman beings.

If a dissolution of the Union must take place, let it be so! If civil war, which gentlemen so nuch threaten, must come, I can enly say, let it come! My held on life is probably as frail as that of any man who now bears mo; but while that hold lasts, it shall be devoted to the service of my country—to the freedom of man. If blood is necessary to extingnish any fire which I have assisted to kindle, I can assure goutlemen, while I regret the necessity, I shall not ferbear to cen-tribute my mite. Sir, the violence to which gen-tlemen have reserted on this subject will not move my purpose, nor drive me from my place. I have the fortune and the hener to stand here as the representative of freemen, who possess intelligence to know their rights; who have the spirit to maintain them. Whatever might be my own private centiments on this subject, standing here as the representative of others, ne choice left me. I knew the will of my constituents, and, regardless of censequences, I will avow it—as their representative, I will proclaim their hatred to Slavery in every shape—as their representative here will I hold my stand, till this floor, with the Constitution of my country which supports it, chall sink beneath me—if I am doemed to fall. I chall, at least, have the painful consolation to believe that I fall, as a fragment, in the ruins of my

country.
Sir, the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. Colston) has accused my henorable friend from New Hampshire (Mr. Livermore) of "speaking to the galleries," and by his "language endeavoring to excite a servile war;" and has cuded by saying, whe is no better than Arbuthnot and Ambristor, and deserves no better fate." When I hear such language uttered upon this fleor, and within this house, I am constrained to consider it as hasty and unintended languago, resulting from the vehemence of debate, and not really intending the personal indecorum the expressions would seem to indicate. [Mr. Colston asked to explain, and said he had net distinctly understood Mr. T. Mr. Livermore called on Mr. C. to state the expressions ho had used. Mr. C. then said he had ne explanation to give. Mr. T. said he had nene

uttered against the gentleman from New Homp-shire. [Mr. Nelsen, of Virginia, in the Chair, celled to order, and seld no porsenal romarks would be allowed.] Air. T. said be rajoiced the Chair was at length aroused to a cense of its duties. The debate had, for several days, pregressed with unequaled vielence, and all was in order; but new, when at length this violence on one side is to be resisted, the Chair discovered it is out of order. I rejoice, said Mr. T., at the discevery, I approve of the admonition, while I am proud to say it has no relevancy to me. It is my beast that I have never uttered an unfriendly personal remark on this floor; but I wish it dis-tinetly understood, that the immutable laws of celf-defense will justify going to great lengths, and that, in the inture progress of this debate, the rights of defense would be regarded.

Sir, has it already come to this: that in the Congress of the United States—that, in the legislative councils of republican America, the subject lative councils of republican America, the subject of Slavery has become a subject of so much feeding—3 such deficacy—of such danger, that it venture to express their sentiments on this sub-ject, to be accused of talking to the gallories, with intenting to excite a service var; and of meriting the fate of Arbuthnot and Ambrister? Are we to be told of the dissentation of the Union, of civil war, and of seas of blood? And yet, with such awful threatenings before us, do gentlemen, in the same breath, insist upon the encouragement of this evil; upon the extension of this monstrous scourge of the human race? An evil so fraught with such dire calamities to us as individuals, and to our nation, and threaten-ing, in its progress, to everwhelm the civil and religious institutions of the country, with the liberties of the nation, ought at once to be met, and to be controlled. If its power, its influence, and its impending dangers, have already arrived at such a point, that it is not safe to discuss it on this floor, and it cannot now pass under considerathishoof, and it cannot now pass anode considera-tion as a proper subject for general legislation, what will be the result when it is agreed through your widely-extended domain 1 hs present threatening aspect, and the violence of its appro-porters, so far from inducing me to yield to its progress, prompt me to resist its march. Now is the time. It must now be net, and the extension of the evil must new be prevented, or the occasion is irrecoverably lost, and the evil can never be centrolled.

Sir, extend your viow acress the Mississippi, over your newly acquired territory—a territory so far surpassing, in extent, the limits of your present country, that that country which gave birth to your nation—which achieved your Revo-lution—censelidated your Union—formed your Constitution, and has subsequently acquired so much glory, hangs but as an appendage to the mace gover, name but at an appending to the extended compire over which your republican government is now called to bear sway. Look down the long vista of futurity; see your empire, in extent unequaled, in advantageous situation without a perallel, and occupying all the valuable part of one continent. Behold this extended empire, inhabited by the hardy sens of American freemen, knewing their rights, and inheriting the will to protect them-owners of the soil on which they live, and intorested in the in-stitutions which they leber to defend; with two occans laving your sheres, and tributary te your purposes, bearing on their besoms the commerce presents an analysis of the commerce of the explanation to give 1 Mr. I said he had neme of our people; compared to yours, the government of the people; compared to yours, the governments of the people; compared to yours, the governments of control of the people; compared to yours, the governments of the people control of the people control of the people commerce of the people commerce of the people control of the people commerce of beno of man, this abomination of heavon, avor your catended empire, and you prepare its dissolation; you turn its accumulated strength; into positive weaknose; you cherica a canker in your brenat; you put pelson in your beeson; you place breat; you put pelson in your beeson; you place the dagger out place it in the hands of a portion of your population, stimulated to use it, by overy te, human and divine. The onvious contrast between your happiness and their misery, between your liberty and their slavery, must constantly prompt them to accomplish your destruction. Your connies will learn the source and dangers shall threaten, or internal commetical wavely you, you will then re! lies, that by your own procurement, you have placed amidst your pepulation producing at once the greatest cause of individual danger, and of national weakness. With this delect, your government must crumble to world.

Sir, we have heen told, with apparent confidence, that we have no right to annex conditions to a State, on its admission into the Union; and that hee been urged that the proposed mendment, prohibiting the further introduction of Slavery, is unconstitutional. This position, asserted with so much confidence, remains unsupported by any argument, or by any authority derived from the Constitution itself. The Constitution strongly includes an opposite conclusion, and seems to contemplate a difference between the old and the new States. The practice of the government has sentetioned this difference in many respects. The thrit's section of the fourth article of the

The third section of the fourth article of the Constitution says, "new States may be câmitted by the Congress into this Union," and it is silont as to the terms and conditions upon which the new States may be so admitted. The fair inference of the constitution says, "the migration of a constitution and the terms of such admission. The tenth section of the first article of the Constitution says, "the migration or importation of such persons as any of the States Now EXISTING shall think proper to admit, shall not be prohibited by the Congress prior to the year 180%." The vords "now existing" clearly show the distinction for which we considered as applicable to them, and uncertainty in the considered as applicable to them, and uncertainty in the proper tender of the proper state of the proper state of the proper state of the year 180%. The vorder monitored in the considered as applicable to them, and uncertainty is reserved the right to prevent their importation into any new State before the year 1808.

Congress, therefore, have power over the utiliest, robushly as a matter of legislation, but more certainly as a right, to prescribe the time and the coadition upon which any new State may be admitted into the family of the Union. Sift, the bill new before us proves the correctness Sift, the bill new before us proves the correctness Sift, the bill new before us proves the correctness Sift, the bill new before us proves the correctness in the state of the sift of the state of the sift of the state of the stat

bene of nien, this absaination of beavon, avor it that all amendments and conditions are properly year established empire, and you prepare its dissolar which as that certain eleact of gealtenan, but whathor, you turn its accumulated strongth into ever amendment is proposed, which does not positive weathoness; you chein a camber in your emparts with their interests or their view, in unbreast; you put points in your become; you place a vulnar persying on your beart—may, you with searced charter of our rights. In order to be consistent of your population, stimulated to use it, by every the various amendments are with they have of your population, stimulated to use it, by every the various amendments to which they have between your happiness and their misery, be to all, or to none.

to sail, or to some.

Six, we have been could that this in a new principal country of the countr

Let gentlemen also advert to the law for the admission of the State of Louisiana into the Union: they will find till filled with conditions. It was required not only to form a Constitution apon the principles of a republican government, but was required to contain the "inadamental principles" of a republican government, but was required to contain the "inadamental principles" of a republican of its admission, to keep its records, and its judicial and its legislative procedings in the English language; and also to secure the trial by jury, and to surroader all claim to unappreprinted hands in the Territory, with the problibble no tox any of the United

States' landa. After this long practice and constant usage to anox conditions to the admission of a State into the Union, will gentlemen yet tell us it is anconstitutional, and tells of our principles being noved meaning the properties of the principles being noved meaning the properties of the prop

Sir, we have been told that the proposed amendment enume to received, because it in contrary to the travery and coasies of Louisiana. The contrary of the travery and coasies of Louisiana. The contrary chall be incorporated in the Union of the United States, and admitted as seen as possible, according to the principles of the Pederal Constitution, to the enjoyment of all the rights admitted and immunifies of elitisons of the contrarges, and immunifies of elitisons of the traverse of the contrarges, and immunifies of elitisons of the property and the religion which they protect." I find nothing, and Lr. 7, in this critical of the treaty, incompatible.

with the proposed amendment. The rights, ad- of helicting the conditions of slaves, by spread-vantages, and humanities of distincts of the lag them over the country. A slave-driver, a United Hates are guaranteed to the hindshausts trafficker in human ficals, as if note by Proyl. of Louisiana. If one of them should cheese to remove into Virginia, he could take his slaves with him; but if he removes to Indiana, or any of the States northwest of the Ohio, he cannot to his slaves with him. If the proposed amondment provail, the luhabitants of Louisland, or the citizens of the United States, can neither of them take slaves late the State of netting of them take shaves any to us came or Missouri. All, therefore, may every equal privi-leges. It is a disability, or what I call a blessing, annexed to the particular district of country, and in no manner attached to the individual. But, while I have no doubt that the treaty cou-But, while I have no doubt that the treaty contains no solid objection against the proposed amountment, if it did, it would not alter my demination on the subject. The Senate, or the treaty-making power of our government, have notible the right, nor the power to stipulate by a freety, the torms upon which a people shall be senated in the Union. This House have a right to be heard on the subject. The admission of the state of the state of the state of the subject. The admission which we will be been a Legislative act, which we write the Union is a Legislative act, which we write the Union is a Legislative act. which requires the concurrence of all the departmonts of Legislative power. It is an important prerogative of this House, which I hope will never be surrendered.

The zoal and the arder of gentlemen, in the course of this debate, have induced them to au-nounce to this house, that, if we persist and force the state of Missourl to accede to the proposed amondront, as the condition of her admission into the Union, she will not regard it, and, as soon as admistide, will alter her constitution, and introduce Slavery into her territory. Sir, I am not propured, nor is it necessary to determine, what would be the consequence of such a violation of faith-of such a departure from the fundation of faith—of such a departure from the tunne-mental condition of her admission into the Union. I would not east npon a people so foul an imputation, as to believe they would be guilty of such fraudulent duplicity. The States north-west of the Ohio have all regarded the faith and the conditions of their admission; and there is no the conditions of their admission; and there is no reason to believe the people of Missouri will not also regard theirs. But, sir, whonever a State admitted into the Union shall disregard and set at naught the fundamental conditions of its adat haught the fundamental conditions of its ac-mission, and shall, in violation of all faith, un-dertake to levy a tax upon lands of the United States, or a toll upon their navigable waters, or introduce Slavery, where Congress have prohibited it, then it will be in time to determine the blied it, then it will be in time to determine the consequence. But, if the threatened consequence were known to be the certain result, yet would I insist upon the proposed amendment. The de-claration of this house, the declared will of the action to prohibit Slavery, would produce its action to prohibit Slavery, would produce to the probability of the proposed and the pro-tomanments of our careful one one of the brightest Sir, it has been urged with great plausibility, that we should apread the slaves now in our country and thus encount he act! rather than

max we should agreed the slaves now in our country, and thus spread the evil, rather than countine it to its present districts. It has been said, we should thereby diminish the dangers from them, while we increase the means of their new country. The state of the st will be supplied. Our coast, and its contiguity to the West Indies and the Spanish possessions, render easy the introduction of slaves into our rountry. Our laws are already highly penal against their introduction, and yet, it is a well-known fact, that about fourteen thousand alaves have been brought into our country this last

dence, has passed the door of your capitol, on his way to the West, driving before him about fif-toon of those wretched yielding of his power colleated in the course of his traffic, and by their removal, toru from every relation and from every the which the human heart can hold dear. The to which the human hoart can hold dear. The males, who might raises the arm of vengence, and rotallate for their wrongs, were hand-outford and claimed to each other, while the founds and children were marched in their rear, under the guidance of the driver's whip I Xes, sir, such has been the secons witnessed from the windows of Congress Hall, and viewed by members who compose the legislative compells of republican Americal

In the course of the delaste on this subject, we have been told that, from the long habit of the southern and western people, the possession of southern and western poople, no possession or slaves has become necessary to thom, and an os-soutial requisite in their living. It has been urged, from the nature of the chimate and soil of argon, from the batter of the elimate and soil of the southern countries, that the lands cannot be occupied or cultivated without slaves. It has been said that the slaves prosper in those places, and that they are much better off there than in their own nativo country. We have ever been told that if we succeed and provent Slavery across

told that it we succeed and prevent Slavery across the Mississippi, we shall greatly lessen the value of the Mississippi, we shall greatly lessen the value series of years, the settlement of that country. Sift, said Mr. T., if the western country cannot be settled without alwest, gladly would I provent its settlement till time shall be no more. If this class of arguments is to provail, it sets all morals at definince, and we are called to legislate on this et definince, and we are called to legislate on this at definince, and we are only to registate on an assubject as a matter of mere personal interest. If this is to be the case, ropeal all your laws prohibiting the slave-trade; throw open this traffic to the commercial States of the East; and if it better the condition of these wretched beings, invite the dark population of benighted Africa to be translated to the shores of republican America. But I will not cast upon this or upon that gentleman an imputation so ungracious as the conclu-sion to which their arguments would necessarily tend. I do not believe any gentleman on this floor would here advocate the slave-trade; or noor would here advocate the slave-trade; or maintain in the obstract the principles of Slave-try. I will not outrage the decorum, nor insult the dignity of this house, by attempting to argue in this place, as an abstract proposition, the moral right of Slavery. How gladly would the "legitimates of Europe chuckle," to find an American Congress in debate on such a questionable of the congress in debate on such a question of the congress in debate on such a question of the congress in debate on such a question of the congress in debate on such a question of the congress in debate on such a question of the congress in debate on such a question of the congress in debate on such as questions. tion!

As an evil brought upon us without our own fault, before the formation of our government, and as one of the sins of that nation from which we have revolted, we must of necessity legislate upon this subject. It is our business so to legisapon this sinject. It is our business so to legis-late as never to encourage, but always to con-trol, this evil; and, while we strive to eradicate it, we ought to fix its limits, and render it subor-dinate to the safety of the white population, and the good order of civil society.

the good order of eivil society.

Sir, on this subject the eyes of Europe are turned upon you. You boast of the freedom of your constitution and your laws, you have proclaimed, in the Declaration of Independence, "That all men are created equal; that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalignable rights—that amongst these are life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness;" and yet you have slaves in your country. The enemies of your government, and the legitimates of Europe, point to your inconsistencies, and blazon your supposed Since we have been engaged in this debate, we defects. If you allow Slavery to pass into term-have witnessed an elucidation of this argument, tories where you have the lawful power to exat the formation of your government, and you stand acquitted of all imputation.

This is a subject upon which I have great feeling for the honor of my country. In a former debate upon the Illinois constitution, I montioned that our enemies had drawn a picture of our country, as holding in one hand the Declaration of Independence, and with the other brandishing a whip over our affrighted slaves. I then made it my houst that we could enst back upon Fugland the accusation—that she had committed the original sin of bringing slaves into our courtry. I have since received, through the post-office, a lotter post murked in South Carolina, and signed "A native of England," desiring that, when I had occasion to repeat my houst against England, I would also state that she had atoued for her original sin, by outablishing in her slave-colonies a system of humane laws, meliorating their con-dition, and providing for their safety, while America had committed the secondary slu of disrogarding their condition, and had even provided lews, by which it was not murdor to kill a slave.
Sir, I felt the soverly of the reproof; I felt for
my country. I have inquired on the subject,
and I flud such were formerly the laws in some and A find such were formerly the laws in some of the slaveholding States; and that even now, in the sixte of South Carolina, by law, the pen-alty of death is provided for stealing a slave, while the murder of a slave is punished with a trivial fine. Such is the contrast and the relative value which is placed, in the opinion of a slaveholding State, between the property of the mas-ter and the life of a blave.

Sir, gentlemen have undertaken to criminate. and to draw odious contrasts between different and to draw outous contrasts notwent anterout sections of our country—I shall not combat such arguments; I have made no protense to exclusive morality on this subject, either for myself or my constituents; nor have I cast any imputations on others. On the contrary, I hold that mankind under like circumstances are alike, the world over. The vicious and unprincipled are confined to no district of country: and it is for this portion of the community we are bound to legislate. When honorable gentlemen inform us we overrate the cruelty and the dangers of Slavery, and tell us that their slaves are happy, and contented, and would even contribute to their safety, they tell us but very little; they do not tell us, that, while their slaves are happy, the slaves of some deprayed and cruel wrotch in their neighborhood may not be stimulated to revenge, and thus involve the country in ruin. If we had to legislate only for such gentlemen as are now embraced within my view, a law against robbing the mail would be a disgrace upon the nation; and, as useless, I would tear it from the pages of your statute hook; yet sad experience has taught us the necessity of such laws—and honor, justice, and policy teach us the wisdom of legislating to limit the extension of Slavery. In the zeal to draw sectional contrasts, we have

been told by one gentleman, that gentlemen from one district of country talk of their morality, while those of another practice it. And the su-perior liberality has been asserted of Southern gentlemen over those of the North, in all contribu-tions to moral institutions, for bible and missionary societies. Sir, I understand too well the pursuit of my purpose, to be decoyed and drawn off into the discussion of a collateral subject. I have no inclination to controvert these assertions of comparative liberality. Although I have no idea they are founded in fact, yet, because it better suits the object of my present argument, I will, on this occasion, admit them to the fullest

clinic it, you will justly take upon yourself all | liction, by their superor liberality in contribu-the clurges of inconsistency; but confine it to the liction to moral institutions, justly stand in the original shaveholding States, where you found it, linet muk, and hold the first place in the brightest page in the history of our country. But, turn over this page, and what do you behold? You behold them contributing to teach the dectrines of Christianity in every quarter of the globe. You behold them legislating to secure the ignorance and stapidlty of their own slaves 1 You behold them, prescribing, by law, penalties against the man that dares teach a negro to read. Such in the statute law of the State of Virginia. Mr. Beseett and Mr. Tyler said that there was

Mr. 150800tt Bust Mr. 1, pass sheet and no such law in Virginia.

No, sald Mr. T., I have mis-speken myself; I ought to have said, such is the statute law of the control of the same of t State of Georgia. Yea, while we hear of a liberality which civilizes the savages of all countries, and carries the gespel slike to the Hattentes and the Hindoo, it has been reserved for the publican State of Georgia, not content with f are of its everseers, to logislate to seempression and the ignorance of their to man who there teaches a negre to slaves. read, is liable to a criminal prosecution. The dark, ben, thed beings of all creation profit by our liberality—save those of our own plantations. Where is the missionary who possesses sufficient hardhood to venture a residence to teach the slaves of a plantation? Here is the stim! Here is the stigma! which fastone upon the character of our country; and which, in the appropriate language of the gentleman from Georgia, (Mr. Cobb.) all the waters of the ocean cannot wash out; which seas of blood can only take away.

Sir there is yet another, and an important point of view, in which this subject ought to be considered. We have been told by those who advocate the extension of Slavery into the Missouri, that any attempt to control this subject by logislation, is a violation of that faith and mutual confidence upon which our Union was formed, and our Constitution adopted. This argument might be considered plausible, if the restriction was attempted to be enforced against any of the slaveholding States, which had been a party in the adoption of the Constitution. But it can have no reference or application to a new district of country recently acquired, and nover contemplated in the formation of government, and not embraced in the mutual concessions and declared faith npon which the Constitution was adopted. The Constitution provides, that the Representatives of the several States to this Representatives or the several states to the House shall be according to their number, including three-fifths of the slaves in the respective States. This is an important benefit yielded to the slaveholding States, as one of the mutual sacrifices for the Union. On this subject, I consider the faith of the Union pledged, and I never would attempt coercive manumission in a slaveholding State.

But none of the causes which induced the sacrifice of this principle, and which now produce such an unequal representation on this floor, of such an unequal representation of the country, exist as be-tween us and the newly-acquired Territory across the Mississippi. That portion of country has no claims to such ar unequal representation, unjust in its results upon the other States. Are the numerous slaves in extensive countries, which we may acquire by purchase, and admit as States into the Union, at once to be represented on this floor, under a clause of the Constitution, granted as a compromise and a benefit to the southern States which had borne part in the Revolution? Such an extension of that clause in the Constitution would be unjust in its operations, unequal in its results, and a violation of its original intention. Abstract from the moral effects of Slavory, its extent. And what is the result? Southern gen. political consequence in the representation under this clause of the Constitution, demonstrate the importance of the proposed anundment.

fir, I shall how in allonce to the will of the majority, on whichever dide it shall be expressed: yet I confidently hope that majority will be found on the aide of an amoudment, so rapicta with moral consequences, so prognant with important political results.

Mr. Scorr, of Missouri, said, he trusted that his conduct, during the whole of the time in which he had the honor of a sent in the House. had convinced gentlemen of his disposition not to obtrade his continents on any other subjects than those on which the interest of his coustifuents, and of the Territory he represented, were immediately concerned. But when a question miniculately concerned. But when a question such as the amendments proposed by the gentlemen from New York (Mesers. Tallmadge and Taylor), was presented for consideration, involving constitutional principles to a vast amount, prognant with the future fate of the Territory, portuning destruction to the liberties of that people, directly bearing on their rights of property, their atter rights, their all, he should consider it as a devolution of his duty, as retreating from his post, nay, double criminality, did he not raise his veice against their adoption. After the many able and luminous views that had been taken of this subject, by the speaker of the House, and other honorable gentlemen, he had not the vanity to suppose that any additional views which he could offer or any new dress in which he could clothe these already advanced. would have the happy tendency of inducing any gentleman to change his vote. But, if he stood single on the question, and there was no man to holp him, yet, while the laws of the land and the rules of the House guaranteed to him the privilege of speech, he would redeem his conscience from the imputation of having silently witnessed a violation of the Constitution of his country, and an infringement on the liherties of the people whe had intrusted to his fooble abilities the advocation of their rights. He desired, at this early stage of his remarks, in the name of the citizens of Missouri Territory, whose rights on other subjects had been too long neglected and shamefully disregarded, to enter his solemn p est against the introduction, under the inaidious form of amendment, of any principle in this bill, the obvious tendency of which would be to sow the seeds of discord in, and perhaps eventually endanger the Union.

Mr. S. entertained the opinion, that, under the
Constitution, Congress had not the power to im-

Constitution, Congress had not the power to impose this, or any other restriction, or to require of the people of Missouri their assent to this containing as a pre-requisite to their admission into discussion and their admission into the Constitution tisself, from the practice in the admission of new States under that instrument, and from the express terms of the treaty of cession. The short view he intended to take of those points would, he trusted, be satisfactory to all those who were not so anxious to insurp power and their containing the containing t

their nwn State Constitution, and over which Congress had no superintending control, other than that expressly given in the fourth acotten of the same article, which read, "the United States shall guarantee to every State in this Union a republican form of government." This end accomplished, the guardanship of the United States over the Constitutions of the several States was fulfilled; and all restrictions, limitations and conditions beyond this, was so much power unwarrantably assumed. In illustration of this position, he would read an extract from one of position, no would rout an Oxford rout of the incomesays written by the late President Mulison, contemporaneously with the Constitution of the United States, and from a very colobrated work: "In a confederacy founded on republican principles, and composed of republican members, the superintending government ought clearly to possess authority to defend the system against uristocratic or monarchical innovations. more intimate the nature of such an Union may bo, the greater interest have the members in the political institutions of oach other, and the greater right to insist that the forms of government under which the compact was entered into, should be substantially maintained. But this authority oxiouds no further than to a guarantee of a republican form of government, which supposes a
pre-existing government of the form which in to
be guaranteed. As long, therefore, as the existing republican forms are continued by the States, they are guaranteed by the Federal Constitution. Whenever the States may choose to substitute other ropublican forms, they have a right to guaranteed the results of the ropublican forms, they have a right to do so, and to claim the Federal guarantee for the latter. The only restriction imposed on thom is, that they shall not exchange republican for anti-republican Constitutions; a restriction which, it is presumed, will hardly be considered

as a grievance."

Mr. S. thought that those two clauses, when supported by such high authority, had they been the only ones in the Constitution which related to the powers of the general government over the States, and particularly at their formation and adoption into the Union, could not but be deemed satisfactory to a reasonable extent: but there were other provisions in the Constitution, to which he would refer, that beyond all doubt, to his mind, seitled the question. One of those was the tenth article in the amendments, which said that "the powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively or to the people." He believed that, by common law, and common usage, all grants given the state of the common taw, and common usage, all grants giv-ing certain defined and speculio privileges, or powers, were to be so construed as that no others should be intended to be given but such as were particularly commerated in the instruments them-solves, or indispensably necessary to carry into effect those designated. In no part of the Consti-tution was the power proposed to be exercised, of imposing conditions on a new State, given, either in so many words, or by any justifiable or fair inference; nor in any portion of the Constitution was the right prohibited to the respective States, to regulate their own internal police, of admitting such citizens as they pleased, or of introducing any description of property, that they should consider as essential or necessary to their prosperity; and the framers of that instrument seem to have been zealous lest, by implication or hy inference, powers might be assumed by the general governpowers might be assumed by the general systement over the states and people, other than those expressly given: hence they reserve in so many terms to the states, and the people, all powers not delogated to the federal government. The ninth article of the amendments to the Constitution still further illustrated the position he had taken; it read, that "the enumeration in the Constitution of certain rights shall not be construed to dony or disparage others rotained by the people." Mr. S. helieved it to be a just rule of interpretation, that the ornameration of powers delegated to Construction, that the ornameration of powers delegated to Construction, and that beyond those powers can commerciated; and that beyond those powers can be constructed, and that beyond those powers can be constructed to the construction, which delegate the term of the construction, which deelared that "the citizens of each State shall be catified to the fourth at the citizens of each State shall be catified to the privileges and lumunities of citizens in the averal States," was satisfactory, to his judgment, that it was intended the citizens of each State, farming a part of one harmonions whole, double have, in all hinge, opput privileges; the necessary consequences of which was, that overy man, in the construction of the

For example, if the citizons of Ponnsylvania, or Virginia, enjoyed the right, in their own State, to decide the question whether they would have Slavery or not, the citizens of Missouri, to give them the same privileges, must have the same right to decide whether they would or would not tolerate Slavery in their State; if it were etherwise, then the citizens of Pennsylvania and Wisc, more the cargons of remnyivants may be virginin would have more rights, privileges, and powers in their respective States, than the citizens of Missouri would have in theirs. Mr. S. said he would make another quention frem the same work he had before been judebted from the sum ower he had considerable boaring of this question. "The powers delogated by the proposed constitution, to the federal government, are few and defined; these which are to remain in the State Governments, are numerous and indefinite; the fermor will be exercised principally on external objects, as war, peace, nogotiation, and foreign commerce, with which last the powers of taxation will, for the most part, be connected. The powers reserved to the several States will extend to all the objects, which in the ordinary course of affairs concern the lives, libertios, and properties of the people, and the internal order, improvement, and prosperity of the State." The applicability of this doctrine to the question under consideration was so obvious, that he would not detain the House to give examples, but leave it for gentlemen to make the applica-tion. Ho would, howover, make one other refer-ence to the Constitution, before he proceeded to speak of the practice under it; in the second section of that instrument it was provided, that "representatives, and direct taxes, shall be apportioned among the several States which may be included within this Union, according to their respective numbers, which shall be determined by adding to the whole number of free persons. including those bound to service for a term of years, and excluding Indians not taxed, three-fifths of all other persons." This provision was not restricted to the States then formed, and about to adopt the Constitution; but to all those States which might be included within this Union, clearly contemplating the admission of new States thereafter, and providing, that to them, also, should this principle of representation and taxaition equally apply. Nor could be subscribe to the construction, that as this part of the Constitu-tion was matter of compromise, it was to be lim-ited in its application to the original States only, and not to be extended to all those States that might after its adoption become mombers of the Fedoral Union; and a practical exposition had been made by Congress of this part of the Constitution, in the admission of Kentucky, Louisiana, and Mississippi Statos, all of whom were staveholding Statos, and to each of them this principle

had been extended. Mr. 8. helloved, that the practice under the Committation had been different from that now contended for by gentlemen; he was unapprised of my similar provision having over been made, or attempted to be made, in relation to my other new State heretofore admitted. The argument drawn from the States formed out of the Territory northwest of the rivor Ohlo, ho did not consider us analogous; that restriction, if any, was in-posed in pursuance of a compact, and only, so far as Congress could do, carried into effect the tar in Congress could do, carried into check the disposition of Virginia in reference to a part of her own original Territory, and was, in every respect, more just, because that provision was made and published to the world it at time when but few, if any, settlements were formed within that tract of country; and the children of those people of caler belonging to the inhabitants then there have been, and still were, held in bendage, and were not free at a given uge, as was contemplated by the amendment under consideration, nor did he doubt but that it was competent for any of these States admitted in pursuance of the erdinance of '87, to oall a convontion, and so to alter their constitution as to allow the introduction of Slaves, if they thought proper to do so. To those gentlemen who had in their argument, in support of the amendments, adverted to the Instance where Congress had, by the law authorizing the people of Louisiann to form a constitution and State government, exercised the power of imposing the terms and conditions on which they should be permitted to do so, he would re-commend a curoful examination and comparison of those torms with the Constitution of the United States, when, he doubted not, they would be convinced that these restrictions were only such as were in express and positive language defined in the latter instrument, and would have been equally binding on the people of Louisiana had they not been enumerated in the law giving them authority to form a constitution for themsclves.

Mr. S. said, he considered the contemplated conditions and restrictions, contained in the proposed amendments, to be unconstitutional and unwarrantable, from the provisions of the treaty of cession, by the third article of which it was stipulated, that "the inhabitants of the ceded Territory shall be incorporated in the Union of the United States, and admitted, as soon as possible, according to the principles of the Federal advantages, and immunities of citizens of the United States, and, in tho mean time, they shall be maintained and protected in the free enjoyment of thoir liberty, proporty, and the religion which they profess."

This treafy having been made by the compentation of government, ratified by the Senate, and emphatically sanctioned by Congress in the acts making appropriations to carry it into effect, became a part of the supreme law of the land, and its bearings on the rights of the people had received a practical exposition by the admission of the State of Louisians, part of the unission of the State of Louisians, part of the great control of the State of Louisians, part of the great control of the State of Louisians, part of the treaty of the state of Louisians, part of the treaty of cession, into the Union. It was in vain for gentlemen to roll him that, by the terms of the treaty of cession, the United States were not bound to admit any part of the ceded Torritory into the Union as a State; the ovidence of the obligation Congress considered they were under, to adept States formed out of that Territory, is clearly deduction from the fact, that they had clearly deduction from the fact, that they had to State been admitted, formed of a part of the Territory acquired by that treaty, the obligation.

of the government to do so would not be the less apparent to him. "The inhabitants of the coded Territory shull be incorporated in the Union of the United States." The people were not left to the wayward discretion of fills, or any other gov. ornment, by saying that they may be incorporated in the l'uion. The language was different and imperative: "they shall be incorporated." Mr. Scott understood by the term incorporated, that they were to form a constituent part of this republic; that they were to become joint partners in the character and conneils of the country, and in the national lesses and national gains; as a Torritory they were not an oscontial part of the government: they were a more province, subject to the acts and regulations of the general government in all cases whatsoever. An a Territory they had no sale the rights, advantages and im-munities, of citizons of the United States. Mr. S. limsed Innished an example, that, in their present condition, they had not all the rights of the other citizens of the Union. Had he a yote in this Honse 7 and yet these people were, during the war, subject to certain taxes imposed by Cou-gross. Had those people any voice to give in the imposition of taxes to which they were subject, or in the disposition of the funds of the nation, and particularly those arising from the sales of the public limit of which they already had, mud still would largely contribute? Had they a voice to give in selecting the officers of this government, or many of their over? In short, in what had they equal rights, advantages, and in. munities with the other citizens of the United States, but in the privilego to submit to a procrastination of their rights, and in the advantage to subscribe to your laws, your rules, your taxes, and your powers, even without a hearing? These people were also "to be admitted into the Union as soon as possible." Mr. Scott would infer from this expression, that it was the understanding of the porties, that so soon as any portion of the Territory, of sufficient extent to form a State, should contain the number of inhabitants required by law to entitle them to a representative on the floor of this Honse, that they then had the right to make the call for admission, and this admission, when made, was to be, not on conditions that gentlemen might deem expedient, not on conditions referable to future political views, not on conditions that the constitution the people should form should contain a clause that would particularly open the door for emigration from the North or from the South, not on condition that the North of took the South, not on consulton that the future population of the State should come from a slaveholding or non-slaveholding State, "but according to the principles of the Federal Constitution," and none other. The people of Missouri were, by solemn treaty stipulation, when admitted, to cajoy all the rights, advantages, and immunities of citizens of the United States. Can any goutleman contend, that, laboring under the proposed restriction, the citizens of Missouri would have all the rights, advantages, and immunities of other citizens of the Union? Have not other new States, in their admission, and have not all the States in the Union, now, privi leges and rights beyond what was contemplated to be allowed to the citizens of Missouri? Have not all other States in this government the right to alter, modify, amend, and change their state constitutions, having regard alone to a republican form? And was there any existing law, or any clause in the Federal Constitution, that prohibit-ed a total change from a slaveholding to a nonslaveholding State, or from a non-slaveholding to a slaveholding State? Mr. Scott thought, that it this provision was proper, or within the powers of Congress, they also had the correlative right to say, that the people of Missouri should not be admitted as a state, unless they provided, in the

termation of their state conditution, that Slavery should be tolerated. Would not those conscioutions gautierness startle at this, and excelain, relat, impose on these people alrays, when they do not writt them? This would be said to be a direct attack on the State independence. Were it in the power of Congress to annex the present condition of their reality to any composition in the continuation of the proposed state should be, what description of property, other than alrays, those people abould or should not possess, and the quantity of property other than alrays, those people abould or should not possess, and they come for the property of the than alrays, those people abould or should not possess, and the county of the property once than should retain, going upon the agrarian principle. He would come for their, and say, that Congress had an equal power to cancel to what relig out to people descend, and to provide for the excommunication of all these who did not submit.

The people of Missouri were, if admitted Intel the contents of the state of the same contents.

The people of attenuers more than the Union, to come in on an equal feeting with the original States. That the people of the o'ver States had the right to regulate their own internal police, to prescribe the rules of their own conduct, the formation of their constitutions to and, in the formation of their constitutions, to any whether Slavery was or was not admissible, he believed was a point conceded by all. How, then, were the citizens of Missouri placed on an equal footing with the other members of the Union? Equal in some respects—a shameful discrimination in others. A discrimination not warranted by the Constitution, nor justified by the trenty of cession, but founded on mistaken zeal, or erroneous policy. They were to be and restrictions to which he knew they would not submit. That people were brave and independont in spirit, they were intelligent, and know their own rights: they were competent to selfgovernment, and willing to risk their own happiness and future presperity on the legitimate exercisc of their own judgment and free will. Scott protested against such a guardianship as was contemplated now to be assumed over his constituents. The spirit of freedom burned in the bosoms of the freemen of Missouri, and if admitted into the national family, they would be equal, or not come in at all. With what an auxious cye have they looked to the east, since the commencement of this session of Congress, for the good tidings, that on them you had conferred the glerious privilege of self-government, and independence. What seeds of discord will you sow, when they read this suspicious, shameful, unconstitutional inhibition in their charter? Will they not compare it with the terms of the treaty of cession, that bill of their rights, emphatically their magna charta? And will not the resuit of that comparison be a stigma on the faith of this government? It had been admitted by some gentlemen, in debate, that, were the people of Missouri to form a constitution conforming to this provision, so soon as they were adopted into the Union it would be competent for them to call a convention and alter their constitution on this a convention and after their constitution on this subject. Why, then, he would ask gentlemen, would they legislate, when they could produce no permanent, practical effect? Why expose the imbecility of the general government, to tie up the hands of the State, and induce the people that the state of the state, and induce the people that the state of the st to an act of chicancry, which he knew from prin-ciple they abhorred, to get clear of an odicus re-striction on their rights? Mr. Scott had trusted streton but men rights; and solon and traited that gentlemen who professed to be actuated by motives of humanity and principle would not encourage a course of dissimulation, or, by any vote of theirs, resider it necessary for the citizens of Mineroil to of Missouri to act equivocally to obtain their rights. He was unwilling to believe, that political views alone led gentlemen on this or any other occasion; but, from the language of the

member from New-York (Mr. Taylor), he was compelled to suspect that they had their influence upon him. That gontleman has told us, that if ever he left his present residence, it would be for Illinois or Missouri; at all events, he wished to and out his brothers and his sons. Mr. Scott none out has ordered and not some. Mr. Scott begged that gentleman to relieve that from the awind apprehension excited by the prospect of this accession of population. He hoped the Home would excuse him while he stated, that he did not desire that gentleman, his cons, or his brothers, in that land of bravo, noble, and independent freemen. The member says that the penaceae recenter. The member says that the hattinde is too far north to admit of Slavery there. Would the gentlemm cast his eye on the map hefore him, he would there see, that a part of Kentucky, Virginia, and Maryland, were as far north as the northern boundary of the proposed State of Missouri. Mr. Scott would thank the gentleman if he would condescend to tell him what precise line of latitude snited his conscionce, his humanity, or his political views, on this sub-juct. Could that member be serious, when he made the parallel of latitude the measure of his good will to these unfortunate blacks? Or was he trying how for he could go in fullacious argumout and absurdity, without creating one blush oven on his own check, for inconsistency? What, starve the negroes out, pen them up in the swamps and merasses, comine them to southern latitudes, to long, scorehing days of labor and fatiguo, until the race becomes octinet, that the fair land of Missouri muy be tonanted by that gentleman, his brothers, and sons? He exceted from the majority of the House a mere liberal policy, and better evidence that they really were actuated by humano metives.

Mr. S. said, he would trouble the Heuse no

longer; he thanked them for the attention and indulgence already bestowed; but he desired to apprise gontlomen, before he sat down, that they were sowing the seeds of discord in this Union, by attempting to admit states with unequal privileges and unequal rights; that they were signing, sealing, and delivering their own death-warrant; that the wespon they were so unjustly wielding against the people of Missouri, was a two-edged sword. From the cumulative nature of power, the day might come when the general government might, in turn, undertake to dietato to them on questions of internal policy; Missouri, now weak and feeble, whose fate and murmurs would excite but little alarm or sensibility, might become an easy victim to motives of policy, party zeal, or mistaken ideas of power; but other times and other men would succeed; a future Congress might come, who, under the sanctified forms of constitutional power, would dictate to them odious conditions; nay, inflict on their internal independence a wound more deep and dreadful than even this to Missouri. The House had seen the force of precedent, in the mistakon application of the conditious imposed on the people of Louisiana anterior to their admission into the Union. And, whatever might be the ultimate determination of the House, Mr. S. considered this question big with the fate of Cæsar and of Rome.

"Mary and the second of the se

they suppose that the southern States would submit with patience to a measure the effect of which would be to exclude them from all enjoyment of beyond the Mississippi, and which belonged equally to them as to the northern States? He ventured to meanro them that they would not. The people of the slaveholding States, as they are called, know their rights, and will insist upon the enjoyment of them. He should not now attempt to go over ground already occupied by others, with much more ability, and attempt to show that, by the treaty with France, the people of that Territory were secured in the enjoyment of the property which they held in their playes. That the proposed amoudment was an infraction of this treaty, had been most clearly shown. Nor would be attempt to resene from shinder the character of the people of the southern States, in their conduct towards, and treatment of, their black population. That had also been done with a degree of force and elequence, to which he could pretend no claim, by the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. Barbeur), and the honorable speaker. He was, however, clearly of opinion that Congress possessed no power under the Constitution to adopt the principlo proposed in the amondment. He called upon the advocates of it to point ent, and lay their finger upon that clause of the Constitution of the United States, which gives to this body the right to logislate upon the subject. Could they show in what clause or section this right was expressly given, or from which it could be inferred? Unless this authority could be shown. Congress would be assuming a power. if the amondment provailed, not delegated to them, and most dangorous in its exorcise. What is the end and tendency of the measure proposed? It is to impose on the State of Missouri conditions not imposed upon any other Stato. It is to deprive her of cue branch of sovereignty not sur-rendered by any other State in the Union, not even those beyond the Ohio; for all of them had legislated upon this subject; all of them had delegislated upon this subject; an or them had de-cided for themselves whether Slavery should be tolerated, at the time they framed their several constitutions. He would not now discuss the propriety of admitting Slavory. It is not now a question whether it is politio or impolitio to tole-rate Slavery in the United States, or in a particu-lar State. It was a discussion into which he would not permit himself to be dragged. Admit, however, its moral impropriety: yet there was a vast difference between moral impropriety and political sovereignty. The people of New York or Pennsylvania may deem it highly immoral and politically improper to permit Slavery, but yet they possess the sovereign right and power to permit it, if they choose. They can to morrow so alter their constitutions and laws as to admit it, if they were so disposed. It is a branch of sove-reignty which the old Thirteen States never surrender in the adoption of the Federal Constitution. Now, the bill proposes that the new State shall be admitted upon an equal footing with the other states of the Union. It is in this way only that she can be admitted under the Constitution. These words can have no other meaning than that she shall be required to surrender no more of her rights of sovereignty than the other States, into a union with which she is about to be ad mitted, have surrendered. But if the proposed amendment is adopted, will not this new State be shorn of one branch of her sovereignty, one right, which the other States may and have exercised, (whether properly or not, is immaterial,) and do now exercise whenever they think fit?

ed for adoption by the amendment. Were gentlemen awar or of what they were about to do ?! epie involved in the amendment pregnant with Did they forceso no evil consequences likely to danger. It was one, he repeated, to which he result out of the measure if adopted? Could | believed the people of the region of country. diam'r.

which he represented small not quietly submitth unight, pertiagn, aniplent humost to ridinal, for attempting the display of a spirit of prophecy which he did not presence or of zero and cultural cours for which he was cuttled to little credit. But he secretary to adverse or of the monastro opainst the certain effects which it must produce. Effects destructive of the ponce and harmony of the Union. He believed that they were kindling a fire which all the waters of the occur could not extinguish. It could be extinguished only in blood.

Mr. Livermore, of N. H., said, I am in favor of the proposed amondment. The object of it is to provent the extension of Slavery over the Torritory ceded to the United States by France. accords with the dictates of reason, and the best feelings of the human heart; and is not calculated to interrupt any logitimate right arising either from the Constitution or my other compact. I propose to show what Slavery is, and to mention a few of the many ovil; which follow in its train; and I hope to ovince that we are not bound to tolerate the existence of so disgraceful a state of things beyond its present extent, and that it would be impolitic and very aujust, to let it spread over the whole face of our Western Territory. Slavory in the United States, is the coudition of man subjected to the will of a master, who can make any disposition of him short of taking away his life. In these States where it is tolerated, laws are onacted, making it penal to inatruct slaves in the art of reading, and they are not permitted to attend public worship, or to hear not permitted to attend public worship, or to near the gospol preached. This, the light of seience and of religion is utterly excluded from the mind, that the body may be more easily bewed down to servitude. The bodies of slaves may, with impunity, be prostituted to any purpose, and determed in any manner by their owners. The sympathies of nature in slaves are disreand expire in agoing of the triple of the control o will the desire of wealth render us blind to the sin of holding both the bodies and souls of eur fellow-men in chains! But, sir, I am admenished of the Constitution, and told we cannot emancipate slaves. I knew we may not infringe that instrument, and therefore do not propose to emancipato slaves. The proposition before us goes only to prevent our citizens from making slaves of such as have a right to freedem. In the present slaveholding States let Slavery con-tinue, for our beasted Constitution connives at it; but do not, for the sake of cotton and tohacco, let it be teld to future ages that, while pretendlei it be teid to future ages that, while pretending to love liberty, we have purchased an extensive country, to disgrace it with the feulest reaches the country of the co bend with free, black spirits with white, like Shakespeare's witches in Macbeth, form a mere Shakespeare's witches in Maoboth, form a more perfect Union, and insure domestic tranquility? Secondly, to establish justice. Is justice to be extablished by subjecting half mankind to find the state of the state

sion, that Slavery is an ill, tolerated only from necessity, tol un net, while we feel that fill, show the curve, which consists only in me houset ayound that liberty and equal rights are the end and aba of all our institutions, and that to tolerate Slavery beyond the narrowent limits prescribed for it by the Constitution, is a perversion of them all.

them and significant process of the state of

of Slavery.
Sir, said Mr L., until the ceded Territory shall have been made into States, and the new States admitted into the Union, we can do what we will with it. We can govern it as a province, or sell it to any other nation. A part of it is probably at this time sold to Spain, and the in-habitants of it may soon not only enjoy the com-forts of Slavery, but the blessings of the holy inquisition along with them. The question is on the admission of Misseuri, as a State, into the Union. Surely it will not be contended that we are bound by the treaty to admit it. The treaty-making power dees not extend so far. Can the President and Senate, by a treaty with Great Britain, make the province of Lewer Canada a State of this Union? To be received as a State into this Union, is a privilege which no country can claim as a right. It is a favor to be granted or not, as the United States may choose. When can claim as a right. It is a favor to be ger not, as the United States may choose. or not, as and of motor states may choose. When the United States think proper to grant a favor, they may annex just and reasonable terms: and what can be more reasonable than for these States to insist that a new Territory, wishing to have the henefits of freedom extended to it, should renounce a principle that militates with justice, morality, religion, and every essential right of mankind? Louisiana was admitted into the Union on terms. The conditions, I admit, were not very important, but still they recognize the principles for which I centend.

"An opportunity is now presented, if not to diminish, at least to prevent the growth of a sin which sits heavily on the soul of every one of us. By embracing this opportunity, we may retrieve the national character, and, in some degree, our own. But if we suffer it to pass unimproved, let us at least be consistent, and declare that our constitution was made to impose Slavery, and not to establish liherty. Let us no longer tell and the constitution was made to impose Slavery, and not to establish liherty. Let us no longer tell array with colonization societies, if their design is only to rid us of free blacks and travey with colonization societies, if their design is only to rid us of free blacks and the East Indies, while they overlook the deplorable condition of their sable brethren within our was borders; make on more laws to prohibit the importation of slaves, for the world must see that the object of such laws is alone to prevent the platting of an array with our man could be considered in the color of the control of their design of the control of their design of the color of their design of their des

The House bill thus passed, reached the Senate, Feb. 17th, when it was read twice and sent to a Sekeet Committee already raised on a like application from Alabama, consisting of

Mossrs. Tait of Ga., Morrow of Ohio, Williams of Miss., Edwards of Ill., Williams of Toun.

On the 22nd, Mr. Tait, from this Committoe, reported the bill with amendments, strikout the anti-slavery restrictions inserted by the House. This bill was taken up in Committee of the Whole on the 27th, when Mr. Wilson of N. J. noved its postponement to the 5th of March—that is, to the cail of the gession—negatived: Yeas 14; Nays 23.

The Senate then proceeded to vote on agreeing to the amendments reported by the Select Committee, viz.: 1. to strike out of the

House bill the following:

"And that all childron of slaves born within the said State, after the admission thereof into the Union, shall be free, but may be held to service until the age of twenty-one years."

Which was stricken out by the following

vote:

YEAS-Against the Restriction:

Messrs, Barbour of Va Leako of Miss Crittonden of Ky. Macon of N. C. Otis of Mass. Daggott of Conn. Eaton of Tonn Palmor of Vt. Roberts of Penn Edwards of Ill. Eppes of Va. Fromontin of La. Sanford of N. Y.
Tait, of Ga.
Talbort of Ky.
Taylor of Ind. Gaillard of S. C. Goldsborough Md. Horsey of Dol. Thomas of Ill Johnson of La. King of N. Y. Lacock of Pu. Trichenor of Vt. Van Dyke of Del. Williams of Miss. Williams of Tenn .- 27.

NAYS—For the Restriction:

Messrs, Burrill of R. I. Morrill of N. H.
Dickerson of N. J. Noble of Ind.

Mellen of Mass. Ruggles of Ohio. Wilson of N. J.—7

The Senate then proceeded to vote on the residue of the House Restriction, as follows:
"And provided also, That the further introduction of slavery or involuntary servitude be prohibited, except for the punishment of crimes, whereof the party shall have been duly con-

victed."

The vote on this clause was as follows:

YEAS-For striking out the Restriction :

Messrs. Barbour of Va.
Crittenden of Ky. Macon of N. C.
Eaton of Tenn.
Edwards of Ill.
Edward of Ill.
Edwards of Ill.
Edwards

NAYS—Against striking out:

Messrs. Burrill of R. I.

Daggett of Conn.

Dana of do.

Dickerson of N. J.

Noble of Ind.

Roberts of Pa.

Rougles of Ohio,

Sanford of N. Y.

King of N. Y. Mollen of Mass. Morrill of N. H. Morrow of Ohio, Storer of N. H. Taylor of Ind. Tichener of Vt. Wilson of N. J.---16.

The bill thus amended was ordered to be engrossed, and was (March 2nd—last day but one of the Session) read a third time, and passed without a division. The bill was on that day returned to the House, and the amendments of the Sevate read: whereupon, Mr. Talhandge of N. Y. moved that the bill be postponed indefinitely. Yeas 69; Nays 74.

[The record shows hardly a vote changed from Yea, on the original passage of the Restriction, to Nay now, but many members who voted then were now absent or silent.]

The vote was then taken on concurring in the Senate's amendments, as aforesaid, and the House refused to concur: Yeas 76; Nays 78.

[Hardly a vote changed; but more Members voting than on the previous division, and less than when the Restriction was carried.]

The bill was now returned to the Senate, with a message of non-concurrence; when Mr. Tait moved that the Senate adhere to its amendment, which was carried without a division. The bill being thus remanded to the House, Mr. Taylor of N. Y. moved that the House adhere to its disagreement, which prevailed. Yeas 78; Nays 66. So the bill fell between the two Houses, and was lost.

The southern portion of the then Territory of Missouri (organized by separation from Louisiana in 1812) was excluded from the proposed State of Missouri, and organized as a separate Territory, entitled Arkansas.

The bill being under consideration, Mr. Taylor of N.Y. moved that the foregoing restriction be applied to it also; and the clause, proposing that slaves born therein after the passage of this act be free at twenty-five years of age, was carried (Feb. 17th) by 75 Yeas to 73 Nays; but that providing against the farther introduction of slaves was lost: Yeas 70; Nays 71. The next day, the clause just adopted was stricken out, and the bill ultimately passed without any allusion to Slavery. Arkansas of course became a Slave Territory and ultimately (1836) a Slave State.

VII.

THE SECOND MISSOURI STRUGGLE.

A new Congress assembled on the 6th of December, 1819. Mr. Clay was again chosen Speaker. On the 8th, Mr. Scott, delegate from Missouri, moved that the memorial of her Territorial Legislature, as also of several citzens, praying her admission into the Union as a State, be referred to a select committee; carried, and Messra. Scott of Mo, Robertson of Ky., Terrell of

Ga., Strother of Va., and De Witt of N. Y. (all but the last from the slave region),

were appointed said committee.

Mr. Strong of N. Y. that day gave notice of a bill "To prohibit the further exten-

sion of Slavery in the United States."
On the 14th, Mr. Taylor of N. Y. moved a select committee on this subject, which was granted; and the mover, with Messrs. Livermore of N. H., Barbour (P. P.) of Va., Lowndes of S. C., Fuller of Mass., Hardin of Ky., and Cuthbert of Ga., were appointed

such committee. A majority of this committee being Pro-Slavery, Mr. Taylor could do nothing; and on the 28th the Committee was, on motion, discharged from the further consideration of the subject.
On the same day Mr. Taylor moved:

"That a Committee be appointed with instruc-tions to report a bill prohibiting the further admission of Slaves into the Territories of the United States west of the rivor Mississippi."

On motion of Mr. Smith, of Md., this resolve was sent to the Committee of the Whole, and made a special order for Jan. 10th; but it was not taken up, and appears to have slept the sleep of death.

In the Senate, the memorial of the Missouri Territorial Legislature, asking admission as a State, was presented by Mr. Smith of S. C., Dec. 29th, and referred to the Judiciary Committee, which consisted of

Messrs. Smith of S. C., Leake of Miss., Burrill of R. I., Logan of Ky., Otis of Mass.

DANIEL WEBSTER ON SLAVERY EX-TENSION.

. The following "Memorial to the Congress of the United States, on the subject of restraining the increase of Slavery in New States to be admitted into the Union," in pursuance of a vote of the inhabitants of Boston and its vicinity, assembled at the State House on the 3rd of December, 1819. was drawn up by Daniel Webster, and signed by himself, George Blake, Josiah Quincy, James T. Austin, etc. It is inserted here instead of the resolves of the various New England Legislatures, as a fuller and clearer statement of the views of the great body of the people of that section during the pendency of the Missouri question:

"MEMORIAL

" To the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States, in Congress assembled :

"The undersigned, inhabitants of Boston and its vicinity, beg leave most respectfully and hum-bly to represent: That the question of the introduction of Slavery into the new States to be formed on the west side of the Mississippi River, appears to them to be a question of the last importance to the future welfure of the United States.

cannot be retraced; and it appears to us that the happiness of unborn millions rests on the measure which Congress on this occasion may adopt Considering this as no local question, nor a ques-Considering has as no local question, nor a ques-tion to be decided by a temporary expodiency, but as involving great interests of the whole United States, and affecting deeply and essentially those objects of common defense, general welfare, and the perpotuation of the blessings of liberty, for which the Constitution itself was formed, we have presumed, in this way, to offer our senti-monts and express our wishes to the National Legislature. And as various reasons have been Legislature. And as various reasons have been suggested against prohibiting Slavery in the new States, it may perhaps be permitted to us to state our reasons, both for believing that Congress possesses the constitutional power to make such prohibition a condition, on the admission of a new State into the Union, and that it is just and proper

State Into the Union, and that it is just and proper that they should exercise that power. "And in the first place, as to the constitutional authority of Congress. The Constitution of the United States has declared that 'Congress shall have power to dispose of and make all needful nave power to uspose of and make an feedul rules and regulations respecting the territory or other property belonging to the United States; and nothing in this Constitution shall be seconstruod as to projudice the claims of the United States or of any particular State. It is very well known, that the saving in this clause of the claims of any particular State, was designed to apply to claims by the then existing States, of territory which was also claimed by the United States as their own property. It has, therefore, no bearing on the present question. The power, then, of Congress over its own territories, is, by the very terms of the Constitution, unlimited. It may make all 'needful rules and regulations,' which of course include all such rogulations as its own views of policy or expediency shall, from time to time, dictato. If, therefore, in its judgment it be needful for the benefit of a territory to onact a prohibition of Slavery, it would seem to be as much within its power of legislation as any other act of local policy. Its soveroignty boing complete and universal as to the territory, it may exercise over it the most ample jurisdiction in every respect. It possesses, in this view, all the authority which any Stato Legislature possesses over its own torany some Legislature possesses over 18 own to corritory; and if any State Legislature may, in its discretion, abolish or prohibit Slavery within its own limits, in virtue of its general legislative authority, for the same reason Congress also may exercise the like authority over its own territories, And that a State Legislature, unless restrained by some constitutional provision, may so do, is unquestionable, and has been established by goneral practice.

"The creation of a now State, is, in effect, a compact between Congress and the inhabitants of the proposed State. Congress would not probably claim the power of compelling the inhabitants of Missouri to form a Constitution of their own, and come into the Union as a State. It is as plain, that the inhabitants of that territory have no right of admission into the Union, as a State, without the consent of Congress. Neither party is bound to form this connection. It can be formed only by the consent of both. What, then, prevents Congress, as one of the stipulating parties, to propose its terms? And if the other party assents to these terms, why do they not effectually hind both parties? Or if the inhabitants of the Territory do not choose to accept the proposed terms, but prefer to remain under a Territorial Government, has Congress deprived them of any right, or subjected them to any restraint, which, in its discretion, it had no authority to do? If If the progress of this great evil is over to be the admission of now States be not the discretion-arrested, it seems to the undersigned that this is ary oxercise of a constitutional power, but in all the time to arrest it. A false step taken now, cases an importative duty, how is it to be performed? If the Constitution means that Congress shall admit now States, does it mean that Congress shall do this on overy application and undor all circumstances? Or if this construction cannot be admitted, and if it must be conceded that Congress must in somo respects exercise its discretion on the admission of now States, how is it to be shown that that discretion may not be exercised in regard to this subject as well as in regard to others?

"The Constitution declares, 'that the migration or importation of such persons as any of the States now existing, shall think proper to admit, shall not be prohibited by the Congress, prior to the year 1308.' It is most manifest that the Constitution does contemplate, in the very terms of this clause, that Congress possesses the authority to prohibit the migration or importation of slaves; for it limits the exercise of this authority for a specific period of time, leaving it to its full opera-tion ever afterward. And this power seems necessarily included in the authority which belongs cessarily inclined in the damping and configured to Congress, 'to regulate commerce with foreign nations and among the several States.' No person has ever doubted that the prohibition of the foreign sleve trade was completely within the authority of Congress since the year 1808. And why? Cortainly only because it is embraced in the records. tainly only because it is embraced in the regulation of foreign commerce; and if so, it may for the like reason be prohibited since that period between the States. Commerce in slaves, since the year 1808, being as much subject to the regulation of Congress as any other commorce, if it should see fit to enact that no slave should ever be sold from one State to another, it is not perceived how its constitutional right to make such provision could be questioned. It would seem to be too plain to be questioned, that Congress did possess tho power, before the year 1808, to prohibit the migration or importation of slaves into the territories (and in point of fact it exercised that power) as well as into any new States; and that its authority, after that year, might be as fully exercised to provent the migration or importation of slaves into any of the old States. And if it may prohibit new States from importing slaves, it may surely, as we humbly submit, make it a condition of the admission of such States into the Union, that they shall never import them. In relation, too, to its own Torritories, Congress possesses a more extensive authority, and may, in various other ways, effect the object. It might, for example, make it an express condition of its grants of the soil, that its owners shall never hold slaves; and thus provent the possession of slaves from ever boing connected with the ownership of the soil.

"As corroborative of the viows which have been already suggested, to memorialists would respectfully call the attention of Congress to the latory of the national legislation, under the Confederation as well as under the present Constitution of the confederation as well as under the present Constitution of the confederation as well as under the present Constitution, to have been, that the prohibition of Slavery was no infringement of any just rights belonging to free States, and was not incompatible with the coloryments of all the rights and immunities which conferences in the the Union was supposed to confer.

"The memorialists, after this general survey, would respect fully ask the attention of Congress would respect fully ask the attention of Congress to probible Streep' in that part of the former Territory of Lonisiana, which now forms the Missouri Territory. Lonisiana, which now forms the Missouri Territory. Lonisiana was purchased for France by the Treaty of the 30th April, 1893. The third articles of that Trout's as a follows: The inhabition to of the ceeded Territory shall be incorporated into the Union of the United States, and

admitted as soon as possible, according to the principles of the Federal Constitution, to the on-joyment of all the rights, advantages, and immunities of citizens of the United States, and in the mean time they shall be maintained and protected in the free enjoyment of their liberty, proports and the principles which themselves.

porty, and the roligion which they profoss."
"Although the language of this article is not very precise or accurate, the momorialists con-coive that its real import and intent cannot be mistaken. The first clause provides for the ad-mission of the ceded territory into the Union, mission of the ceded territory into the Union, and the succoding clause above this must be according to the principles of the Fredral Constitution, and the successory of the Federal Constitution and the successory of the Federal Constitution with the successory of the Constitution and the Constitution, and which had been, or dight justify the applied to other new States. The Imagenesis are constitution, and which had been, or might justify the applied to other new States. The Imagenesis of the Constitution and which had been, or the constitution of the constit seen that that Resolve was never supposed to inhibit the authority of Congress, as to the introduction of slavery. And it is clear, upon the plaiaest rule of construction, that in the absence of all restrictive language a clause, merely providing for the admission of a territory into the Union, must be construed to anthorize an admission in the manner, and upon the terms, which the Constitution itself would justify. This conclause. The inhabitants 'shall be admitted as soon as possible, according to the principles of the Federal Constitution, to the enjoyment of all the rights, advantages, and immunities of citizens of the United States.' The rights, advantages, and immunities here spoken of, must, from the very force of the terms of the clause, be such as are recognized or communicated by the Consti-tution of the United States; such as are common to all citizens, and are uniform throughout the United States. The clause cannot be referred to United States. The clause cannot be referred to rights, advantages, and immunities derived exclusively from the State Government, for these do not depend upon the Federal Constitution. Besides, it would be impossible that all the rights, advantages, and immunities of citizens of the different States, could be at the same time enjoyed by the same persons. These rights are different in different States; a right exists in one State which is denied in others, or is repugnant to other rights ealeved in others. In some of the States, a trecholder alone is entitled to vote in elections; in some a qualification of personal property is sufficient; and in others, are and freedom are the sole qualifications of electors. In some States, no citizon is permitted to hold slaves: in others, ho possesses that power absolutely; in others, it is limited. The obvious meaning, therefore, of the clause is, that the rights derived under the Federal Constitution, shall be enjoyed by the inhabitant of Louisiana in the same manner as by the citizens of other States. The United States, by the Constitution, are bound to guarantee to every State in the Union a republican form of government; and the inhabitants of Louisiana are entitled, when a State, to this guarantee. Each State has a right to two Scanters, and to Ropresentatives according to a certain enumeration of population, pointed out in the Constitution. The inhabitants of Louisiana, upon their admission into the Union, are also entitled to these The Constitution further declares, privileges. The Constitution further declares, that the citizens of each State shall be entitled to all the privileges and immunities of citizens in the soveral States.' It would seem as if the meaning of this clause could not woll be misinterpreted. It obviously applies to the case of the removal of a citizen of one State to another State; and in such a case it secures to the migrating citizen all the privileges and immunities of clitzens in the deep and seniest feeling of its importance, and we state to which he removes. It cannot enroly be respectfully solicit for it the full consideration of contended, upon any rational interpretation, that it the National Legichiture. it gives to the citizens of each State all the privilogos and immunities of the citizens of every other State, at the same time, and under all circumstances. Such a construction would lead to the most extraordinary consequences. It would at once destroy all the fundamental limitations of It would at the State constitutions upon the rights of their own citizens; and leave all those rights to the morey of the citizens of any other State, which should adopt different limitations. According to this construction, if all the State constitutions, save one, prohibited slavery, it would be in the power of that single State, by the admission of the right of its citizens to hold slaves, to communleate the same right to the citizens of all the other States within their own exclusive limits, in definice of their own constitutional prohibitions; and to render the absurdity still more apparent, the same construction would communicate the most opposite and irreconcilable rights to the citizens of different States at the same time. It seems, therefore, to be undeniable, upon any rational interpretation, that this clause of the Canstitution communicated no rights in any State which its own citizens do not enjoy; and that the citizens of Louisiana, upon their admission into the Union, in receiving the benefit of this clause, would not enjoy higher or more extensive rights than the citizens of Ohio. It would communicate to the former no right of holding slaves except in Statos where the citizens already possessed the same right under their own State Constitutions and laws.

"Upon the whole, the memerialists would mest respectfully submit that the terms of the Constitution, as well as the practice of the Governments under it, must, as they humbly conceive, entirely justify the conclusion that Congress may prohibit the further introduction of Slavory into its own territories, and also make such prohibition a condition of the admission of any new State into

the Union.

"If the constitutional power of Congress to make the proposed prohibition be satisfacterily shown, the justice and policy of such prohibition seem to the undersigned to be supported by plain and strong reasons. The permission of Slavery in a new State, necessarily draws after it an extension of that inequality of representation, which already exists in regard to the original States. It cannot be expected that those of the original States, which do not hold slaves, can look on such an extension as being politically just. As between the original States the representation rests on compact and plighted faith; and your memorinlists have no wish that that compact should be disturbed, or that plighted faith in the slightest degree violated. But the subject assumes an entirely different character, when a new State proposes to be admitted. With her there is no compact, and no faith plighted; and where is the reason that sho should come into the Union with more than an equal share of political imwith more than an equal source of pointers im-portance and political power? Already the ratio of representation, established by the Constitution, has given to the States holding slaves twenty members of the House of Representatives mere than they would have been entitled to, except under the particular provision of the Constitution. In all probability, this number will be doubled in thirty years. Under these circumstances, we deem it not an unreasonable expectation that the inhabitants of Missouri should propose to come into the Union, reneuncing the right in question,

"Your memorialists were not without the hope that the time had at length arrived when the in-convenience and the danger of this description of population had become apparent in all parts of this country, and in all parts of the civilized world. It might have been hoped that the new States themselves would have had such a view of their own permanent interests and prosperity as would have led them to prohibit its extension and increase. The wonderful increase and prosperity of the States north of the Ohio is unquestionably of the States norm of the Union in influence in the consequences of the ordinance of 1787; and few, indeed, are the occasione, in the history of untions, in which so much can be done, by a single act, for the benefit of future generations, us was done by that ordinance, and as may now be done by the Congress of the United States. We appeal to the institute and to the wisdom of the National Councils to provent the further progress of a great and serious evil. We append to those who look forward to the remote consequences of their measures, and who cannot balance a temperary or trilling convenience, if there were such, against a permanent, growing, and desolating evil. cannot forbear to remind the two Houses of Congress that the early and decisive measures adopted by the American Government for the abelition of the slave-trade, are among the proudest memo-rials of our nation's glory. That Slavery was ever tolerated in the Republic is, as yet, to be attribnted to the policy of another Government. No imputation, thus far, rests on any portion of the American Confederacy. The Missouri Torritory is a now country. If its extensive and fertile field shall be opened as a market for slaves, the Government will seem to become a party to a traffic which, in so many acts, through so many years, it has denounced as impolitic, unchristian, inhuman. To enact laws to punish the traffic, and, at the same time, to tempt cupidity and avarice by the allurements of an insatiable market, is inconsistent and irreconcilable. Government, by such a course, would only defeat its own purposes, and render nugatory its own measures. Nor can the laws derive support from the man-Not can the laws derive support from the man-ners of the people, if the power of moral senti-ment be weakened by enjoying, under the per-mission of Government, great facilities to com-mit offenses. The laws of the United States have denounced heavy penalties against the traffic in slaves, because such traffic is deemed unjust and inhuman. We appeal to the spirit of these laws: We appeal to this justice and humanity: We ask whether they ought not to operate, on tho present occasion, with all their force? We have a strong feeling of the injustice of any toleration of Slavery. Circumstances have entailed it on a portion of our community, which cannot be im-mediately relieved from it without consequences more injurious than the suffering of the evil. But to permit it in a new country, where yet no hab-its are formed which render it indispensable, what is it, but to encourage that rapacity, and fraud, and violence, against which we have so long pointed the denunciations of our penal code? What is it, but to turnish the proud fame of the country? What is it, but to throw suspicion on its good faith, and to render questionable all its professions of regard for the right of humani-

ty and the liberties of mankind?
"As inhabitants of a free country—as citizens of a great and rising Republic—as members of a Christian community—as living in a liboral and enlightened age, and as feeling ourselves called upon by the dictates of religion and humanity, and establishing a constitution prohibiting it for ourse. Without dwelling on this topic, we have upon by the dictates of religion and bumanity, still thought it our duty to present, it to the con-sideration of Congress. We present it with a [Congress on this question, with a solicitude for the event far beyond what a common occasion | could happire."

Instead of reprinting the Speeches elicited by this fruitful thoug, which must necessarily, to a great extent, be a mere reproduction of ideas expressed in the debate of the last session, already given, we here insert the Resolves of the Legislatures of New-York, New-Jersey, Pennsylvania, Delaware, and Kentucky-the first three being unanimous expressions in favor of Slavery Restriction; the fourth, from a Slave State, also in favor of such Restriction, though probably not unanimously agreed to by the Legislature; the last against Restriction, and also (we presume) manimons. The Legislatures of the Free States were generally unanimous for Restriction; those of the Slave States (Delaware excepted) unanimous against it. It is not deemed necessary to print more than the following:

NEW YORK.

"State of New-York, in Assembly, Jan. 17,

" Whereas, Tho inhibiting the further extension of Slavery hi these United States is a subject of deep concern among the people of this State; and whereas we consider Slavery as an evil much to be deplored; and thet every constitutional barrier should be interposed to provent its further extension; and that the Constitution of the United States clearly gives Congress the right to require of now States, not comprised with the original boundaries of these United States, the prohibition of Slavery, as a condition of its admission into the Union: Therefore,

"Resolved (if the honorable the Senate concur herein), That our Senators be instructed, and our Representatives in Congress be requested, to oppose the admission as a State into the Union, any territory not comprised as aforesaid, without making the prohibition of Slavery therein an indispensable condition of admission : therefore

dispensable condition of summeron a careacons of Resolved, That measures be taken by the clerks of the Senate and Assembly of this State, to transmit copies of the preceding resolutions to each of our Senators and Representatives in Congress."

[Unanimously concurred in by the Senate.]

NEW JERSEY.

House of Representatives, January 24th, 1820.

Mr. Wilson of N. J. communicated the following Resolutions of the Legislature of the State of New-Jersey, which were read :

"Whereas, A Bill is now depending in the Congress of the United States, on the application of the people in the Territory of Missouri for the admission of that Territory as a State into the Union, not containing provisions against Slavery in such

proposed State, and a question is made upon the right and expediency of such provision.

'The representatives of the people of New-Jer-sey, in the Legislative Council and General Assembly of the said State, now in session, deem it a duty they owe to themselves, to their constituents, and posterity, to declare and make known the opinions they hold upon this momentous subject ; and,

"1. They do resolve and declare, That the further

restriction of Slavery, would, in their opinion, essentially impulr the right of this and other existing States to equal representation in Congress (a right at the foundation of the political compact), inner much as such newly-admitted slavenoiding State would be represented on the basis of their plays population; a concession mude at the formation of the Constitution in favor of the then existing States, but never stipulated for new States, nor to be inferred from any article or clause in that in-

strument.
"2. Resolved, That to admit the Territory of Missouri as a State Into the Union, without prohibiting Sievery there, would, in the opinion of the representatives of the people of New-Jersey afore-suid, be no less than to sauction this great political and moral ovil, furnish the ready means of peopling a vast Territory with Slaves, and per-petuate all the dangers, crimes, and permicious

effects of domestic bondage.

"3. Resolved, As the opinion of the Representa-tives aforesaid, That inasmuch as no Territory has a right to be admitted into the Union, but on the principles of the Federal Constitution, and only by a law of Congress, consenting thereto on the part of the existing States, Congress may rightful-ly, and ought to refuse such law, unless upon the reasonable and just conditions, assented to on the part of the people applying to become one of the States.

"4. Resolved, In the opinion of the Representa-tives aforesaid, That the article of the Constitution which restrains Congress from prohibiting tho migration or importation of Siaves, until after the yeur 1808, does, by necessary implication, admit the general power of Congress over the subject of Slavery, and concedes to them the right to regulate and restrain such migration and importation after that time, into the existing, or any newly-to-

be created State.

"5. Resolved, As the opinion of the Representatives of the people of Now-Jersey aferesaid, That inasmuch as Congress have a clear right to refuse the admission of a Territory into the Union, by the terms of the Constitution, they ought in the present case to oxercise that absolute discretion in order to preserve the political rights of the several existing States, and prevent the great national disgrace and multiplied mischiefs, which must ensue from conceding it, as a matter of right, in the immense Territories yet to olaim admission into the Union, beyond the Mississippi, that they may tolorate Slavery.

"6. Resolved, (with the concurrence of Council,)

That the Governor of this State be requested to transmit a copy of the foregoing resolutions to each of the Senators and Representatives of this State in the Congress of the United States.'

PENNSYLVANIA.

House of Representatives, December 11th, 1819.

A motion was made by Mr. Duane and Mr. Thackara, and read as follows:

"The Senate and House of Representatives of "The Senate and House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Fennsylvania, while they cherish the right of the individual States to ex-pressed in the Congress of the Union, are aware that its usefulness must in a great degree depend upon the discretion with which it is accorded, they believe that the right ought not to be recorded to upon trivial subjects or unimportant occasions; but they are also persuaded that there are un-posed in the control of the control of the control derindition of public data. dereiiotion of public duty.

"Such an occasion, as in their judgment de-mands the frank expression of the sentiments of admission of Territories into the Union, without | Pennsylvania, is now presented. A measure was

ardently supported in the last Congress of the United States, and will probably be us carneally urged during the existing session of that body, which has a palpuble tendency to impuly the po-litted relations of the several States; which is en-culated to may the soolad hupphress of the present and future generations; which, if adopted, would impede the march of humanity and Freedom through the world; and would transfer from a misguided ancestry an ediena stain and fix it indelibly upon the present race—a measure, in brief, which proposes to apread the orimes and emelties of Stavery from the banks of the Mississippl to the shores of the Pacific. When a measure of this character is soriously advocated in the republican Congress of America, in the nine-to-unit contry, the several States are invoked by the duty which they owe to the Delty, by the veneration which they entertain for the memory of the funders of the Republic, and by a tender rogard for posterity, to protest against its adoption, to refuse to covenant with crime, and to limit the range of an evil that already hangs in awful boding over so large a portion of the Union.
"Nor can such a protest be entered by any

State with greater propriety than by Pennsylvania. This commonwealth has as sacredly respected the rights of other States as it has been careful of its own; it has been the invariable aim of the people of Pennsylvania to extend to the universe, by their example, the unadulterated blessings of civil and religious freedom; and it is their pride that they have been at all times the practical advocates of those improvements and charities among men which are so well calculated to enable them to answer the purposes of their Creater; and above all, they may beast that they were foremost in re-moving the pollution of Slavery from among

"If, indeed, the measure, against which Pennsylvania considers it her duty to raise her voice, were calculated to abridge any of the rights guaranteed to the several States; if, odious as Slavery is, it was proposed to hasten its extinction by means injurious to the States upon which it was unhappily entailed, Pennsylvania would be among the first to insist upon a sacred observamong the inst to misst upon a sacret observ-ence of the constitutional compact. But it can-not be pretended that the rights of any of the States are at all to be uffected by refusing to ex-tend the mischiefs of human bondage over the boundless regions of the West, a territory which formed no part of the Union at the adoption of the Constitution: which has been but lately purthe Constitution; which has been but satery purchased from a European Power by the people of the Union at large; which may or may not be admitted as a State into the Union at the discretion of Congress; which must establish a republican form of Government, and no other; and whose climate affords none of the pretexts urged for ro-sorting to the labor of natives of the torrid zone; such a territory has no right, inherent or acquired, such as those States possessed which established the existing Constitution. When that Constitution was framed in September, 1787, the concession that three-fifths of the slaves in the States then existing should be represented in Congress, could not have been intended to embrace regions could not have seen meaned to embrace regions at that time held by a foreign power. On the contrary, so anxious were the Congress of that day to confine human bondage within its ancient home, that on the 13th of July, 1787, that body home, that on the 13th of July, 17cr, una vouy unanimously declared that Slaveryor involuntary servitude should not exist in the extensive terri-tories bounded by the Ohio, the Mississippi, Canada and the Lakes; and in the ninth article of the Constitution itself, the power of Congress to prohibit the emigration of servile persons after 1808, is expressly recognized; nor is there to be found in the statute-book a single instance of the admission of a Territory to the rank of a State in which Congress have not adhered to the right,

vee'ed in them by the Constitution, to atheniate with the Territory upon the conditions of the boon

"The Senate and House of Representatives of Penusylvania, therefore, cannot but deprecate any departure from the humane and collightened policy pursued not only by the Illustrious Con-gress which framed the Constitution, but by their ed that, to open the fertile regions of the West to a service race, would tend to increase their num-bers beyond all past example, would open a new and steady market for the hadese venders of human flesh, and would render all schemes for obliterating this most foul blot upon the American character profess and pnavailing.

" Under these convictions, and in the full persussion that upon this topic there is but one opin-

ion in Pennsylvania—
" Resolved by the Senate and Honse of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania That the Senutors of this State in the Congress of the United States be, and they are hereby instructed, and that the Representatives of this State in the Congress of the United States be, and they are hereby requested, to vete against the ad-mission of any Territory as a State into the Union, unless said Territory shall stipulate and agree that 'the further introduction of Slavery or inveluntary servitude, except for the punishment of erimes whereof the party shall have been duly convicted, shall be prohibited; and that all ohildien born within the said Territory, after its ad-mission into the Union as a State, shall be free, but may be held to service until the age of twon-

ty-five years.'
"Resolved, That the Governor be, and he is hereby requested to cause a copy of the feregoing preamble and resolution to be transmitted to such of the Senators and Ropresentatives of this State in the Congress of the United States. "Laid on the table."

"THURSDAY, December 16, 1819.

" Agreeably to the order of the day, the House resumed the consideration of the resolutions postresumes the consumeration of the resolutions posts-poned on the 14th inst., rolative to proventing the introduction of Slavery into States hereafter to be admitted into the Union. And on the question, 'Will the House agree to the resolution?' the Yeas and Nays were required by Mr. Randall and Mr. Souder, and stood—Yeas, 744—754 Democrats, Mr. Souder, and stood—Yeas, 74—[64 Democrats, 20 Federnistej); Nays, none. Among the Yeas were David R. Porter, late Governor, Josish Randall of Philadelpha, now a Whig supporter of Buchanan, William Stde Kentel, Dr. Daniel Kussia, now in Kussia, now in Milkins, late minister for Buchanan, William Stde Kentel, Dr. Daniel Kussia, now in the Company of the Company o they asserted

"The Senate unanimously concurred, and the Resolves were signed by Gov. William Findlay.

DELAWARE.

In Senate of the United States, early in 1820, Mr. Van Dyke communicated the following Resolutions of the Legislature of the State of Delaware, which were read:

"Resolved, by the Senate and House of Representatives of the State of Delaware, in General Assembly met. That it is, in the opinion of this General Assembly, the constitutional right of the United States, in Congress assembled, to enact and establish, as one of the conditions for the admission of a new State into the Union, a provision which shall effectually prevent the further introduction of Slavery into such State; and that a due regard to the true interests of such State, as well an of the other States, require that the same should be done.

" Resalved, That a copy of the above and foregoing resulution be transmitted, by the Speaker of the Semito, to each of the Semitors and Reprosontatives from this State in the Congress of the United States."

KENTUCKY.

In Senate, January 24th, 1820, Mr. Logan communicated the following preamble and Resolutions of the Legislature of the State of Kentucky, which were read:

" Whereas, The Constitution of the United States provides for the admission of new States into the Union, and it is just and proper that all such States should be established upon the feeting of original States, with a view to the preservation of State Sovereignty, the prosperity of such new State, and the good of their citizens; and whereas, successful attempts have been heretofore made, and are now making, to prevent the People of the Territory of Missouri from being admitted into the Union as a State, unless transmeted by rules and regulations which do not exist in the original States, particularly in relation to the toleration of

Slavery.
"Whereas, also, if Congress can thus traininel or control the powers of a Territory in the formation of a State government, that body may, on the same principle, reduce its powers to little more than those possessed by the people of the District of Columbia; and whitst professing to make it a Sovereign State, may bind it in perpetual vassalage, and reduce it to the condition of a province: such State must necessarily become the dependent of Congress, asking such powers, and 10t the independent State, demanding rights. And whereas, it is necessary, in preserving the State Sovereignties in their present rights, that no new State should be subjected to this restriction, any more than an old one, and that there can be no reason or justice why it should not be entitled to the same privileges, when it is bound to boar all the burdens and taxes laid upon it by Congress.
"In passing the following Resolution, the Gene-

ral Assembly refrains from expressing any opinion either in favor or against the principles of Slavery; but to support and maintain State rights, which it concoives necessary to be supported and main-tained, to preserve the liberties of the free people of these United States, it avows its solemn conof these United States, it avows its some or conviction, that the States afready confederated under one common Constitution, have not a right to deprive new States of equal privileges with themselves. Therefore, "Resolved, by the General Assembly of the Commonwealth of Kentucky, That the Senators in Congress from this State or instructed, and the

Representatives be requested, to use their efforts archies be requested, to use their efforts to procure the passage of a law to admit the people of Missouri into the Union, as a State, whether those people will sanction Slavery by their Constitution are not

stitution, or not.
"Resolved, That the Executive of this Commonwealth be requested to transmit this Resolution to the Senators and Representatives of this State in Congress, that it may be laid before that body for its consideration."

The bill authorizing Missouri to form a constitution, etc., came up in the House as a special order, Jan. 24th. Mr. Taylor of N. Y. moved that it be postponed for one week : Lost: Yeas 87; Nays 88. Whereupon the House adjourned. It was considered in committee the next day, as also on the 28th and | Free States.]

30th, and thence debated daily until the 19th of February, when a bill came down from the Benate "to admit the State of Maine into the Union." but with a rider authorizing the people of Missonri to form a State Constitution, etc., without restriction on the subject of Shavery.

The House, very early in the session, passed a bill providing for the admission of Maine as a State. This bill come to the Senate, and was sent to its Judiciary Committee aforesaid, which amended it by adding a provision for Missouri as above. After several days' debate in Senate, Mr. Roberts of Pa. moved to recommit, so us to strike out all but the admission of Maine; which was defeated (Jan. 14th, 1820)-Yeas 18; Herenpon Mr. Thomas of Ill. Navs 25. (who voted with the majority, as uniformly against any restriction on Missouri) gave notice that he should

"ask leave to bring a bill to prohibit the intro-duction of Slavery into the Territories of the United States North and West of the contemplated State of Missouri."

-which he accordingly did on the 19th; when it was read and ordered to a third reading.

[Note.-Great confusion and misconception exists in the public mind with regard to "the Missouri Restriction," two totally different propositions being called by that name. The original Re-striction, which Mr. Clay vehemently opposed, and Mr. Jefferson in a letter characterized as a " fire-boll in the night," contemplated the limitation of Slavery in its exclusion from the State of Missouri. This was ultimately defeated, as we shall see. The second proposed Restriction was that of Mr. Themas, just cited, which proposed the exclusion of Slavery, not from the State of Missouri, but from the Territories of the United States North and West of that State. This proposition did not emanate from the original Misscari Restrictionists, but from their adversaries, and was but reluctantly and partially ac-cepted by the former.]

The Maine admission bill, with the proposed amendments, was discussed through several days, until, Feb. 16th, the question was taken on the Judiciary Committee's amendments (authorizing Missouri to form a State Constitution, and saying nothing of Slavery), which were adopted by the following vote:

YEAS-Against the Restriction on Missouri:

Messrs. Barbour of Va. Brown of La. Eaton of Tenn. Edwards of Ill. Elliott of Ga Gaillard of S. C. Johnson of Ky. Johnson of La. King (Wrn. R.), Ala. Leake of Miss. Lloyd of Md. Will Williams of Tenn.—23.

Logan of Ky. Macon, of N. C. Pinkney of Md Pleasants of Va. Smith of S. C. Stokes of N. C Taylor of Ind. Thomas of Ill. Walker of Ala-Walker of Ga Williams of Miss.

[20 from Slave States: 3 (in italics) from

NAYS ... For Restriction :

Nobla of Ind. Mounes, Burelli of R. I. Otlo of Monn. Dana of Ct. Dickluson of N. J. Palmer of Vt Horsey of Del. Parrott of N. II. Roberts of Pa. Unuter of R. I. Ruggles of Ohio, King (Rufus) of N. Y. Lamian of Conn. Sanford of N. Y. Tichenor of Vt. Lowrio of Pa. Mollen of Muss. Trimble of Ohio Morrill of N. II. Van Dyke of Del.

Wilson of N. J.—21
[19 from Free States, 2 (in *italics*) from Delaware.]

Mr. Thomas of Ill. then proposed his amendment, as follows:

"And be it further enacted. That the with article of compact of the Ontinunce of Congrees, passed July 13th, 1787, for the government of the Toritory of the United Slotes, northwest of the river Ohio, shall, to all intents and pipeoses be, and hereby is, decomed and held applicable to, and shall have juli force and effect in and over, all that treat of country eccled by Louisiana which lies north of thirty-six degrees and thirty minntes, north latticel, excepting only such part theoref as is included within the limits contemplated by this act."

On the following day Mr. Thomas withdrew the foregoing and substituted the following:

"And keit further exacted, That in all that Tentory ceded by France to the United States under the name of Louisians which lies north of thirty six degrees thirty minutes, north latitude, excepting only such part thereof as is included within the limits of the State concentration which the limits of the State concentration of the Ban in the punishment of crime whereof adeays, that any person excepting into the same, from where labor or service is lawfully elained such figitive may be lawfully reclaimed and conveyed to the person claiming his or her labor or service as aforesaid."

Mr. Trimble of Ohio moved a substitute for this, somewhat altering the boundaries of the region shielded from Slavery, which was rejected: Yeas 20 (Northern); Nays 24 (Southern, with Noble, Edwards, and Taylor, as aforesaid).

The question then recurred on Mr. Thomas's amendment, which was adopted as fol-

YEAS-For excluding Slavery from all the Territory North and West of Missouri:

Messre. Brown of La. Mollen of Mass Burrill of R. 1. Morrill of N. H. Dana of Conn Otis of Mass Dickerson of N. J. Palmer of Vt Eaton of Tenn. Edwards of Ill. Parrott of N. H. Pinkney of Md. Horsey of Del. Roberts of Pa. Ruggles of Ohio, Sanford of N. Y. Stokes of N. C. Hunter of R. I. Johnson of Ky. Johnson of La. King (Wm. R.) of Ala. Thomas of Ill King (Rufus) of N. Y. Tichenor of Vt. Lanman of Conn. Trimble of Ohio cake of Miss. Van Dyke of Del. Lowrie of Pa. Walker of Ala.

Lloyd of Md. Williams of Ton.

wan of Ky. Wilson of N.J.—34

NAYS....Against such Restriction:
Mossrs, Barbour of Va. Smith (Wm.) of

t Bullott of Ga.
Caillard of S. C.
Macon of N. C.
Noblo of Ind.
Pleasants of Va.
Williams of
Miss. --10.

III will here be seen that the Restriction nitimately adopted, that excluding Slavery from all territory then owned by the Unified States North and West of the Southwest border of the State of Missouri, was proposed by an enrly and steadfast opponent of the Restriction originally proposed, colutive to Slavery in the contemplated Slate of Missouri, and was austained by the votes of fourteen Senators from Slave States, including the Senators from Delaware, Maryland, Koutucky, Tounessee, Alabama, and Louisians, with one vote each from North Carollan and Mississimi.

The current assumption that this Restriction was proposed by Rufus King of New York, and mainly sustained by the antagonists of Slavery Extension, is wholly mistaken. The truth, doubtless, is, that it was suggested by the more mederate opponents of the proposed Restriction on Misseuri-and supported alse by Senators frem Slave States-as a means of overcoming the resistance of the House to Slavery in Missouri. It was, in effect, an offer from the milder opponents of Slavery Restriction to the mere moderate and flexible advocates of that Restriction-" Let us have Slavery in Missouri, and we will unite with you in excluding it from all the nninhabited territories North and West of that State." It was in substance an agreement between the North and the South to that effect, though the more determined champions, whether of Slavery Extension or Slavery Restriction, did not unite in it.1

The bill, thus amended, was ordered to be engrossed for a third reading by the following vote:

YEAS-For the Missouri Bill:

Lloyd of Md.
Logan of Ky.
Parrott of N. H.
Pinkney of Md.
Pleasants of Va.
Stokes of N. C.
Themas of Il.
Van Dyke, Del.
Walker of Ala.
Walker of Ala.
Williams of Miss.

g of Ala. Williams of Miss. ke of Miss. Williams, Tenn.—24.

NAYS-Against the Bill:

Messrs. Burrill of R. I.
Dana of Conn.
Dickerson of N. J.
King of N. Y.
Lanman of Conn.
Lowrie of Pa.
Macon of N. C.
Mollen of Mass.
Morrill of N. H.
Noble of Ind.

Otis of Mass.
Palmer of Vt.
Roberts of Pa.
Ruggles of Ohio,
Sanford of N. Y.
Smith of S. C.
Taylor of Ind.
Tichenor of Vt.
Trimble of Ohio,
Wilson of N. J.

The bill was thus passed (Feb. 18th) without further division, and sent to the House for concurrence. In the House, Mr. Thomar's amendment (as above) was at first rejected by both parties, and defeated by the strong vote of 159 to 18. The Yens (to ndopt) were.

Mesera Baldwin of Pa. Meech of Vt. Bayly of Md. Mercer of Va Quarles of Ky. Ringgold of Md. Bloomfield of N. J. Cocke of Tour. Crafts of Vt. Show of Mass. Culpepper of N. C. Sloan of Ohio. Smith of N. J. Smith of Md. Kinsey of N. J. Lathrop of Mass. Little of Md. Tarr of Pa.-18.

Prior to this vote, the House disagreed to the log-rolling of Maine and Missouri, into one bill by the strong vote of 93 to 72. [We do not give the Yeas and Nays on this decision; but the majority was composed of the representatives of the Free States with only four exceptions; and Mr. Louis McLaue of Delaware, who was constrained by instructions from his legislature. His collegue, Mr. Willard Hall, idi not vote.]

The members from Free States who voted with the South to keep Maine and Missouri

united in one bill were.

Messrs. H. Baldwin of Pa. Honry Meigs of N. Y. Bloomfield of N. J. Honry Shaw of Mass.

The House also disagreed to the remaining amendments of the Senate (striking out the restriction on Slavery in Missouri) by the strong vote of 102 Yeas to 68 Nays.

[Nearly or quite every Representative of a Free State voted in the majority of this division with the following from Slave States:

> Louis McLane, Del. Nolson, Md. Alney McLean, Ky. Trimble, Kv.

So the House rejected all the Senate's amendments, and returned the bill with a

corresponding message.

The Senate took up the bill on the 24th, and debated it till the 28th, when, on a direct vote, it was decided not to recede from the attachment of Missouri to the Maine bill; Yeas 21; (19 from Free States and 2 from Delaware;) Nays 23; (20 from Slave States, with Messrs. Thylor of Ind., Edwards and Thomas of Ill.)

The Senate also voted not to recede from its amendment prohibiting Slavery west of Missouri, and north of 36° 30°, north latitude. (For receding, 9 from Slave States, with Messra. Noble and Tsylor of Ind.: against it 33—(22 from Slave States, 11 from Free States). The remaining amendments of the Senate were then insisted on without division, and the House notified accordingly.

The bill was now returned to the House, which, on motion of Mr. John W. Taylor of N. Y., voted to insist on its disagreement to all but sec. 9 of the Senate's amendments, by Yeas 97 to Nays 76: [all but a purely sectional vote: Hugh Nelson of Va. voting with the North; Baldwin of Pa., Bloomfield

The bill was thus passed (Feb. 18th) witht further division, and sent to the House | South].

Sec. 9, (the Senatc's exclusion of Slavery from the Territory north and west of Missouri) was also rejected—Yeas 160; Nays 14, (unch as before). The Senate thernpon (March 2ad) passed the House's Missouri bill, striking out the restriction of Slavery by Yeas 27 to Nays 16, and adding without a division the exclusion of Slavery from the Territory west and north of said State. Mr. Trimble ugain moved the exclusion of Slavery from Arkanasa also, but was again voted down; Yeas 12; Nays 30.

"The Seaate now asked a conference, which the House granted without a division. The Committee of Conference was composed of Messrs. Thomas of Ill., Pinkney of Md., and Barbour of Vn. (all nati-restrictionists), on the part of the Senate, and Messrs. Holmes of Mass., Taylor of N. Y., Lowndes of S. O., Parker of Mass., and Kinsey of N. J., on the part of the House. [Such constitution of the Committee of Conference was in effect a surrender of the Restriction on the part of the House.] John Holmes of Mass., from this Committee, in due time (March 2nd), reported that.

1. The Senate should give up the combination of Missouri in the same bill with Maine,

Maine, 2. The House should abaudon the attempt

to restrict Slavery in Missouri.

3. Both Houses should agree to pass the Senate's separate Missouri bill, with Mr. Thomas's restriction or compromising proviso, excluding Slavery from all Territory north and west of Missouri.

The report having been read,

The first and most important question was put, viz. :

"Will the Heres concur with the Senate in so much of the said amendments as proposes to strike from the fourth section of the [Missouri] bill the provision prohibiting Slavery or involuntary servitude, in the contemplated State, otherwise than in the punishment of crimes?"

On which question the Yeas and Nays were demanded, and were as follow:

YEAS-For giving up Restriction on Missouri:

MASSACHUSETTS.—Mark Langdon Hill, John Holmes, Jonathan Mason, Henry Shaw.—4. RHODE ISLAND.—Samnel Eddy.—1.

Connecticut.—Samuel A. Foot, James Ste-

phens—2. New-York,—Henry Meigs, Henry R. Storrs

-2.
New-Jersey. - Joseph Bloomfield, Charles Kinsey, Bernard Smith-3.

Kinsey, Bernard Smith—3.
PERRSYLVANIA.—Henry Baldwin, David Fullerton—2.

Total from Free States 14.

DELAWARE.—Louis McLane—1.
MARYLAND.—Stephenson Archer, Thomas Bayly, Thomas Culbreth, Joseph Kent. Peter Little, Raphael Neale, Samuel Ringgold, Samnel Smith, Houry R. Warfield—9.

Virginia. — Mark Alexander, William S. Archer, Philip P. Barbour, William A. Burwell,

John Floyd, Robert S. Garnett, James Johnson, James Jones, William McCoy, Charles F. Mercee, Hugh Nelson, Thomas Nelson, Severn E. Par-ker, Jas Pindall, John Randolph, Ballard Smith, Alexander Smyth, George F. Strother, Thomas Van Sweatingen, George Tucker, John Pyler, Jarred Williams. 22.

Nouru Carolina.-Hutchins G. Burton, John Culpopper, William Davidson, Weldon N. Ed-wards, Charles Fisher, Thomas H. Hall, Charles

Warun, Charges Fouct, Inductor III, Charres Hooka, Thomas Sottle, Jesso Siccumb, James S. Smith, Felix Walker, Lowis Williams—12. Soven Cantolina.—Jeasint Broward, Elina Earle, James Ervin, William Lowndes, James

McCreary, James Overstreet, Charles Pinckney, Eldred Sunkius, Sterling Tacker-9. GEOUGIA...Jeel A. Abbott, Thomas W. Cobt, Joel Crawford, John A. Cuthbert, Robort R. Roid, William Terrell.—6.

ALABAMA.-John Crowell-1.

Mıssıssıvrı.—John Rankin—l

Lorisiana.—Thomas Buller—1. Kentucky.—Richard C. Anderson, jr., William Brown, Benjamin Hardin, Alney McLean, Thomas Metcalf, Tunstall Quarles, Gea. Robertson, David Trimble-8.

TENNESSEE.-Robert Allen, Honry H. Bryan, Newton Camon, John Cocko, Francis Jones, John Rhon - 5.

Total Yeas from Slave States 76; in all 90 NAYS .- Against giving up the Restriction on Slavery in Missouri:

NEW-HAMPSHIRE, Joseph Buffum, jr., Josiah Butlor, Clifton Clagett, Arthur Livermore, William Plumer, jr., Nathaniel Upham...6.

MassAcuuserrs (including Maino).—Benjamin Admas. Samuel C. Allen, Joshua Cushman, Ed-ward Dowee, Walter Folger, jr., Timothy Fuller, Jonas Keudall, Martin Kinsley, Sumuel Lathrop, Enoch Lincoln, Marcas Morton, Jeremiah Nelson. James Parker, Zabdiel Sampson, Nathaniel Sils-bee, Ezekiel Whitman...16.

RHODE ISLAND Nathaniel Hazard ... 1.

Pitcher, Jonathan Richmond, Randall S. Street, James Strong, John W. Taylor, Albert H. Tracy, Solomen Van Bensselaer, Peter H. Wen-dover, Silas Wood—22.

New-Jersey. Ephraim Bateman, John Linn, Henry Southard...3.

Pennsylvania — Andrew Boden, William Darlington, George Dennison, Samuel Edwards, Thomas Forrest, Samuel Gross, Joseph Hemphill, Jacob Hibschman, Joseph Heister, Jacob Hostet-ter, William P. Maclay, David Marchand, Robert ner, whittam F. Maciay, David Marchand, Robert Mooro, Samuel Moore, John Murray, Thomas Patterson, Robert Philson, Thomas J. Rogers, John Sergeant, Christian Tarr, James M. Wal laco_ 21.

Onto Philemon Beecher, Henry Brush, John W. Campbell, Samuel Herrick, Thomas R. Ross, John Sloane...6. Indiana...William Hendricks...1.

ILLINOIS ... Daniel P. Cock __ 1.

Total Nays 87-all from Free States.

The members apparently absent on this important division, were Henry W. Edwards of Coun., Walter Case and Honorius Peck of N. Y.

with Lemnel Sawyer of N. C., and David Walker of Ky., from the Slave States. Mr. Clay of Ky. being Speaker, did not voted

This defeat broke the back of the Northern resistance to receiving Missouri us a Slave

State.

Mr. Tuylor, of N. Y., now moved an amendment, intended to include Arkansas Territory under the proposed Lubibition of Sluvery West of Missouri; but this motion was cut off by the Previous Question (which then cut off amendments more rigorously, according to the rules of the House, than it now does), and the House proceeded to concur with the Senate in inserting the exclusion of Slavery from the Territory West and North of Missouri, instead of that just stricken out by 134 Yeas to 42 Nays, (the Nays being from the South). So the bill was passed in the form indicated above; and the bill admitting Maine as a State, (relieved, by a conference, from the Missouri rider,) passed both Houses without a division, on the following day.

Such was the virtual termination of the struggle for the restriction of Slavery in Missouri, which was beaten by the plan of proffering instead an exclusion of Slavery from all the then Federal Territory West and North of that State. It is unquestionable that, without this compromise or equivalent, the Northern votes, which passed the bill, eould not have been obtained for it.

VIII.

THE THIRD MISSOURI STRUGGLE.

Though the acceptance of Missouri as a State, with a Slave Constitution, was forever settled by the votes just recorded, a new exeitement sprang up on her presenting herself to Congress (Nov. 16, 1820), with a State Constitution, framed on the 19th of July, containing the following resolutions:

"The General Assembly shall have no power to pass laws, First, for the emancipation of slaves without the consent of their owners, or without without the consent of their owners, of without paying them, before such emancipation, a full paying them, before such emancipation, a full Second, to prevent homa fide emigrants to this State, or actual settlers therein from bringing from any of the United States, or from any of their Territories, such persons as may there be deemed to be Slaves, so long as any persons of the same description are allowed to be held as Slaves by the laws of this State.

* " It shall be their duty, as soon as may be, to pass such laws as may be necessary,
"First, to prevent free negroes and mulattoes
from coming to, and settling in, this State, under

any pretext whatever.'

The North, still smarting under a sense of its defeat on the question of excluding Slavery from Missouri, regarded this as needlessly defiant, insulting, and inhuman, and the last quoted as palpably in violation of that clause of the Federal Constitution which gives to the and John Condit of N. J., from the Free States; | citizens of each State (which blacks are, in neveral Free States, the rights of citizans in very State. A determined resistance to any nucle exclusion was manifested, and it portion of the Northern Members evinced a disposition to renow the struggle against the further introduction of Slaves into Missouri. At the first effort to carry her admission, the House voted it down—Yeas, 79; Nays, 93. A second attempt to admit her, on condition she would examps the house of sale quoted) of her Constitution, was voted down still more decisively—Yeas, 6; Nivs, 146.

The House now rested, nutil a joint resolvo, admitting her with but a vague and ineffective qualification, came down from the Senute, where it was passed by a vote of 26 to 18--six Senators from Free States in the affirmative. Mr. Clay, who had resigned in the recess, and been succeeded, as Speaker, by John W. Taylor, of New York, now appeared as the leader of the Missouri admissionists, and proposed terms of compromise, which were twice voted down by the Northern Members, aided by John Randolph and three others from the South, who would have Missonri admitted without condition or qualification. At last, Mr. Clay proposed a Joint Committee on this subject, to be chosen by ballot-which the House agreed to by 101 to 55; and Mr. Clay became its Chair-By this Committee it was agreed, that a solemn pledge should be required of the Legislature of Missouri, that the Constitution of that State should not be construed to authorize the passage of any Act, and that no Act should be passed, "by which any of the citizens of either of the States should be excluded from the enjoyment of the privileges and immunities to which they are entitled under the Constitution of the United States." The Joint Resolution, amended by the addition of this proviso, passed the House by 86 Yeas to 82 Nays; the Senate concurred (Feb. 27th, 1821,) by 26 Yeas to 15 Nays— (all Northern but Macon, of N. C.) Missouri complied with the condition, and became an accepted member of the Union. Thus closed the last stage of the fierce Missouri Controversy, which for a time seemed to threaten-as so many other controversies have harmlessly threatened—the existence of the Union.

TX

EXTENSION OF MISSOURI.

Ture State of Missouri, as originally organized, was bounded on the West by a line already specified, which excluded a triangle West of said line, and between it and the Missouri, which was found, in time, to be exceedingly fertile and desirable. It was free soil by the terms of the Missouri compact, and was also covered by Indian reservations, not to be removed without a concurrence of two-thirds of the Senate. Messrs. Beauton and Line secretaries.

undertook the difficult task of engineering through Congress a bill including this triangle (large enough to form seven Counties) within the State of Missouri; which they offected, nt the long Session of 1835-6, so quietly as The bill was hardly to attract attention. first sent to the Senate's Committee on the Judiciary, where a favorable report was procured from Mr. John M. Chyton, of Delnware, its Chairman; and then it was floated through both Houses without encountering the perils of a division. The requisite Indian treaties were likewise carried through the Senate; so Missouri became possessed of a large and desirable accession of territory. which has since become one of her most populous and wealthy sections, devoted to the growing of hemp, tobacco, etc., and culti-vated by Slaves. This is the most pro-Slavery section of the State, in which was originated. and has been principally sustained, that series of inroads into Kausas, corruptions of her ballot-boxes, and outrages upon her people, which have carned for their authors the appellation of Border Ruffians.

X.

THE ANNEXATION OF TEXAS.

THE name of Texas was originally applied to a Spanish possession or province, lying between the Mississippi and the Rio Grande del Norte, but not extending to either of these great rivers. It was an appendage of the Viceroyalty of Mexico, but had very few civilized inhabitants down to the time of the separation of Mexico from Spain. On two or three occasions, bands of French adventarers had landed on its coast, or entered it from the adjoining French colony of Louisiana; but they had uniformly been treated as intruders, and either destroyed or made prisoners by the Spanish military authorities. No line had ever been drawn between the two colonies; but the traditional line between them, south of the Red River, ran somewhat within the limits of the present State of Lonisiana.

The etymology of Natchitoches, a city of Louisiana on the Red River, several miles within the present boundary of that State, attests its claims to a Spanish origin.

When Louisiana was transferred by France to the United States, without specification of boundaries, collisions of claims on this frontier was apprehended. General Wilkinson, commanding the United States troops, moved gradually to the west; the Spanish commandant in Texas likewise drew toward the frontiers, until they stood opposite each other across what was then tacitly settled as the boundary between the two countries. This was never afterward disregarded.

ations, not to be removed without a concurrence of two-thirds of the Senate. Messrs.

In 1819, Spain and the United States seemed on the verge of war. General Jack-Benton and Linn, senators from Missouri, son had twice invaded Florida, on the assumtion of complicity on the part of her rulers | and people-first with our British, then with our savage enemies - and had finally overrun, and, in effect, annexed it to the Union. Spain, on the other hand, had preyed upon our commerce daring the long wars in Europe, and honestly owed our merchants large sums for unjustifiable seizures and A negotiation for the settleanoliations. ment of these differences was carried on at Washington, between John Quincy Adams, Mr. Monroe's Scoretary of State, and Don Onis, the Spanish embassador, in the course of which Mr. Adams set up a chain, on the part of this country, to Texas as a natural geographical appendage not of Mexico, but of Louisiana. This claim, however, he eventually waived and relinquished, in consideration of a cession of Florida by Spain to this country-our government agreeing, on its part, to pay the claims of our merchants for spoliations. Texas remained, therefore, what it always had been-a department or province of Mexico, with a formal quit-claim thereto on the part of the United States.

The natural advantages of this region naturally attracted the attention of American adventurers, and a small colony of Yankees was settled thereon, about 1819-20. by Moses Austin of Connecticut. settlements followed. Originally, grants of land in Texas were prayed for, and obtained of the Mexican government, on the assumption that the petitioners were Roman Catholics, persecuted in the United States, because of their religion, and anxious to find a refuge in some Catholic country. Thus all the early emigrants to Texas went professedly as Catholics, no other religion being tolerated.

Slavery was abolished by Mexico soon after the consummation of her independence. when very few slaves were, or ever had been, in Texas. But, about 1834, some years after this event, a quiet, but very general, and, evidently concerted, emigration, mainly from Tennessee and other southwestern States, began to concentrate itself in Texas. The emigrants carried rifles; many of them were accompanied by slaves; and it was well understood that they did not intend to become Mexicans, much less to relinquish their slaves. When Gen. Sam. Houston left Arkansas for Texas, in 1834-5, the Little Rock Journal, which announced his exodus and destination, significantly added: "We shall, doubtless, hear of his raising his flag there shortly." That was a foregone conclusion.

Of course, the new settlers in Texas did not lack pretexts or provocations for such a step. Mexico was then much as she is now. misgoverned, turbulent, anarchical, and despotie. The overthrow of her Federal Constitution by Santa Anna was one reason assigned for the rebellion against her authori-

independence was declared; in 1836, at the decisive battle of San Jacinto, it was, by the ront and capture of the Mexican dietator. secured. This triumph was won by emigrants from this country almost exclasively; scarcely half a dozen of the old Mexican inlabitants participating in the revolution. Santa Ama, while a prisoner, under restraint and apprehension, agreed to a peace on the basis of the independence of Texas-a covenant which he had no power, and probably no desire, to give effect to when restored to liberty. The Texans, pursning their advantage, twice or thrice penetrated other Mexican provinces-Tamanlipas, Coalmila. etc., and waved their Lone-Star flag in deflance, on the banks of the Rio Grande del Norte; which position, however, they were nlways compelled soon to abundon-once with severe loss. Their government, nevertheless, in reiterating their declaration of independence, claimed the Rio Grande as their western boundary, from its source to its mouth, including a large share of Tamaulipas, Coahuila, Durango, and by far the more important and populous portion of New Mexico. And it was with this claim, expressly set forth in the treaty, that President Tyler and his responsible advisers negotiated the first official project of annexation, which was submitted to the Senate, during the session of 1843-44, and rejected by a very decisive vote: only fifteen (mainly Southern) scnators voting to confirm it. Col. Benton, and others, urged this aggressive claim of boundary, as affording abundant reason for the rejection of this treaty; but it is not known that the Slavery aspect of the ease attracted especial attention in the Senate. The measure, however, had already been publicly eulogized by Gen. James Hamilton. of S. C., as calculated to "give a Gibraltar to the South," and had, on that ground, seeured a very general and ardent popularity throughout the southwest. And, more than a year previously, several northern mem-bers of Congress had united in the following:

TO THE PEOPLE OF THE FREE STATES OF THE UNION.

We, the undersigned, in closing our duties to our constituents and our country as members of the 27th Congress, feel bound to eall your attention, very briefly, to the project, long entertained by a portion of the people of these United States, still pertinaciously adhered to, and intended soon to be consummated : THE ANNEXATION OF TEXAS TO THIS UNION. In the press of business incident to the last days of a session of Congress, we have not time, did we deem it necessary, to enter upon a detailed statement of the reasons enter upon a detailed statement of the reasons which force upon our minds the conviction, that this project is by no means abandoned; that a large portion of the country, interested in the continuance of Domestic Slavery and the Slave Trade in these United States, have solemnly and unalterably determined; that it shall be speedily carried into excention; and that, ty which broke out in Texas. In 1835, her by this admission of new Slave Territory and

Slavo States, the undue ascendancy of the Slave-holding power in the Government shall be secured. and riveted beyond all redemption ! !

That it was with these views and intentions that settlements were effected in the Province, by citizens of the United States, difficulties formented with the Mexican Government, a revolt brought about, and an Independent Gavernment declared, cannot now admit of a doubt; and that, hithorto, all attempts of Mexico to reduce her revolted province to obedience, have proved un-successful is to be attributed to the unlawful aid and assistance of designing and interested indi-viduals in the United States, and the direct and indirect co-operation of our own Government, with similar views, is not the less certain and domonstrable.

The onen and repeated culistment of troops in soveral States of this Union, in aid of the Texan Revolution, the intrusion of an American Army, by order of the President, far into the Territory of the Mexican Government, at a moment critical for the fate of the insurgents, under pretense of preventing Mexican soldiers from fomenting Indian disturbances, but in reality in aid of, and acting in singular concert and coincidence with, the army of the Revolutionists, the entire neglect of our Government to adopt any efficient measures to prevent the most unwarrantable aggressions of bodies of our own citizens, enlisted, organized and officered within our own borders, and marched in arms and battle array upon the territory, and against the inhabitants of a friendly government, in aid of freebooters and insurgents, and the premature recognition of the Independence of Texas. by a snap vote, at the heel of a session of Congress, and that, too, at the very session when President Jackson had, by special Message, insisted that "the measure would be contrary to the policy invariably observed by the United States in all similar cases;" would be marked with great injustice to Mexico, and peculiarly liable to the darkest suspicions, inasmuch as the Texaus were almost all emigrants from the United States, AND SOUGHT THE RECOGNITION OF THEIR INDE-PENDENCE WITH THE AVOWED PURPOSE OF OB-TAINING THEIR ANNEXATION TO THE U. STATES. These occurrences are too well known and too fresh in the memory of all, to need more than a passing notice. These have become matters of history. For further evidence upon all these and other important points, we refer to the memorable speech of John Quincy Adams, delivered in the House of Representatives during the morning hour in June and July, 1838, and to his address to his constituents, delivered at Braintree, 17th September, 1842.

The open avowal of the Texans themselvesthe frequent and anxious negotiations of our own Government-the resolutions of various States of the Union-the numerous declarations of members of Congress-the tone of the Southern press -as well as the direct application of the Texan Government, make it impossible for any man to doubt, that ANNEXATION, and the formation of several new Slaveholding States, were originally the policy and design of the Slaveholding States and the Executive of the Nation.

The same references will show, very conclusively, that the particular objects of this new acquisition of Slave Territory, were THE PER-PETUATION OF SLAVERY AND THE CONTINUED ASCENDANCY OF THE SLAVE POWER.

The following extracts from a Report on that subject, adopted by the Legislature of Mississippi, from a mass of similar evidence which might be be adduced, will show with what views the annexation was then urged.

habit the Southern portion of this Confederacy, where It is known that a species of doncestle Slavery is tole-rated and protected by law, whose existence in proibitial by the legal regulations of other States at States and this Confederacy; which system of Slavery is held by all who are famillarly asymptotic first the country feet, to be of highly beneficial influence to the country

within where limits it is permitten to exist.
"The Committee feel authorized to say that this system in cherished by our constituents as the very palladrum of their prosperity and happiness, and what position by the prosperty and approximation what-over ignorant function may observed in the most diligent Committee are fully assured, upon the most diligent observation and reflection on the subject that the South does not possess within her limits a blessing with sours occa not possesse within her limits a blessue, with which the affections of he year leaves octorly entoined and so completely enflored, and whose value is more highly appreciated, than that which we are now considering.

"It may not be impraper here to remark, that during the last session of Congress, where a Sounter from

ring Ho last session in Congress, when a Sonatur from Mass shapil proposed the accumulationard of Texina Mass shapil proposed the accumulation of Texina members of that body more ready to a good to good spot accumulation of that body more ready to a good to good to good the state of the sta aure; and, moore, he. Wenter has been one manning, la a public speech recently delivered in New York, to many thousand clitzons, to declaye that the reason that influenced his opposition was his abberrauce to Flavory in the South, and that it inight, in the event of its recognition, become a slaveholding State. He also spoke of the efforts making in favor of Abultius; and that being predicated upon, and aided by the powerful influence of religious feeling, it would become irresistible and everwhelming.

"This language, coming from so distinguished an individual as Mr. Webstor, so familiar with the feelings of the North and entertaining so high a respect for public sentiment in New England, speaks se plainly

the voice of the North as not to be misunderstood. "We sincorely hope there is enough good sonse and genuine love of country among our fellow-counand genuine towe or country among our renow-coun-trymon of the Northern States, to secure us final jus-tice on this subject; you we cannot consider it safe or expedient for the poople of the South to entirely dis-regard the efforts of the families, and the opinious of such men as Webster, and others who countenance such dangerous dectrines.

"The Northern States have no interests of their own which require any special safeguards for their defense, save only their domestic manufactures; and God knows they have already received protection from Government on a most liberal scale; under which en-Government on z most I bleval scale; under which on-couragement two have improved and floatished be-yood example. The south has every president interest body thresheed.

"Your Committee are fully persuadest that this pre-ticular to her best interests with the efforded by the en-nexation of Texas; on equipoise of influence in the half of Congress with security chicks with fernish has

a permanent guarantee of protection."

The speech of Mr. Adams, exposing the whole system of duplicity and perfidy toward Mexico. had marked be conduct of our Government; and the emphatic expressions of opposition which began to come up from all parties in the Free States, however, for a time, nearly silenced the clamors of the South for annexation, and the people of the North have been lulled into the belief, that the project is nearly, if not wholly abandoned, and that, at least, there is now no serious danger of its consummation.

Believing this to be a false and dangerous security; that the project has never been aban-doned a moment, by its originators and abettors, but that it has been deferred for a more favorable moment for its accomplishment, we refer to a few evidences of more recent development upon which this opinion is founded.

The last Election of President of the Republic "But we hasten to suggest the importance of the of Texas, is understood to have turned, mainly, annexation of Texas to this Republic upon grounds upon the question of annexation or no annexations or no annexation so that the combination of an one of the combination of the combin of Texas, is understood to have turned, mainly, The severeign States of Alabama, Tennessee, and Mississippi, have recently adopted Resolutions, some, it not all of them, unanimously, in favor of annexation, and forwarded them to Congress.

The Hou. HENRY A. WISE, a member of Congrees from the Diatrict in which our present Chief Maghtrate resided when elected Vice-President, and who is understood to be more intimately acquainted with the views and designs of the pretration than any other member of mont adm Congress, most distinctly avowed his desire for, and expectation of nunexation, at the last session of Congress. Among other things, he said, in a speech delivered January 25, 1842

"True, if Iowa be added on the one side, Flerida will be added on the other. But there the equation must stop. Let one more Northern State be admitted, and the equilibrium is gone-gone forever. The butance of interests is gone-the safeguard of Amorlana property of the American Constitution—of the American Union, vanished into this atr. This must be the inevitable result, unless by a treety with Mexico, the BREWIADD FORM, ADD MORE WRIGHT TO HER END OF THE LEVER! Let the South stop at the Stabine, the east-can boundary of Texan, while the North may aproved unch-oked beyond the Rocky Monutains, and the BRUVINENS SOALM MUST KICK THE BRAM!"

Finding difficulties, perhaps, in the way of a cession by Treaty, in mother speech delivered in April, 1842, on a motion made by Mr. Linn, of N. Y., to strike out the salary of the Minister to Mexico, on the ground that the design of the Executive, in making the appointment, was to accomplish the annexation of Texas, Mr. Wise said, "he enruestly hoped and trusted that the Presidont was as desirous (of annexation) as he was ropresented to be. We may well suppose the President to be in favor of it, as overy wise statesman must be who is not governed by fanuticism, or local sectional prejudices."

He said of Texas, that "While she was as a State, weak and almost pow-erless in resisting invasion, she was herself irresistierress in resisting invasion, sue was nerself irresisti-ble sa in invading and a conq.e-ing power. She had but a sparse population, and neither mon nor money of her own, to raise and equip an army fur her own defense, but let her once raise the flag of foreign conquest-let her once proclaim a crusade against the rich States to the south of her, and in a moment volunteers would flock to her standard in crowds. frem all the States in the great valley of the Mississippi-men of enterprise and valor, before whom no Mexican troops could stand for an hour. They would leave their own towns, arm themselves, and travel on their own cost, and would come up in thousands to plant the lone stir of the Texan benner on the Mexican capitol. They would drive Sami Auna to the South, and the boundless wealth of captured towns, and rifled churches and a law there. and use conductes weattn or captured towns, and rifled churches, and a lazy, victous, and luxurious priest-hood, would soon enable Texas to pay her suldery, and redeem her State debt, and pu's her victorious arms to the very shores of the Paulic. And would not all this extend the bounds of Blazzy i Xes, the not all this extenu the bounds of Slavery I Yes, the renalt would be, that before another quatter of a century, the extension of Slavery would not stop short of the Western Ocean. We had but two alternatives before us; either to receive Texas into our fraternity of States, and thus make her our own, or to tease her to conquer Mexico, and become our most dangerous and formidable trival.

Journatable rival.

"To talk of restraining the people of the great Valley from emigrating to join bor armies, was all my ania; and it was equality value to calculate on their defeat by any Maxima forces, aided by England or not defeat by any Maxima forces, aided by England or not defeat by any Maxima forces, and the supplication of them, after winning that glorious fields, had peaceably returned to their homes. But once set before met the conquest of the rich Maxima provinces, and your might as well attempt to stop the wind. This Government of the peace of the rich Maxima provinces, and they then the conquest of the rich Maxima provinces, and they may be a supplied to the peace of the rich Maxima provinces, and you then back, and they would run over them like a herd of buffalo." of buffalo.

"Nothing could keep these booted loafers from rushing on, till thoy kicked the Spaulsh priests out of the

nexation; at all events, he would risk it with the De-

mocracy of the North.
"Sir" said Mr. W., "It is not only the duty of the Government to demand the Equidation of our chann, and the liberation of our children, but to go further, and demand the non-investon of Texas. Shall we sit attle while the standard of Insurrection is raised on Gar borders and lot a horde of slaves, and Indians, and Mexicans r. Il up to the boundary line of Arkanous and Lexistana? No. It is out duty at once to say to Mexico, 'If you strike Texas, you strike va,' and if England, standing by should dare to intermeddle, and suger should be, 'Yes, and against you.'

" Such, he would let gentlemen know, was the spirit of the woods people of the great valley of the West.

Several other members of Congress, in the same debate, expressed similar views and desires, and they are still more frequently expressed in conversation.

The Hon, Tho's W. Gilmen, a member of Congress from Virginla, and formerly a Governor of that State, numbered as one of the "Guard," and of course understood to be in the counsels of the Cubinet, in a letter bearing date the 10th day of January last, originally designed as a private and confidential letter to a friend, gives it as his deliberate opinion, after much examination and reflection, that Texas will be annexed to the Union; and he euters into a spacious argument, and presents a variety of reasons in favor of the measure. He says, among other things:

WASHINGTON, Jan. 10tji, 1843.

"DEAR SIR-You ask if I have expressed the opinion, that Texas would be annexed to the United States. I she wer, yes; and this opinion has not been states. I she wer, yes, and so do do not a sade of the which treflection, or without a caroful observation of causes, which I believe are raidy bringing about this result. I do not know how far these crue-s have made the same impression on others; but I am persuaded that the time is not distant when they will be felt in all their force. The excitement which you spprehead, may arise; but it will be temporary, and in the end, salutary."

Ho dodges the Constitutional objections as follows:

"I am, as you know, a strict constructionist of the powers of our fedoral Government; and I do not ad-mit the force of more precedent to establish authority under written constitutions. The power conferred the Constitution over our foreign relations, and the repeated acquisitions of territory under it, seem to mo to leave this question open as one of expediency."

"But you anticipate objections with regard to the subject of Slavery. This is indeed a subject of extreme subject of Slavery. This is indeed a subject of extreme deciracy, but it is one on which the ameration of Texas will have the most salutary influence. Some have thought that the proposition would endanger our Union. I am of a different opinion. I believe it will bring about a better understanding of our relative rights and obligations."

In conclusion, he says:

"Having acquired Louisiana and Florida, we have an interest and a frontier on the Gulf of Mexico. and along our interior to the Pacific, which will not peralong our interior to the Pacific, which will not permit us to close our year, with do nrains with indifference to the evonts which a few years ray disclose in that quarter to the the permit which a few years ray disclose in that quarter for the permit which a few years ray disclose on arise, under our recenue laws, and on other points of mocessary interconces, which it will be difficult to adjust. The institutions of Treas, and her relations with other points of the disclose of the permit which are governments are yet or in their constant as well as the first of the permit when the permit well as the permit well as the permit when the permit well as the permit well as the permit when the permit when the permit well as the permit when the permit well as the permit when the permit well as the permit when the pe inclines her pecole (who are our countrymen.) to unite their destinies with ours. This must be done soon, or not at all. There are numerous tribes of Indians along both frontiers, which can easily become the cause or the instrument of border wars. cause or the instrument of border wars. Our own population is pressing onward to the Pacific. No power can restrain it. The pioneer from our Atlantic seaboard will so in kirdle his fires, and erect his cabiu. ing on, till they kicked the Spanish priests out of the tamples they profamed."

Mr. W, proceeded to insist that a majority of the people of the United States were in favor of the anbeautiable as the current of the Mississippi. difficattion will man, lifts mountains interposed,"
"Make encuries of nations, Wide's now, ithe kindred draps, Might mingle late oue."

"Truly yours, "THOMAS W. GILMER."

The impoverished condition of Texas, her inability to raise and sustain troops to defend herself against invasion for any length of time, and her want of character and credit abroad, are urged as reasons for inmediate annexation, and the equinion has been frequently expressed, by those who feel a deep interest in this subject, that it would take place AT A VERY KARLY DAY IN THE NEXT SESSION OF CONGRESS !

At the present session, the Resolutions of the State of Alabama, in favor of annexation, and sundry petitions and rommstrances against it, were referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations. A mejority of the Committee, consisting of mombers from the slaveholding States, refused to consider and report upon the subject, and di-rected Mr. Adams, their Chairman, to report a resolution, asking to be discharged from the further consideration of the subject, which he did on the 28th day of February. At the same time, Mr. Adams asked, as an individual member of the Committee, fer leave to present the following resolutions:

" Resolved. That by the Constitution of the United States, no power is delegated to their Congress, or to any department or departments of their Governmont, to affix to this Union any foreign State, or the people theroof.

"Resolved. That any attempt of the Government of the United States, by an act of Congress, or by treaty, to annex to this Union the Republic of Texas, or the people thereof, would be a violation of the Constitution of the United States, null and vold, and to which the Free States of this Union, and their people, ought not to submit."

Objections being made, the resolutions were not received : the Southern members showing a disinclination to have the subject agitated in the House at present. Might it not be considered as savoring too much of a violation of private confidence, we could refer to various declarations of persons high in office in the national government, avow-ing a fixed determination to bring Texas into the Union, declaring that they had assurances of the aid of the Free States to accomplish the object, and insisting that they prefer a dissolution of the Union to the rejection of Texas, expressing, however, at the same time, their confidence, that if the annexation could be effected, the people of the Free States would submit to it, and the institutions of the Slave States would be secured and perpetuated. Contenting ourselves, however, with the above brief glance at some of the most prominent evidence in relation to the subject, we submit to you whether the project of annexation seems to be abandoned, and whether there be not the most imminent danger of its speedy accom-plishment, unless the entire mass of the people in the Free States become aroused to a conviction of this danger, and speak out, and act in reference to it, in a manner and with a voice not to be misunderstood, either by the people of the Slave States, or their own public servants and Representatives.

Although perfectly aware that many important and controlling objections to annexation exist aside from the question of Slavery, we have in this address confined ourselves principally to that, because of its paramount importance, and because the advocates of annoxation distinctly place it upon that ground—most of the specious arguments and reasons in favor of annexation, with which its advocates attempt to gild the pill for Northern palates, are just about as sincere and substantial as were those of Mr. Wise in the

speech above referred to, in which he labored a long time to convince Northern philanthrapists that they would best promote the objects they had in view, by theoring annexation, that they might have Slavery in Texas within the power and control of our own government, that they might abolish it or mitigate its coils, he himself being an advocate of perpetual Slavery, and among the very foremest to trample upon the right of petition itsolf! 1

None can be so blind now, as not to know that the real design and abject of the South is, to "ADD NEW WEIGHT TO HER END OF THE LEVER." It was upon that ground that Mr. Webster placed his opposition, it his speech on that subject in New-York, in March, 1637. In that speech after stating that he saw insurmountable objections to the amexation of Toxas, that the purchase of Louisiann and Florida furnished no procedent for it, that the cases were not parallel, and that no much policy or necessity as led to that, required the annexation of Texas, he said :

"Gentlemen, we all see, that by whomseover pos-sessed, Texas is likely to be a slaveholding country; and I frankly avon my entire unwlittingness to do anything which shall extend the Slavery of the African race on thin continent, or add other slaveholding States to the Union. When I say that I regard Sla-very in itself a great moral, seein, and political cyli, I only use language which has been adopted by dis-tingulated men, themselves citizens of shycholding States. I shall do nothing, therefore, to favor or encourage its further extension.'

And again, be said:

"In my opinion, the people of the United States will not consent to bring a Lew, vastly extensive, and slavoholding country, large enough for balf a dozon or a dozon States, into the Union. In My OPINION THAN TOOL THAT TO TO COSERT TO IT. Indeed I am THRY OUGHT NOT TO CONSERT TO IT. Indeed I am altogether at a loss to concelve what possible benefit any part of this country can expect to derive from such annovation. All benefit, to any part, is at least doubtful and noortain, the objections obvious, plain,

In conclusion he said:

"I see, therefore, no political necessity for the an-nexation of Texas to the Union; no advantages to be derived from it; and objections to it of a strong, and, in my judgment, decisive character.
"I believe it to be for the interest and happiness

of the whole Union, to remain as it is, without diminution and without addition.

We hold that there is not only "no political necessity" for it, "no advantages to be derived from it," but that there is no constitutional power delegated to any department of the national gov-ernment to authorize it; that no act of Congress, or treaty, for annexation, can impose the least obligation upon the several States of this Union to submit to such an unwarrantable act, or to receive into their family and fraternity such misbegotten and illegitimate progeny.

We hesitate not to say, that annexation, effected by any act or proceeding of the Federal Government, or any of its departments, would be a identificat with Dissolution It would be a violation of our national compact, its objects, designs, and the great elementary principles which entered into its formation, of a character so deep and fundamental_and would be an attempt to eternize an institution and a power of a nature

so najust in themselves, so injurious to the interonto and ulthorrent to the feelings of the people of the Free States, as, in our opinion, not only in-ovitably to result in a dissolution of the Union, but fully to justify it; and we not only assert that the people of the Free States "ought not to submit to It," but we say, with confidence, TRET WOULD NOT SUBJECT TO IT. We know their presout temper and spirit on this subject too well to believe for a moment that they would become particeps criminis lumny such subtle contrivance for the irremediable perpetuation of AN INSTITU-TION, which the wiscest and best men who formed our Federal Constitution, as well from the Slave no the Free States, regarded as an evil and a curse, soon to become extinct under the operation of laws to be passed, prohibiting the Slave Trade, and the progressive influence of the principles of the Revolution

To prevent the success of this neferious project to preserve from such gross violation the Constitution of our country, adopted expressly " to scenre the blessings of liberty," and not the per-petuation of Slavery and to prevent the speedy and violent dissolution of the Union ... we myite you to unite, without distinction of party, in an immediate expression of your views on this subject, in such manner as you may doesn best cal-culated to answer the end proposed.

JOHN QUINCY ADAMS, SE'(H M. GATES. WILLIAM SLADM, WILLIAM B. CALHOUN, JOSHUA R. GIDDINGS, SHERLOCK J. ANDREWS, NATHANIEL B. BORDEN, THO'S C. CHITTENDEN, JOHN MATIOUKS CHRISTOPHER MORGAN, JOSHUA M. HOWARD, VICTORY BIRDSEYE, HILAND HALL.

Washington, March 3rd, 1843.

[Notz._The above Address was drawn up by Hon, Seth M. Gutes of New York, at the suggestion of John Quincy Adams, and sent to Members of Congress at their residences, after the close of the session, for their signatures. Many more than the above approved heartily of its positions and objects, and would have signed it, but for its premature publication, through mistake. Mr. Winthrop of Mass, was one of these, with Gov. Briggs, of course: Mr. Fillmore declined signing

The letters of Messrs. Clay and Van Buren, taking ground against annexation, without the consent of Mexico, as an act of bad faith and aggression, which would necessarily result in war, which appeared in the spring of 1844, make slight allusions, if any, to the Slavery aspect of the case. In a later letter, Mr. Clay declared that he did not oppose annexation on account of Slavery, which he regarded as a temporary institution, which, therefore, ought not to stand in the way of a permanent acquisition. And, though Mr. Clay's last-letter on the subject, prior to the election of 1844, reiterated and emphasized all his objections to annexation under the existing circumstances, he did not include the existence of Slavery.

The defeat of Mr. Van Buren, at the Bal-

timore Nominating Convention-Mr. Polk being selected in his stend, by a body which had been supposed pledged to renominate the ex-President -- excited considerable feeling, especially among the Democrats of New York. A number of their leaders united in a letter, termed the "Secret Circular," advising their brethren, while they supported Polk and Dallas, to be careful to vote for candidates for Congress who would set their faces as a flint against annexation. Here is the circular;

" Six---You will, doubtless, agree with us, that the late Baltimare Convention placed the Demoeratic Party, at the North, in a position of great difficulty. We are constantly reminded that it rejected Mr. Van Buren, and nominated Mr. Polk, for reasons connected with the immediate annexation of Torns-reasons which had no relation to the principles of the party. Nor was that all. The Convention went beyond the authority dolegated to its members, and adopted a resolution on the subject of Texas (a subject not before the country when they were elected, upon which, therefore, they were not instructed), which seeks to interpolate into the party creed a new doctrine, hitherte unknown among us, at war with some of our established principles, and abhorrent to the opinions and feelings of a great majority of Northern freemen. In this position, what was the party at the North te de? Was it to reject the nominations, and abandon the contest? or should it support the nominations, rejecting the untenable doctrine interpolated at the Convontion, and taking care that their support should be accompanied by such an expression of their opin-ion as to provent its being misinterpreted? The latter alternative has been preferred, and we think wisely; for we conceive that a proper ex-pression of their opinion will save their votes hom miscenstruction, and that proper offerts will secure the nemination of such Members of Congress as will reject the unwarrantable scheme now pressed upon the country. "With these views, assuming that you feel on

this subject as we do, we have been desired to address you, and invite the cooperation of yourself and other friends throughout the State:

"1st.—In the publication of a joint letter, de-claring your purpose to support the nomination, rejecting the resolutions respecting Texas. "2nd.—In premoting and supporting at the noxt elections the nomination for Congress of

such persons as concur in these opinions.

"If your views in this matter coincide with ours, please write to some one of us, and a draught of the proposed letter will be forwarded for examination.

nation.

"Very respectfully,
"GEO. P. BARKER.
WILLIAM C. BEYANT,
J. W. EDWONDA.
DAVID DUDLEY FIELD,
TH-50DORE SEG-WICK,
THOMAS W. TUCKER,
ISAAC TOWNEEND."

Silas Wright, then a Senator of the United States, and who, as such, had opposed the Tyler Treaty of Annexation, was now run for Governor, as the only man who could carry the State of New York for Polk and Dallas. In a democratic speech at Skaneateles, N. Y., Mr. Wright had recently declared that he could never consent to Annexation on any terms which would give Slavery an advantage over Freedom. This sentiment was refterated and amplified in a n great Convention of the Democracy, which met at Herkimer, in the natumn of this year.

The contest proceeded with great carnest ness throughout the Free States, the supparters of Pulk and of Birmy (the Abalition camildate for President), fully agreeing in the assertion that Mr. Clay's position was equally favorable to Annexation with Mr. Polk's. Mr. Birney, in a lotter published on the eve of the Election, declared that he regarded Mr. Clay's election as more favorable to Annexation than Mr. Polk's, because, while canally inclined to fortify and extend Slavery, he possessed more ability to influence Congress in its favor. He says:

"I have no reasons for opposing Mr. Clay on personal grounds. On the contrary, the intercourse we have had has been of the most friendly character. I oppose his election, hecause he disbelieves the great political truths of the Declaration of Independence, the foundation of all just government, and because he repudiates the paragovernment, and accusion or opinionae in para-mount objects of the Union, the perpetuation of liberty to all. On the same ground, I oppose the election of Mr. Colk. But I more deprecent the olection of Mr. Clay—because, possessing abili-tics superior to Mr. Polks, he would proportion ties supposed at the infinence of the state ately worken the influence of those truths on the

Before this time, but as yet withheld from, and unknown to, the public, Mr. Calhoun, now President Tyler's Secretary of State, and an early and powerful advocate of Annexation, had addressed to Hon. Wm. R. King, our Embassador at Paris, the following official dispatch :

Mr. Calhoun to Mr. King.

"DEPARTMENT OF STATE, Washington, August 12, 1844.

"SIR—I have laid your dispatch, No. 1, before the President, who instructs me to make known to you that he has read it with much pleasure, especially the portion which relates to your corespecially the portion which relates to your cor-dial reception by the King, and his assurance of friendly feelings toward the United States. The President, in particular, highly appreciates the declaration of the King, that, in no ovent, would any steps be taken by his government in the any steps he taken by his government in the many steps are taken by the government in the like United States just cause of complaint. It was the more cratifying from the fact, that our was the more gratifying from the fact, that our previous information was calculated to make the impression that the government of France was prepared to units with Great Britain in a joint protest against the annexation of Texas, and a oint effort to induce her Government to withjoint effort to induce her Government to with draw the proposition to nunce, on condition that the proposition to the proposition to the pro-tessor of the proposition of the pro-tessor of the proposition of the pro-dependent of the proposition of the pro-tessor of the pro-t would be pursued with unabated vigor, and in giving your opinion that a decided majority of the American people were in its favor, and that it would certainly be annexed at no distant day. I feel confident that your anticipation will be fully realized at no distant period.

"Every day will tend to weaken that cambi-nation of political causes which led to the opposi-tion of the measure, and to strengthen the conviction that it was not only expedient, but just and necessary.

" You were right in multing the distinction between the interests of France and England in reference to Texas—or rather, I should say, the apparent interests of the two countries. France cannot possibly have any other than commercial interests in desiring to see her preserve her sepsrate independence, while it is certain that England looks beyond, to political interests, to which she apparently attaches much importance. But, in our opinion, the interest of both against the measure is more apparent than real; and that neither France, England, nor even Mexico herself, has any in apposition to it, when the subject is fairly viewed and considered in its whole extent, and in all its bearings. Thus viewed and considered, and assuming that peace, the extension of como, and accurity, are objects of primary policy with them, it may, as it seems to me, he readily shown that the policy on the part of those powers which would acquiesce in a measure so strongly desired by both the United States and Texas, for their mutual welfare and safety, as the annoxation of the latter to the former, would be far more promotive of those great objects than that which would attempt to resist it.

"It is impossible to cast a look at the map of the United States and Texas, and to note the long, artificial and inconvenient line which divides them, and to take into consideration the extraordinary increase of population and growth of the former, and the source from which the latter must derive its inhabitants, institutions, and laws, without coming to the conclusion that it is thoir destiny to be united, and of course, that Annexation is merely a question of time and modo. Thus regarded, the question to be decided would seem to be, whether it would not be better to permit it to be done now, with the rantual con-sent of both parties, and the acquiescence of these powers, than to attempt to resist and defeat

"If the former course be adopted, the certain fruits would be the preservation of peaco, great extension of commerce by the rapid settlement and improvement of Texas, and increased security, especially to Mexico. The last, in reference to Mexico, may be doubted; but I hold it not less along the the the text. less clear than the other two.

"It would be a great mistake to suppose that this Government has any hostile feelings toward Moxico, or any disposition to aggrandize itself at her expense. The fact is the very reverse. "It wishes her well, and desires to see her set-

tled down in peace and security; and is prepared, in the event of the Annexation of Texas, if not forced into conflict with her, to propose to settle with her the question of boundary, and all others growing out of the Annexation, on the most libe-ral terms. Nature herself has clearly marked the boundary between her and Texas by natural limits, too strong to be mistaken. There are few countries whose limits are so distinctly marked; and it would be our desire, if Texas should be united to us, to see them firmly established, as the most certain means of establishing permanent peace between the two countries, and strengthening and cemonting their friendship. Such would be the certain consequence of permitting the An-nexation to take place now, with the acquiescence of Mexico; but very different would be the case if it should be attempted to resist and defeat it, whether the attempt should be successful for the present or not. Any attempt of the kind would, not improbably, lead to a conflict between us and Mexico, and involve consequences, in reference to her and the general peace, long to be deplored. on both iddes, and difficult to be repulred. But, abould that not he income, and the interference of another power defeat the Annoxation for the present, without the interruption of posses, it would not postpone the conflict and render it more fleree and bloody whenever It might occur. "Its defeat would be attributed to emaily and

"The defeat would be attributed to cumity and ambition out the part of that power by whose interforment it was occasioned, and excite deep joinoney and resonated; on long to the report, who would be ready to noize the first favorable of the partial of the sent. It is not difficult to see how greatly such a coullet, come when it might, would confine the general peace, and how much Moxico might be the lower by it.

"In the mean time, the condition of Texas would he rendered moortain, her actitument and prosperity in consequence reforded, and her conserved in the consequence reforded, and her conserved reforded, while the general peace would be rendered much more insecure. It could not happened to the greatly affect in. If the Amorsation of Texas now, one it would, without the interference of other powers, the energies of our people would, for a long time to come, be directed to the peace oble pursuits of redeening and bringing within the pale of cultivation, improvement, and ovirisation, that large portion of the continuent lying between Moxico on one side and the British pessessions on the other particular to the peace of the peace o

"It is our destiny to occupy that was region to intersect it with roads and canals; to fill it with office, towns, villagees, and farms; to extend over it our roligion, oustoms, constitution, and laves, to the roligion of the control of the control of the control of the domains of commerce and civilization. It is our policy to increase by growing and sproading out into unoccupied regions, assimilating all we incorporate: in a word, to increase by accretion, and not through conquest, by the additional control of the control

"No systom can be more unsuited to the latter process, or better adapted to the former, than our admirable federal system. If it should not be resisted in its course, it will probably fulfill its destiny without disturbing our neighbors, or putting in jespanty the general peace; but if it to would be given to our energy, much less flowering to the more proposed to the general peace of the world.

"The change would be undesirable to us, and "The change would be undesirable to us, and

"The change would be undesirable to us, and much less in accordance with what I have assumed to be primary objects of policy on the part of France, England, and Mexico.

"But, to descend to particulars: it is certain that while England, like France, desires the independence of Texas, with the view to commercial connections, it is not less so that, one of the loading motives of England for desiring it, is the hope that, through bor diplomacy that the three thre

ments, farulaires proof und less conclusive. That one of the obligates of adolbhing it there is to a facilitate its abolition in the United Stotes, and throughout the countest, to mentifest from the declaration of the Abalitian party and scotteries both in this country and the England. In fact, there is good reason to believe that the octions of abulaing it in Texas, with a view to it is the litter in the United States, and over the contribution in the United States, and over the contribution of the party in the United States, und was first breached by them in the (so called) World's Convention, their litter in the year 1849, and through its agency brought to the notice of the British Government.

"Now, I hold, not only that France can bays no interest in the consummation of this ground scheme, which England hopes to accomplish through Texas, if she can defeat the Amexation, but that her interests, and those of all the Continental powers of Europe are directly and

deoply opposed to it.

"It is too late in the day to contend that humanity or philanthropy is the great object of the policy of England in attempting to abolish Afri-ous Slavory on this Continent. I do not question but hummity may have had a considerable influence in abulishing Slavory in her West India pessessions, aided, indeed, by the fallacions cal-culation that the labor of the Negroes would be at least as profitable, if not more so, in conse-quence of the measure. She acted on the principle that tropical products can be produced cheaper by free African labor and East India labor, than by slave labor. Sho knew full well the value of such products to hor commerce, navigation, navy, manufacturers, revenue, and powor. one was not ignorant that the apport and maintenance of her political prependerance depended on her tropical possessions, and had no intention of diminishing their productiveness, nor any anticipation that such would be the of-fect, when the scheme of abelishing Slavery in her colonial possessions was adopted. On the contrary, she calculated to combine philauthropy with profit and power, as is not unusual with tanaticiem. Experience has convinced her of the fallacy of her calculations. She has failed in all her objects. The labor of her Negroes has proved far less productivo, without affording the consolation of having improved their condition. "The experiment has turned out to be a costly

She expended nearly one hundred millions of dollars in indemnifying the owners of the eman cipated Slaves. It is estimated that the increased price paid since, by the people of Great Britain, for sugar and other tropical productions, in conscquence of the measure, is equal to half that sum; and that twice that amount has been expended in the suppression of the Slave-trade; making together two hundred and fifty millions of dollars as the cost of the experiment. Instead of realizing her hope, the result has been a sad disappointment. Her tropical products have fallen off to a vast amount. Instead of supplying her own wants, and those of nearly all Europe with thom, as formerly, she has now, in some of the most important articles, scarcely enough to supply her own. What is worso, her own colonies are actually consuming sugar produced by Slave-labor, brought direct to England, or refined in bond, and exported and sold in her colonics as cheap, or cheaper, than can be produced there; while the Slave-trade, instead of diminishing, has been in fact carried on to a greater extent than over. So disastrous has been the result, that her fixed capital invested in tropical possessions, esti-mated at the value of nearly five hundred millions of dellars, is said to stand on the brink of

"But this is not the worst; while this costly

scheme has had such rainous effects on the tropi- | stripped her in consequence of her error. In purcal productions of Great Britain, It has glyon a powerful stimulas, followed by a corresponding nicrease of products, to those countries which had had the good sense to shim her example. has been vested, it has been estimated by thom, in the production of tropical products, since 1808, in fixed capital, nearly \$4,000,000,000, wholly dopendent on Slave-labor. In the same period, the value of their products has been estimated to have figure from about \$72,000,000, annually, to nearly \$220,000,000; while the whole of the fixed cupital of Great Britain, vested in cultivating tropical products, both in the East and West Indies, is estimated at only about \$830,000,000, and the value of the products annually at about \$58,000,008. To present a stilt more striking view of three articles of tropical products (sugar, coffee, and cotton), the British possessions, including the East and West Indies, and Mauritius, produced in 1842, of sugar, only 3,993,774 pounds; while Caba, Brazil, and the United States, excluding other countries having tropical possessions, produced 4,600,000 pounds; of collec, the British sessions produced only 27,393,003 pounds, white Cuba and Brazil produced 201,500,125 pounds; and of cotton, the British possessions, including shipments to China, only 137,443,446 pounds, while the United States alone produced 790,479,275 pounds.

"The abevo facts and estimate have all been drawn from a British periodical of high standing and authority," and are believed to be outitled to

"Tho vast increase of the capital and production on the part of those nations, who have con-tinued their former policy toward the negro race, compared with that of Groat Britain, indicates a corresponding relative increase of the means of commorce, navigation, manufactures, wealth, and power. It is no longer a question of doubt, that the groat source of wealth, prosperity, and power of more civilized nations of the temperate zone (especially Europe, where the arts have made the greatest advance), depends, in a great degree, on the exchange of their products with those of the tropical regions. So great has been the advance mide in the arts, both chemical and mechanical, within the few last generations, that all the old oivilized nations can, with but a small part of their labor and capital, supply their respective wants; which tends to limit, within narrow bounds, the amount of the commerce between them, and forces them all to seek for markets in the tropical regions, and the more newlysettled portions of the globe. Those who can best succeed in commanding those markets, have the least prospect of outstripping the others in the career of commerce, navigation, manufactures, wealth, and power.

Neutin, and power.

This is seen and felt by British statesmen, and has opened their eyes to the errors which they have committed. The question now with them is, how shall it be counteracted? What has been dono cannot be undone. The question is, by what means can Great Britain regain and keep a superiority in tropical cultivation, commerce, and influence? Or, shall that be abandoned, and other nations be suffered to acquire the supremacy, other nations be suitered to acquire the supremery, even to the extent of supplying British markets, to the destruction of the capital already vested in their production? These are the questions which now profoundly occupy the attention of her statesmen, and have the greatest influence over her

"In order to regain her superiority, she not only seeks to revive and increase her own capacity to produce tropical productions, but to diminish and destroy the capacity of those who have so far out-

suit of the former, she has cost her eyes to her East India possessions—to Central and Eastern Africa—with the view of establishing colonies there, and even to restore, substantially, the Slavetrade itself, under the specious vame of trans ing her free laborers from Africa to her West Indha possessions, in order, if possible, to compete enecessfully with those who have refused to follow her sulcidal policy. But these all afford but un-certain and distant hopes of recovering her lost superiority. Her main reliance is on the other ulternative—to cripple or destroy the productions of her successful rivals. There is but one way by which it can be done, and that is by ubelishing African Slavery throughout this continent; and that she openly avews to be the countant object of her policy and exertions. It matters not how, or from what motive, it may be done-whether it bo by diplemacy, influence, or force; by secret or open means; and whether the motive be humano open mome; and whenter the motive or minimum or solidal, without regard to manner, means, or metive. The thing itself, abould it be accomplished, would put down all rivulry, and give her the midisprited supremacy in supplying her own wants, and those of the rest of the world; and thereby mere than fally retrieve what she lost by her errors. It would give her the monepely of trepical productions, which I shall uext proceed to show.

"What would be the consequence if this object of her unceasing solicitude and exertions should of her unclaiming sometimes and carrians seasons to offected by the abeliation of Negro Slavory throughout this continent, some idea may be formed from the immense diminution of productions, as has been shown, which has fellowed abeliation in her West Italian possessions. But, as great as that has been, it is nothing compared with white yound he than offer it is including compared. with what would be the effect, if she should suc-ceed in abelishing Slavery in the United States, Cuba, Brazil, and throughout this continent. The experiment in her own colonies was made under the most favorable circumstances. It was brought about gradually and pouceably by the stendy and firm operation of the parent country, armed with complete power to prevent or crush at once all insurrectionary movements on the part of the negroes, and able and disposed to maintain to the full, the political and social ascendancy of the former Musters over their former Slaves. It is not at all wondorful that the change of the relations of Master and Slave took place, under such circumstances, without violence and bloodshed, and that order and peace should have been since pre-served. Very different would be the result of abolition, should it be effected by her influence addition, should it be energiably her innucates and exercisons in the possessions of other countries on this continent—and especially in the United States, Coh, and Brazil, the great cultivators of the principal tropical products of America. To form a correct conception of what would be the result with them, we must look, not to Jamaica, but to St. Domingo, for example. The change out to St. Domingo, for example. The change would be followed by unforgiving hate between the two races, and end in a bloody and deadly struggle between them for the superiority. One or the other would have to be subjugated, extirpated, or expelled; and desolation would over-spread their territories, as in St. Domingo, from which it would take centuries to recover. end would be, that the superiority in cultivating

end would be, that the superiority in cuttivating the great tropical staples would be transferred from them to the British tropical possessions.

"They are of vest extent, and those beyond the Cape of Good Hope, possessed and those beyond the Cape of Good Hope, possessed of an unlimited amount of large standards and the state of the Cape of Good Hope, possessed on the limited to the Cape of Good Hope, possessed on the limited the Cape of Good Hope, possessed on this continent, as soon as the increased prices, in consequence, would yield a profit. It is the

successful competition of that labor which keeps the prices of the great trapled stuples so low us to prevent their caltivation with profit in the possessions of Great Britain, by what she is

pleaced to call free labor.

" If she can destroy its competition, she would have a monopoly of these productions. She has all the means of farnishing on unlimited supply -vust and fertile possessions in both Indies, houndless command of capital and labor, and ample power to suppress disturbances and preserve order throughout her wide domain.

"It is auquestionable that she regards the abalition of Slavery in Texas as a most import-ant step toward this great object of pelicy, so much the aim of her solicitude and exertions; and the detect a the American of Texas to our Union as indivensable to the abolition of Sluvery there. She is too sugneious not to see what a fatal blow a would give to Slavery in the United State , and how certainly its abolition with us will abolish it over the whole continent, and thereby give her a menopoly in the productions of the great tropical staples, and the command of the commerce, navigation, and mann factures of the world, with an established naval ascendancy and political preponderance. To this continent, the blow would be culumitous beyoud description. It would destroy, in a great measure, the cultivation and praductions of the great tropical staples, amounting annually in value to nearly \$300,000,000, the fund which stimulates and upholds almost every other branch of its industry, commerce, navigation, and manufactures. The whole, by their joint influence, are rapidly spreading population, wealth, improve-ment and civilization over the whole continent. and vivifying, by their overflow, the industry of Europe, thereby increasing its population, wealth, and advancement in the arts, in power, and in civilization.

" Such must be the result, should Great Britain succeed in accomplishing the constant object of her desire and exertions—the abulition of Negro Slavery over this continent-and towards the effeeting of which she regards the defeat of the Annexation of Texas to our Union so important.

"Can it be possible that governments so en-lightened and sagacious as those of France and the other great continental powers, can be so blinded by the plea of philanthropy as not to see what must inevitably follow, be her motive what it may, should she succeed in her object? it may, should she succeed in he of philanthropy, little short of mockery to talk of philanthropy, with the example before us of the effects of abolishing Negro Slavery in her own colonics, in St. Domingo, and in the Northern States of our Union, where statistical facts, not to be shaken, prove that the free Negro, after the experience of sixty years, is in a far worse condition than in the other States, where he has been left in his former condition. No: the effect of what is called abolition, where the number is few, is not to raise the inferior race to the condition of freemen, but to deprive the Negro of the guardian care of his owner, subject to all the depression and oppression belonging to his inferior condition. But, on the other hand, where the number is great, and bears a large proportion to the whole population, it would be still worse. It would be to substitute for the existing relation a deadly strife between the two races, to end in the subjection, expulsion, or extirpation of one or the other; and such would be the case over the greater part of this continent where Negro Slavery exists. It would continent where regro stavely kasses. At wound not end there; but would, in all probability, extend, by its example, the war of races over all South America, including Mexico, and extending to the Indian as well as the African race, and make the whole one scene of blood and devastation.

"Dismboing, then, the stale and unfounded plea of philauthropy, can it be that France and the other great continental powers-seeing what must bo the result of the policy, for the accomplishment of which England is constantly exerting herself, and that the defeat of the Amexation of Texas is so important towards its consummation - ure prepared to back or canadematics her in her offerts to produce either? What possible motives can they have to favor her cheriched policy? Is it not better for them that they should be supplied with tropical products in exchange for their labor from the United States, Brazil, Cubs, and this continent generally, than to be dependent an one great monopolizing power far their supply? In it not better that they should receive them ut the low prices which competition, cheap or meuns of production, and nourness of market, would furnish them by the former, than to give tha high prices which monopaly, dear labor, and great distance from market, wanld impose? Is it not better that their labor should be exchanged with a new continent, rapidly increasing in population and capacity for consuming, and which would furnish, in the course of a few generations, a market nearer to them, and almost of nullimited extent, for the products of their indus-try and arts, than with old and distant regions, whose population has long since reached its

"The above contains those enlarged views of policy which, it seems to me, an onlightened European statesman ought to take, in making up his opinion on the subject of the Annexation of Texas, and the granuds, as it may be inferred, on which England valuely opposes it. They cortainly involvo considerations of the deepest importance, and demanding the greatest attention. Viewed in connection with them, the question of Annexation becomes one of the first magnitude, not only to Texas and the United States, but to this continent and Europe. They are presented, that you may use them on all suitable occasions where you think they may be with effect, in your correspondence, where it can be done with propricty or otherwise. The President relies with confidence on your sagneity, prudence, and zeal. Your mission is one of the first magnitude at all times, but especially now; and he feels assured that nothing will be left undone on your part to do justice to the country and the Government in reference to this measure.

"I have said nothing as to our right of treaty with Texas, without consulting Mexico. fully understand the grounds on which we rest our right, and are so familiar with all the facts necessary to maintain them, that it was thought unnecessary to add anything in reference to it.

"I am, Sir, very respectfully,
"Your obedient Servant,
"J. C. CALHOUN."
"WILLIAM R. KING, Esq., &c., &c."

The election of James K. Polk as President, and George M. Dallas as Vice-President, (Nov. 1844) having virtually settled, affirmatively, the question of annexing Texas, the XXVIIIth Congress commenced its second session at Washington on the 2nd of December, 1844-Mr. John Tyler being still acting President up to the end of the Congress, March 4th following.

Dec. 19. Mr. John B. Weller, (then member from Ohio, now Senator from California) by leave, introduced a joint resolution, No. 51, providing for the annexation of Texas to the United States, which he moved to the Committee of the Whole.

Mr. E. S. Humlin of Ohio moved a reference of said resolve to a committee of one from each State, with instructions to renort to the House.

"1st. Whether Congress has any constitutional power to name a foreign, independent nation to this Government; and if ao, by what article and section of the Constitution it is conferred; whethor it is among the powers expressly grunted, or among those which are implied; whether it is ne-cessary to carry into effect any expressly-granted power; and if so, which one.

"2d. Whother amexation of Texas would not

extend and perpetuate Slavery in the Slave States, and also, the internal slave-trade; and whother the United States Government has any constitutional power over Slavery in the States, either to perpetuate it there, or to do it away.

"3d. Whether the United States, having acknowledged the independence of Texas, Mexico is thereby deprived of her right to reconquer that

"4th. That they report whether Toxas is owing any debts or not; and, if she is, what is the amount, and to whom payable; and whether, if she should be amexed to the United States, the United States Government would be bound to

pay them all.
"5th. That they report what treaties are in existoneo between Toxas and foreign governments; and, if she should be annexed to the United States, whether the United States Government would be bound, by the law of nations, to fulfill those treaties."

The question on commitment was insisted upon, and first taken-Yeas, 109 (Democrats); Nays, 61 (Whigs); wherenpon it was held that Mr. Hamlin's amendment was defeated, and the original proposition alone committed.

Jan. 10th. 1845. Mr. John P. Hale. N. H., (then a Democratic Representative, now a Republican Senator) proposed the following as an amendment to any act or resolve contemplating the annexation of Texas to this Union :

" Provided, That immediately after the question of boundary between the United States of America and Mexico shall have been definitively settled by the two governments, and before any State formed out of the territory of Texas shall be admitted into the Union, the said territory of Texas shall be divided as follows, to wit : beginning at a point on the Gulf of Mexico, midway between the Northorn and Southern boundaries thereof on the coast; and thence by a line running in a northwesterly direction to the extreme boundary thereof, so as to divide the same as nearly as pos sible into two equal parts, and in that portion of said territory lying south and west of the line to be run as aforesaid, there shall be neither Slavery nor involuntary servitude, otherwise than in the punishment of crimes, whereof the party shall have been duly convicted.

"And provided further, That this provision shall be considered as a compact between the people of the United States and the people of the said territory, and forover remain unalterable, unless by the consent of three-fourths of the States of the Union."

Mr. Hale asked a suspension of the rules, to enable him to offer it now, and have it printed and committed. Refused-Yeas, 92, (not two-thirds;) Navs. 81.

Yeas --- All the Whigs and most of the Democrats from the Free States, with Mesers. Duncan L. Olinch and Alex, H. Stephens of Georgia, and Geo. W. Summers of Va.

Nays --- All the members from Slave States, except the above, with the following from Free States :

Maine.-Sheppard Cary-1. NEW-HAMPSHILE .- Edmund Burke, Moses Norris, jr-2. New Your. -Jumes G. Clinton, Selah B.

Strong-2.

PERNSYLVANIA.—James Black, Richard Brodhoad, Houry D. Foster, Joseph R. Jugersoll, Michael H. Jenks.—5.

Outo.—Joseph J. McDowell—1. Indiana.;—William J. Brown, John W. Davis. John Pettit-3. ILLINOIS.-Orlando B. Ficklin, Joseph P. Hoge, Robert Smith-3.

Total Democrats from Free States, 17.

Dec. 12th .- Mr. C. J. Ingersoll of Pa., from the Committee on Foreign Affairs, re-ported a Joint Resolution for annexing Texas to the Union, which was committed and discussed in Committee of the Whole from time to time, through the next

Jan. 7th .- Mr. J. P. Hale presented resolves of the Legislature of New Hampshire, thoroughly in favor of Annexation, and silent on the subject of Slavery, except as follows:

"Resolved, That we agree with Mr. Clay, that the re-anuexation of Texas will add more Free than Slave States to the Union; and that it would be unwise to refuse a permanent acquisition, which will exist as long as the globe remains, on account of a temporary institution.

Jan. 13th .- Mr. Cave Johnson of Tenn. moved that all further debate on this subject be closed at 2 P. M. on Thursday next. Carried-Yeas, 126; Nays, 57; (nearly all

the Nays from Slave States).

Jan. 25th .- The debate, after an extension of time, was at length brought to a close, and the Joint Resolution taken out of Committee, and reported to the House in the following form; (that portion relating to Slavery, having been added in Committee, on motion of Mr. Milton Brown (Whig) of Tennessee:

"Resolved, by the Senate and House of Representatives in Congress assembled, That Congress doth consent that the Territory properly gress doth consent that the heritory property included within, and rightfully belonging to, the Ropublic of Texas, may be erected into a new State, to be called the State of Texas, with a republican form of government, to be adopted by the people of said republic, by deputies in convention assembled, with the consent of the existing government, in order that the same may be admitted as one of the States of this Union.

"2. And be it further resolved, That the fore-going consent of Congress is given upon the following conditions, and with the following guaran-,

tees, to wit:
"First. Said State to be formed, subject to the adjustment by this Government of all questions of boundary that may arise with other governments; and the Constitution thereof, with the proper evidence of its adaption by the people of said Republic of Toxas, shall be transmitted to the President of the United States, to be laid before Congress for its flual action, on or before

the 1st day of Jamuary, 1846.

Second. Said State, when admitted into the Union, after ceding to the United States all publie edifices, fortifications, burracks, ports, and harborn, navy and navy-yards, docks, magazines, arms, arms, and all other property and monus perfaiting to the public defenses, belonging to the said Ropublic of Toxas, shall relating the public forms, dobts, toxes, and dues of all the bubble taileds, dobla, taxes, and dues of overy kind which may belong to, or be due or owing said republic; and shall also retail all the veneuat and mappine proprieted lands lying within its limits, to be applied to the payment of the debts and lishilities of said Republic of Texas; and the residue of said and, after discriging said debts and lishilities, to be disposed before the debt and the said the sa of as said State may direct; but in no event

upon the United States.
"Third. Now States of convenient size, not exceeding four in number, in addition to said State of Texas, and having sufficient population, may hereafter, by the consent of said State, be formed out of the Territory thereef, which shall be entitled to admission under the provisions of the Federal Constitution. And such States as may be formed out of that pertien of said Territory, lying south of thirty-six degrees thirty minutes north latitude commonly known as the Missouri Compremise line, shall be admitted into the Union, with or without Slavery, as the people of each State asking admission may desire; and in such State or States as shall be formed out of said Territory, north of suid Missouri Compro-miso line, Slavery or involuntary sorvitude (ex-cept for crimo) shall be prohibited."

Mr. Cave Johnson of Tenn, moved the previous question, which the House seconded -Yeas 113; Nays 106-and then the amendment aforesaid was agreed to-Yeas 118 ; Nays 101.

Yeas, 114 Democrats, and Messrs. Milton Brown of Tenn., James Dellet of Ala., and Duncan L. Clinch and Alex. H. Stephens

of Ga. (4), Southern Whigs. Nays, all the Whigs present from Free States, with all from Slave States, but the four just named; with the following Demo-

MAINE....Robert P. Dunlap, Hannibal Hamlin

VERMONT.—Paul Dillingham, jr.-1. NEW-HAMPSHIRE.—John P. Hale-1.

crats from Free States :

NEW-HANTSHIRE.—John P. Hale—I. CONNECTICUT.—George S. Catlin—I. NEW-YORK.—Joseph H. Anderson, Charles S. Benton, Jorenha E. Carey, Amasa Dana, Richard D. Davis, Byram Green, Preston King, Smith M. Pardy, George Rathbun, Grville Robinson, David L. Seymour, Lemuel Stetso—12. Otto.—Jacob Brinckorhoff, William C. McCauslen, Joseph Morris, Honry S. John—4. MICHORAY—James B. Hunt, Robert McClallen, Joseph Morris, Hunt, Robert McClallen, Marchard McClallen, Leman C. McClallen, Lema

land-2

Total Democrats from Free States, 23. Whigs from Free and Slave States,

The House then ordered the whole proposition to a third reading forthwith-Yeas 120; Nays 97-and passed it by Yeas 120; Nays 98.

Yeas, all the Democrate from Slave States, and all the Democrats from Free States, except as below; with Messrs. Duncan L. Clinch, Milton Brown, James Dellet, Wil-longhby Newton, of Va. (who therefrom turned Denocrat), and Alex. II. Stephens of Ga. (now Democrat), from Slave States.

Name, all the Whirs from Free States: all those from Shave States except as above; with the following Democrats from Free

States, viz. :

MAINE.--Hannibal Hamlin--1. NEW-HAMPSHIRE .- John P. Halo, John R. Roding-2

VERMONT .- Paul Dillingham, jr.-1.

Lemuel Stetsen, Horaco Whoaten, David L. Soymaur-14.

On10,-Henry St. John-1. MICHIGAN, James B. Hunt, Robert McClel-

land-2.

Total Democrats from Free States 23.

So the resolve passed the House, and was sent to the Senate for concurrence.

In Senate, several attempts to originate action in favor of Annexation were made at this session, but nothing came of them.

Feb. 24th. The joint resolution aforesaid from the House was taken up for consideration by 30 Yeas to 11 Nays (all Northern Whigs). On the 27th, Mr. Walker of Wis. moved to add an alternative proposition, contemplating negotiation as the means of effecting the meditated end.

Mr. Foster (Whig) of Tenn. proposed the following:

"And provided further, That in fixing the terms and conditions of such admission, it shall be expressly stipulated and declared, that the be expressly slipulated and declared, that the State of Texas, and such other States as may be formed out of that pertion of the present Territery of Texas lying south of thirty six deg, thirty min, north latitude, commonly known as the Missouri Compromise line, skall be admitted into the Union with or without Slavery, as the people of each State, so hereafter asking admission, may desire. And provided furthermore, That it shall be also highested and declared, that the public doubt Texas shall me so experience a charge upon the government of the United States."

The question was first taken on the first (Slavery) proviso of the foregoing, which was defeated, by Yeas and Nays, as follows:

YEAS-For the Slavery Proviso:

Messrs. Archer, Va. Jarnagin, Tenn. Barrow, La. Bayard, Dol. Johnson, La. Mangum, N. C. Merrick, Md. Berrien, Ga. Clayton, Del. Crittenden, Ky. Morenead, Ky. Moreneum Pearce, Md. Phelps, Vt. Rives, Va. Foster, Tenn. Hannegan, Ind. Huger, S. C. Sevier, Ark .-- 18.

All Whigs but three (in Italics).

NAYS—Against the Slavery Proviso:
Mosorn. Allen, Ohio. Francis, R. I.

Ashlay, Ark.
Ashlay, Ark.
Atchison, M.
Br.dy, Ala.
Br.dy, Ala.
Buten, Men.
Burten, Men.
Breete, Ind.
Buchanan, Pa.
Choate, Mans.
Souple, III.

Colquitt, Ga.
Dayton, N. J.
Dickimson, N. Y.
Dix, N. Y.
Evanus, Me.
Woodbridge, Mich.
Woodbry, N. H.—34.

The other branch of the amendment was voted down. Yeas, 20 (Whigs); Nays, 31 (25 Democrats and 6 Whigs).

Various amendments were proposed and voted down. Among them, Mr. Poster, of Tenn., moved an express stipulation that Slavery should be tolerated in all States formed out of the Territory of Texas, south of the Missouri line of 3°° 30′. Rejected—Yeas, 16 (Southern Whigs, and Sevier of Arkansas); Naya, 33.

Mr. Miller, of N. J., moved to strike out all after the enacting clause, and insert:

"That the President of the United States be, and he hereby is, authorized and advised to open negotiations with Mexico and Texas, for the adjustment of beundaries, and the annexation of the latter to the United States, on the following basis to wit.

basis, to wit:
"I. The boundary of the annexed territery to be in the desert prairie west of the Nueces, and along the highlands and mountain heights which divide the waters of the Mississippi frem the waters of the Rio del Norte, and to latitude forty-

two degrees north.

"II. The people of Texas, by a legislative act, or by any authentic act which shows the will of the majority, to express their assent to said annoxation.

"III. A State to be called 'the State of Texas,'
with beundaries fixed by herself, and an extent
not exceeding the largest State of the Union, be
admitted into the Union, by virtue of this act, on
an equal foeting with the original States.

"IV. The remainder of the annexed territory,

"IV. The remainder of the annexed territory, to be held and disposed of by the United States as one of their Territories, to be called 'the Southwest Territory.'

"V. The existence of Slavery to be ferover

prohibited in the northern and northwestern part of said Tenriory, wost of the 10th degree of latitude west from Greenwich, so as to divide, as equally as may be, the whole of the annexed country between slaveholding and non-slaveholding States.

"VI. The assent of Moxico to be obtained by "VI. The assent of Moxico to be obtained by

treaty to such annexation and boundary, or to be dispensed with when the Congress of the United States may deem such assent to be unnecessary.

Other details of the annexation to be

adjusted by treaty, so far as the same may come within the scope of the treaty-making power."

Rejected by the following vote:

YEAS—For Mr. Miller's Substitute:

Messrs. Archer of Va.
Berrien of Ga.

Evans of Me.
Francis of R. I.

Choate of Mass.
Crittenden of Ky.
Dayton of N. J.
Woodbridge of Mich.—11 (all Whigs).

NAYS -Against Mr. Miller's Substitute: Mesore, Allen, Ohio, Haywood, N. C. Ashloy, Ark. Atchison, Mo. Henderson, Miss. Huger, S. C. Atherton, N. H. Jarnagin, Tenn. Johnson, La. Bugby, Ala. Barrow, La. Lowin, Ala. McDuffle, S. C. Morrick, Md. Bouton, Mo. Breese, Ind. Buchanan, Pa. Niles, Conn. Clayton, Del. Pource, Md. Rives, Vn. Colquitt, Ga. Somple, 11 Dickinson, N. Y. Dix, N. Y. Sevier, Ark. Fairfield, Mo. Foster, Tenn. Sturgeon, Pa. Tappan, Ohio. Wulker, Wis. Hamegan, Ind. Wilker Woodbury, N. H.—33.

The Walker amendment aforesaid was carried, by Yeas 27, to Navs 25, as follows:

YEAS-For Walker's Amendment:

Messrs, Allen. Haywood, Henderson, Ashley, Atchison, Huger, Atherton. Johnson. Bagby, Lowis, Benton, McDuffio. Broese. Merrick, Buchanan, Niles Colquitt. Semplo. Diekinson, Sovier, Dix, Sturgeon, Fairfield. Tappan, Walker, Hannegan. Woodbury-27.

NAYS-Against Walker's Amendment:

Messrs. Archer, Barrow, Huntington, Jarnagin, Bates, Mangum. Bayard, Miller, Morehead, Berrien, Choate, Pearce, Ciayton, Phelps, Crittenden, Porter, Rives. Dayton. Evans, Simmons, Foster, Upham, White, Francis, Woodbridge-25.

The resolution as thus amended was adopted (Feb. 27,) by Yeas and Nays as follows:

YEAS-For the Proposition as amended: Messrs. Allen, Haywood,

Haywoed, Henderson, Ashley, Huger, Atchison, Atherton, Johnson. Bagby, Lewis, Benton, McDuffie, Breese, Merrick. Niles, Buchanan. Celquitt, Dickinson, Semple, Sevier, Dix. Sturgeon. b'airfield, Tappan, Walker, Hannegan, Water Woodbury-26.

[Yeas—All Democrats but three in italics, of whom Messrs. Henderson and Merrick have since been Democrats.]

Barrow, Jarnagin, Bates, Mangum. Miller, Bayard, Berrien, Choate, Morenead. Pearce, Pholps, Clayton Crittenden, Porter, Dayton, Evans, Rives, Simmons. Upham, White, Foster, Francis Woodbridgo-25-[all Whiga].

The joint resolve being thus returned to the House as amended by the Senate, a vote was almost immediately taken on concurring. and the amendment of the Senate was assented to-Yeas, 134; Nays, 77. [A strict party vote, except that Mr. Dellet of Alabama, (Whig) voted in the majority]. So the Annexation of Texas was decreed, and in the following terms:

JOINT RESOLUTION FOR ANNEXING TEXAS TO THE UNITED STATES.

"Resolved, by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States in Congress resendatives of the United States in Congress assembled, That Congress odth consent that the territory properly included within, and rightfully belonging to, the Republic of Texas, may be erected into a new State, to be called the State of Texas, with a Republican form of government, to the categories of the state o sent of the existing government, in order that the same may be admitted as one of the States of

"SEC. 2. And be it further resolved, That the foregoing consent of Congress is given upon the following conditions, and with the following guaranties, to wit:

"First. Said State to be formed, subject to the adjustment by this Government of all questions of boundary that may arise with other Govern-ments; and the constitution thereof, with the proper evidence of its adoption by the people of said Republic of Texas, shall be transmitted to the President of the United States, to be laid before Congress for its final action, on or before the

first day of January, one thousand eight hun-dred and forty-six.

"Second. Said State, when admitted into the Union, after edding to the United States all pub-lic edifices, fortifications, burracks, ports, and lio cidifices, fortifications, berracks, ports, and harbors, navy and navy yards, docks, magazinos, arms, armaments, and all other property and meuss pertaining to the public defense, belong-ing to the said Kepublic of Texas, shall retain all the public nads, debts, taxes, and dues of every kind which may belong to, or be due or owing said Republic, and shall also retain all the vecant of unappropriated lands lying within its limits, to be applied to the payment of the debts and liabilities of said Republic of Texas; and the residue of said lands, after discharging said debts and liabilities.

"Third. New States of convenient size, not exceeding four in number, in addition to the said State of Toxas, and having sufficient population, may horester, by the consont of said State, be formed out of the territory thereof, which shall be caltited to admission under the provision of the Federal Constitution; and such States as may be

bilities, to become a charge upon the United

NAYS—Against the proposed Annexation: South of thirty-six degrees thirty minutes north latitude, commonly known as the Missouri Commence line, shall be admitted into the Union with or without Slavery, as the people of each State asking admission may desire. And in such State or States as shall be formed out of said torritory north of said Missouri Compromise line, Slavery or involuntary servitude (except for crime) shall be prohibited.

[WALKER'S AMENDMENT-ADDED.]

"MARKET SAMEDISM" ADDISM, "And bet If further resolved, That if the President of the United States shall, in his judgment of proceeding to submit the foregoing resolution to the republic of Toxas, as an overture on the part of the United States, for admission, to negotiate with that Republic; then, "Be it resolved, That a State to be formed out the state of the state

of the present Republic of Texas, with suitable extent and boundaries, and with two representaextent and boundaries, and with two representa-tives in Congress, until the next apportionment of representation, shall be admitted into the Union by virtue of this act, on an equal footing with the existing States, as soon as the front and conditions of such admission, and the cession. of the romaining Texan territory to the United States, shall be agreed upon by the Governments of Texas and the United States.

"And beit further enacted, That the sum of one hundred thousand dollars be, and the same is heroby, appropriated to defray the expenses of missions and negotiations, to agree upon the terms of said admission and cession, either by treaty to be submitted to the Senate, or by articles to be submitted to the two Houses of Congress, as the President may direct.

"Approved, March 2, 1845."

XI.

THE WILMOT PROVISO.

TEXAS having been annexed during the summer of 1845, in pursuance of the foregoing joint resolution of the two Houses of Congress, a portion of the United States. Army, under Gen. Taylor, was, early in the Spring of 1846, moved down to the east bank of the Rio Grande del Norte, claimed by Texas as her Western boundary, but not so regarded by Mexico. A hostile collision ensued, resulting in war between the United States and Mexico.

It was early thereafter deemed advisable that a considerable sum should be placed by Congress at the President's disposal, to negotiate an advantageous Treaty of Peace and Limits with the Mexican government.

A Message to this effect was submitted by President Polk to Congress, August 8th, 1846, and a bill in accordance with its suggestions laid before the House, which proceeded to consider the subject in Committee of the Whole. The bill appropriating \$30,000 for immediate use in negotiations with Mexico, and placing \$2,000,000 more at the dis-posal of the President, to be employed in making peace, Mr. David Wilmot, of Paafter consultation with other Northern Democrats, offered the following Proviso, in addition to the first section of the bill :

" Provided, That as an express and fundamentformed out of that portion of said territory lying al condition to the acquisition of any torritory from the Republic of Mexico by the United States, by virtuo of any treaty which may be negotiated between them, and to the use by the Executive of the moneys horein appropriated, neither Slavory nor involuntary servitude shall over exist in any part of said torritory, except for crimo, whereof the party shall first be duly convicted."

This proviso was carried in Committee, by the strong vote of eighty-three to sixtyfour-only three Members (Democrats) from the Free States, it was said, opposing it. [No record is made of individual votes in Committee of the Whole.] The bill was then reported to the House, and Mr. Rathbun of N. Y. moved the previous question on its engrossment.

Mr. Tibbatts of Ky. moved that it do Defeated - Yeas 79; lie on the table Stephen A. Douglas, John A. McClernand, John Pettit, and Robert C. Schenck, voting with the South to lay on the table] Nays 93; [Henry Grider and William P. Thomasson of Ky. (Whigs) voting with the North against it]

The bill was then engrossed for its third reading by Yeas 85, Nays 80; and thus passed without further division. A motion to reconsider was laid on the table-Yeas 71; Nays 83. So the bill was passed and sent to the Senate, where Mr. Dixon H. Lewis of Ala. moved that the Proviso above cited be stricken out; on which debate arose, and Mr. John Davis of Mass. was speaking when, at noon of August 10th, the time fixed for adjournment having arrived, both Houses adjourned without day.

INOTE -We do not give the Yeas and Nays on the divisions just above, the House having been quito thin when they were taken, and some Northern Members voting with the South from hostility to the whole project of buying either peace or territory. Generally, however, the vote ran much as former divisions would lead one to expect. Mr. Stephen A. Douglas, and some other friends of the original bill, voted against it at every stage after the Provise was added.]

The XXXth Congress assembled Dec. 6, 1847.

Feb. 28th, 1848, Mr. Putnam of N. York moved the following:

" Whereas, In the settlement of the difficulties pending between this country and Moxico, terri-tory may be acquired in which Slavery does not now exist:

"And whereas, Congress, in the organization

of a territorial government, at an early period of our political history, established a principle worthy of imitation in all future time, forbidding the existence of Slavery in free torritory; Therefore,

"Resolved, That in any territory, that may be acquired from Mexico, over which shall be established territorial governments, Slavery, or involunthe party shall have been duly convicted, whereof the party shall have been duly convicted, shall be forever prohibited; and that in any act or resolution establishing such governments, a fundamental provision ought to be inserted to that offect."

Mr. R. Brodhead of Penn. moved that this resolution lie on the table. Carried; Year,

105; Nays, 93.

Yeas—all the members from Slave States, but John W. Houston (Whig), of Delaware, with the following from Free States (all Democrats but Levin):

MAINE.—Asa W. H. Clapp, Franklin Clark, Jas. S. Wiley, Hezekiah Williams—4. New York.—Ausburn Birdsall, David S. Jackson, Froderick W. Lord, William B. Maelay—4.

Son, Brotenica W. Lord, Windan B. Andeng—1.
PENNSTVANIA.—Richard Brodhed, Charles
Brown, Lewis C. Leviu, Job Mann—4.
Onto.—William Konnon, ir. John K. Miller,
Thomas Richoy, William Sawyer—4.
INDIANA.—Charles W. Catheart, Thomas J.

Henley, John Petitt, John L. Robinson, William W. Wick-5. ILLINIOS,-Orlando B. Fieklin, John A. Mc-Clernand, William A. Richardson, Robert Smith, Thomas J. Turner—5.

Nays—all the Whigs and a large majority of the Democrats from Free States, with John W. Houston aforesaid.

This vote terminated all direct action in favor of the Wilmot proviso for that Ses-

July 18th .- In Senate, Mr. Clayton of Del., from the Select Committee to which. was referred, on the 12th inst., the bill providing a territorial government for Oregon, reported a bill to establish Territorial governments for Oregon, New Mexico, and California, which was read. It proposed to submit all questions as to the rightful existence or extent of Slavery in the Territories to the decision of the Supreme Court of the United States.]

July 24th.—Second reading. Mr. Bald-win of Conn. moved to strike out so much of said bill as relates to California and New Mexico. Rejected; Yeas, 17 (Northern Free Soil men of both parties); Nays, 37.

The bill was discussed through several succeeding days. On the 26th, Mr. Clarke of R. I. moved to add to the 6th section :

"Provided, however, That no law, regulation, or act of the provisional government of said Territory permitting Slavery or involuntary servitude there-in shall be valid, until the same shall be approved by Congress."

Rejected; Yeas, 19 [Col. Benton, and 18 Northern Freesoilers of both parties] ; Nays, 33.

Mr. Reverdy Johnson of Md. moved to amend the bill by inserting-

"Excopt only, that in all cases of title to Slaves, the said writs of error or appeals shall be allowed and decided by the said Supremo Court without regard to the value of the matter, property, or title in controversy; and except, also, that a writ of error or appeal shall also be allowed to the Supreme Court of the United States from the decision of the said Supromo Court created by this act, or of any judge thereof, or of the district Courts crea-ted by this act, or of any judge upon any writ of habeas corpus involving the question of porsonal

Carried: Yeas, 31 (all sorts); Nays, 19

(all Southern, but Bright, Dickluson, and Hannegan).

Mr. Baldwin of Conn. moved an additional

section, as follows:

"SEC. 37. And be it further enacted, That it shall be the duty of the attorneys for said Territories, respectively, on the complaint of any person held in involuntary servitude therein, to make application in his behalf in due form of law, to the court next thereafter to be holden in said Territory, for a writ of lubean corpus, to be directed to the person so holding such applicant in service as aforesaid, and to pursue all needful measures in his behalf; and if the decision of such court shall be adverse to the application, or if, on the return of the writ, relief shall be denied to the applicant, or me with, conce usual no dement to the applicant, on the ground that he is a slave beld in servifued in said Torritory, and uttorney shall cause an uppend to be taken therefrom, and the record of all the proceedings in the cause to be transmitted to the cause of control to United State. the Supreme Court of the United States as speedily as may be, and to give notice thereof to the At-torney General of the United States, who shall prosecute the same before said Court, who shall proceed to hear and determine the same at the first term thereof."

Yeas, 15 (all Northern, except Benton); Nays, 31.

Mr. Davis of Mass. moved to strike out section 12, and insert as follows:

" Sec. 12. And be it further enacted, That so much of the sixth section of the ordinance of the 13th July, 1787, as is contained, in the following words; viz: 'There shall be neither Slavery nor words; viz: here shall be nother shrovy and involuntary servitude in the said Territory, otherwise than in the punishment of crimes, whereof the party shall have been duly convicted, shall be and remain in force in the Territory

This was defeated; Yeas, 21; Nays, 33, as follows:

YEAS-For the Slavery Prohibition:

Messrs. Allen, Ohio. Atherton, N. H. Baldwin, Conn. Benton, Mo. Bradbury, Mo. Clarke, R. I. Corwin, Ohio, Davis, Mass.

Fitzgerald, Mich. Greene, R. I. Hale, N. H. Hamlin, Me. Miller, N. J. Niles, Conn. Davis, Mass.
Dayton, N. J. Spruand
Dix, N. Y. Upham,
Walker, Wis.—21, Spruance, Del. Upham, Vt.

Dodge, Wiso. Felch, Mich.

NAYS-Against the Slavery Prohibition: Houston, Texas. Hunter, Va.

Messrs. Atchison, Mo. Badger, N. C. Bell, Tenn. Berrien, Ga Borland, Ark. Breese, Ill. Bright, Ind. Butler, S. C. Calhoun, S C. Clayton, Del. Davis, Miss. Dickinson, N. Y. Douglas, Ill. Downs, La. Foote, Miss.

Johnson, Ga. King, Ala. Lewis, Ala Mangum, N. C. Mason, Va. Metcalf, Ky. Rusk, Texas, Sebastian, Ark. Sturgeon, Pa. Turnoy, Tenn Underwood, Ky. Hannegan, Ind. Westcott, Fla.

Johnson, Md.

Johnson, La.

The bill was then engrossed for a third reading; Yeas, 33; Nays, 22; as follows:

YEAS ... For Chapton's Compromise : Mossrs. Atchison. Hounton. Atherton, Hanter, Benton, Johnson, Md. Berrleu Johnson, La. Borland, Johnson, Ga.

King, Breese. Bright, Lowin, Butler, Mangum, Calhonu, Muson, Pholps, Clayton Davis, Miss. Rusk, Dickinson, · Schastian. Douglas, Spruance. Downs, Sturgeon, Poote, Turney, Hannegan, Ynlee-33.

NAYS-Against Clayton's bill :

Westcott,

Mesura, Allen, Folch, Badger, Fitzgeruld, Baldwin, Greene, Bell, Halo, Bradbury, Hambin, Clarke, Motenif. Corwin, Miller, Davis, Mass. Niles, Dayton, Underwood, Dix. Upham, Dodge, Walker-22.

So the bill was engrossed, and immediately passed without a division.

July 28th.—This bill reached the House. and was taken up and read twice.

Mr. Linn Boyd of Ky. moved it to a Committee of the Whole on the State of the Union.

Mr. C. B. Smith of Ind. moved and obtained a call of the House, when all but eighteen Members responded.

Mr. A. H. Stephens of Ga. moved that the bill do lie on the table. Yeas and Navs ordered, and the motion prevailed: Yeas.

112; Nays, 97. Yeas all the Free State Whigs, with the following Whigs from Slave States:

VIRGINIA.—John S. Pendleton—1. NORTH CAROLINA.—Nathaniel Boydon, Richard S. Donnell-2.

GEORGIA .- Alex. H. Stephens-1. KENTUCKY.-Green Adams, Avlett Buckner.

John B. Thompson-3. TENNESSEE, John H. Crozier-1.

Total, eight Whigs from Slave States. Democrats from Free States :

MAINE.—Asa W. H. Clapp, David Hammons, Ephraim K. Smart, James S. Wiley.—4. New-Hampshire.—Charles H. Peaslee.—1.

VERMONT .- Lucius B. Peck-1

RHODE ISLAND.—Benjamin B. Thurston—I.
NEW YORK.—William Collins, Timothy Jenkins, Sidney Lawrence, Frederick W. Lord,
William B. Maclay, Henry Nicoll, George A. Starkweather-7.

Pennsylvania. Wm. Strong, James Thompson, David Wilmot-3.

Son, David Wilmot—5.
Ohio.—James J. Faran, George Fries, Samuel
Lahm Jonathan D. Morris—4.
INDIANA.—Thomas J. Henley—1.

ILLINOIS. Robert Smith, John Wentworth 2.

McClelland, Charles E. Stuart- 3.

Wisconsin .- Muson C. Darling, William Pitt Lyudo--2.

Iowa .-- William Thompson _1.

Total Democrats from Free States-30.

Total Whigs from Free States -74.

Nuys, 21 Democrats from Free States, with 76 D moeruts and Whigs from Slave States.

Mr. Pollock of Pa. moved that this vote be reconsidered, and that the motion to reconsider do lie on the table; which prevailed -Yeas, 113; Nays, 96. (Vote same as before, except that Mr. Franklin Clark of Maine changed from the minority to the majority.)

So Mr. Clayton's project of Compromise was defeated.

GEN. CASS'S NICHOLSON LETTER.

Immediately after the adjournment of Congress, in 1847, Gen. Cass was currently reported to have expressed his favorable oninion of the Wilmot Proviso, and his regret that Mr. Davis's untimely remarks in the Senate had deprived him (Cass) of an opportunity of recording his vote in its favor. This remark he was said to have made in a railroad car, on his homeward journey from t Washington. If such a position were taken by him, however, it was not long maintained; as the following letter from his pen appeared during the winter of 1847-8, and proved a prelude to the nomination of the writer for President, by the Democratic National Convention which assembled at Baltimore in the spring of 1848. It may be regarded as the first logical and well-considered enunciation of the doctrine of "Squatter Sovereignty."

Gen. Cass to A. O. P. Nicholson.

WASHINGTON, Dec. 24, 1847.

DEAR SIR,—I have received your letter, and shall answer it as frankly as it is written.
You ask me whether I am in favor of the ac-

quisition of Mexican territory, and what are my sentiments with regard to the Wilmot Provise. I have so often and so explicitly stated my views of the first question, in the Senate, that it seems almost unnecessary to repent them here. As you request it, however, I shall briefly give

them I think, then, that no peace should be granted to Mexico, till a reasonable indemnity is obtained for the injuries which she has done us. The teran ano munice which sho has done us. The territorial extent of this ind.mnity is, in the first instance, a subject of Exet tive consideration. There the Constitution has placed it, and there I am willing to leave it: not only because I have full confidence in its judicious exercise, but because it is the contraction of the contraction cause, in the ever-varying circumstances of a war, it would be indiscreet, by a public declaration, to commit the country to any line of indemnity, which might otherwise be enlarged, as the obstinato injustice of the enemy prolongs the contest, wit its loss of blood and treasure.

It appears to me, that the kind of metaphysical magnanimity which would reject all indemnity at the close of a bloody and expensive war, brought on by a direct attack upon our troops by

MICHIGAN. - Kindoy S. Bingham, Robert agts for a series of years, is as unworthy of the ago in which we live, as it is revolting to the continuo nouse and protice of maiddle. It would conduce but little to our future security, or, la-deed, to our present reputation, to declare that we repudilate all expectation of compensation from the Mexican Government, and are fighting, not for may practical result, but for some vagno, per-haps philanthropic object, which escapes my pouetration, and must be defined by those who assume this now principle of national intercons-munication. All wars are to be deprecuted, us well by the statesman as by the philanthropist. They are great ovile; but there are greater evils than these, and submission to injustice is among them. The nation which chould return to defoud its rights and its honor, whon assailed, would soon have neither to defend; and, when driven to war, it is not by professions of disinterestedness war, it is not by procussions of distinct continuous and declarations of magnatumity that its rational objects can be heat obtained, or other nations tungfit a lesson of forboarmico—the strongest security for permanent pence. We are at war with Mexico, and its vigorous presecution is the surest monus of its speedy termination, and maple in-

monus of its speedy termination, and unplo in-terminate the survest guaranty against the recur-rence of Much injustice as provoked, it the com-rey some time. It has been repeatedly discussed in Congress, and by the public Press. I am strongly impressed with the opinion, that a great change has been going on in the public mind upon this subject. In my own as well as others; and that doubts are resolving themselves into convictions, that the principle it involves should be kept out of the National Legislature, and left to the people of the confederacy in their respective local

go vorumonta.

The whole subject is a comprehensive one, and fruitful of important consequences. It would be ill-timed to discuss it here. I shall not assume that responsible task, but shall confine myself to such general views as are necessary to the fair exhibition of my opinious.

We may well regrot the existence of Slavery in the Southern States, and wish they had been saved from its introduction. But there it is, not by the act of the present generation; and we must deal with it as a great practical question, involv-ing the most momentous consequences. We have neither the right nor the power to touch it where it exists; and if we had both, their exercise, by any means heretofore suggested, might lead to results which no wise man would willingly encounter, and which no good man could coutem-plate without anxiety.

The theory of our Government presupposes

that its various members have reserved to themselves the regulation of all subjects relating to what may be termed their internal police. They are sovereign within their boundaries, except in those cases where they have surrondered to the General Government a portion of their rights, in order to give effect to the objects of the Union, whether these concern foreign nations or the seve-ral States themselves. Local institutions, if I rai states themselves. Local matuulous, it I may so spook, whether they have reference to Slavery or to any other relations, domestic or public, are left to local authority, either original or derivativo. Congress has no right to say that occurrence. Congress has no right to say that there shall be Slavery in New-York, or that there shall be no Slavery in Georgia; nor is there any other human power, but the people of those States, respectively, which can change the relations existent theory, and the congression of the states of t isting therein; and they can say, if they will, We will have Slavery in the former, and we will abolish it in the latter.

In various respects, the Territories differ from the States. Some of their rights are incheate, and brought on by a direct attack upon our troops by they do not possess the peculiar attributes of the enemy, and preceded by a succession of unjust sovereignty. Their relation to the General Gov-

athution stand it will be found, upon examination, that in that instrument the only grant of power concerning them is conveyed in the phrase, "Congress shall have the power to dispose of and make all needful rules and regulations, respecting the territory and other property belonging to the United States." Cortainly this phrascology is very loose, if it were designed to include in the grant the whole power of legislation over persons, as well as things. The expression, the "territory and other property," fairly construct, relates to the public buda, as such; to arsenals, deckyurds,

forts, ships, and all the various kinds of properly which the United States may and must possess. But surely the simple authority to dispose of and regulate these does not extend to the unlimited power of logislation; to the passage of all laws, in the most general acceptation of the word; which, by the by, is carefully excluded from the sontence. And, indeed, if this were so, it would render unnecessary another provision of the Constitution, which grants to Congress the power to legislate, with the canseat of the States, respec-tively, over all places purchased for the "cree-tion of forts, magazines, arsenals, deckyards," etc. These being the "property" of the United States, if the power to make "needful rules and regulain the power of make "needing rights tions concerning" thom includes the general power of legislation, then the grant of authority to regulate "the torritory and other property of the United States" is millimited, wherever subjects are found for its operation, and its exercise needed no auxiliary provision. If, on the other hand, it does not include such power of legislation over the "other property" of the United States, then it does not include it over their "territory;" for the same terms which grant the one, grant the other. "Territory" is here classed with property, and treated as such; and the object was evidently to enable the General Government, as a property-holder—which, from necessity, it must be—to manage, preserve and "dispose of" such property as it might possess, and which authority is essential almost to its being. But the lives and persons of our citizens, with the vast variety of objects connected with them, cannot be controlled by an authority which is merely called into existence for the purpose of making rules and regulations for the disposition and management of property.

Such, it appears to me, would be the construction put upon this provision of the Constitution, were this question now first presented for consideration, and not controlled by imperious circumctances. The original ordinance of the Congress of the Confederation, passed in 1787, and which was the only act upon this subject in force at the adoption of the Constitution, provided a complete frame of government for the country north of the Ohio, while in a territorial condition, and for its eventual admission in separate States into the Union. And the persuasion that this ordinance contained within itself all the necessary means contained within user all the necessary means of execution, probably prevented any direct reference to the subject in the Constitution, further than vesting in Congress the right to admit the States formed under it into the Union. However, circumstances arose, which required legislation, as well over the territory north of the Ohio as over other territory, both within and without the original Union, ceded to the general Government, and, at various times, a more enlarged power has been exercised over the *Territories*—meaning thereby the different Territorial Governments than is convoyed by the limited grant referred to. How far an existing necessity may have operated in producing this legislation, and thus extending, by rather a violent implication, powers not directly given, I know not. But certain it is that tho principle of interference should not be carried be-

crument in very imperfectly defined by the Con- | it. ' It should be limited to the creation of proper governments for new countries, acquired or octtled, and to the necessary provision for their eventual admission into the Union; leaving, in the mean time, to the people inhabiting them, to regulate their internal concerns in their own way. They are just as expable of doing so as the people of the States; and they can do so, at any rate na seem no their political independence in recognized by admission into the Union. During this temporary condition, it is hardly expedient to call into exercise a doubtful and invidious authority, which questions the intelligence of a respectable portion of our citizens, and whose limitation, whatever it may be, will be rapidly uppronching its termination—an authority which would give to Congress despotic power, nucou-trolled by the Constitution, over most important sections of our common country. For, if the re-lation of master and servent may be regulated or annihilated by its logislation, so may the regula-tion of husband and wife, of parent and child, and of any other condition which our lustitutions and the habits of our society recognize. What would be thought if Congress should undertake to prescribe the terms of marriage in New York, or to regulate the authority of parents over their children in Pennsylvania? And yet it would be as vain to seek one justifying the interference of the national legislature in the cases referred to in the original States of the Union. I speak hero of the inherent power of Congress, and do not touch the question of such contracts as may be formed with now States when admitted into the confederacy.

Of all the questions that can agitate us, those which are morely sectional in their character are the most dangerous, and the most to be depreeated. The warning voice of him who from his character and services and virtue and the best right to warn us, proclaimed to his countrymen, in his Farewell Address—that monument of wisdom for him, as I hope it will be of safety for thom-how much we had to apprehend from measures peculiarly affecting geographical secitions of our country. The grave circumstances in which we are now placed make these words words of safety; for I am satisfied, from all I have seen and heard here, that a successful uttempt to engraft the principles of the Wilmot Provise upon the logislation of this Government, and to apply them to new territory, should new territory be acquired, would soriously affect our tranquillity. I do not suffor myself to foresee or to foretell the consequences that would ensue; for I trust and believe there is good sense and good feeling enough in the country to avoid them, by avoiding all occasions which might lead to

Briefly, then, I am opposed to the exercise of any jurisdiction by Congress over this matter; and I am in favor of leaving to the people of any territory, which may be hereafter acquired, the right to regulate it for themselves, under the general principles of the Constitution. CAUSO-

1. I do not see in the Constitution any grant of the requisite power to Congress; and I am not disposed to extend a doubtful precedent beyond its necessity-the establishment of territorial governments when needed—leaving to the inhabit-ants all the rights compatible with the relations

they bear to the confederation.

2. Because I believe this measure, if adopted, would weaken, if not impair, the union of the States; and would sow the seeds of future discord, which would grow up and ripen into an abundant harvest of calamity.

3. Because I believe a general conviction that such a proposition would succeed, would lead to youd the necessary implication, which produces an immediate withholding of the supplies, and

thus to a dishonorable termination of the war. I think no dispassionate observer at the seat of Government can doubt this result.

4. If, however, in this I am under a misapproheusion, I am under none in the practical opera-tion of this restriction, if adopted by Congress, upon a treaty of peace, making any acquisition of Mexican territory. Such a treaty would be rejected as certainly as presented to the Scante. More than one-third of that body would vote against it, viewing such a principle as an exclusion of the citizens of the slaveholding States from a participation in the benefits acquired by the breasure and exertions of all, and which should be common to all. I am repeating poither advancing nor defending these views. That branch of the subject does not lie in my way, and I shall not turn aside to seek it.

In this aspect of the matter, the people of the United States must choose between this restriction and the extension of their territorial limits. They cannot have both; and which they will surrender must depend upon their representatives first, and then, if these fail them, upon them-

solves.

5. But after ull, it seems to be generally conceded that this restriction, if carried into effect, could not oporate upon any State to be formed from nowly-nequired territory. The well-known attributes of sovereignty, recognized by us as belonging to the State Governments, would sweep before them any such barrier, and would leave the people to express and exort their will at plea-sure. Is the object, then, of temporary exclusion for so short a period as the duration of the Territorial Governments, worth the price at which it it would be purchased?—worth the discord it would engender, the trial to which it would expose our Union, and the ovils that would be the certain consequence, let the trial result as it might? to the course, which has been intimated, rather than proposed, of engrafting such a restriction upon any treaty of acquisition, I persuade myself it would find but little favor in any portion of this country. Such an arrangement would render Mexico a party, having a right to interfere in our internal institutions in questions left by the Constitution to the State Governments, and would inflict a serious blow upon our fundamental princi-ples. Few, indeed, I trust, there are among us who would thus grant to a foreign power tho right to inquire into the constitution and conduct of the sovereign States of this Union; and if there are any, I am not among them, nor never shall be. To the people of this country, under God, now and hereafter, are its destinies committed; and we want no foreign power to interrogate us, freaty in hand, and to say, Why have you done this, or why have you left that undone? Our own dignity and the principles of national independence unite to repel such a proposition.

But there is another important consideration,

which ought not to be lost sight of, in the in-vestigation of this subject. The question that vestigation of this subject. vestigation of this subject. The question man presents itself is not a question of the increase, but of the diffusion of Slavery. Whether its sphere be stationary or progressive, its amount will be the same. The rejection of this restriction will not add one to the class of servitude, nor will its adoption give freedom to a single being who is now placed therein. The same numbers will be spread over greater territory; and, so far as com-pression, with less abundance of the necessaries of life, is an ovil, so far will that evil be mitigated by transporting slaves to a now country, and giving them a larger space to occupy.

I say this in the event of the extension of Slavery

over any new nequisition. But can it go there? | questions present themselves for exhibition there is this may well be doubted. All the descriptions one ark of sefety for us; and that is, an honose which reach us of the condition of the Californias appeal to the fundamental principles of our Union, and of Now-Mexico, to the acquisition of which and a stern determination to abled their dictates-

our efforts accus at present directed, unite la representing those countries as agricultural regions similar in their products to our Middle States, and generally unfit for the production of the great stoples which can alone rouger Slave labor valuable. If we are not grossly deceived and it is difficult to conceive how we can be -the inhabitauts of those regions, whether they depend upon their plows or their herds, cannot be alayebolders. Involuntary labor, requiring the investment of large capital, can only be profitable when emconfined by nature to special districts, and paying larger returns than the usual agricultural products spread over more considerable pertions of the earth.

In the able letter of Mr. Buchman upon this subject, not long since given to the public, he presents similar considerations with great force, 'Neither," says the distinguished writer, "Notther," may the distinguished writer, "me soil, the climate, nor the productions of California south of 36° 30°, nor indeed of any portion of it, North or South, is adapted to Slave Inhor; and beside every facility would be there afforded for the slave to escape from his master. Such property would be cutirely insecure in any part of California. It is morally impossible, therefore, that a majority of the engigrants to that portion of the territory south of 36° 39', which will be chiefly composed of our citizens, will over reestablish Slavery within its limits.
"In regard to Now-Moxico, cast of the Rio

Grando, the question has already been settled by

the udmission of Texas into the Union.

"Should we acquire territory beyond the Rio Grande and east of the Rocky Mountains, it is still more impossible that a majority of the people would consout to re-establish Slavery. They are themselves a colored population, and among them the negro does not belong socially to a degraded rneo."

With this last remark, Mr. Walker fully coincides in his letter written in 1844, upon the annexation of Texas, and which overywhere produced so favorable an impression upon the public mind, so taverage an impression upon the public man, as to have conduced very materially to the accomplishment of that great measure. "Beyond the Del Norte," says Mr. Walker, "Slavery will not pass; not only because it is forbidden by law. but because the colored race there prependerates in the ratio of ten to one over the whites; and holding, as they do, the government and most of the offices in their possession, they will not permit the enslavement of any portion of the colored race, which makes and executes the laws of the country.'

The question, it will be therefore seen on examination, does not regard the exclusion of Slavery from a region where it now exists, but a pro-hibition against its introduction where it does not exist, and where, from the feelings of the inhabit-ants and the laws of nature, "it is morally im-possible," as Mr. Buchanan says, that it can

ever ro-establish itself.

It augurs well for the permanence of our confederation, that during more than half a century, which has elapsed since the establishment of this Government, many serious questious, and some of the highest importance, have agitated the public mind, and more than once threatened the gravest consequences; but that they have all in succession passed away, leaving our institutions unscathed, and our country advancing in num-bers, power, and wealth, and in all the other elements of national prosperity, with a rapidity na-known in ancient or in modern days. In times of political excitement, when difficult and delicate This course of proceeding has carried us in safety | through many a trouble, and I trust will carry us safely through many more, should many more be destined to assail as. The Wilmet Provise seeks to take from its legitlande tribunal a question of domestic policy, having no relation to the Union, as such, and to transfer it to another, evented by the people for a special purpose, and foreign to the subject matter involved in this issue. By going back to our true principles, we go back to the read of peace and safety. Leave to the peo-ple, who will be affected by thin question, to ad-Just it upon their own responsibility, and in their own manner, and we shall render another tribute to the original principles of our Government, and furnish mother guaranty for its permanence and prosperity. I nin, dear sir, respectfully, your obedient very nut, LEWIS CASS. A. O. P. Nicuoison, Esq., Nachville, Tonn.

The next session of the same Congress opened under very different auspices. Mexican War had been terminated, so that none could longer be deterred from voting for Slavery Exclusion by a fear that the prosecution of hostilities would thereby be General Taylor had been embarrassed. clected President, receiving the votes of Delaware, Maryland, North Carolina, Georgia. Kentucky, Tennessee, Louisiana, and Florida -a moiety of the Slave States-over Gen. Cass, now the avowed opponent of Slavery Restriction. Many of the Northern Democrats considered themselves absolved by this vote from all extra-constitutional obligations to the South, and voted accordingly.

Dec. 13 .- Mr. J. M. Root of Ohio, offered The following:

" Resolved, That the Committee on Territories be instructed to report to this House, with as little delay as practicable, a bill or bills providing a territorial government for each of the Territories of New Mexico and California, and excluding Slavery therefrom.'

A call of the House was had, and the pre-

vious question ordered.

Mr. W. P. Hall of Mo. moved that the same do lie on the table. Lost: Yeas, 80; Navs. 106.

The resolve then passed: Yeas, 108; Nays, 80, viz. :

Yeas—All the Whigs from Free States, and all the Democrate, but those noted as Naya below, including the following, who had yoted against markers of the States of Markers of Ma

Messra. Clark and H. Williams of Maine, Bird-sail and Maclay of New-York, Brodhead and Mann of Pa, Pettit of Ind. Ficklin and McClel-land of Ill., who voted with the South at the former session—now failed to vote.

Mr. Jackson of N.Y., who then voted with the

Mr. Jackson or N. I., who then voted with the South, had been succeeded by Mr. H. Greeley, who voted with the North.

Nays.—All the Members voting from the Slave States, with the following from the Free States:

Nzw.York.—Henry C. Murphy—1.

PENNSYLVANIA-Charles Brown, Charles J. Ingorroll-2

Onto -William Kennon, Jun., John K. Millor,

William Sawyer—3.
ILLINOIS.—William A. Richardson—I. Inwa,--Shephord Leffler--1.

Total Nays from Free States-8.

Mr. Robinson of Ind. moved a reconsidera-

tion of this vote, which motion (Dec. 18), on motion of Mr. Wentworth of Ill., was haid on the table: Yeas, 105; Nays, 83.

[Messrs. Chapp, Clark, and Wiley of Me., voted to lay on the table, as did Messrs. Lord of N. Y., Job Mann of Pa., Richey of Ohio, Henley and Wick of Indiana, R. Smith of Ill. Messrs. C. Brown and Lovin of Pa. did not now vote. The rest, very much as before, except that a few more voted.]

Dec. 20th .-- Mr. C. B. Smith accordingly reported a bill, establishing the Territorial Government of Upper California, which was

read twice and committed.

Jan. 3rd.—He reported a similar bill for the organization of New Mexico, which took

the same direction.

Jan. 15th .-- Mr. Julius Rockwell of Mass. moved that these bills be made the special order for the 23d instant. Negatived : Yeas, 114 (not two-thirds); Nays, 71 (nearly a sectional vote).

Feb. 26-7th.-The bill was taken out of committee, and engrossed for a third reading. Mr. Meade of Va. moved that it do lie on the table. Negatived: Yeas, 86; Nays,

127. It was then passed by the following similar

Yeas-All the Whigs from the Free States, with Aylett Buckner (Whig) of Ky., and all the Democrats also, except

Pensylvania.—Samuel A. Bridges-OHIO .- William Kennon, jun., John K. Miller, William Sawyer-3.

Total-4.

Nays-All the Members from Slave States, except Mr. Buckner aforesaid, with the addition of those from Free States just mentioned

This bill was read twice in the Senate. (Feb. 28th), and referred to the Committee

on Territories.

March 3d .-- Said Committee was discharge ed from its further consideration, and Mr. Douglas moved that it be taken up in Senate. which was negatived. Yeas, 25; Nays, 28 (all but a sectional vote). That was the end of the bill; the Senate having already determined to affix its essential provisions to the Civil and Diplomatic Appropriation bill, and thus avoid and defeat the Slavery Exclusion contained in the House bill, and force the House to agree to organize the Territories, without such provision, or leave the Government without appropriations. this succeeded, we shall see.

The Civil and Diplomatic Appropriation hill having passed the House in the usual form, came up to the Senate, where it was debated several days.

Feb. 21st .- Mr. Walker of Wisc. moved an amendment, extending all the laws of the United States, so far as applicable, to the

Territories acquired from Mexico.

Mr. Bell of Tem. proved to add further

sections organizing the State of California, to be admitted into the Union on the 1st of October next. This was rejected: Yeas 4 (Bell, Dodge of Iowa, Douglas, Davis); Naya 39.

Feb. 26th.—Mr. Davton of N. J. moved that the President be vested with power to provide a saitable temporary government for the Territories. Rejected; Yeas 8; Nays

The question recurred on Mr. Walker's amendment, modified so as to read as fol-

"Sec. 5. And be it further enacted, That the Constitution of the United States, in so far as fee provisions of the same be opplicable to the condition of a Territory of the United States, and all and singular the several acts of Congress respectthat singular the soveral ages of conferes respecting the registering, recording, enrolling, or licensing ships, or vessels, and the entry and clearance thereof, and the foreign and coasting trade and fisheries, and all the acts respecting the imposing and collecting the duties on imports, and all the acts respecting trade and intercourse with the Indian tribes, and all the acts respecting the public lands, or the survey or sale thereof, and all and singular the other acts of Congress of a public and general character, and the provisions whereof are suitable and proper to be applied to the territory West of the Rio del Norte, acquired from Mexico West of the kio do Norto, acquired from Maxico by the treaty of the second day of Fobruary, 1848, be, and the same are hereby, extended over, and given full force and efficiency in all said territory; and the President of the United States is hereby authorized to prescribe and establish all proper and needful rules and regulations (in conformity with the Constitution of the United States) for the enforcement of the program of the conformity with the Constitution of the United States) for the enforcement of the prescription of order and franquillity, and the satublehment of justice therein, and from time to time to modify or change the said rules and regulations in such manner as may seem to him regulations in such manner as may seem to him discreet and proper; and to establish, temperarily, such divisions, districts, ports, offices, and all ar-rangements proper for the execution of said laws, and appoint and commission such officers as may be necessary to administer such laws in said territory, for such term or terms as he may prescribe, whose authority shall continue until otherwise provided by Congress; said officers to receive such compensation as the President may prescribe, not exceeding double the compensation heretofore paid to similar officers of the United States or its ferritories, for like services; and to enable the same to be done, the sum of two hundred thousand dollars be appropriated, out of any money in the treasury not otherwise appropriated."

YEAS-For Mr. Walker's proposition: Mesers. Atchison, Houston.

Bell, Berrien Hunter, Johnson of La. Berland, Johnson of Ga. Butler, King,

Davis of Miss. Mangum, Dickinson, Mason. Rask, Dodge, lown, Donglen. Hobantian. Downs, Sturgeon, Turner, Fitzgorold, Fitzpatriek, Underwood. Walker Foote, Miss. Hannegan, Yuloo -- 29. Wostoott.

NAYS -- Against Mr. Wulker's proposition:

Mosara, Allen, Felch. Atherton, Greene. Badger, Baldwin Hale, Hamlin, Bradbury, Johnson, Md. Bright. Jones. Miller. Cameron, Clarko. Niles, Corwin, Pearce. Davis of Mass. Pholps. Dayton, Sprunnco, Dix, Dodge, Wise. Upham, Wales. Webster-27.

The bill being returned to the House, thus amended, this amendment was (March 2d) voted down-Yeas 101; Nays 115-as fol-

Yeas, all the members from the Slave States, with the following from the Free States, viz.:

MAINE-Hozokiah Williams-1.

MAINE—Rocordan whitemen—I.
New York—Ausburn Birdsell—I.
Pennsylvania—Samuel A. Bridges, Richard
Brodhead, Charles Brown, Charles J. Ingersell,
Lewis C. Levin—5.

OHIG-William Kennon, jr., William Sawyer LLINOIS—Orlando B. Ficklin, John A. Mc-Clornand, William A. Richardson—3. Iowa—Shepherd Lefflor—1.

Total, thirteen from Free States; eightyeight from Slave States. (Only two from

Slave States absent or silent.) Nays, all the Whigs from Free States, and all the Democrats from Free States, ex-

cept those named above.

So the House refused to concur in this amendment, and the bill was returned to the

Senate accordingly.

The Senate resolved to insist on its amendment, and ask a conference, which was granted, but resulted in nothing. Messrs. Atherton of N. H., Dickinson of N. Y., and Berrien of Ga., were managers on the part of the Senate, and insisted on its amendment, organizing the Territories with-out restriction as to Slavery. Messrs. Vinton of Ohio, Nicoll of N. Y., and Morehead of Ky., were appointed on the part of the These, after a long sitting, reported their inability to agree, and were discharged.

The bill being now returned to the House, Mr. McClernand of 11l. moved that the House do recede from its disagreement: Carried: Yeas 111; Nays 106.

Mr. Morehead of Ky. moved to amend so as to provide that nothing in this section

shall affect the question, as to the boundary of Texus. Carried: Yess, 187; Nays 19.

Mr. R. W. Thompson of Ind. moved that the House concur with the Henate, with a unuendment, which was a substitute, extending the laws of the United States over said Territories, but leaving them unorganized, as follows:

"That the President of the United States he, and he havely is authorized to build percession of and acceptly the Territories could by Mexico to the United States, by the treaty of the 2nd of Feb., eighteen handred and forty-eight, and that he he, sudd heraby he, authorized for that purpose, and in order to mulatain the authority of the United States, and preserve paces and order in said Tertory, to employ such parts of the army and any of the United States are have decreasely, and that the Countitation of the United States, as

and the observed and a presenting in the control of the control of

by forbiddon.
"See 3. And be it further exacted, That, to enable the President to carry into execution the provisions of the act, the sum of two hundred thousand dollars is horeby appropriated out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated."

The question being reacted on amending the Senate's proposition as proposed by Mr. Thompson, it was carried: Yeas 111; Nays 105.

[All the Southern Members in the negative, with Levin and a few of the Northern Democrats; the residue with all the Northern Whigs in the affirmative.]

The House now proceeded to agree to the Senate's amendeat, as mendeat, sa mendeat, sas 110; Nays 103, [the same as before; the friends of the Senate's proposition voting against it, as amended, and vice versa, on the understanding that Mr. Thompson's amendment would exclude Slavery, [

The bill as thus amended being returned to the Senate, it refused to agree to the House's amendment, and receded from its own proposition; so the bill was passed and the session closed, with no provision for the government of the newly-acquired Territories.

XII.

OBSCION.

Aug. 6, 1846.—Mr. Doughas, from the Committee on Territories, respected to the House a bill organizing the Territory of Control

Shill bill was discussed in Committee of the Whole, and the following amendment agreed to:

"And neither Slavery, nor involuntary ser ditude shall ever exist in said Territory, except for orime whereof the party shall have been duly convicted."

On coming out of Committee, this amendment was agreed to—Yeas 108; Nays 44. [The Nays are all Southern, but Charles J. Ingersoll, Orlando B. Ficklin, and possibly some two others; and all Democrats, but some half a dezen from the South, of whom Rober's Tomosh has since turned Democrat.] Stephen A. Douglas did not vote. The bill passed the Hones without further opposition, was read twice in the Semate, and referred; and Mr. Westcott of Florida made a report thereon from the Committee on Territories; but the Session closed without further action on the bill.

This Congress reassembled, Dec. 7th, 1846. On the 23d, Mr. Douglas again reported his bill to provide a territorial government for Oregon, which was read twice and committed: Jan. 11th, 1847, was discussed in Committee, as also on the 12th and 14th, when it was resolved to close the debate. On the 15th, it was taken out of Committee, when Gen. Burt of S. C. moved the following addition (already moved, debated, and voted down in Committee) to the clause forbidding Slavery in said Territory:

"Insamuch as the whole of said Territory lies north of thirty six degrees thirty minutes north latitude, known as the line of the Missouri Compromise."

The purpose of this is clear enough. It was intended to recognize the Missouri line, not as limited to the territories possessed by the United States at the time said line was established, but as extending to all that had since been, or hereafter should be, acquired, so as to legalize Slavery in any territory henceforth to be acquired by us south of 36° 30°.

Mr. Brrt's amendment was negatived— Yeas 82; Nays 114.

The vote was very nearly sectional; but the following Members from Free States voted in the minority:

PENNSILVANIA.—Charles J. Ingersoll—1. ILLINOIS—Stephen A. Douglas, Robt. Smith—2. IOWA.—S. C. Hastings—1. In all, 5.

No Member from a Slave State voted in

OREGON. 58

the majority. The bill then passed—Yeas 134; Nays 35 (all Southern).

Jan. 15th. - The bilt reached the Senate, and was sent to the Judiciary Cummittee, consisting of

Messra. Ashley, Ark. Borrion, Ga. Bresse, Ill. Dayton, N. J. Westcott, Fla.

Jan. 25.—Mr. Ashley reported the Oregon bill with amendments, which were ordered to

be printed.

29th.—Said bill, ou motion of Mr. West-

cott, was recommitted to the Judiciary Committee.

Feb. 10th.—Mr. Ashley again reported it with amendments.

Merch 3d.—1t was taken up as in Committee of the Whole, when Mr. Evans of Me. moved that it be laid on the table. Defeated—Yeas 19, (all Whigs but Calloun of S. C., and Yniee of Florida); Nays 26; (24 Dem., with Corwin of Ohio, and Johnson of La.):

Mr. Westoot of Fla. immediately moved that the bill do lie on the table, which prevailed—Yeas 26; Nays 18, (a mixed vote, ovidently governed by various motives); but the negatives were all Demoerats, but Corwin and Johnson aforesaid. This being the last day of the session, it was evident that the bill, if opposed, as it was certain to be, could not get through, and it was, doubtless, in behalf of other pressing business that many Senators voted to lay this aside. It was, of course, dead for the session.

Dec. 6th, 1847.—The XXX h Congress assembled; Robert C. Winthop (Whig) of Mass. was chosen Speaker of the House. President Polk, in his Annual Message, regretted that Oregon had not already been organized, and urged the necessity of action on the subject.

on the subject.

Feb. 9th.—Mr. Caleb B. Smith of Indiana reported to the House a bill to establish the territorial government of Oregon; which, by a vote of two-thirds, was made a special orefer for March 14th. It was postponed, however, to the 28th; when it was taken up and discussed, as on one or two subsequent days. May 29th, it was again made a special order next after the Appropriation bills. The President that day sent a special mesage, urging action on this subject. July 25th, it was taken up in earnest; Mr. Wentworth of Illinois moving that debate on it in Committee cease at two o'clock this day.

Mr. Geo. S. Houston of Ala, endeavord to put this motion on the table. Defeated—Yeas 85; Nays 89, (nearly, but not fully, a sectional division). Mr. Geo. W. Jones of Tenn. moved a reconsideration, which was carried—Yeas 100; Nays 88; and the resolution laid on the table— Yeas 96: Nays 90.

The bill continued to be discussed, and finally (Ang. 1st) was got out of Cumnittee; when Mr. C. B. Smith moved the Previous Question thereon, which was ordered.

August 2d.—The House came to a vote on an amendment made in Committee, whereby the following provision of the original bill was stricken out:

"That the inhabitant of said Territory shall be outlited to cujoy, all and singular, the cipilia privileges, and advantages granted and coursed more than the cut of the cut of

The House refused to agree to this amendment—Yeas 88; Nays 114.

The Members from the Free States who voted with the South to strike out, were—NEW-YORK—Ausburn Birdsall—1.

Ouro-William Kounon, jr., John K. Mil-

ILLINOIS—Orlando B. Ficklin, John A. McClernand, William A. Richardson—3.
INDIANA—John L. Robinson, William W. Wick—2.

Wick-2.
Mr. John W. Houston of Delaware voted in the majority.

The bill was then passed: Yeas 128; Nays 71.

[This vote was almost completely sectional. Mr. Houston of Delaware voting in the majority as before: otherwise, Members from Free States in the affirmative; those from Slave States in the negative.]

Aug. 3rd.—This bill reached the Senate, when Mr. Badger of N. C. moved its indefinite postponement: negatived, 47 to 1, (Yulee). It was then sent to the Committee on Territories.

The Senate had had under consideration, from time to time through the Session, a bill of its own, reported by Mr. Douglas, which was finally referred to a Select Committee—a Mr. Clayton of Delaware, Chairman—and by said committee reported some days before the reception of the House bill. It was then dropped.

Aug. 5th.—Mr. Douglas reported the House Bill, with amendments, which were printed.

Aug. 10th.—After some days' debate, the Senate proceeded to vote. Mr. Foote of Miss. moved that the bill do lie on the table. Defeated: Yeas 15 (Southern); Nays 36.

On the question of agreeing to this amendment:

"Inasmuch as the said Territory is north of thirty-six deg. thirty min., usually known as the [line of the] Missouri Compromise."

It was rejected: Yeas 2 (Bright and Douglas); Nays 52.

inserting after the word " cnacted " :

"That the line of thirty alx degrees and thirty minutes of north latitude, known as the Missouri Compromise line, as defined in the elglith section of an act cutified, 'An Act to authorize the peo-ple of the Missouri Territory to form a Constitu-tional and State Government, and for the admistotal and State (overmous, and to, as emmi-sion of such State into the Union, on an equal footing with the original States, and to prohibit Stavory in certain Territories, approved March 6th, 1920, 'be, and the name is hereby, declared to the Besilfs of the State of the control of the state of the control of the state of the st extend to the Paoific Ocean; and the said eighth section, together with the compromise therein offected, is hereby revived, and declared to be in full force and binding, for the future organization of the Territories of the United States in the same sease, and with the came understanding with which it " originally adopted; and-"

Which was carried—Yeas 33; Nays 21 -as follows:

YEAS-For recognizing the Missouri line

as rightfully extending to the Pacific: Messrs, Atchison, Hannegan, Badger, Houston, Bell. Hunter, Johnson of Md., Benton, Johnson of La., Borrien, Borland, Johnson of Ga... King, Lewis, Bright, Butler, Calhoun, Mangum, Mason Cameron, Davis of Miss., Metcalf, Pearce, Dickinson, Douglas, Sebastian, Spruance, Downs, Fitzgerald Sturgeon, Foote of Miss. Turney,

Underwood-33. NAYS-Against recognizing said line: Dodge, Messrs. Allen,

Felch, Atherton, Baldwin. Greene. Hale, Hamlin, Bradbury, Breese, Clarke, Miller, Niles, Corwin, Phelps, Davis of Mass., Day ton, Upham, Ďix, Walker.

Webster-21

The bill was then engrossed for a third reading: Yeas 33; Nays 22 (nearly same as the above-Westcott of Florida, added to the Navs-and thus passed).

Aug. 11th .- The bill, thus amended, having been returned to the House, the amendment of Mr. Douglas, just recited, was rejected: Yeas 82; Nays 121. Yeas from Free States:

New York-Ansburn Birdsall-1. PENNSYLVANIA-Charles Brown, Charles J. Ingersoll.—2. Total—3.

Otherwise, from Slave States, all Yeas : from Free States, all Nays.

Aug. 12th .- The Senate, after voting down various propositions to lay on the table, etc., finally decided to recede from its amendments to the Oregon bill, and pass it to the admission of California and New-Mexico,

Mr. Douglas moved to amend the hill, by justit came from the House: Yeas 29; Nays 25, as follows:

YEAS-For Receding:

Messrs, Allou, Douglas, Buldwin, Folch. Fitzgorald, Boutou, Bradbury, Greene, Broose, Halo, Haulin, Bright, Humogun, Camerou, Clarke, Houston. Miller, Corwin, Davis of Mass. Niles, Dayton. Pholps. Dickinson. Sprimice, Dix. Uphan, Dodge, Wulker, Webster-29.

NAYS-Against Receding:

Messrs. Atchison, Johnson of La Johnson of Ga., Badger, Bell. Lowis, Mangum, Berrien Мавоч Borland Batler. Metenif. Calhoun ·Pearco, Davis of Miss., Rusk, Downs, Sebaetian, Turney, Undorwood, Footo, Hunter, Johnson of Md., Westcott,

Yulco-25. (All from Slave States.)

So the bill became a law, and Oregon a Territory, under the original Jefferson or Dane Proviso against Slavery.

XIII.

THE COMPROMISE OF 1850.

THE XXXIst Congress commenced its first Session at Washington, Dec. 3d, 1849; but the House was unable to organize-no person receiving a majority of all the votes for Speaker-until the 22nd, when, the Plurality rule having been adopted by a vote of 113 to 106, Mr. Howell Cobb of Ga. was elected, having 102 votes to 100 for Robert C. Winthrop of Mass., and 20 scattering. It was thereupon resolved - Yeas 149; Nays 35-" That Howell Cobb be declared duly elected Speaker;" and on the 24th President Zachary Taylor transmitted to both Houses his first Annual Message, in the course of which he says:

"No civil government having been provided by Congress for California, the people of that Territory, impelled by the necessities of their political condition, recently met in Convention, for the purpose of forming a Constitution and State Government; which, the latest advices give State Government; which he latest advices give me reason to suppose, has been accomplished; and it is believed they will shortly apply for the admission of California into the Union, as a Sovereign State. Should such be the eace, and should their constitution be conformable to the

snould their constitution to conormante to the requisitions of the Constitution of the United States, I recommend their application to the favorable consideration of Congress.

"The people of New-Mexico will also, it is believed, at no very distant period, present themselves for admission into the Union. Preparatory

the people of each will have instituted for themsolves a republican form of government, laying its foundation in such principles, and organizing its power in such form, as to them shall seem

most likely to effect their safety and happiness.

"By awaiting their action, all causes of naonemens may be avoided, and confidence and kind feeling preserved. With a view of maintaining the harmony and tranquillity so dear to all, we should abstain from the introduction of these exciting topics of a sectional character which have hitherto produced painful apprehensions in the public mind; and Ir. pout the selemu warning of the first and most illustrious of my prodecessors, ugainst furnishing any ground for characterizing parties by geographical discriminutions."

Jan. 4th .- Gen. Sam. Houston of Texas submitted to the Senate the following pro position:

" Whereas, The Congress of the United States, possessing only a delegated authority, have no power over the subject of Negro Slavery within the limits of the United States, either to prohibit or interfere with it, in the States, Territories, or District, where, by municipal law, it now exists, or to establish it in any State or Territory where it does not exist; but, as an assurance and gua-rantee to promote harmony, quiet appreheusion, and remove sectional prejudice, which by possibility might impair or weaken love and devetion to the Union in any part of the country, it is

horeby
"Resolved, That, as the people in Territories
bave the same inherent rights of self-government as the people in the States, if, in the exercise of such inherent rights, the people in the newly-acquired Torritories, by the Aunexation of Texas and the acquisition of California and New Mexico, south of the parallel of 36 degrees and 30 minutes of north latitude, extending to the Pacific Ocean, shall establish Negro Slavery in the for nation of their state governments, it shall be deemed no objection to their admission as a State or States into the Union, in accordance with the Constitu-

tion of the United States.

Jan. 21st .- Gen. Taylor, in answer to a resolution of inquiry, sent a message to the House, stating that he had urged the formation of State Governments in California and New-Mexico. He adds:

"In advising an early application by the cople of these Territories for admission as States, people of mose territories for admission as States, I was actuated principally by an earnest desire to afford to the wisdom and patriotism of Congress the opportunity of avoiding occasions of bitter and angry discussions among the people of the United States.

"Under the Constitution, every State has the right to establish, and, from time to time, after its municipal laws and domestic institutions, in-dependently of every other State and of the General Government, subject only to the prohi-bitions and guarantees expressly set forth in the Constitution of the United States. The subjects Constitution of the United States. In 8 subjects thus left exclusively to the respective States, were not designed or expected to become topics of National agitation. Still as, under the Constitution, Congress has power to make all needful rules and regulations, respecting the Territories of the United States. of the United States, overy new acquisition of territory has led to discussions on the question whether the system of involuntary servitude, which prevails in many of the States, should or should not be prohibited in that Territory. The periods of excitement from this cause, which have heretofore occurred, have been safely passed; but, during the interval, f whatever

length, which may clapse before the admission of the Territories coded by Mexico, us States, it appears probable that similar excitement will provail to an undue extent.

"Under these circumstance: I thought, and still think, that it was my duty to e put it in the power of Congress, by the ... dulssion of California and New-Mexico as States, to remove all occasion for the unnecessary agitation

of the public mind.
"It is understood that the people of the Western art of California have formed the plan of a State Constitution, and will soon submit the name to the judgment of Congress, and apply for udmisdion us a State. This course on their part, though in accordance with, was not adopted exclusively in consequence of, any expression of my wishes iuasmuch as measures touding to this cud lind been promoted by officers sent there by my prodecessor, and were already in active progress of execution, before any communication from me reached California. If the proposed constitution shall, waen submitted to Congress, be found to bo in compliance with the requisitions of the Constitution of the United States, I carnestly recommend that it may receive the sanction of Congress.'

He adds---

"Should Congress, whon California shall present herself for incorporation into the Union, annex a condition to her admission as a State affecting her domestic institutions contrary to the wishes of her people, and even compel her temperarily to comply with it, yet the State could change her constitution at any time after admission, when to her it should seem oxpedient. Any attempt to dony to the poople of the State the right of self-government, in a matter which peculiarly affects themselves, will infallibly be regarded by them as an invasion of their rights; garded by them as an invision of their rights; and, upon the principles laid down in our own Declaration of Independence, they will cortainly be sustained by the great mass of the American people. To assert that they are a conquered people and must, as a State, submit to the will of their conquerors, in this regard, will meet with no cordial response among American freemen. Great numbers of them are native citizens of the United States, and not inferior to the rest of our country-States, and not interior to the rest of our country-men in intelligence and patriotism; and no lan-guage of menace to restruit them in the exercise of an undoubted right, substantially guarantied to them by the treaty of cession itself, shall over un-titered by me, or oncouraged and sustained by persons setting under my authority entire years. It was a substantial to the contraction of the country of the substantial to the contraction of the country of the substantial to the contraction of the country of the substantial to the country of the country of the country of the substantial to the country of the country of the country of the substantial to the country of the country of the country of the substantial to the country of the country of the country of the country of the substantial to the country of the country o to us by Mexico, the people residing there will, at the time of their incorporation into the Union as a State, settle all questions of domestic policy to suit themselves."

Feb. 13, 1850 .- Gen. Taylor communicated to Congress the Constitution (free) of

the State of California.

Jan. 29th, 1850.—Mr. Henry Clay of Ky. submitted to the Senate the following propositions, which were made a special order and printed:

"1, Resolved, That California, with suitable bound ries, sught, upon her application, to be admitted as one of the States of this Union, without the imposition by Congress of any restriction in respect to the exclusion or introduction of Slavery within those boundaries.

"2. Resolved, That as Slavery does not exist by 2. Accorded, I has us shavery does not exist by law, and is not likely to be introduced into any of the territory acquired by the United States from the Republic of Mexico, it is inexpedient for Congress to provide by law either for its introduction into, or exclusion from, any part of the said territory; and that appropriate territorial governments ought to be established by Congress in all the said terof the proposed Bate of Cultivinia, without the adoption of any restriction or condition on the

subject of Slavery.

3. Resolved, That the western boundary of the State of Texas ought to be fixed on the Rie del Norte, commencing one marine league from its month, and running up that river to the southern line of New-Mexico; thence with that line castwordly, and so continuing in the same direction to the line as established between the United States and Spain, excluding any portion of New-Mexico, whether lying on the east or west of that

"4. Resolved, That it be proposed to the State of Texas, that the United States will provide for the payment of all that portion of the legitimate and boun fide public delit of that State contracted prior to its annexation to the United States, and for which the duties on foreign imports were pledged by the said State to its creditors, not exceeding the new of ---- dollars, in consideration of the said duties so pledged having been no longer applicable to that object after the said nunexation, but having thenceforward become payable to the United States; and upon the condition, also, that the said State of Texas shall, by some solemn and authoric act of hor logislature, or of a convention, relinquish to the United States any

claim which she has to any part of New-Mexico.

"5. Resolved, That it is inexpedient to abelish Slavery in the District of Columbia whilst that institution continues to exist in the State of Maryland, without the consent of that State, without the consent of the people of the District, and without just compensation to the owners of Slaves

within the District.

"6. But Resolved, that it is expedient to prohibit, within the District, the slave trade in slaves brought into it from States or places beyond the limits of the District, either to be sold therein as merchandise, or to be transported to other markets without the District of Columbia.

"7. Resolved, That more effectual provision ought to be made by law, according to the requirement of the Constitution, for the restitution and delivery of persons bound to service or labor in any State, who may escape into any other State

or Territory in the Union. And, "8. Resolved, That Congress has no power to rchibit or obstruct the trade in slaves between the Slaveholding States, but that the admission or exclusion of Slaves brought from one into another of them, depends exclusively upon their own particular laws.

Feb. 28th .- Mr. John Bell of Tenn. submitted to the Senate the following propositions:

". Whereas, Considerations of the highest interest to the whole country demand that the existing and increasing dissensions between the North and the Scuth, on the subject of Slavery, should be speedily arrested, and that the questions in con-trovorsy be adjusted upon some basis which shall tend to give present quet, repress sectional ani-mosities, remove, as far as possible, the causes of future discord, and secure the uninterrupted enjoyment of those benefits and advantages which the Union was intended to confor in equal measure upon all its members;

"And, whereas, It is manifest, under present circumstances, that no adjustment can be effected of the points of difference unhappily existing between the Northern and Southern sections of the Union, connected with the subject of Slavery, which shall secure to either section all that is con-

tended for, and that mutual concessions upon questions of mere policy, not involving the viola-tion of any constitutional right or principle, must be the basis of every project affording any assurance of a favorable acceptance;

ance of a favorable accoplance;

"And, whereas, 'Ro joint resolution for annexing Taxas to the United States, approved March 1, 1845, contains the following condition and guarantee—that is to say: 'New States of convenient size, not exceeding four in number, in addition to said State of Texas, and having sufficient population, may herenfter, by the con-sent of said State, be formed out of the territory thereof, which shall be entitled to admission under the previsions of the Federal Constitution; and the previous of the Federal Constitution; and such States as may be formed out of that portion of said territory lying south of thirty-six degrees thirty minutes north latitude, commonly known as the Missouri Compronise line, that be admitted into the Union with or without Slavery, as the people of each State asking admission may desire: and in such State or States as shall be formed out of said territory north of said Missouri Compromise line, Slavery, or involuntary servinde (ox-cept for crime), shall be prohibited: Therefore, "1. Resolved, That the obligation to comply with

the condition and gnarantee above recited in good faith be distinctly recognized; and that, in good min be distinctly recognized; and that, in part compliance with the sume, as soon as the people of Texas shall, by an act of their leg-islature, signify their assent by restricting the limits thereof, within the torritory lying onet of the Trinity and south of the Red River, and when the people of the residue of the territory claimed by Toxas adopt a constitution, republican in form, they be admitted into the Union upon an equal feeting in all respects with the ori-

ginal States.

gual States.

"2. Resolved, That if Toxas shall agree to ede, the United States will accept, a cession of all the unappropriated domain in all the territory claimed by Toxas, lying west of the Colorado and extending north to the forty-second parallol and extending north to the forty-second parallol of north latitude, together with the jurisdiction and sovereignly of all the territory claimed by Texas, north of the thirty-fourth parallol of north latitude, and to pay therefor a sum not exceeding

millions of dollars, to be applied in the first place to the extinguishment of any portion of the existing public debt of Texas, for the discharge of which the United States are under any

charge of which the United States are under any obligation, implied or otherwise, and the remainder as Texas shall require.

"3. Resolved, That when the population of that portion of the territory claimed by Texas, lying south of the thirty-fourth parallel of north latitude and west of the Colorade, half occupant of the colorade, shall occupant to the orthogonal control of the colorade, proceeding to the colorade, proceeding to the colorade, proceeding to the colorade to th the last preceding apportionment, according to the provisions of the Constitution, and the people of such territory shall, with the assent of the new Stete contemplated in the preceding resolution, have adopted a State Constitution, republican in form, they be admitted into the Union as a State,

form, they be admitted into the Union as a State, upon an equal footing with the original States.

"A. Resolved, That all the territory now claimed by Texas, lying north of the thirty-fourth parallel of north latitude, and which may be ceded to the United States by Texas, be incorporated with the Territory of New-Mexico, except such part thereof as lise east of the Rie Granda and south of the thirty-fourth degree of north latitude, and that the Territory so composed form a State, to be admitted into the Union when the inhabitants thereof shall adopt a State Constituinhabitants thereof shall adopt a Siate Constitu-tion, republican in form, with the consent of Con-gress; but, in the mean time, and until Congress grees; but, in the mean time, and must confide shall give such consent, provision be made for the government of the inhabitants of said Terri-tory suitable to their condition, but without any restriction as to Slavery. Hidalgo, lying west of said Territory of New-Mexico, and cast of the contemplated new State of California, for the present, constitute one Ter-ritory, and for which some form of government suitable to the condition of the inhabitanta be provided, without any restriction as to Slavory.

"6, Resolved, That the constitution recentl

formed by the people of the western portion of California, and presented to Congress by the Pre-sident on the 13th day of February, 1830, he ac-cepted, and that they be admitted into the Union as a State, upon an equal footing in all respects

with the original States.

"7. Resolved, That, in future, the formation of State Constitutions, by the inhabitants of the territories of the United States, be regulated by law; and that no such constitution be hereafter formed or adopted by the inhabitants of any Territory belonging to the United States, without

"B. Resolved, That the inhabitants of any Territory of the United States, when they shall be authorized by Congress to form a State Constitution, shall have the sole and exclusive power to regulate and adjust all questions of internal State policy, of whatever nature they may be, controlled only by the restrictions expressly imposed by the Constitution of the United Statos

"9. Resolved, That the Committoe or. Torritorios be instructed to report a bill in conformity with the spirit and principles of the foregoing re solutions."

A debate of unusual duration, earnestness, and ability ensued, mainly on Mr. Clay's Resolutions. They were regarded by uncompromising champions, whether of Northern or of Southern views, but especially of the latter, as conceding substantially the matter in dispute to the other side. Thus.

Jan. 29th .- Mr. Clay having read and briefly commented on his propositions, seriatim, he desired that they should be held over without debate, to give time for consideration, and made a special order for Monday or Tuesday following. But this was not assented to.

Mr. Rusk rose at once to protest against that portion of them which called in question the right of Texas to so much of New-Mexico as lies east of the Rio del Norte.

Mr. Foote of Miss. spoke against them generally, saying:

"If I understand the resolutions properly, they

"It understand the resolution property, any are objectionable, as it seems to me, "I. Because they only assert that it is not expedient that Congress should abolish Slavery in the District of Columbia; thus allowing the implication to arise that Congress has power to legislate on the subject of Slavery in the District, which may hereafter be exercised, if it should become expedient to do so; whereas, I hold that Con-gress has, under the Constitution, no such power at all, and that any attempt thus to legislate would be a gross fraud upon all the States of the Union.
"2. The Resolutions of the honorable Senator

assert that Slavery does not now exist by law in the territories recently acquired from Mexico; whereas, I am of opinion that the treaty with the Mexican republic carried the Constitution, with all its guaranties, to all the territory obtained by treaty, and secured the privilege to every South in New-Moxico and California. That was the very ern slaveholder to enter any part of it, attended proposition advanced by the non-slaveholding

"5. Resolved, That all the territory ceded to by his slave property, and to enjoy the same the United States, by the Treaty of Guaduloupe therein, free from all molecution or hindranea whatspoyer.

"3. Whother Slavery is or is not likely to be introduced into these territories, or into any of them, is a proposition too ancertaln, in my judgment, to be at present positively affirmed; and I ann unwilling to make a solumn legislative declaration on the point. Let the future provide the

appropriate solution of this interesting question.

4. Considering, as I have several times here. tofore formally dectured, the title of Texas to all the territory embraced in her boundaries, as laid down in her law of 1836, tall, complete, and undeniuble, I am moviding to say mything, by resolution or otherwise, which may in the least degree draw that title into question, as I think is done in one of the resolutions of the honorable

Senator from Kontucky.

Senator from Kennessy.

"5. I mi, upon constitutional and other grounds, wholly opposed to the principle of assuming State debts, which I understand to be embedded in one of the resolutions of the honorable Sonator from Kentucky. If Toxan soil is to be bought, (and with cortain appropriate softward, I am decidedly in favor of it,) let us pay to the soverely State of Toxas the value thereof in money, to be used by her as she pleases. It will be, as I think, more delicate and respectful to let her provide for the management of this matter, which is strictly domestic in its character, in such manner as she may choose—prosuming that sho will act wisely, justly, and houorably toward all to whom sho may be indebted.

"6. As to the abolition of the slave-trade in the District of Columbia. I see no particular objection to it, provided it is done in a delicate and judicious manner, and is not a concession to the menaces and domands of fuctionists and fangues

If other questions can be adjusted, this one will, perhaps, occasion but little difficulty.

"7. The resolutions which provide for the restoration of fugitives from labor or service, and for the establishment of territorial governments, free from all restriction on the subject of Slavery, have my hearty approval. The last resolution— which asserts that Congress has no power to prohibit the trade in Slaves from State to State-

equally approve.
"8. If all other questions connected with the subject of Slavery can be satisfactorily adjusted, I can see no objection to admitting all California, above the line of 36 deg. 30 min., into the Union; provided another new Slave State can be laid off within the present limits of Texas, so as to by within the present times of 12-22a, 80 Bit of the Union; and provided further, all this is done by way of conpremise, and in order to save the Union, (as dear promise, and in order to save the Union, (as dear promise, and in order to save the Union, (as dear promise, and in order to save the Union, (as dear promise, and in order to save the Union, (as dear promise). to me as to any man living.")

Mr. Mason of Va., after expressing his deep anxiety to "go with him who went furthest, but within the limits of strict duty, in adjusting these unhappy differ-ences," added:

"Sir, so far as I have read these resolutions, there is but one proposition to which I can give a hearty sesuit, and that it of resolution which proposes to organize Torritorial governments at once in these Territories, without a declaration one way or the other as to their domestic institutions. But there is another which I deeply regret to see introduced into this Senate, by a Senator from a slaveholding State; it is that which assumes that Slavery does not now exist by law in those countries. I understand one of these propositions to declare that, by law, Slavery is now abolished in New-Mexico and California. That was the very States at the last session; combated and dis- anty or counteracting measure is connected with proved as I thought by goutlemen from the alayer. It." preved, as I thought, by gontlemen from the slave-bolding States, and which the Compromise bill was framed to test. So far, I regarded the question of law us disposed of, and it was very clearly and satisfactorlly shown to be against the spirit of the resolution of the Senator from Kentucky. If the contrary is true, I pressure the Senster from Kentucky would declare that if a law is new valld in the Territories abolishing Slavery, that it could not be introduced there, even if a law was passed creating the institution, or repealing the statutes already existing; a deetrine sever ussented to, so far us I knew, until new, by uny Senator representing one of the slaveholding States. Sir, I hold the very opposite, and with such confidence, that at the last session I was willing and did vote for a bill to test this question in the Supreme Court. Yet this resolution acsumes the other dectrine to be true, and our assent is challenged to it as a proposition of law.
"I do not mean to detain the Senate by any dis-

cussion; but I deemed it to be my duty to enter a decided protest, on the part of Virginiu, against such decirines. They cencede the whele question at once, that our people shall not go into the new Territories and take their property with them; a doctrino to which I never will assent, and for which, sir, no law can be found. There are other portions of the resolutions, for which, if they could be sengrated. I should be your willing to the bosoparated, I should be very willing to vote. That respecting fugitive slaves, and that respecting the organization of governments in these Territories, I should be willing to vote for; and I when the state of th am happy to declare the gratification I experience at finding the Senator from Kentucky differing so much, on this subject, from the Executive message recently laid before the Senate. . beg not to be understood as having spoken in any spirit of un-kindness tewards the Senator from Kentucky, for whom I entertain the warmest and most profound respect: but I cannot but express also my regret that he has felt it to be his duty, standing as he does before this people, and representing the people he does, to introduce into this body resolutions of this kind."

Mr. Jefferson Davis of Miss. (since and now Secretary of War) objected specially to so much of Mr. Clay's propositions as relates to the boundary of Texas, to the Slave-trade in the Federal district, and to Mr. Clay's avowal in his speech that he did not believe Slavery ever would or could be established in any part of the territories acquired from Mexico. He continued:

"But, sir, we are called upon to receive this as a measure of compromise! As a measure in which a measure of compromise! As a measure in which we of the minority are to receive nothing. A measure of compromise! I look upon it as but a modest mode of taking that, the claim to which that I may be understood upon this question, and that my position may go forth to the contry in the same columns that convey the sentiments of the Senator from Kentucky, I bereasert, that never will I take lose than the Miscoccase, with the specific recognition of the richt ocean, with the specific recognition of the right ocean, who he specime recognition of the right to hold slaves in the territory below that line; and that, before such territories are admitted in-to the Union as States, slaves may be taken there from any of the United States at the option of the owners. I can never consent to give addi-tional power to a majority to commit further ag-

Mr. Clay in reply said:

"I um extremely sorry to hear the Senator from Mississippi say that he requires, that, the extended of the Missouri Compromise line to the Pacitle; and also that he is not satisfied with thut, but regulres, if I understood him correctly, a positive provision for the admission of Slavery south of that line. And now, alr, coming from a Slave State, as I do, I owe it to myself, I owe it to 'ruth, I owe it to the subject, to state that no earthly power could induce me to vote for a specitle measure for the introduction of Shivery where it had not before existed, either south or north of that line. Coming as I do from a Slavo State, it is my selemm, deliberate, and well-matured determination that no power-ne earthly power-shall compel me to vote for the positive introduction of Slavery either south or north of that line. Sir, while you repreach, and justly, this institution upon the continent of America, I um, for one, unwilling that the posterily of the present inhabitants of California and of New-Mexice shall reproach us for doing just what wo reproach Great Brittin for doing just what we reproach Great Brittin for doing to us. If the citizens of those Torritories choose to estublish Slavery, I am for admitting them with such provisions in their constitutions; but then, it will be their own work, and not ours, and their posterity will have to reproach them, and not us, for forming constitutions allowing the institution of Slavery to exist among them. These are my views, sir, and I choose to express them; and I care not how extensively and universally they are known. The honorable Senator from Virginia has expressed his opinion that Slavery exists in these Territories, and I have no deubt that opinion is sincerely and honestly entertained har opmon is sincercy and nonesty entertained by him; and I would say with equal sincerity and honesty, that I believe that Slavery nowhere exists within any portion of the Territory ac-quired by us from Mexico. He holds a directly contrary opinion to mine, as he has a perfect right to do; and we will not quarrel about that difference of opinion."

Mr. William R. King of Ala. was inclined to look with favor on Mr. Clay's propositions, and assented to some of them; but he objected to the mode in which California had formed what is called a State Constitution. He preferred the good old way of first organizing Territories, and so training up their people "for the exercise and enjoy-Besides, he ment of our institutions." thought "there was not that kind of population there that justified the formation of a State Government." On the question of Slavery in the new Territories, he said :

"With regard to the opinions of honorable Senators, respecting the operation of the laws of Mexico in our newly-acquired territories, there may be, and no doubt is, an honest difference of may be, and no doubt is, an honest difference of opinion with regard to that matter. Some believe that the manicipal institutions of Mexico over-rule the provisions of our Constitution, and prevent us from carrying our slaves leve. That is a matter which id on ot propose to discuss; it has been discussed at length in the debate upon the Compromise bill, putling it on the ground of a judicial decision. Sit, put and the contract of the contract gressions upon the minority in this Union; and that which the honorable Senator states to be a will never consent to any proposition which fact, and which, as has been remarked by the will have such a tendency, without a full guar. Senator from Mississiphi, can only be conjectural,

ho in reality so or not-that Slavery never our go there. This is what is stated, however, be it so. If slave labor be not profitable there, It will not go there; or, if it go, who will be benefited? Not the South. They will mever compel it to go there. We are misunderstood-grossly, I may say-by honorable Senators, though not intentionally; but we are contending for a principle, and a great principle—a principle lying at the very foundation of our constitutional rights-involving, as has been remarked, our property; in one word, involving our safety, our honor, all that is dear to us, as American free-men. Well, sir, for that principle we will be compolled to contend to the numest, and to resist agression at every hazard and at every sacrifice. That is the position in which we are placed. ask no act of Congress—as has been properly intimated by the Senator from Mississippi—to carry Slavery anywhere. Sir, I believe we have as much constitutional power to prohibit Slavery from going into the territories of the United States, as we have to pass an act carrying Slave We have no right to do either the one ry thero. or the other. I would as soou voto for the Wilmot Proviso as I would vote for any law which required that Slavery should go into any of the Territories."

Mr. Downs of Louisiana said:

"I must confess that, in the whole course of my life, my astonishment has never been greater than it was whon I saw this [Mr. Clay's] proposition brought forward as a compromise; and I rise now, sir, not for the purpose of discussing it at all, but to protest most solomnly against it. I consider this compromise as no compromise at all. What, sir, does it grant to the South? I can see nothing at all. The first resolution offered by the honorable Senator proposes to admit the State of California with a provision prohibit-ing Slavery in territory which embraces all our possessions on the Pacific. It is true, there may be a new regulation of the boundary hereafter; but, if there were to be such a regulation, why was it not embraced in this resolution? was it and embraced in this resolution? As no boundary is mentioned, we have a right to pre-sume that the boundary established by the Con-stitution of Culifornia was to be received as the established boundary. What concession, then, is it from the North, that we admit a State thus prohibiting Slavery, embracing the whole of our possessions on the Pacific coast, according to these resolutions? As to the resolution relating to New-Mexico and Descret, if it had simply contained the provision that a constitutional government shall be established there, without any mention of Slavery whatever, it would have been well enough. But, inasmuch as it is affirmed that wen courge. Dat, measures as its sufficient that Slavery does not now exist in these Territories, does it not absolutely preclude its admission there? and the resolutions might just as well affirm that Slavery should be prohibited in these Territories. The Senator from Alabama, if I understood him aright, maintains that the proposition is of the same import as the Wilmot Proviso; and, in view of these facts, I would ask, is there anything conceded to us of the South?'

Mr. Butler of South Carolina said :

"Perhaps our Northern brethren ought to underetand that all the Compromises that have been made, have been by concessions—acknowledged concessions—on the part of the South. When made, have been by concessions—noknowledged concessions—on the part of the South. When other compromises are proposed, that require new concessions on their part, whilst none are exacted on the other, the issue, at least, should be presented for their consideration before they come to the decision of their great question. If I understand it, the Senator from Kontacky's whole proposition of compromise is nothing more | question. Lost : Yeas 23; Nays 28.

than this: That California is already disposed of. having formed a State Constitution, and that Territorial Clovernments shall be organized for Descret and New-Mexico, under which, by the operation of laws already existing, a slaveholding population could not carry with them, or owa slaves there What is there in the nature of a compromise here, coupled, as it is, with the proposition that, by the existing laws in the Territories, it is almost certain that slaveholders cannot, and luve no right to, go there with their promise here? I am willing, however, to run the risks, and am ready to give to the Territories the governments they require. I shall always think that, under a constitution giving equal rights to all parties, the slaveholding people, as such, can
go to these Torritories, and retain their property
there. But, if we adopt this proposition of the
Senator from Koutacky, it is clearly on the basis
that Slavery shall not go thore.
"I do not understand the Senator from Missis-

sippi (Mr. Davis) to maintain the proposition, that the South asked or desired a law declaring that Slavery should go there, or that it maintained the policy even that it was the duty of Congress to pass such a law. We have only asked, and it is the only compromise to which we will submit, that Congress shall withhold the hand of violence from the Territories. The only way in which this question can be settled is, for gentlemen from the North to withdraw all their opposition to the Territorial Governments, and not insist on their Slavery Prohibition. The Union is then safe enough. Why, then, insist on a compromise, when those already made are sufficient for the peace of the North and South, if faithfully observed? These propositions are in the name of a compromise, when none is necessary."

The debate having engrossed the attention of the Senate for nearly two months-

March 25th.—Mr. Dougles, from the Committee on Territories, reported the following

Senate, 169 .- A bill for the admission of California into the Union. Senate, 170 .- A bill to establish the Territorial Governments of Utah and New-Mexico, and for other purposes.

These bills were read, and passed to a secord reading.

April 11th .- Mr. Douglas moved that Mr. Bell's resolves do lie on the table. Lost: Yeas 26; Nays 28.

April 15th.—The discussion of Mr. Clav's resolutions still proceeding, Colonel Benton moved that the previous orders be postponed. and that the Senate now proceed to consider the bill (S. 169) for the admission of the State of California.

Mr. Clay moved that this proposition do lie on the table. Carried: Yeas 27 (for a Compromise); Nays 24 (for a settlement without compromise).

The Senate now took up Mr. Bell's re-solves aforesaid, when Mr. Benton moved that they lie on the table. Lost: Yeas 24: Navs 28.

Mr. Benton next moved that they be so amended as not to connect or mix up the admission of California with any other

Various modifications of the generic idea were severally voted down, generally by large majoritics.

On motion of Mr. Poote of Miss., it was now

"Ordered, That the resolution submitted by Mr. Holl on the 28th February, together with the Mr. Clay, be referred to a Select Committee of thirteen; Provided, that the Senate does not doom it necessary, and therefore declines, to express in advance any opinion, or to give any in-struction, either general or specific, for the guid-ance of the said Committee."

April 19th.—The Senate proceeded to elect by ballot such Schoot Committee, which was composed as follows:

Mr. Henry Cley of Ky. Chairman Mossrs. Dickinson of N. Y. Cooper of Cooper of Pa. Downs of La. Phelps of Vt. Bell of Tonu. King of Ala. Cass of Mich Mangum of N. C Wobster of Moss. Mason of Va. Berrion of Cla. Bright of Ind.

May 8th .-- Mr. Clay, from said Committee, reported as follows:

REPORT.

The Sonate's Committee of thirtoon, to whom were referred various resolutions relating to California, to other portions of the territory recently acquired by the United States from the Republic of Mexico, and to other subjects connected with the institution of Slavery, have, according to order, had these resolutions and subjects under consideration, and beg leave to submit the following Report:

The Committee entered on the discharge of their duties with a deep sense of their great importance, and with earnest and anxious solicitude to arrive at such conclusions as might be satisfactory to the Senate and to the country. Most of the matters referred have not only been sub-jected to extensive and serious public discussion jected to extensive and serious public decision throughout the country, but to a debate in the Senate itself, singular for its elihorateness and its duration; so that a full exposition of all those motives and views which, on several subjects confided to the Committee, have determined the connuced to use committee, nave determined the conclusions at which they have arrived, seems quite unnecessary. They will, therefore, restrict themselves to a few general observations, and to some reflections which grow out of those subjects.

Out of our recent territorial acquisitions, and in connection with the institution of Slavery, questions most grave sprung, which, greatly dividing and agitating the people of the United States, have threatened to disturb the harmony, if not to endanger the safety, of the Union. The Committee believe it to be highly desirable and necessary speedily to adjust all those questions, in a spirit of concord, and in a manner to pro-dnce, if practicable, general satisfaction. They think it would be unvise to leave any of them open and unsettled, to fester in the public mind, and to prolong, if not aggravate, the existing agitation. It has been their object, therefore, in this Report, to make such proposals and recom-mendations as would accomplish a general adjustment of all these question

Among the subjects referred to the Committee
which command their first attention, are the resolutions offered to the Senate by the Senator from
of California as a State into the Union, a majori-

Teunessee, Mr. Bell. By a provision in the resolution of Congress amoxing Texas to the convenient size, not exceeding four in number, by the consent of said State, he formed out of the territory thereof, which shall be entitled to admission, under the provisions of the Federal Constitution; and such States so may be formed out of that portion of said territory lying South of 36° 30' North latitude, commonly known us the Missouri Compromise line, shall be admitted into the Union with or without Slavery, as the people of each State asking admission may deeiro.

The Committee are unanimously of opinion, that whenever one or more Strice, formed out of the territory of Texas, not exceeding four, having sufficient population, with the consent of Texas, may apply to be admitted into the Union, they are entitled to such admission, beyond all doubt, upon the clear, manubiguous, and absolute terms of the solumn compact contained in the Resolution of Annexation adopted by Congress, and assented to by Texas. But, whilst the Com-mittee conceive that the right of admission into the Union of any new State, carved out of the Territory of Texas, not exceeding the number spe-cifled, and under the conditions stated, cannot be cuted, and under the conditions stated, cannot be justly controverted, the Committee do not think that the formation of any new States should now originate with Congress. The initiative, in conformity with the usego which has hitherto prevailed, should be taken by a pertion of the people of Texas themselves, desirous, of constituting a now State with the consent of Texas. And in the formation of said new States, it will be for the people composing it to decide for themselves whether they will admit, or whether they will exelude, Slavery. And however they may decide that purely municipal question, Congress is bound to acquiesee, and to fulfill in good faith the stipu-lations of the compact with Toxas. The Commit-tee are aware that it has been contended that the resolution of Congress annexing Texas was un-constitutional. At a former epoch of our coun-try's history, there were those (and Mr. Jefferson, under whose auspices the treaty of Leuisiana was concluded, was among them,) who believed that the States formed out of Louisiana could not be received into the Union without an amendment of the constitution. But the States of Louisiana, Missouri, Arkansas, and Iowa have been all, nevertheless, admitted. And who would now think of opposing Minnesota, Oregon, or new States formed out of the ancient province of Louisiana, upon the ground of an alleged original defect of constitutional power? In grave nationat transactions, while yet in their earlier or in-cipient stages, differences may well exist; but when once they have been decided by a constitu-tional majority, and are consummated, or in a process of consummation, there can be no other safe and prudent alternative than to respect the sate and pradent alternative than to respect the decision already rendered, and to acquisee in it. Entertaining these views, a majority of the Commission of the commend, at this time, or prospectively, any new State or States to be formed out of the territory of Texas. Should any such State be because from the commend of the commendent of the state of the state of the properties of the commendent of the state of the s of Slavery, it cannot be doubted that Congress will admit it, under the influence of similar considerations, in regard to new States formed of or out of New-Mexico and Utah, with or without the institution of Slavery, according to the constitutions and judgment of the people who compose them, as to what may be best to promote their happi-

ty of the Committee conceive that any irregularity, by which that State was organized without the provious authority of an act of Congress, ought to be overlooked, in consideration of the ondseion by Congress to establish any territorial government for the people of California, and the consequent necessity which they were under to orente a government for thomselves, best adapted to their own wants. There are various instances, prior to the case of California, of the admission of new States into the Union without any pre-vious authorization by Congress. The sole condition required by the Constitution of the United States, in respect to the admission of a new State, le, that its constitution shall be republican in form. Callfornia presents such a constitution; form. California presents aren a constitution and there is no doubt of her having a greater population than that which, according to the practice of the government, has been beretefore doomed sufficient to receive a new State into the Ilnion.

In regard to the proposed boundaries of California, the Committee would have been glad if there existed more full and accurate geographical knowledge of the territory which these boundarles include There is reason to believe that, arros melinde. There is reason to beneve that, large as they are they embrace no very dispreportionate quantity of land adapted to cultivation. And it is known that they contain extensive ranges of mountains, desorts of sand, and much unproductive soil. It might have been, perhaps, botter to have assigned to California a more limited front on the Pacific; but even if there had been reserved, on the shore of that ocean, a portion of the boundary which it presents. for any other State or States, it is not very oertain that an accessible interior of sufficient extent could have been given to them to render an approach to the ocean, through their own limits, of vory great importance.

A majority of the Committee think that there

A majority of the Commence dains the more are many and urgont concurring considerations in favor of admitting California, with the proposed boundaries, and of securing to her at this time the benefits of a State government. If, hereafter, upon an increase of her population, a more thorough exploration of her territory, and an ascertainment of the relations which may arise between the people occupying its various parts, it should be found conducive to their convenience and happiness to form a new State out of California, we have every resson to believe, from past experience, that the question of its ad-mission will be fairly considered and justly docided.

A majority of the Committee, therefore, recommend to the Senate the passage of the bill reported by the Committee on Territories, for the admission of California as a State into the Union. To prevent misconception, the Committee also recommend that the amendment reported by the same Committee to the bill be adopted, so as to leave incontestable the right of the United States to the public domain and other public property of California.

Whilst a majority of the Committee believe it to be necessary and proper, under actual circumstances, to admit California, they think it quite as necessary and proper to establish governments for the residue of the territory derived from Moxico, and to bring it within the pale of the federal authority. The remoteness of that territory from authority. The remoteness of that terrnory from the seat of the general government; the dispersed state of its population; the variety of races-pure and mixed of which it consists; the ignorance of some of the races of our laws, language, and habits; their exposure to inroads and wars of savage tribes; and the solemn stipulations of the treaty by which we acquired dominion over them
-impose upon the United States the imperative obligation of extending to them protection, and

of providing for them government and laws sulted to their condition. Congress will fail in the performance of a high duty, if it do not give, or attempt to give to them, the benefit of such protection, government, and laws. They are not now, and for a long time to come may not be, prepared for State government. The territorial form, for the present, is bost suited to their condi-tion. A bill has been reported by the Committee on Territories, dividing all the territory acquired from Mexico, not comprehended within the limits of California, into two territories, ander the names of New-Mexico and Utah, and proposing for each a torritorial government.

The Committee recommend to the Senate the establishment of those territorial governments; and, in order more certainly to se a that desire ble object, they also recommend that the bill for their establishment be becorporated in the bill for the admission of California, and that, united

togother, they both be passed.

The combination of the two measures in the same bill is objected to on various grounds. It in said that they are incongruous, and have no necessary connection with each other. A majority of the Committee think otherwise. The object of both measures is the establishment of a governmont suited to the conditions, respectively, of the proposed now State and of the now Tertifices. Prior to their transfer to the United States, they both formed a part of Moxic , where they stood in equal rolations to the government of that republic. They were both ceded to the United States by the same treaty. And, in the same article of that treaty, the United States engaged to protect and govern both. Common in their orlgin, common ln their alienation from one foreign government to another, common in their wants of good government, and conterminous in some of their boundaries, and alike in many par-ticulars of physical condition, they have nearly everything in common in the relation in which they stand to the rest of this Union. There is, then, a general fitness and propriety in extending the arental care of government to both in common. If California, by a sudden and extraordinary augmentation of population, has advanced so rapidly as to mature for herself a State Government, that furnishes no reason why the less fortunate Territories of New-Mexico and Utah should be abandoned and left ungoverned by the United States, or should be disconnected with California, which, although she has organized for herself a State Government, must, legally and constitutionally, be regarded as a Territory until she is actually admitted as a State into the Union.

It is further objected that, by combining the two measures in the same bill, members who may be willing to vote for on and unwilling to vote for the other, would be placed in an embar-rassing condition. They would be constrained, it is urged, to take or reject both. On the other hand, there are other members who would be willing to vote for both united, but would feel them selves constrained to vote against the California bill if it stood alone. Each party finds in the bill which it favors something which commends it to acceptance, and in the other something which it disapproves. The true ground, therefore, of the objection to the union of the measures is not any want of affinity between them, but because of the favor or distavor with which they are respectively regarded. In this conflict of opinion, it seems to a majority of the Committee that a spirit of mutual concession enjoins that the two measures should be connected together—the effect of which will be, that neither opinion will exclusively triumph, and that both may find, in such an amicable arrangement, enough of good to reconcile them to the acceptance of the combined measure. And such a course of legislation is not at all unusual. Fow laws have over passed in which there were not parts to which execution was taken. It is inexpedient if not impracticable, to separate these parts, and onbody them in distinct bills, so as to accommodate the diversity of oplulon which may exist. The Constitution of the United States contained in It a great variety of provisions, to some of which serious objection was made in the convention which formed it, by different members of that body : and, when it was submitted to the ratification of the States, some of them objected to some parts, and officer to other parts, of the same instrument. Had these various parts and provisions been separately acted on in the convention, or separately submitted to the people of the United States, It is by no means certain that the Constitution itself would ever have been adopted or ratified. Those who did not like particular providing found compensation in other parts of it.

And in all cases of constitution and laws, when ofther is presented as a whole, the question to is not of greater anount, and onpable of noutraliz-ing anything objectionable in it. And, as nothing human is perfect, for the sake of that harmony so desirable in such a confederacy as this, we must be reconciled to secure as much as we can of what wo wish, and be consoled by the reflection that what we do not exactly like is a friendly concession, and agreeable to those who, being united with us in a common destiny, it is desirable, should always live with us in peace and concord.

A majority of the Committee have, therefore, o the recommendation to the Senate that the met are /o measures to united. The bill for establishing the two Torritorios, it will be observ-ed, omits the Wilmot provise on the one hand, and, on the other, makes no provision for the introduction of Slavory into any part of the new

Territories.

Territories.

That prov. so has been the fruitful source of distraction and agitation. If it were adopted and any obligatory force as soon as such Territory were admitted as a State into the Union. There was never any occasion for it to accomplish the professed object with which it was originally offered. This has been clearly demonstrated by offered. This has been clearly demonstrated by the current of events. California, of all the re-cent territorial acquisitions from Mexico, was that in which, if anywhere within them, the introduc-tion of Slavery v z most likely to take place; and the constitution of California, by the unanimous the constitution of Camornia, by the unanimous vote of her convention, has expressly interdicted it. There is the highest degree of probability that Utah and New-Mexico will, when they come 'o be admitted as States, follow the example. The provise is, as to all those regions in common, a mere abstraction. Why should it be any longer insisted on? Totally destinte as it is of any practical import, it has, nevertheless, had the pernicious effect to excite sericus, if not alarming, consequences. It is high time that the wounds which it has inflicted should be healed up and closed. And, to avoid, in all future time, the agitations which must be produced by the conflict of opinion on the Slavery question, existing as this institution does in some of the States, and prohibited as it is in others, the true principle which ought to regulate the action of Congress in forming Territorial Governments for each newly acquired domain, is to refrain from all legislation on the subject in the Territory acquired, so long as it retains the Territorial form of Government—leaving it to the people of such Territory, when they have attained to the condi-tion which entitles them to admission as a State. to decide for themselves the question of the allow ance or prohibition of domestic Slavery. The

Committee believe that they express the auxious desire of an immense majority of the people of the United States, when they declare that it is high time that good feeling, harmony, and traternal sentiment should be again revived, and that the Government should be able once more to proceed in its great operations to promote the happiness and prosperity of the country, undisturbed by this distracting cause,
As for California—for from seeing her sousi-

bility affected by her being associated with other kindred measures-she ought to rejoice and bo highly gratified that, in entering into the Union, she may have contributed to the tranquillity and happhiess of the great family of States, of which, it is to be hoped, she may one day be a distin-

The Committee beg leave next to report on the subject of the Northern and Western boundary of Toxas. On that question u great diversity of opinion has prevailed. According to one view of it, the western limit of Toxas was the Nucces; ot at, the western limit of Texas was its Nucces; according to mother, it extended to the Rio Graudo, and stretched from its mouth to its source. A majority of the Committee having come to the centralism of recommending an amicable adjustment of the boundary with Texas, abstain from expressing any opinion as to the other contractions of the contraction ary of that State. The terms proposed for such an adjustment are contained in the bill herewith reported, and they are, with inconsiderable varia-tion, the same as that reported by the Committee on Territories.

According to these torms, it is proposed to Texas that her boundary be recognized to the Rio Grande, and up that river to the point commonly called El Paso, and thence running up that river twonty miles, measured thereon by a straight line, and thence custwardly to a point where the hundredth degree of west longitude crosses Red River: being the southwest angle in the line designated between the United States and Mexico. and the same angle in the line of the territory set

apart for the Indians by the United States.

If this boundary be assonted to by Toxas, she will be quieted to that extent in her title. And some may suppose that, in consideration of this concession by the United States, she might, without any other equivalent, relinquish any claim she has beyond the proposed boundary; that is, any claim to any part of New-Mexico. But under the influence of sentiments of justice and great liberality, the bill proposes to Texas, for her relinquishment of any such claim, a large pecuniary equivalent. As a consideration for it, and considering that a portion of the debt of Texas was orested on a pledge to her creditors of the duties on foreign imports, transferred by the resolution of Annexation to the United States, and now received and receivable in her treasury. and now received and receivablem her reasury, a majority of the Committee recommend the payment of the sum of — millions of dollars to Texas, to be applied in the first instance to the extinction of that portion of her debt for the reimbursement of which the duties on foreign imports were pledged as aforesaid, and the residue in such manner as she may direct. The sum is to be paid by the United States, in a stock, to be created, bearing five per cent. interest annually, payablo half-yearly, at the treasury of the United States, and the principal reimbursable at the end of fourteen years.

According to an estimate which has b made, there are included in the territory to which it is proposed that Texas shall relinquish her claim, embracing that part of New-Mexico lying east of the Rio Grande, a little less than 124,933 squere miles, and about 79,957,120 acres of land. From the proceeds of the sale of this land, the United States may ultimately be reimbursed a portion, if not the whole, of the amount of what in thus proposed to be advanced to Texas.

It cannot be anticlopated that Texas will decline to necedo to them liberal proposition a but if she abound, it is do be distinctly underst ood that the title of the United States to any territory acquired from Mexico east of the Rio Grande will remain minupaired, and in the same condition as if the preposule of adjustment now offered had anover been made.

A majority of the Committee recommend to the Seante that the section containing those proposals to Texas shall be incorporated into the bill embrueing the admission of California as a State, and the establishment of territorial governments for Utah and New-Mexico. The definition and establishment of the boundary between New-Moxico and Texas have an intimate and necessary connection with the establishment of a territorial government for New Mexico. To form a territorial government for New Mexico, without prescribing the limits of the Territory, would leave the work imperfect and incomplete, and might expose New Mexico to serious controversy, if not dangerous collisions, with the State of Texas. And most, if not all, the considerations which unite in favor of combining the bill for the admission of Culifornia as a State and the Territorial bills, apply to the boundary question of Texas. By the union of the three measures, every question of difficulty and division which has arisen out of the territorial acquisitions from Moxico, will, it is hoped, be adjusted, or placed mittee, availing themselves of the arduous and valuable labors of the Committee on Territorics, report a bill, horewith annexed, (marked A.) embracing those three measures, the passage of which, uniting them togother, they recommend to the Sonate.

The Committee will now proceen to the consideration of, and to report upon, the subject of persons owing service or labor in one State esping into another. The text of the Constitution is quite clear: "No person held to labor or service in one State, water the laws thereof, seening into another, shall, in consequence of any law or requal to the constitution of the party to whom such service or labor is due." Nothing can be more explicit than this language—nothing more manifest than the right to demna, and the obligation to deliver up to the chimant, any such fagitive. And the Constitution address are lated falls to the States compessing the Union and the object to the States compessing the Union of the party to whome such fagitive. And the Constitution addresses a lated falls to the States compessing the Union can be such fagitive. And the Constitution addresses the fall of the States compessing the Union of the Constitution between the States and the Pederal Government, it is more clear that it is that of the former than of the latter. But it is that of the former than of the latter. But it is the duty of both. It is well known and incontestable that citizens of slaveholding in the constitution between the states and the Pederal Government, it is more clear that it is that of the former than of the latter. But it is the duty of both. It is well known that the states and the Pederal Government in the constitution between the states and the Pederal Government in the constitution of the Constitution of

The attempt to recepture a fugitive is almost always a subject of groat irritation and oxcitiment, and often leads to most unpleasant, if not perious, collisions. An owner of a slave, it is perious, collisions. An owner of a slave, it is proposed in the period of the purpose of the state of the purpose of

engliss of their duty, aviding under the Constitution of the United States. It is true that a docinion of the Sapreum Court of the United States
has given continenance to them In withhelding
their assistance. But the Committee caused must
believe that the intention of the Sapreum Court
has been intenderated. They cannot but think
over Il States, which great the their late of the
word! States, which great the their late to
word the receivery of finglitives, were not anthorized by the Constitution, and not that the
State laws infording facilities in the receivery of
figitives were forbidden by that instrument. The
non-staveholding States, whatever sympathics
any of their citizens may feel for persons who
come from my children to unforce the Constitution of the United States. All parts of the instrument being dependent upon, and conjected with,
the of the United States. All parts of the instrumont being dependent upon, and conjected with,
each other, orgate to be fairly and justly onforced.
If some States may seek to exonente themsolves from on portion of the Constitution, other
States may endeavor to evade the preformance
of the Universities of the Constitution, other
States may endeavor to evade the preformance
of the Universities of the Constitution, other
States may endeavor to evade the preformance
or existing the constitution of the Universities,
might become importative and invalid.
But, whatever may be the conduct of indivi-

But, whatever may be the conduct of individual States, tho duty of the Genoral Government is perfectly clear. That duty is, to ansent the existing law, and provide on effectual remedy for the recovery of fugitives from service or labor. In dovising such a remedy, Congross ought, whilet, on the one hand, securing to the owner the fair restoration of his proporty, effectually to guard, on the other, against any abuses in the application of that remedy.

In all cases of arrest, within a State, of porsons charged with offenses; in all cases of the pursuit of fugitives from justice from one State to anothor State; in all cases of extradition, provided for by treaties between fereign powers-the proceeding unibrmly is summary. It has never been thought necessary to apply, in cases of that kind, the forms and ceremonies of a final trial. And, when that trial does take place, it is in the State or country from which the party has fled, and not in that in which ho has found refuge. and not in that in which he has found relage. By the express language of the Constitution, whether the fugitive is held to service or labor, or not, is to be determined by the lacus of the State from which he field; and, consequently, it is most pro-per that the iribu. is of that State should ex-pound and administer its own laws. If there have been any instance of abuse, in the erronsous arrest of fugitives from service or labor, the Committee have not obtained knowledge of them. They believe that none have occurred, and that such are not likely to occur. But, in order to guard against the possibility of their occurrence, the committee have prepared, and herewith re-port, a section, (marked B.) to be offered to the fugitive bill now before the Senate. According to this section, the owner of a fugitive from service or labor is, when practicable, to carry with him to the State in which the person is found a record from a competent tribunal, adjudicating the fact of elopement and slavery, with a general de-scription of the fugitive. This record, properly attested and certified under the official seal of the court, being taken to the State where the person owing service or labor is found, is to be held competent and sufficient evidence of the facts which had been adjudicated, and will leave nothing more to be done than to identify the fugi-

Numerous petitions have been presented praying for a trial by jury, in the case of arrest of fugitives from service or labor in the non-slaveholding States. It has been already shown that this would be entirely contrary to practice and uniform usage in all similar cases. Under the

name of a penular and cherished institution---au preliminary proceeding, and only in cases of final trial—there would be a complete meekery of justice, no far as the owner of the fugitive is concorned. If the trial by jury he admitted, it would draw after it its usual consequences; of confluence from time to time, to bring evidence from distant places; of second or new trials, in consec whore the jury is hung, or the verdict is not uside; and of revisals of the verdict and emidet of the juries by competent tribune's. Daring the progress of all these dilutory and expensive proceedings, what security is there as to the and proceedings, what scentrity is there as to the custody and forthconling of the fuglity apout their termination? And it, fluelly, the claimant should be unceessful, cautrary to what happens in ordinary litigation between free persons, he would have to bear all the burdens and expenses of the litigation, without judgmenty, and would learn, by and experience, that he had by far better abandon his right in the first lustance, than to establish it at such unromunerated cost and

heavy sacriflee
But, whilst the Committee conceive that a trial by jury in a State where a fugitive from service or labor is recaptured, would be a virtual denial of justice to the elaimant of such fugitive, and would be tantamount to a positive refusal to execute the provision of the Constitution, the same objections de not apply to such a trial in the State from which he fled. In the slaveholding States. from which he had. In the slaveholding States, full justice is administered, with outire fairness and importiality, in cases of all actions for free-dom. The person claiming his freedom is allowed to suo in fic ma pauperia; coursel is assigned him; time is allowed him to collect his withreads and to attend the sessions of the court; and his olaimant is placed under bond and scenrity, or is divested of the possession during the progress of the trial, to insure the enjoyment of these privileges; and, if there be any leaning on the part of courts and juries, it is always to the side of the claimant for freedom.

In deference to the feolings and prejudices which provail in non-slaveholding States, the Committee propose such a trial in the State from which the fuginve fled, in all cases where he declares to the officer giving the certificate for his ctares to the oncer giving the certments for his return that he has a right to his freedom. Ac-cordingly, the Committee nave prepared, and report herewith, (marked C), two sections which they recommend should be incorporated in the fugitive bill, pending in the Senate. According to these sections, the claimant is placed under bond, and required to return the fugitive to that county in the State from which ho fled, and there to take him before a competent tribunal, and allow him to assert and establish his freedom. if he can, affording to him for that purpose all needful facilities.

The Committee indulge the hope that if the Fugitive bill, with the proposed amendments, shall be passed by Congress, it will be effectual to secure the recovery of all fugitives from ser-vice or labor, and it will remove all causes of complaint which have hitherto been experienced on that irritating subject. But, if in its practi-cal operation it shall be found insufficient, and if no adequate remedy can be devised for the res-

no adequate remedy can be devised for the restoration to their owners of fugitive slaves, those owners shall have a just title to indemnity out of the Treasury of the United States.

It remains to report upon the resolutions in relation to Slaver Trade in the District of Columbia.

Without discussing the power of Congress to abolish Slavery within the District, in regard to which a diversity of opin-ion exists, the Committee are of opinion that it ought not to be abolished. It could not be done without indispensable conditions, which are not

likely to be agreed to. It could not be done without exciting great apprehension and alarm in the Slave States. If the power were exercised within this District, they would apprehend that, under some protext or another, it night bereafter be ettempted to be exercised within the slaveholding States. It is true that, at present, all such puwer within those States is almost unanimously disa-vowed and disclaimed in the Free States. But, experlener in public affoirs has too often shown that where there is a desire to do a particular thing, the power to accomplish it, sooner or later,

Nor does the number of Slaves within the District make the abolition of Slavery an object of any such consequence as appears to be attached to it in some parts of the Union. Since the retre-cession of Alexandria county to Virginia on the south side of the Potemao, the District new consists only of Washington county on the north side of that river; and the returns of the decennary commercation of the people of the United States show a rapidly progressing decrease in the number of slaves in Washington county. According to the census of 1830, the number was 4,505; and ln 1840, it was reduced to 3,320; showing a reduction in ten years of nearly one-third. If it should continue in the same ratio, the number, according to the census new about to be taken, will be only a little upward of two thouannd

But a majerity of the Committee think differeutly in regard to the Slave Trade within the District. By that trade is meant the introduction of slaves from adjacent States into the Distriel, for sale, or to be placed in dépôt fer the purpose of subsequent sale or transportation to other and distant markets. That trade, a majority of the Committee are of opinion, ought to be abelished. Complaints have always existed abelished. Complaints have always expected against it, no less on the part of members of Congress from the South than on the part of members from the North. It is a trade some times exhibiting revolting spectacles, and one in which the people of the District have no interest, but, on the contrary, are believed to be desirous that it should be discentinued. Most, if not all, of the slaveholding States have, oither in their constitutions or by penal enactments, pro-hibited a trade in slaves as merchandise within their respective jurisdictions. Congress, standing in regard to this District, on this subject, in a relation si, rilar to that of the State Legislatures. to the people of the States, may safely follow the example of the States. The Committee have prepared, and herewith report, a bill for the accition of that trade (marked D), the passage of which they recommend to the Senate. This bill has been framed after the model of what the law of Maryland was when the General Government was removed to Washington.

The views and recommendations contained in this report may be recapitulated in a few words:

1. The admission of any new State or States formed out of Texas to be postponed until they shall hereafter present themselves to be received shall hereafter present memserves to be received into the Union, when it will be the duty of Con-gress fairly and fuithfully to execute the com-pact with Toxas, by admitting such now State or

2. The admission forthwith of California into the Union, with the boundaries which she has pro-

3. The establishment of territorial governments, without the Wilmot Proviso, for New-Mexico and Utah, embracing all the territory recently acquired by the United States from Mexico, not contained in the boundaries of California;

4. The combination of these two last-mentioned measures in the same bill; 5. The establishment of the western and northern boundaries of Texas, and the exclusion from her jurisdiction of all New-Mexica, with the grant to Texas of a pecuniary equivalent; not the section for that pan pose to be incorporated in the bill admitting Colifornia and establishing territorial governments for Utah and New-Mex-

6. More effectual quantments of law to secure the prompt delivery of persons hound to cervice or labor in one State, under the laws thereof, who escape into another State; and,
7. Abstaining from abolishing Slavery; but,

under a heavy penalty, prohibiting the slave-trade in the District of Committee.

If such of these several measures as require legislation should be earried out by sultable acts of Congress, all controversios to which our late torritorial acquisitions have given rise, and all existing questions connected with the institution of Slavery, whether resulting from these acquisi-tions, or from its existence in the States and the District of Columbia, will be amicably settled and adjusted, in a manner, it is confidently beand adjusted, in a manner, it as confidently ob-lioved, to give general satisfaction to an over-whelming najority of the people of the United States. Congress will have fulfilled its whole duty in regard to the vast country which, hav-ing been coded by Mexico to the United States, has fullen under their dominion. It will have e tended to it protection, provided for its several parts the inostimable blessing of free and regular government, adapted to their various wants, and placed the whole under the banner and the flag of the United States. Meeting courageously its clear and outire duty, Congress will escape the unmerited reproach of having, from consideraunmerited reproduct of indving, treat consistent tions of doubtful policy, abundanced to an unde-served fate territories of boundless extent, with a sparse, incongrueus, and alieu, if not unitriendly population, speaking different languages, and accustement to different laws, whilst that pepula-tion is making irresistible appeals to the new sovereignty to which they have been transferred for protection, for government, for law, and for

order. The Committee have endeavored to present to the Senate a comprehensive plan of adjustment, which, removing all causes of existing excitewhich, removing all causes of existing excite-ment and agitation, leaves none open to divide the country and dicturb the general harmony. The nation has been greatly convulsed, not by measures of general policy, but by questions of a sectional charactet, and, thorefore, more dan-gorous, and more to be deprecated. It wante re-pose. It loves and cheristee the Union. And it pose. It loves and cherishes the Union. American is most cheering and gratifying to witness the outbursts of deep and abiding attachment to it, which have been exhibited in all parts of it, amidst all the trials through which we have passed, and are passing. A people so patriotic as those of the United States, will rejoice in an accommedation of all troubles and difficulties by which the safety of that Union might have been brought into the least danger. And, under the blessing of that Providence who, amidst all vicissitudes, has never ceased to extend to them His profecting care, His smiles, His blessings, they will continue to advance in population, power, and prosperity, and work out triumphantly the glerious problem of man's capacity for self-government.

The Senate proceeded to debate from day to day the provisions of the principal bill thus reported, commonly termed "the Omnibus."

June 28th .- Mr. Soulé of Louisiana moved that all south of 36° 30' be cut off from California, and formed into a territory entitled South California, and that said territory

"shall, whou ready, able, and willing to he-come a Blate, and deserving to be such, be admitted with or without Slavery, as the people thereof shall desire, and make known through their Constitution.'

This was rejected: Yeas 19 (all Southern): Nays 36.

July 10th.—The discussion was interrupted by the death of President Taylor. Millard Fillmore succeeded to the Presidency, and William R. King of Alabama was chosen President of the Senate, pro tempore.

July 15th .- The bill was reported to the Senate and amended so as to substitute "that Congress shall make no law establishing or prohibiting" Slavery in the new territories, instead of "in respect to" it. Yeas 27; Nays 25.

Mr. Seward moved to add at the end of the 37th section:

"But neither Slavery ner involuntary corvi-tude shall be allowed in either of the Territories of New-Mexico or Utab, except on legal conviction for crime."

Which was negatived : Yeas and Navs not

July 17th,—The Senate resumed the consideration of the "Omnibus bill."

Mr. Benton moved a change in the proposed boundary between Texas and New-Mexico. Rejected: Yeas 18; Nays 36.

Mr. Foote moved that the 34th parallel of north latitude be the northern boundary of Texas throughout. Lost : Yeas 20; Navs 34.

July 19th.—Mr. King moved that the parallel of 35° 30' be the southern boundary of the State of California. Rejected: Yeas 20: Navs 37.

Mr. Davis of Mississippi moved 36° 30'. Rejected: Yeas 23; Nays 32.

July 23d.—Mr. Turney of Tenn. moved that the people of California be enabled to form a new State Constitution. Yeas 19 ; Navs 33.

Mr. Jeff. Davis of Mississippi moved to

"And that all laws and usages existing in said "And that all taws and usages existing in said Territory, at the date of its acquisition by the United States, which deny or obstruct the right of any citizen of the United States to remove to, and reside in, said Territory, with any species of property legally hadd in any of the States of this Union, be, and are hereby declared to be, null and void."

This was rejected: Yeas 22; Nays 33. YEAS-For Davis's amendment:

Mesers. Atchison, Mo.
Barnwell, S. C.
Bell, Tem.
Berrien, Ga.
Butler, S. C. King, Ala Clemens, Ala. Davis. Miss. Dawson, Gs. Downs, La. Housion, Texas, Hunter, Va.

Mangum, N. C. Mason, Va. Merten, Fla. Pratt, Md. Rusk, Texas Sebastian, Ark. Soulé, La Turney, Tenn. Underwood, Ky. Yulee, Fla.—22. NAYS-Against Davis's amendment:

Mossrs. Budger, N. C. Foote, Miss. Baldwin, Com. Bouton, Mo. Greene, R. L. Halo, N. H. Hamlin, Mo. Bradhury, Mo. Bright, Jud. Jones, Iowa, Cass, Mich. Millor, N. J. Norris, N. H. Chaso, Ohio. Clarko, R. J. Pearco, Md. Soward, N. Y. Shiolds, Hl. Smith, Coun. Clay, Ky. Cooper, Pa. Davis, Mass. Dayton, N. J. Spruance, Del. Dickinson, N. Y. Sturgeou, Pa. Upham, Vt. Wales, Dol. Dodge, Wice. Dodge, Iowa, Wales Felch, Mich. Walke Whiteomb, Iud.—33. Walker, Wise.

July 24th.—Mr. Rusic moved that the Rio Grande del Norte be the western boundary of Texas throughout, as defined in her statute of limits. Rejected: Yeas 18 (all Southern); Nays 34 (Douglas not voting).

July 25th .- Mr. Hale moved that the true boundary of Texas be ascertained and conformed to, without prejudice on account of anything contained in this bill. Rejected: Yeas 23, Nays 30.

Mr. Benton moved an amendment intended to exclude from Texas every portion of New-Mexico. Rejected: Yeas 16; Nays 38.

July 26th .- Mr. Seward moved that New-Mexico be admitted as a State into the Union. Rejected: Yeas 1 (Seward): Navs 42.

July 29th.—Mr. Dayton of N. J. moved that the true northern boundary of Texas be ascertained and settled by an amicable suit before the Supreme Court. Rejected : Yeas 18; Nays 34.

Mr. Mason of Virginia moved that the proposed commissioners to settle the boundary of Texas be authorized, in case the true legal boundary be found impracticable, to agree on and fix a convenient compromise boundary. Lost, by a tie : Yeas 29; Nays 29.

Mr. Turney moved that no pecuniary consideration be given for any change from the rightful boundary of Texas. Rejected : Yeas 20; Nays 31

July 30th .- Mr. Walker of Miss. moved that this bill do lie on the table.

Yeas 25; Navs 32. Mr. Dawson of Ga. now moved the following additional section :

" And be it further enacted, That, until such "And be if Jurther enacted, That, until such imen as the boundary line between the State of agreed to by the Logislature of the State of Texas and the government of the United States, the Territorial government of the United States, the Territorial government authorized by this act shall not go into operation east of the Rio Grande, nor shall any State be established for States of the States Rio Grande.

This prevailed by the following vote-30 to 28-and gave the death-blow to the " Omnibus Bill."

YEAS....For Dawson's amendment:

Memrs. Atchison, Honaton, Hadger, Hunter, Barnwell. Jones, Boll, King, Berrien, Mangum, Buffer, Mason, Clay, Morton. Clemons, Photon, Cooper, Davis, Miss. Prati. Runk, Dawron, Sebantian. Dickinson, Soule. Dodge, Iowa, Sturgeon, Turney, Downs, Poote,

NAYS-Against Dawson's amendment: Messrs, Baldwin, Homlin. Benton, Miller. Bradbury, Norrie, Bright, Pearce Chaso. Soward. Clarko. Shiolds. Davis, Mass. Smith. Daytou, Spruance, Dodgo of Wisc. Underwood, Upham, Walce, Douglas, Ewing, Felch. Walker. Whiteomb, Greeno, Winthrop-28. Hale.

Mr. Bradbury's amendment, thus amended, prevailed by a similar vote: Yeas 30; Navs 28.

It provided for the appointment of commissioners to determine, in connection with commissioners to be chosen by Texas, the Northern boundary of that State.

July 31st,-Mr. Norris of N. H. moved to strike from the bill the words, " nor establishing nor prohibiting African Slavery" (which words deny to the Territorial Legisletures the power to establish or prohibit Slavery). Carried: Yeas 32; Nays 20. (Nays all Southern, but Ewing of Ohio and Whitcomb of Ind.—Cass, Clay, Dayton, Dickinson, Douglas, Seward, etc., in the affirmative.)

Mr. Pearce of Md. now moved to strike from the bill so much thereof as provides a Territorial Government for New-Mexico, and for settling the boundary between her and Texas. Carried: Yeas 33 (including all the opponents of a compromise, whether from the North or the South, and all those averse to paying Texas ten millions of dollars for relinquishing her pretensions to absorb New-Mexico, with some who would not vote in this conjunction for the portions of "the Omnibus" severally disapproved of :) Nays 22:

YEAS -For breaking up "the Omnibus":

Mcssrs. Baldwin, Hunter, Barnwell Mason, Miller, Benton, Morton. Berrien. Butler, Pearce, Chase. Phelps, Ciarke, Seward, Davis, Mass. Davis, Miss. Shields, Smith,

Dayton, Wiso. Soule, Turney, Underwood, Donglan, Uphan, Wales, Ewing. Greene, Halo. Walker, Homlin, Winthrop, Yulco-33

NAYS-Against breaking up "the Omnibus":

Messrs. Atchison, Honston. Badgor, Bright, Jones, King, Cass, Mangum, Člay, Norris, Cleinoas, Pratt, Dawson, Rusk, Dickinsen. Sebastian, Dodge of Iowa, Spruance, Sturgeon, Downs,

Foote.

Mr. Pearce moved a substitute for the sections so stricken out.

Whiteomb-22.

Mr. Hale moved that the bill be postpoued indefinitely. Negatived : Yeas 27; Nays 32. Mr. Douglas moved an amendment to Mr.

Pearce's substitute, providing that the Territorial Government thereby provided for New-Mexico shall not go into operation until the boundary of Texas be adjusted. Lost: Yeas 24; Nays 33.

Mr. Turney of Tenn. moved that the bill be indefinitely postponed. Lost: Yeas 29;

Nays 30. Mr. Underwood of Ky. moved to strike out so much of Mr. Pcarce's substitute as postpored the organization of a Territorial Government in New-Mexico to the 4th of March ensuing. Lost: Yeas 25; Nays 32.

Mr. Yulee moved to strike out so much of said substitute as provided for the appointment of commissioners to settle the boundary between Texas and New-Mexico, and with it the section just struck at by Underwood. Carried: Yeas 29; Navs 28.

Mr. Chase now moved that the bill be postponed indefinitely: Lost; Yeas 28; Nays 29.

The Senate now refused to adopt Mr. Pearce's substitute as amended: Yeas 25; Navs 28.

Mr. Davis of Miss. moved a new boundary line for Utah, which was rejected: Yeas 22; Nays 34.

Mr. Walker moved to strike out all that remained of the bill except so much as provides for the admission of California : Lost; Yeas 22; Nays 33.

Mr. Phelps of Vt. moved the indefinite postponement of the bill: Lost: Yeas 28; Nays 30.

Mr. Atchison of Mo. moved to strike out so much of the bill as relates to California: Lost by a tie; Yeas 29; Nays 29.

Mr. Winthrop of Mass. moved a reconsideration of this vote: Carried: Yeas 33: Nays 26.

Mr. Clemens of Alabama moved that the bill be postponed to the next session : Lost; Yeas 25; Nays 30.

Mr. Atchison's reconsidered motion, to strike California opt of the bill, now pre-

vailed : Yens 34; Nays 25.

The bill being now reduced so as to provide merely for the organization of the Tertory of Utali, Mr. Donglas proposed to amend so as to make its southern boundary the parallel of 36° 30′ instead of 38° north latitude: Lost; Yeas 26; (all Southern but Dickinson of N. Y. and Douglas of Ill.) Nays 27; (all Northern but Sprnance and Wales of Delaware-Mr. Clay not presout).

After some further attempts to amend, adjourn, etc., the bill, providing only for the organization of the Territory of Utali, was passed to its third reading : Yeas 32; Nays [Nays all Northern but Bell of Tennessee.]

Aug. 1st .- Said bill passed its third read-

ing without a division.

Mr. Douglas now called up the original bilt providing for the admission of California, which was again made a special order.

Aug. 2nd .- Mr. Foote of Miss. again moved "that the line of 36° 30' be the southern boundary of said State: Lost; Yeas 23 (all Southern); Nays 33.

Aug. 6th.—Mr. Turney moved "that the line of 36° 30', commonly known as the Missouri Compromise line, be, and the same hereby is, extended to the Pacific ocean." He proposed to admit California with one representative, on her assent, by convention, to this boundary; rejected: Yeas 24 (all Southern); Nays, 32 (including Benton, Un-derwood, Walker, Spruance, and Wales, from Slave States; the rest Northern).

Various motions to adjourn, postpone, etc., were now made and voted down; finally, the Senate was, by the withdrawal of Southern Members, left without a quorum, and adjourned.

Aug. 7th .- The game of moving to postpone, adjourn, etc., consumed all this day also.

Meantime (August 5th), Mr. Pearce of Md. had introduced a bill to settle the Northern and Western boundaries of Texas. (a part of the old overturned " Omnibus, which was also sent to the Committee of the Whole.

Aug. 6th .- President Fillmore sent a Message announcing that Gov. Bell of Texas had notified the Government of his determination to extend the authority and jurisdiction of Texas over all New-Mexico east of the Rio Grande. The President considers himself bound to resist this pretension -if necessary, by force-does not believe anything would be effected by commissioners to adjust the boundary, as the facts in the case are already generally understood. but intimates that.

"The Government of the United States would be justified, in my opinion, in allowing an indemy to Toxas, not imreasonable or extravagant, but fair, liberal, and awarded in a just spirit of nocommodation."

He arges Congress not to adjourn without settling this boundary question, and says:

" I think no event would be hailed with more gratification by the people of the United States than the anicable adjustment of questions of difficulty which have now for a long time agitated the country, and occupied, to the exclusion of other subjects, the time and attention of Con-

The Texas boundary bill being now put ahead of the bill admitting California, Mr. Dayton moved (Aug. 8th), that Texas be required to cede her public lands to the United States in consideration of the payment to her of \$10.000.000 herein given her for the relinguishment of her claim to New-After the United States shall Mexico. have been repaid the \$10,000,000 out of the proceeds of these lands, the residue to revert to Texas: Rejected; Yeas 17 (all Morthern); Nays 32.

Aug. 9th .- Mr. Underwood moved that the Northern boundary of Texas run due east from a point on the Rio Grande, twenty miles above El Paso, to the Red River of Louisiana: Rejected; Yeas 24; (all Northern, but Wales, Spruance, and Under-

wood;) Nays 25. Mr. Mason of Va. moved the giving up to Texas of all New-Mexico east of the Rio

Grande: Lost: Yeas 14; Nays 37. Mr. Sebastian moved that the (New-Mcxican) Territory, surrendered by Texas in pursuance of the provisions of this bill, shall be admitted in due time as a State, with or without Slavery, as its people may determine: Rejected: Yeas 19; (all Southern but Dodge of Iowa;) Nays 29-(including Badger of N. C., Cass and Dickinson-Clay absent-Douglas, who voted just before and just after, did not vote on this.)

The bill was now engrossed: Yeas 27; Nays 24; and finally passed: Yeas 30;

Nays 20.

Aug. 10th.—The California bill was now taken up. Mr. Yulee of Fla. moved a substitute, remanding California to a territorial condition, and limiting her southern boundary: Rejected; Yeas 12 (all Southern); Navs 35.

Mr. Foote moved a like project, cutting off so much of California as lies south of 36 deg. 30 min., and erecting it into the

so tag. of min, and retening in the me the territory of Colorado: Rejected; Yeas 13 (ultra Southern); Nays 29.

Aug. 12th.—Still another proposition to limit California southwardly, by the line of 36 deg. 30 min., was made by Mr. Turney, and rejected: Yeas 20 (all Southern); Nays 30. After defeating Southern motions to | 98.

adjourn, postpone, and lay on the table, the bill was engrossed for a third reading: Yeas 33; (all the Sountors from Free States. with Bell, Benton, Honston, Sprnance, Wales and Underwood;) Nays 19; (all from Slave States. Mr. Clay still absentendeavoring to restore his failing health.)

Aug. 13th .- The California bill passed its third reading: Yeas 34; Nays 18; (all

Southern.)

Aug. 14th .- The Senate now took up the bill organizing the Territories of New-Mexico and Utah, (as it was originally reported, prior to its inclusion in Mr. Clay's "Onnibus.")

Mr. Chase of Ohio moved to amend the

bill by inserting:

"Nor shall there be in said Territory either Shavory or involuntary servitude, otherwise than in the punishment of crimes whereof the party shall have been duly convicted to have been personally guilty."

Which was rejected: Yeas 20: Navs 25.

VEAS-For Prohibiting Slavery:

Messrs. Baldwin, Hanlin, Bradbury, Millor. Bright, Norris. Phelps, Shields, Chaso. Cooper, Davis, of Mass. Smith. Dodgo, of Wisc. Upham, Wulker. Folch. Whiteemb, Winthrep-20. Greene, Hale.

NAYS-Against Prohibiting Slavery:

Messrs. Atonison, Hunter, Badger, Jones, King, Bell, Mangum, Benton, Mason, Berrien. Morton, Cass. Pratt, Davis of Miss. Rusk, Dawson. Dodge of Iowa. Sebastian. Downs, Soule. Sturgeon, Underwood, Foote. Houston, Vates-25.

The bill was then reported complete, and

passed to be engrossed.

Aug. 15th.—Said bill had its third reading, and was finally passed: Yeas 27; Navs 10.

The Senate proceeded to take up, consider, mature, and pass the Fugitive Slave bill, and the bill excluding the Slave-Trade from the District of Columbia; but the history of these is but remotely connected with our theme.] We return to the House.

Aug. 19th-The several bills which we have been watching on their tedious and dubious course through the Senate, having reached the House, Mr. William J. Brown of Ind. moved that they be taken off the Speaker's table, and made the special order for to-morrow : Defeated ; Yeas 87 ; Nays

Mr. Ashmuu of Mass, made a similar motion, which was likewise benten: Yous 94 ; Nays 94.

Aug. 28th.—The California bill was taken up, read twice, and committed.

The Texas bill coming up, Mr. Inge of Ala. objected to it, and a vote was taken on its rejection: Yeas 34; Nays 168; so it was not rejected.

Mr. Boyd of Ky. moved to unend it so as to create and define thereby the territories of New-Mexico and Utali, to be slaveholding or not as their people shall determine when they shall come to form State governments. [In other words, to append the bill organizing the territory of New-Mexico to the Texas bill.]

Mr. Meade of Va. raised the question of order; but the Speaker ruled the amendment in order, and his ruling was sustained by the House: Yeas 122; Nays 84.

Aug. 29th .- The Texas bill was taken up. Mr. Clingman of N. C. moved to amend so as to limit California by the line of 36 deg. 30 min., and establish the Territory of Colorado.

The Speaker ruled this amendment in or-

der, and the House sustained him-122 to Mr. McClernand of Ill. moved the bill to

the Committee of the Whole, to which Mr. Root of Ohio moved to add instructions, to exclude Slavery from all the Territory acquired from Mexico, east of California (which had already taken care of itself). Sept. 2nd .- This bill was, by a two-third

vote, made a special order henceforth.

Sept. 3rd.-Mr. McClernand withdrew his motion, (and Mr. Root's fell with it). Sept. 4th .- Mr. R. M. McLane called the

Previous Question, which was seconded, and the main question ordered.—Yess 133; Nays

The bill was then committed; Yeas 101; Navs 99.

Mr. Walden of N. Y. moved a reconsideration.

Mr. Root moved that this do lie on the table: Yeas 103; Nays 103. The Speaker voted Nay; so the motion was not laid on the table

The motion to reconsider prevailed: Yeas 104; Nays 101. The House then refused to commit: Yeas 101; Nays 103.

Mr. Clingman's amendment, creating the Territory of Colorado out of Southern California and Utah, was now defeated: Yeas 69; Navs 130.

Mr. Boyd's amendment was then beaten: Yeas 98; Nays 106.

Mr. Boyd moved a reconsideration, which prevailed: Yeas 131; Nays 75.

Mr. Wentworth of Ill. moved to commit the bill, with instructions to provide for the exclusion of Slavery from all the territory coded by Mexico: Lost: Yeas 80; Nays 119. once voted to sever them.

Mr. Tombs of Ga. having moved, as an amendment to Mr. Boyd's, that the Constitution of the United States and such statutes thereof as may not be locally inapplicable, and the common law as it existed on the 4th of July, 1776, should be the exclusive hws of said territory, until altered by the proper authority, it was voted down: Yens 65; Nays 132.

Mr. Boyd's amendment prevailed: Yeas 107 ; Nays 99.

The question was now taken on the engrossment of the bill, and it was defeated: Yeas 99 ; Nays 107.

Mr. Howard of Texas moved a reconsideration.

The Speaker decided it out of order, the bill having already been once reconsidered.

Mr. Howard appealed, and the House overruled the Speaker's decision: Yeas (to sustain) 82; Nays 124.

The vote rejecting the bill was recon-

sidered : Yess 122; Nays 84.

Mr. Howard again moved the Previous Question, which was seconded, and the Main Question ordered: Yeas 115; Nays 97; and the bill was ordered to a third reading : Yeas 108; Nays 98. It was then passed (as amended on motion of Mr. Boyd) : Yeas 108; Nays 97.

Sept. 7th .- The California bill now came Mr. Boyd moved his amendment already moved to the Texas bill. Mr. Vinton of Ohio declared it out of order. The Speaker again ruled it in order. Mr. Vinton appealed, and the House overruled the Speaker: Yeas (to sustain) 87; Nays 115.

Mr. Jacob Thompson of Miss. moved to cut off from California all below 36° 30':

Rejected: Yeas 76; Nays 131.

The bill was now ordered to a third reading: Yeas 151; Nays 57, and then passed: Yeas 150; Nays 56 (all Southern).

The Senate bill organizing the Territory of Utah (without restriction as to Slavery) was then taken up, and rushed through the same day: Yeas 97; Nays 85. [The Nays were mainly Northern Free Soil men; but some Southern men, for a different reason, voted with them.]

Sept. 9th .- The House having returned the Texas Boundary bill, with an amend-ment (Linn Boyd's), including the bill organizing the Territory of New-Mexico therein, the Senate proceeded to consider and agree to the same: Yeas 31; Nays

10, namely:

Messrs. Baldwin, Conn. Benton, Mo. Chase, Ohio, Ewing, Chio, Hamlin, Me Seward, N. Y. Upham, Vt. Winthrop, Mass. Davis, Mass. Dodge, Wisc.

So all the bills originally included in Mr. Clay's "Omnibus" were passed-two of them in the same bill-after the Senate had These acts are substantially as follows: ADMISSION OF CALIFORNIA.

An Act for the admission of the State of California into the Union.

Whereas, the people of California have presonted a Constitution and acked admission into the Union, which constitution was submitted to Congress by the Prest lent of the United States, by message, dated February 13th, 1859, which, on due examination, is found to be republican in its form of government-

its form of government— Be it enacted by the Scenate and Honse of Rep-rescutatives of the United States of America in Compress assembled. That the State of Culi-fornia shall be one, and is hereby declared to be one, of the United States of America, and admitted into the Union on an equal footing with

the origi. al States, in all respects whatever.

SEC. 2. And be it further enacted, That until
the Representatives in Congress shall be apportioned according to an actual enumeration of the inhabitants of the United States, the State of Culifornia shall be entitled to two representatives lu Congress.

SEC. 3. And be it further enacted, That the said State of California is admitted into the Union upon the express condition that the people Onto upon the express common that any course of said State, through their legislature or otherwise, shall never interfere with the primary disposal of the public lands within its limits, and shall pass no law, and do no not, whereby the title of the United States to, and right to dispose of, which is the state of the dispose of the state of the same, shull be impaired or questioned; and they shall never lay any tax or assessment of any description whatsoever on the public domain of the United States; and in no case shall non-resident proprietors, who are citizens of the United States, be taxed higher than resi-dents; and that all the navigable waters within the said State shell be common highways, and for ever free, as well to the inhabitants of said State as to the citizens of the United States, without any tax, duty, or impost therefor: Provided, that nothing herein contained shall be construed as recognizing or rejecting the propositions tendered by the people of California as articles of compact in the ordinance adopted by the Convention which formed the constitution of that State. Approved, Sept. 9, 1850.

THE TEXAS BOUNDARY.

An Act proposing to the State of Texas the establishment of her Northern and Western Boundaries, the relinquishment by the said State of all territory claimed by her exterior to said boundaries, and of all her claims upon the United States, and to estab-lish a Territorial Government for New-Mexico.

lish a Testitorial Government for New-Mexico.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America
in Congress assembled, that the following propositions shall be, and the same hereby are, offered
to the State of Texas; which, when agreed to by
the said State, in an act passed by the General
Assembly, shall be binding and obligatory upon
Assembly, shall be binding and obligatory upon
Texas: Provided, That said agreement to
the said General Assembly shall be given on or before the first day of December, eighteen hundred
and fifty. and fifty.

First.-The State of Texas will agree that her boundary on the North shall commence at the point at which the meridian of one hundred degrees west from Greenwich is intersected by the parallel of thirty-six degrees, and thirty minutes north latitude, and shall run from said point due west to the meridian of one hundred and three degrees west from Greenwich; hence her bound 'ary shall run due south to the thirty second de-gree of north latitude ; thence on the said parallel of thirty-two degrees of north Intitude to the Rio Brave del Eorte; and thence with the channel of said river to the Gulf of Mexico.

Second.-The State of Texas order to the United States all her claims to territories extorior to the limits and boundaries, which she agrees to establish by the first article of this agreement.

agreement.

Third.—The State of Texas relinquishes all claim upon the United States for liability for the debts of Texas, and for componsation or indennity for the surrender to the United States of her ships, forts, arsenals, custom-houses, custom-house revenue, arms and manifician of war, and public buildings, with their cites, which became the property of the United States at the time of the Annexation.

Fourth.-The United States, in consideration of said establishment of boundaries, cossion of claims to territory, and relinquishment of claims, will pay to the State of Toxas the sum of ton millions of dollars, in a stock bearing five per cent. interest, and redeemable at the end of fourteen years, the interest payable half-yearly at the Treasury of the United States,

Fifth.—Immediately after the President of the

United States shall have been furnished with an authentic copy of the act of the general assembly of Texas, accepting these propositions, he shall cause the stock to be issued in favor of the State of Texas, as provided for in the fearth article of

this agreement. Provided also, That no more than five millions of said stock shall be issued until the creditors of the State, holding bonds and other certificates of stock of Texas, for which duties on imports were specially pledged, shall first file, at the tronsury of the United States, releases of all claims against the United States for or on account of said bonds or certificates, in such form as shall be prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury and approved by the President of the United States.

ORGANIZATION OF NEW-MEXICO. The second section of this act anaets, that all that portion of the territory of the United States, bounded as follows, to wit: beginning at a point on the Colorado river where the boundary line of the republic of Mexico crosses the same; thonce eastwardly with the said boundary line to the Rio Grande; thence following the main channel of said river to the parallel of the thirty-second degree of north latitude; thence eastwardly with said degree to its intersection with the one hundred and third degree of longitude west from districted and third degree of longitude west from Greenwich; thence north with said degree of longitude to the parallel of the thirty eighth degree of north latitude; thence west with said parallel to the surmit of the Sierra Madre; thence south with the crest of said mountains to the thirty-seventh parallel of north latitude; thence west with the said parallel to its intersec tion with the boundary line of the State of Cali-fornia; thence with the said boundary line to the place of beginning, be, and the same is hereby, erected into a temporary government by the name of the Territory of New-Mexico; Provided, That nothing in this act contained shall be con-strued to inhibit the Government of the United States from dividing said Territory into two or more territories, in such manner and at such times as Congress shall deem convenient and proper, or from attaching any portion thereof to any other Territory or State; Provided further, That when admitted as a State, the said Territory, or any portion of the same, shall be received into the Union, with or without Slevery, as their Constitution, many received at the constitution of the same, shall be received into Constitution may prescribe at the time of their

The eighteenth section enacts, that the pro-

visions of this act he suspended nutil the hound-ary hetween the United States and the State of Toxas shall be adjusted, and when such adjust-ment shall have been effected, the President of the United States shall issue his proclamation declaring this act to be in full force and opera-tion, and shall proceed to appoint the officers herein provided to be appointed for the said Territory.
Approved Sept. 0, 1850.

ORGANIZATION OF UTAIL

An Act to establish a Perritorial Government f

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of h-presentatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, Thut all that part of the Territory of the United States included within the following limits, to wit: bounded on the west by the State of California, on the north by the Territory of Oregon, on the east by the summit of the Rocky Mountains, and on the south by the thirty-soventh parallel of north latitude, be, and the same is hereby, created into a temporary government, by the name of the Territory of Utali; and, whon admitted as a State, the said Torritory, or any portion of the same, shall be received into the Union, with or without Slavery, as their constitution may prescribe at the time of their admission: Provided, That nothing in this act contained shall be construed to prohibit the government of the United States from dividing said Torritory into two or more territorios, in such manner and at such time as Congress shall deem convonient and proper, or from attaching any portion of said Territory to any other State or Territory of the United States.

[The act preceds to provide for the appointment of a territorial governor, scerotary, mar-shal, judges, etc., etc., and for the election of a council of thirteen, and a house of representatives of twonty-six members; also for a delegate in

Congress. All recognized citizens to be voters.]
The governor shall receive an annual salary of fifteen hundred dollars as governor, and one thousand dollars as superintendent of Indian affairs. The chief justice and associate justices shall each receive an annual salary of eighteen hundred dollars. The secretary shall receive an annual salary of eighteen bundred dollars. The said salaries shall be paid quarter-yearly, at the Treasury of the United States. The members of the legislative assembly shall be entitled to receive each three dollars per day during their at-tendance at the sessions thereof, and three dollars each for every twenty miles' travel in going to and returning from said sessions, estimated according to the nearest usually traveled route.

Sec. 6. And be it further enacted, That the legislative power of said Territory shall extend to all rightful subjects of logislation, consistent with the Constitution of the United States and with the Constitution of the United States and the provisions of this act; but no law shall be passed interfering with the primary disposal of the soil; no tax shall be imposed upon the pro-perty of the United States; nor shall the lands or other property of non-residents be taxed higher than the lands or other property of resi-dents. All the laws passed by the legislative as-sembly and Governor shall be submitted to the Congress of the United States, and, if disapproved, shall be null and of no effect.

Sec. 17. And be it further enacted, That the

Constitution and laws of the United States are hereby extended over, and declared to be in force in, said Territory of Utah, so far as the same, or any provision thereof, may be applicable.

Approved Sept. 9, 1850. | We have emitted several matter-of-course provisions.l

XIV.

THE KANSAS-NEDDASKA STILLIGGER.

Our of the Louisiana Territory, since the ndmission first of Louisirua and then of Missouri as Slave States, there had been formed the Territories of Arkansas, Iowa, and Minnesota: the first without, and the two others with, Congressional inhibition of Slavery. Arkansas, in due course, became a Slave, and Iowa a Free, State; Minnesota was and is following surely in the track of Iowa. The destiny of one tier of States, fronting upon, and westward of, the Mississippi, was thus settled. What should be the fate of the next tier?

The region lying inmediately westward of Missouri, with much territory north, as well as a more clearly defined district south of it, was long since dedicated to the uses of the Aborigines-not merely those who had originally inhabited it, but the tribes from time to time removed from the States eastward of the Mississippi. Very little, if any, of it was legally open to settlement by Whites; and, with the exception of the few and small military and trading posts thinly scattered over its surface, it is probable that scarcely two hundred white families were located in the spacious wilderness bounded by Missouri, Iowa, and Minnesota on the cast, the British possessions on the north, the crest of the Rocky Mountains on the west, and the settled portion of New-Mexico and the line of 36° 30' on the south, at the time when Mr. Douglas first, at the session of 1852-3, submitted a bill organizing the Territory of Nebraska, by which title the region above bounded had come to be vaguely indicated.

This region was indisputably included within the scope of the exclusion of Slavery from all Federal territory north of 36° 30', to which the South had assented by the terms of the Missouri compact, in order thereby to secure the admission of Missouri as a Slave State. Nor was it once intimated, during the long, earnest, and searching debate in the Senate on the Compromise measures of 1850, that the adoption of those measures, whether together or separately, would involve or imply a repeal of the Missouri Restriction. We have seen in our last chapter how Mr. Clay's original suggestion of a compromise, which was substantially that ultimately adopted, was received by the Southern Senators who spoke on its introduction, with hardly a qualification, as a virtual surrender of all that the South had ever claimed with respect to the new territories. And, from the beginning to the close of the long and able discussion which followed, neither friend nor foe of the Compromises, nor of any of them, hinted that one effect of their adoption would be the lifting of the Missouri restriction from the territory now

cavered by it. When the Compromises of 1850 were accepted in 1862 by the National Conventions of the two great parties, as a settlement of the distribution controversy therein contemplated, no hint was added that the Nebraska region was opened thereby to Slavery.

Several petitions for the organization of a Territory westward of Missouri and Jowa were presented at the session of 1851-2, but no decisive action taken thereon until the next session, when.

Dec. 13th.—Mr. W. P. Hall of Mo., pursuant to notice, submitted to the House a bill to organize the Territory of Plarts, which was read twice, and sent to the Committee on Territories. From that Committee.

Feb. 2d, 1853.—Mr. W. A. Richardson of Ill. reported a bill to organize the Territory of NERLASKA, which was read twice and committed.

Feb. 9th.—The bill was ordered to be taken out of Committee, on motion of W. P. Hall.

Feb. 10th.—The bill was reported from the Committee of the Whole to the House, with a recommendation that it do not pass. Mr. Richardson moved the previous ques-

tion, which prevailed.

Mr. Letcher of Va. moved that the bill do

lie on the table: Lost; Yeas 49 (mainly Southern); Nays 107.

The bill was then engrossed, read a third time, and passed; Yeas 98; Nays 43, (as before).

Feb. 11th.—The bill reached the Senate and was referred to the Committee on Territories.

Feb. 17th. -- Mr. Douglas reported it without amendment.

March 2nd.—(Last day but one of the session), Mr. Douglas moved that the bill be taken up: Lost: Yeas 20; (all Northern but Atchison and Geyer of Mo.); Nays 25; (21 Southern, 4 Northern).

March 3rd .-- Mr. Donglas again moved

that the bill be taken up.

Mr. Borhand of Ark. moved that it do lie
on the table: Carried: Yeas 23; (all Southern but 4;) Nays 17; (all Northern but
Atchison and Geyer). So the bill was put
to sleep for the session.

On the motion to take up-Mr. Rusk of Texas objecting-Mr. Atchison said:

"I must ask the indulgence of the Senate to say one word in relation to this matter. Perhaps there is not a State in the Union more deeply interested in this question than the State of Missouri. If not the largest, I will say the best, it is not in the state of the State of Missouri. It is only a question of time, whether we will organize the territory at this session of Congress, or whether we will do it at the next

scendar; and, for my own part, I adknowledge now that, as the Sounter from Illinels well knows, when I came to this city, at the heghning of the last assisint, I was pechaps an much upposed to the preposition, as the Sounter from Texas now be. The Sounter from town knows it; and trees

for reasons which I will not now mention or suggest. But, sir, I have from reflection and investigation in my own mind, and from the opinious of others—my constituents, whose opinions I am hound to respect-come to the conclusion that now is the time for the organization of this Territory. It is the most propitions time. The treawhose possessions must be extinguished, our hotter be made now than at any fature time; for, on the question is agitated, and as it is under stood, white men, speculators, will interpose, and Interfere, and the longer it is postponed the more we will have to fear from them, and the more difficult it will be to extinguish the Indian litte in that country, and the harder the terms to be imposed. Therefore, Mr. President, for this reason, without going into detail, I am willing now that the queson shall be taken, whether we will proceed to the consideration of the bill or not."

The meaning is here diplomatically veiled, yet is perfectly plain. Gen. At chison had been averse to organizing this Territory until he could procure a relaxation of the Missouri Restriction as to Slavery; but, seeing no present hope of this, he was willing to waive the point, and assent to an organization under a bill silent with respect to Slavery, and of course leaving the Missouri Restriction unimpaired.

Gen. Pierce was inaugurated President on the 4th March, 1853; and, in his Inaugural Address, referred to the discussions concerning Slavery and the Compromises of

1850 in the following terms: "I believe that involuntary servitude, as it exists in different States of this confederacy, is recognized by the Constitution. I believe that it stands like any other admitted right, and that the States where it exists are entitled to efficient remedies to enforce the constitutional provisions. hold that the laws of 1859, commonly called the "Compromise Measures," are strictly constitutional, and to be unhesitatingly carried into effect. I believe that the constituted authorities of this Republic are bound to regard the rights of the South in this respect, as they would view any other logal and constitutional right, and that the laws to enforce them should be respected and obeyed, not with a reluctance encouraged by abstruct opinions as to their propriety in a different state of society, but cheerfully, and according to the decisions of the tribunal to which their exposition belongs. Such have been, and are, my convictions, and upon them shall I act. I fer-vently hope that the question is at rest, and that nosectional, or ambitious, or fanatical excitement may again threaten the durability of our institutions, or obscure the light of our prosperity.

The XXXIIId Congress assembled at Washington Dec. 5th, 1853, with a large Administration majority in either House. Linn Boyd of Ky, was chosen Speaker of the House. The President's Annual Message contained the following allusion to the subject of Slavery:

"It is no part of my purpose to give promi-

sepec to any anifect which may properly be regarded as act arest by the offilerate judgment of the people. But, while the present is bright and inducements for the exercise of nettwo hidesons of administration of the exercise of netwo hidesons of administration of the exercise of netwo hidesons of administration of the exercise of netwo hidesons of administration of the property of the exercise of the exercise of administration of the exercise of exercise of exercise of exercise provisions, the exprise control of the exercise of exercise of exercise of exercise provisions, the exprise control of the exercise of exercise of exercise of exercise provisions, the exprise control of exercise of exe

Dec. 15th.—Mr. A. C. Dodge of Iowa submitted to the Senate a bill (No. 22) "To organize the Territory of Nebraska," which was read twice, and referred to the Committee on Territories.

Jan. 4th.—Mr. Douglas, from said Committee, reported said bill with amendments, which were printed. The following is the accompanying Report:

The Committee on Territories, to whom was referred a bill for an act to establish the Territory of Nobraska, have given the same that serious and deliberate consideration which its great importance demands, and beg leave to report it back to the Senate with various amendments, in the form of a substitute for the bill:

The principal amendments which your committee deem it their duty to commend to the favorable action of the Sensle, in a special report, are those in which the principles established by the Compromise Measures of 1859, so far as they are applicable to territorial organizations, are proposed to be affirmed and carried into practice operation within the limits of the new Territory of the properties of the pr

tory.

The wisdom of those messures is attested, not less by their salutary and beneficial effects, in allying sectional agriation and restoring peace and harmony to an irrited and distracted people of the section of

segon to any anisjent which may properly be religated as for a treat by the deliberate judgment People, your Committee involvement in the people. But, while the present is bright with prunine, and the future fall of demand to the properties of the carried and inducements for the exercise of nettve haldligates, the part can move be without useful abilit, the principles and applied of those measure. If some of admantition and instruction. If its damgress serve out as increases, they will exist and the properties of the properties of the full in fall in the object of a wise decign. When the grave abilit here closed the value of duty. It is position to the Stavery question, as did Novtree for the properties of the properties of the properties. Under the properties of the properties were

organized.
It was a disputed point, whether Slavery was rohibited by law in the country acquired from Mexico. On the one hand, it was contended, as a legal proposition, that Slavery, having been prohibited by the enactments of Mexico, according to the laws of nations, we received the country with all its local laws and domestic institutions attached to the soll, so far as they did not conflict with the Constitution of the United States; and that a law either protecting or prohibiting Slavery, was not repugnant to that instrument, as was evidenced by the fact that one-half of the States of the Union tolorated, while the other half pro-Libited, the institution of Slavery. On the other hand, it was insisted that, by virtue of the Coninitial, it was manifed that, by writing of the Constitution of the United States, covery clizical had a right to remave to may be rivery of the Union, and carry his property with him under the protection of law, whether that property consisted of persons or things. The difficulties arising from this diversity of opinion, were greatly aggravated by the fact that there were unmy per-sons on both sides of the legal controversy, who were unwilling to abide the decision of the courts on the legal matters in dispute; thus, among still in force, and, consequently, that Slavery was already prohibited in those Territorics by valid enactment, there were many who insisted upon Congress making the matter certain, hy enacting another prohibition. In like manner, some of those who argued that Mexican law had ceased te have any binding force, and that the Constitution tolerated and protected Slave property in those territories, were unwilling to trust the decision of the courts upon the point, and insisted that Congress should, by direct enactment, remove all legal obstacles to the introduction of Slaves into those Territories

Such heing the character of the controversy in respect to the territory acquired from Mexico, a similar question has arisen in regard to the right to hold alwae in the Territory of Nebraska, when the Indian laws shall be withdrawn, and the country thrown open to emigration and settlement of the property of the property of the property of the property of the people of Missouri Territory to form a Constitution and State Government, and for the admission of such State into the Union on an equal footing with the original States, and to prohibit Slavery in certain Territories," approved March (1, 1820, it was provided: "That in all that territory seed by France to the Uniced States under the property of the property of the state of the property of the state of the st

of these who were immediately interested in, and alone responsible for, its consequences. With a law in New-Mexico and Utah, it is a disputed view of conforming their action to what they regard as thosettled policy of the Government, sanc-braska country by while anothers. The deci-

sion of this question involves the constitutional | your or me question involves the collisions of the power of Congress to posse have prescribing and regulating the domestic institutions of the various Territories of the Union. In the opinion of these emiscut statement who hold that Congress in invested with no rightful authority to legislate upon the subject of Slavery in the Territories, the 8th section of the act preparatory to the adrevailing sentiment in large portions of the Union matning the dastrine that the Caustitution of the United States secures to every citizen an inalignable right to move into any of the Territories with his property, of whatever kind and description, and to hold and enjoy the same ander the sanction of law. Your Committee do not feel themselves called upon to enter upon the discussion of these controverted questions. They discussion of these controverted questions. involve the same grave issues which produced the agitation, the sectional strife, and the fearful struggle of 1850. As Congress deemed it wise and prudent to refrain from deciding the matters in controversy then, either by affirming or repealin controversy them, other by anirming or repen-ing the Mexican laws, or by an act declaratory of the true intent of the Constitution, and the extent of the protection afforded by it to Slavo property in the Territories, so your Committee are not propared to recommend a departure from the course pursued on that memorable occasion, either by affirming or repealing the 8th section of the Misseuri act, or by any act declarate of the meaning of the Constitution in respect to the

legal points in ...ispato.
Your Committee de control it fortunate for the peace of the country, and the security of the Union, that the controversy then resulted in the adoption of the Compromise Messures, which the two great political parties, with singular unanimity, have affirmed as a cardinal nricle of their faith, and proclaimed to the world as a fact faith, and proclaimed to the world as a of the agriation. A due respect, therefore, for the avoved opinions of Senatora, as well as a proper sense of patriotic duty, enjoins upon your committee the propriety and necessity of a strict adherence to the principles, and even a literal adoption of the enactments of that adjustment, in all their territorial bills, so far as the same are not locally inapplicable. Those enactments emont occally inapplicable, allows enactments emmatter under consideration, the following provisions:

Whon admitted as a State, the said Territory, or any portion of the same, shall be received into the Union, with or without Slavery, as their constitution may prescribe at the time of their admission;

That the legislative power and authority of said Territory shall be vested in the Governor and a Legislative Assembly:

That the legislative power of said Torritory shall extend to all rightful subjects of legislation, consistent with the Constitution of the United States, and the provisions of this net; but no law shall be passed interfering with the primary disposal of the soil; no tax shall be imposed upon the property of the United States; nor shall the lands or other property of non-residents be taxed higher than the lands or other property of residents.

Write of error and appeals from the final decisions of said Supreme Court stall be allowed, and may be taken to the Supreme Court of the United States in the same namer and under the same regulations as from the Circuit Courts of the United States, where the value of the property or amount in controversy, to be secratined by the oath or affirmation of either party, or yellow the country of the

picale shall be allowed and decided by the anids Supreme Caurt, without regard to the value of the matter, property, or title in controversy pand county, also, that write of error or appeal shall also be allowed to the Supreme Court of the allowed to the Supreme Court of the Supreme Court of the Court of the Supreme Court of the Court of the Supreme Court of the S

To which may be added the following proposition affirmed by the act of 1850, known as the

fugitive slave law.

That the provisions of the "net respecting fugitives from justice, and persons esamping from the service of their masters," approved February 12, 1723, and the provisic us of the act to amond and supplementary to the aforesaid act, approved Soptember 18, 1859, shall oxtend to,

and be in force in, all the organized Territories, as well as in the various States of the Union. From these provisions, it is apparent that the Compromise Measures of 1850 attirm, and rest

upon, the following propositions:

First.—That all questions pertaining to
Slavery in the Territories, and the new States
to be formed therefrom, are to be left to the decision of the people residing therein, by their appropriate representatives, to be chosen by them

for that purpose.

Second.—That "all cases involving title to Second.—That "all cases involving title to shves," and "questions of personal freedom," are to be referred to the adjudication of the local tribunals, with the right of appeal to the Supremo

Court of the United States. Third.—That the provisions of the Constitution of the United States, in respect to fugitives from service, is to be carried into faithful oxcution in all "the original Te.ritories," the same

as in the States.

The substitute for the bill which your Committee have prepared, and which is commended to the favorable action of the Senate, proposes to carry these propositions and principles into practical operation, in the precise language of the Compromise Measures of 1850.

Jan. 24th.—The bill thus reported was considered in Committee of the Whole and postponed to Monday next, when it was made the order of the day.

The bill was further considered Jan. 31st, Feb. 3rd, Feb. 5th, and Feb. 6th, when an amendment reported by Mr. Douglas, declaring the Missouri Restriction on Slavery inoperative and void, being under consideration, Mr. Chase of Ohio moved to strike out the assertion that said Restriction

"was superseded by the principles of the legislation of 1859, commonly called the Compromise Measures."

This motion was defeated by Yeas 13; Navs 30, as follows: YEAS ... To strike out :

Mossrs. Allen, Ohio, Hamlin, Mc. Conn, Mich. Soward, N. Y. Smith, Conn. Stuart, Mich. Chane, Ohio, Everett, Mass. N. Y. Sumner, Mass. Vt. Wade, Ohio, Walker, Wisc.—13. Foot, Vt.

NAYS-Against striking out: Fitzpatrick, Ala. Mossrs, Admas, Miss. Atchi on, Mo. Badge, N. C Bayard, Del. Goyer, Mo.

Beil, Tenn. Benjamin, La. Bright, Ind. Brodhend, Pa. Butler, S. C. Clay, (C. C.) Ala. Dawson, Ga. Dixon, Ky. Dodge, Iowa,

Donglas, Ill. Evans, S. C.

Hunter, Va. Jones, (J. C.) Tenn. Mallory, Fla. Mason, Va. Norris, N. II. Pottit, Ind. Sebastian, Ark. Shields, Ill. Slidell, La. Thompson, Ky. Toucey, Conn. Weller, Cal.

Williams, N. H.—30.

Feb. 15th .- The bill having been discussed daily until now, Mr. Douglas moved to strike out of his amendment the words above quoted (which the Scnate had refused to strike out on Mr. Chase's motion.) and insert instead the following:

"Which, being inconsistent with the principle of no-intervention by Congress with Slavery in the Slates and Everticeries, are recognized by the Slates and Everticeries, are recognized by the promise Measures, in hereby declared inspersive and void; it being the true intent and meaning of this act not to legislate Slavery into any Tornitory or State, nor to exclude it therefrom, but to leave the people thereof perfectly free to the proper the property of the their own way, subject only to the Constitution of the United States"-

which prevailed-Yeas 35; Nays 10-as follows:

YEAS-For Douglas's new Amendment: Gwin, Messrs. Adams.

Atchisen, Hunter. Bayard, Johnson Bell Jones of Iowa, Benjamin. Jones of Tenn. Brodhead. Mason, Brown. Morton. Norris, Butler, Cass, Clayton, Pearce, Pettit. Dawson, Pratt, Dixon, Sebastian Dedge of Iowa. Slidell. Douglas, Stuart, Evans, Thompson of Ky. Toombs,

Geyer, Williams-35 Weller, NA YS-Against said Amendment:

Mesers. Allen, Foot, Chase, Heuston. Dedge of Wisc. Seward, Everett, Sumner, Wade-10. Fish.

Fitzpatrick,

[Note.-Prior to this move of Mr. Douglas. Mr. Dixon (Whig) of Ky. had moved to insert a clause directly and plainly repealing the Mis-

sourl Restriction. Mr. Dixon thought if that was the object, (and he was in favor of it), it should be approached in a direct and manly way. He was assailed for this in The Union newspaper next morning : ont his suggestion was substantially adopted by Donglas, after a brief heaits-Mr. Dixon's proposition, having been made in Committee, does not appear in the Journal of the Scuate, or it would here be given in terms.!

The bill was further discussed daily until March 2nd, when the vote was taken on Mr. Chase's amendment, to add to Sec. 14 the following words:

"Under which the people of the Territory, through their appropriate representatives, may, if they see fit, prohibit the existence of Slavery

which was rejected: Yeas 10; Nays 36, as follows:

YEAS-For Mr. Chase's Amendment:

Mcssrs. Chase, Hamlin. Dodge of Wiso. Seward, Fessenden, Smith, Fish, Sumner, Wade-10. Foot.

NAYS-Against Chase's Amendment: Mcssrs. Adams, Hunter,

Atchisen. Johnson Badger, Jones of Iowa. Bell, Benjamin, Jenes of Tenn. Mason, Bredhead, Merton, Brewn, Norris, Butler, Clay, (C. C.) Pettit. Pratt, Clayton, Rusk. Dawson, Sebastian. Dixon, Shields, Dodge of Iowa, Slideli, Douglas, Stuart. Toucey, Evans. Fitzpatrick, Walker, Weller, Gwin, Houston. Williams-36.

Mr. Badger of N. C. moved to add to the aforesaid section:

"Provided, That nothing herein contained shall be construed to revive or put in force any law or regulation which may have existed prior to the act of 6th of March, 1820, either protecting, establishing, prohibiting, or abolishing Slavery."

Carried: Yeas 35; Nays 6, as follows:

YEAS-For Badger's Amendment:

Messrs. Atchison, Housten, Badger, Hunter, Jones of Iowa, Beli, Benjamin, Jones of Tenn. Bredhead. Mason. Butler, Morton, Norris, Clay, Dawson, Pettit, Pratt, Dixon, Dodge of Iowa, Seward Shields, Douglas, Slidell, Evans. Smith. Fish, Fitzpatrick. Stuart,

Foot. Toncey, Walker, Gwin Hamilia, Woller. Williams-35.

NAYS-Against said Amendment :

Messrs. Adams, Johnson. Brown, Rusk, Sebastian—6. Dodge of Wino.

Mr. Clayton now moved to strike out so much of said Donglas amendment as permits emigrants from Europe, who shall have declared their intention to become citizens, to vote: Carried; Yeas 23; Navs 21as follows:

YEAS-For Clayton's Amendment:

Messre. Adams. Atchison, Evans, Badger, Fitzpatrick, Bell, Houston, Benjamin, Hanter, Brodhead, Johnson Jones of Tenn. Brown. Butler, Masou, Clay, Morton. Clayton, Pratt, Dawson, Sobastian, Slidoll-23.

NAYS-Against Ciayton's Amendment:

Messrs. Chase, Morris. Dodge of Wise. Pettit, Seward Dodge of lows, Shields, Douglas, Fessenden, Smith Fish. Stuart, Foot, Sumner, Gwin Toucey, Hamlin. Wade, Jones of Iowa, Walker, Williams-21.

Mr. Chase moved to amend, by providing for the appointment of three Commissioners residing in the Territory to organize the Territory, divide it into election districts, notify an election on the first Monday in September then ensuing, etc., at which election the people should choose their own Governor, as well as a Territorial Legislature—the Governor to serve for two years, and the Legislature to meet not later than May, 1855.

This extension of the principle of "Squatter Sovereignty" was defeated-Yeas 10; Nays 30-as follows:

YEAS-To enable the People of the Territory to choose their own Governor, etc.

Messrs. Chase, Seward. Shields, Fessenden, Foot, Smith, Hamlin. Sumner, Wade-10. Norris.

NAYS-Against said proposition:

Mesers. Atchison, Gwin, Badger, Houston, Bell, Benjamin, Hunter, Johnson Brodhead. Jones of Iowa. Brown, Jones of Tenn. Butler. Mason, Clay, Morton, Pettit, Dawson, Dixon, Pratt.

Dodgo of Who. Rusk, Sobastian. Dodge of lowa, Douglas. Blidell. Evane, Stuart, Fitzpatrick, Williams-30,

Mr. Chase then moved to amend the Boundary section of Mr. Douglas's amendment, so as to have but one Territory nemed Nebraska, instead of two, entitled respectively, Nebraska and Kansas; which was defeated-Yeas 8; Nays 34-as fol-

YEAS-For having but one Territory:

Meesra. Chase, Soward, Fessenden, Smith, Foot, Sunner. Hamlin. Wade-8.

NAYS-For severing Nebraska from

Kansas: Messrs. Adams, Houston, Atchison, Hunter, Badger, Johnson Bell Jones of Iowa, Benjamin, Jones of Tenn. Mason, Brodhead. Brown, Merton, Butler, Norris, Clay, Pettit, Dawson, Pratt, Dixon, Rusk, Dodge of Wise. Sebastian, Shields, Dodge of Iowa, Slidell. Douglas, Evans, Stuart, Fitzpatrick, Walker, Gwin, Williams-34.

Mr. Douglas's amendment was then agreed to, and the bill reported from the Committee of the Whole to the Senate.

A motion to strike out the amendment. allowing emigrant aliens who have declared their intention to become citizens to vote, was agreed to-Yeas 22; Nays 20-as follows:

YEAS-To strike out said provision :

Messrs. Adams. Evans, Atchison. Fitzpatrick. Badger, Houston, Bell, Benjamin, Hunter, Johnson Brodhead Jones of Tenn. Brown, Mason, Butler. Morton, Ciay, Pratt, Dawson, Sebaatian Dixon, Slidell-22

NAYS .- Against striking out:

Messrs. Chase, Norris, Pettit, Dodge of Wisc. Dodge of Towa, Seward, Douglas, Fessenden, Fish, Shields, Smith, Stuart, Foot, Sumner, Hamlin, Wade, James, Walker, Jones of Iowa, Williams-20.

The question on the engrossment of the bill was now reached, and it was carried-Yers 29; Nays 12-as follows:

YEAS-To engross the bill for its third prospect before us. However obscure we may reading: reading :

Mosers. Adams. Gwin, Atchinon, Hunter. Badgor, Bonjamin, Johnson, Jones of Towa, Brodhead, Jones of Tenn. Brown, Мавон, Butler, Morton. Clay, Norris. Pottit Dawson, Dixon, Prott Sebastian. Dodgo of lowa, Shields, Douglas, Blidell. Evana, Fitzpatrick, 55.0 Williams—29. Stnart,

NAYS-Against the engrossment: James Mossrs. Chase, Dodgo of Wise. Coward.

Fessonden, Smith, Fish. Summer. Wade, Foot Walker-12. Hamlin,

March 3rd.—The rule assigning Fridays for the consideration of private bills, having been suspended, on motion of Mr. Badger, the Senate proceeded to put the Nebraska-Kansas bill on its final passage, when a long and carnest debate ensued. At a late hour of the night, Mr. Seward of N. Y. addressed the Senate, in opposition to the bill, as follows:

Mr. PRESIDENT:—I rise with no purpose of further resisting or even delaying the passage of this bill. Let its advocates have only a little patience, and they will soon reach the object for which they have struggled so earnestly and so long. The sun has set for the last time upon the guaranteed and certain liberties of all the unsettled and unorganized portions of the American continent that lie within the jurisdiction of the United States. To morrow's sun will rise in une united states. To-morrow's sun will rise in dim eclipse over them. How long that obscuration shall last, is known only to the Power that directs and controls all luman events. For myself, I know only this—that now no human power will prevent its coming on, and that its passing off will be hastened and secured by others than those now here, and perhaps by only those belonging to future generations.

Sir, it would be almost factious to offer fur-

ther resistance to this measure here. Indeed, successful resistance was never expected to be made in this Hall. The Senate floor is an old battleground, on which have been fought many con-tests, and always, at least since 1820, with fortuno adverse to the causo of equal and universal freedom. We were only a few here who ongaged in that cause in the beginning of this contest. All that we could hope to do-all that we did hope to do—was to organize and to prepare the issue for the House of Representatives, to which the country would look for its decision as authoritative, and to awaken the country that it might be ready for the appeal which would be made, whatever the decision of Congress might be. We are no stronger now. Only fourteen at the first, it will be fortunate if, among the ills and accidents which surround us, we shall maintain that number to the end.

We are on the evo of the consummation of a great national transaction—a transaction which will close a cycle in the history of our country— and it is impossible not to desire to pause a mo-

transaction will perpetuate our names for the praise or for the censure of fature ages, and per-haps in regions far remote. If, then, we had no other motive for our actions but that of an houest decire for a just fame, we could not be indif-ferent to that seens and that prospect. But individual interests and ambition sink into insignificance in view of the interests of our country and of mankind. These interests awaken, at least in me, an intenso solicitude.

It was said by some in the beginning, and it has been said by others later in this debate, that it was doubtful whether it would be the cause of Slavery or the cause of Freedom that would gala advantages from the passage of this bill. I do not find it necessary to be conscrious, nor oven unjust to others, in order that my own course may be approved. I am sure that the honorable Senator from Illneis [Mr. Douglas] did not mean that the Slave States should gain an advantage over the Free States; for be disclaimed it when he introduced the bill. I believe. in all candor, that the honorable Senetor from Georgia [Mr. Toombs], who comes out at the close of the battle as one of the chiefest leaders of the victorious party, is sincore in declaring his own opinion that the Slave States will gain no unjust advantage over the Free States, because he disclaims it as a triumph in their behalf. Notwithstanding all this, howover, what has occur-red boro and in the country, during this contest, has compelled a conviction that Slavery will gain something, and Freedom will ordure a severe, though I hope not an irretrievable less. The slaveholding States are passive, quiet, content, and satisfied with the prospective boon, and the Free States are excited and alarmed with fearful forebodings and approhensions. The impatience for the speedy passage of the bill, manifested by its friends, hotrays a knowledge that this is the condition of public sentiment in the Free States. They thought in the beginning that it was necessary to guard the measure by inserting the Clay-ton amendment, which would colude unnatur-alized foreign inhabitants of the Cerritorios from the right of suffrage. And now they seem willing, with almost perfect unanimity, to relinquish that safeguard, rather than to delay the adoption of the principal measure for at most a year, perhaps for only a week or a day. Suppose that the Senate should adhere to that condition, which so lately was thought so wise and so important —what then ? The bill could only go back to the House of Representatives, which must either yield or insist! In the one case or in the other, a decision in favor of the bill would be secured; for evon if the House should disagree, the Senate would have time to recede. But the majority will hazard nothing, even on a prospect so cer-tain as this. They will recede at once, without a moment's further struggle, from the condition, and thus secure the passage of this bill now, to-night. Why such haste? Even if the question were to go to the country before a final decision here, what would there be wrong in that? There is no man living who will say that the country anticipated, or that he anticipated, the agitation of this measure in Congress, when this Congress was elected, or even when it assembled in December last. Under such oircumstances, and in the midst of

agitation, and excitement, and debates, it is only fair to say, that certainly the country has not decided in favor of the bill. The refusal, then, to the duestion go to the country is a conclusive proof that the Slave States, as represented here, expect from the passage of this bill what the Free States insist that they will lose by it—an advanment and survey the scene around us, and the tage, a material advantage, and not a mere abstraction. There are men in the Shave States, as | as to admit Missouri a new Shave State, but in the Free States, who insist always too pertina-olously upon mere abstractions. But that is not olonely upon mere other medions. But that is not, the policy of the Slave States to-day. They are in earnest in seeking for, and securing, an object, and an hupartant one. I fullewe they are goire to have it. I do not know how long the advantage gained will last, nor how great or comprehensive it will be. Every Senator who agrees with ano in opinion must feel or I do—agrees with ano in opinion must feel or I do that under such cironmstancen he can forego nothing that can be done decently, with due respect to difference of opinion, and consistently with the constitutional and settled rules of logislation, to place the true merits of the question before the country. Questions semetimes occur which seem to invo two right sides. Such were the questions that divided the English nation betwoon Pitt and Fox-such the contest between the assailant and the defender of Quobec. The judgment of the world was auspended by its sympathics, and seemed ready to descend in favor of him who should be most gallant in con-duct. And so, whon both fell with equal chivalry on the same field, the survivers united in raising a common monument to the glerious but rival memories of Wolfe and Montealm. this contest involves a moral question. The Slave States so present it, They maintain that African Slavery is not erronceus, not unjust, not inconsistent with the advancing cause of human nature. Since they so regard it, I do not expect to see statesmen representing these States indif-ferent about a vindication of this system by the Congress of the United States. On the other hand, we of the Free States regard Slavery as orronoous, unjust, oppressive, and therefore absolutely inconsistent with the principles of the American Constitution and Government. Who will expect us to be indifferent to the decisions of the American people and of mankind on such an issue ?

Again: there is suspended on the issue of this contest the political equilibrium between the Free and the Slave States. It is no ephemeral question, no idle question, whether Slavery shall go on increasing its influence over the central power here, or whether Freedom shall gain the ascendancy. I do not expect to see statesmen of the Slave States indifferent on so momentous a question, and as little can it be expected that those of the Free States will betray their own great cause. And now it remains for mo to declare, in view of the decision of this controversy so near at hand, that I have seen nothing and heard noat the carriest progress to change the opinions which at the carliest proper period I deliberately expressed. Certainly, I have not seen the exidence then promised, that the Free States would acquiesce in the measure. As certainly, too, I may say that I have not seen the fulfillment of the promise that the history of the last thirty years would be revised, corrected, and amended, and that it would then appear that the country, dur-ing all that period, had been resting in prosperity, and contentment, and peace, not upon a valid, constitutional, and irrevocable compromise be-tween the Slave States and the Free States, but noon an unconstitutional and false, and even in-

famous, act of Congressional usurpation On the contrary, I am now, if possible, more than ever satisfied that, after all this debate, the than ever satisfied that, after all this geome, me history of the country will go down to posterity history of the country will go of who to posterity has to get the country will go of the country history has been considered by the country of the

upon the express condition, stipulated in favor of the Free States, that Slavery should be forever prohibited in all the residue of the existing and unorganized Territories of the United States lying north of the parallel of 36 deg, 30 min. north l-attide. Cortainly, I that nothing to win my favor toward the bill in the proposition of the Senator from Maryland [Mr. Pearce] to restore the Clayton amendment, which was struck out in the House of Representatives. So far from voting for that proposition, I shall vote against it now, as I did when it was under consideration here before, in accordance with the opinion adopted as early meany political opinions I over had, and chorished as long, that the right of suffrage is not a mere conventional right, but an inherent natural right, of which no government our rightly deprive any adult man who is subject to its authority, and obligated to its support.

I hold, moreover, sir, that inasmuch as every man is, by force of circumstances beyond his own control, a subject of government somewhere, he is, by the very constitution of human society, entitled to share equally in the conferring of political power on those who wield it, if he is not disqualified by orime; that in a despetie government he ought to be allowed arms, in a froe government the ballot or the open vote, as a moans of solf-protection against unoudurable oppression. I am not likely, therefore, to restore to this bill an amendment which would deprive it of an important feature imposed upon it by the House of Representatives, and that one, perhaps, the only feature that harmonizes with my ewn convictions of justice. It is true that the House of Representatives stipulates such suffrage for white men as a condition for opening it to the possible proscription and slavery of the African.
I shall separate them. I shall vote for the former and against the latter, glad to get universal suf-frage of white men, if only that can be gained now, and working right on, full of hope and confidence, for the provention or the abrogation of Slavory in the Territories hereafter.

Six, I am surprised at the portinacity with which the honorable Senator from Delaware, mine ancient and honorable friend, [Mr. Clayand the state of the control of the right of suffrago to the unnaturalized foreigner in the Territories. Congress cannot deny him that right. Here is the third article of that convention by which Louisiana, including Kansas and Nobraska, was ceded to the United States: "The inhabitants of the ceded territory shall be incorporated in the Union of the United

States, and admitted as soon as possible, according to the principles of the Federal Constitution. to the enjoyment of the rights, privileges, and immunities of citizens of the United States; and in the mean time they shall be maintained and in the mean time they shall no maintained and protected in the free enjoyment of their liberty, property, and the religion they profess."
The inhabitants of Kansas and Nebreska are citizens already, and by force of this treaty must

continue to be, and as such to enjoy the right of continue to be, and as such to enjoy tho right of sulfrage, whatever laws you may make to the contrary. My opinions are well known, to wit: That Slavery is not only an evil, but a local one, injurious and ultimately permicious to society, wherever it exists, and in conflict with the con-stitutional principles of society in this country, I am not willing to extend nor to permit the ex-tension of that local evil into regions now free within our empire. I know that there are some within our empire. I know that there are some who differ from me, and who regard the Constitu-tion of the United States as an instrument which sanctions Slavery as well as Freedom. But if I could admit a proposition so incongruous with the letter and spirit of the Foderal Constitution, tional enactment in the character of a compact, and the known sentiments of its illustrious found-

ors, and so should canchedo that Slavery was national, I must still cherish the opinion that it is an evil; and because it is a national one, I am the more firmly held and bound to prevent an in-crosse of it, tonding, as I think it manifestly does, to the weakening and ultimate overthrow of the Constitution itself, and therefore to the injury of all mankind. I know there have been States all housement. I know more neve needs states which have endured long, and echicoed much, which tolerated Slavory; but that was not the Slavory of casto, like African Slavory. Such Slavory touds to domoralize equally the subjected race and the superior one. It has been the absence of such Slavery from Enrope that has given her nations their superiority ever other given her manuse more superiorny over orac countries in that hemisphere. Slavery, where-ever it exists, begets fear, and fear is the parent of weakness. What is the secret of that oternal, sloepless auxioty in the legislative halls, and even at the firesides of the Slave States, always asking at the irreauce of the binvoltanes, always asking now stipulations, now compromises and abrogation of compromises, now assumptions of power and abnegations of power, but fear? It is the apprehension, that oven if see now, they will not always or long be scoure against some invasion or some aggression from the Free States. What is the secret of the humiliating part which proud old Spain is noting at this day, trembling between alarms of American intrusion into Cuba on one side, and British dictation on the other; but the fact that she has cherished Slavery so long and still cherishes it, in the last of her American colonial possessions? Thus far Kansas and Nobraska are safe, under the laws of 1820, against the introduction of this element of na-tional dobility and decline. The bill before us, as wo are assured, contains a great principle, a as wo are assured, contains a great principle, as glorious nrinciple; and yet that principle, when fully ascertained, proves to be nothing less then the subversion of that security, not only within the Territories of Kansas and Nebraska, but within all the other present and future Territo-ries of the United States. Thus it is quite clear that it is not a principle along that is involved. that it is not a principle alone that is involved, but that those who crowd this measure with so much zeal and earnestness must expect that either Freedom or Slavery shall gain something by it in those regions. The case, then, stands by it in those regions. The case, then, stands thus in Kansas and Nebraska: Freedom may lose, but certainly can gain nothing; while Slavery may gain, but as certainly can lose no-

So far as I am concerned, the time for looking on the dark side has passed. I feel quite sure that Slavery at most can get nothing more than Kansas; while Nebraska—the wider northorn region-will, under existing circumstances, escape, for the reason that its soil and climate are uncongenial with the staples of slave culturerice, sugar, cotton, and tobacco. Morcover, since the public attention has been so well and so effectually directed toward the subject, I cherish a hope that Slavery may be prevented even from gaining a foothold in Kansas. Congress only gives consent, but it does not and cannot introduce Slavery there. Slavery will be emintrouce Sinvery there, Shavery will be em-barrassed by its own overgrasping spirit. No ono, I am sure, anticipates the possible re-estab-lishment of the African Slave-trade. The tide of emigration to Kansas is therefore to be supplied there solely by the domestic fountain of slave production. But Slavery has also other regions besides Kansas to be filled from that fountain. There are all of New-Mexico and all of Utah already within the United States; and then there is Cuba when the Content States; and then those is Cauca that consumes slave labor and life as fast as any one of the slaveholding States can supply it; and besides these regions, there remains all of Mexi-co down to the Isthmus. The stream of slave labor flowing from so small a fountain, and bro-

cover so great a field; and it is reasonably to be haped that the part of it nearest to the North Pole will be the last to be inundated. But African slave emigration is to compete with free emigration of white men, and the source of this latter tide in an ample as the civilization of the two entire continents. The honorable Senator from Delaware mentlened, as if it were a startling fact, that twenty thousand European immigreats arrived in New-York in one month. Sir, he has stated the fact with too much moderation. On my return to the capital a day or two ago, I met twelve thousand of these emigrants who had arrived in New-York on one morning, and who had thronged the churches on the following Sabhath, to return thanks for deliverance from the perils of the sea, and for their arrival in the land, not of Slavery but of Liberty. I also thank God for their escape, and for their coming. They are new on their way westward, and the news of the passage of this bill, preceding them, will speed many of thom towards Kansas and Nebraska. Such arrivals are not extraordinary
-they occur almost every week; and the immigration from Germany, from Great Britain, and from Norway, and from Swedon, during the Enropean war, will rise to six or seven hundred thousand souls in a yoar. And with this tide is to be mingled one rapidly swelling from Asia and from the islands of the South Seas. All the immigrants under this bill, as the House of Represeniatives overrating you have ordered, will be good, loyal, Liberty-leving, Slavery-fearing citizens. Come on, then, gentlemen of the Slave States. Since there is no escepting your challengo, I accept it in behalf of the cause of Freedom. We will engage in competition for the virgin soil of Kansas, and God give the victor to the side which is stronger in numbers as it is iu right.

There are, however, earmest advected of this bill, who do not expect, and who, I suspeed, and the desire, that Slavery shall gain peaced and desire, that Slavery shall gain peaced and the desire, that Slavery shall gain peaced and the shall shall

lashment of the African Slave-trade. The tide of emigration to Kansaes is therefore to be supplied the solely by the demostic fountain of slave processed to the theory and the sole of the regions of the Constitutions of Freedom but the processed the sole of the Constitutions of Slavery or of Freedom but the processed the sole of the Constitutions of Slavery or of Freedom within the United States; and then there is consumes slave labor and life as fast as any conofine slaversholding States can supply it; and besides these regions, there remains all of Mexico do wan to the istimus. The stream of slavery bears they have then, and the removal absolute these regions, there remains all of Mexico do wan to the istimus. The stream of slavery bears they have then, and the value of the stream of the

tions, if they were Constitutions of Despetism science, and down at least until this very session and Slavery! But it is supposed by some that of the Congress of the United States, it has had and chavery? But it is supposed by some time this principle is less important in regard to Kon-sas and Nobraska than an a general one—a general principle applicable to all other present and luture Territories of the United States. Do henorable Senators then indeed suppose they are normic sentures their meet suppose they are establishing a principle at all I fao, I think they egregiously or, whether the principle is either good or bad, right or wrong. They are not other blishing it, and cannot osiablish it in this way. You autivort one law capriciously, by making another law in its place. That is all. Will your law have any more weight, authority, selemnity, or binding force on future Congresses, than the first had? You abrogate the law of your predecessors—others will have equal power and equal liberty to abrogate yours. You allow no barriers around the old law, to protect it from abrogation. You creet none around your new law, to stay the hand of future innovators.

On what ground do you expect the new law to stand? If you are candid, you will confess that ou rest your assumption on the ground that the Preo States will nover agitate repeal, but always acquiesce. It may be that you are right. I am not going to predict the course of the Free States. I claim no authority to sponk for them, and still less to say what they will do. But I may venture to say, that if they shall not repeal this law, it will not be because they are not strong enough to do it. They have power in the House of Representatives greater than that of the Slave Stutes, and, whon they choose to exercise it, a power greator oven here in the Senate. The Free States are not dull scholars, even in practical political strategy. Whon you shall have taught them that a compromise law establishing Freedom can be abrogated, and the Union nevertheless stand, you will have lot thom into another secret, namely: that a law permitting or establishing Slavory can be repealed, and the Union nevertheless romain firm. If you inquire why they do not stand by thoir rights and their interests more firmly, I will tell you to the best of my ability. It is because they are conscious of their strength, and, thereforo, unsuspecting, and slow to apprehend danger. The reason why you prevail in so many contests, is because you are in perpetnal fear.

There cannot be a convocation of Abolitionists,

however impracticable, in Fancuil Hall or the Tabernacle, though it consists of men and women who have separated themselves from all effective political parties, and who have rengunced all political agencies, even though they resolve that they will vote for nobody, not even for themselves, to carry out their purposes, and though they practice on that resolution, but you take alarm, and your agitation renders necessary such compromises as those of 1820 and of 1850. We we are young in the arts of politics; you are old. We are strong; you are weak. We are, therefore, over-confident, careless, and indifferent; you are vigilant and active. These are traits that redound to your praise. They are mentioned net in your disparagement. I say only, that there may be an extent of intervention, of aggression on your side, which may induce the North, at some time, either in this or in some future generation, to adopt your tactics and follow your example. Remember now, that by unanimous concent, this new law will be a repealable statute, expessed to all the chances of the Missouri Compromise. It stands an infinitely worse chance of endurance than that compromise did.

The Missouri Compromise was a transaction which wise, learned, patriotic statesmen agreed to surround and fertily with the principles of a

the force and authority not merely of an act of Congress, but of a covenant between the Free Sintes and the Shave States, neuronly less sucred than the Countintion itself. Now then, who are your contracting parties in the law cutablishing Governments in Kansan and Nebraska, and alirogating the Missouri Compromise? What ace given, and what has the South got back, that makes this a contract? Who protonds that it is anything more than un ordinary act of ordinary logislation? If, then, a law which has all the forms and solomnities recognized by common consent as a compact, and is covered with traditions, cannot stand until this shuffling of the butance between the Free States and the Slave States, tell me what chances this new law that you are passing will have?

You are, moreover, setting a precedent which abrogates all compromises. Four years ago, you obtained the consent of a portion of the Free States-enough to render the effort at immediate repeal or resistance alike impossible—to what we regard as an unconstitutional not for the surronder of fugitive slaves. That was declared, by the common consent of the persons acting in the name of the two parties, the Slave States and the Free States in Congress, an irrepealable law-not evon to be questioned, although it violated the Constitution. In establishing this new principle, you oxpose that law also to the chances of repeal. You not only so expose the fugitive slave law, but there is no selemnity about the articles for the annoxation of Texas to the United States, which does not heng about the Missouri Compromise; and when you have shown that the Missouri Compromise can be repealed, then the articles for the annexation of Texas are subject to the will and pleasure and the caprice of a temporary majority in Congress. De you, then, expect that the Free States are to observe compacts, and you to be at liborty to break them; that thoy are to submit to laws and leave them on the statute-book, howover unconstitutional and however grievons, and that you are to rest under no such obligation? I think it is not a reasonable expeciation. Say, then, who from the North will be bound to admit Kansas, when Kansas shall come in here, if she shall come as a Slave State ?

The honorable Sonator from Georgia, [Mr. Toombe,] and I know he is as sincere as he is ardent, says if he shall be here when Kansas comes as a Free State, ho will vote for her admission. I doubt not that he would; but he will not be here, for the very reason, if there be no other, that he would vote that way. Oregon or Minnesota shall come hero for admission-within one yoar, or two years, or three years from this time-we shall then see what your new principle is worth in its obligation upon the slaveholding States. No; you establish no principle, you only abrogate a principle which was established for your own security as well as ours; and while you think you are abnegating and resigning all power and all authority on this and resigning an power and an authorny on one subject into the hands of the people of the Terri-tories, you are only getting over a difficulty in settling this question in the organization of two new Territories, by postponing it till they come here to be admitted as States, slave or free.

Sir, in saying that your new principle will not be established by this bill, I reason from obvious, clear, well settled principles of human nature. which wise, learned, patriotic statement age.

The statement of the statement age of the surround and fortily with the principles of a compact for mutual consisterations, passed and executed, and therefore, although not irrepeal, able in fact, yet irrepeable his honor and on all the statement and surface of the constitution gonism, an Irreconnilable one, between the systems of free labor and alary labor. They have been at war with each other cover since the Government was catabilished, and that war is to continue forever. The contest, when it ripens hetween these two antegonishis dements, is to be settled somewhere; it is to be settled in the each of central power, in the Potocal Legislature. The Constitution makes it the duty of the estiral Government to betterning opensions, as often as tiny shall arise, in flavor of one or the other majority of the votor in the two Houses of Congress. It will come back here, then, in spite of all the offers to exempt from it.

This antagonism must oud ofther in a separation of the antagonistic parties—the Slaveholding States and the Free States—or, secondly, in the complete establishment of the influence of the Slave power over the Free-or else, on the other hand, in the establishment of the superior influonce of Freedom over the interests of Slavory. It will not be terminated by a voluntary secession of either party. Commercial interests bind the of gold that are riveted with iron, and they cannet be broken by passion or by ambition. Either party will submit to the ascendancy of the other, rather than yield the commercial advantages of this Union. Political ties bind the Union togother-a common necessity, and not merely a empire-of such ompire as the world has never before seen. The control of the national power is the control of the great Western Continent; and the control of this continent is to be, in a very few years, the controlling influence in the world. Who is there, North, that hates Slavery so much, or who, South, that hates emancipation so intensely, that he can attempt, with any hope of success, to broak a Union thus forged and welded together? I have always heard, with equal pity and disgust, throats of disunion in the Froe States, and similar threats in the Slavo-holding States. I know that men may rave in the heat of passion, and ander great political excitement; but I know that when it comes to a question whether this Union shall stand, either with Freedom or with Slavery, the masses will uphold it, and it will stand until some inherent vice in its Constitution, not yet disclosed, shall cause its dissolution. Now, entertaining these opinions, there are for me only two alternatives, viz.: cither to let Slavory gain unlimited sway, or so to exert what little power and influence I may have, as to secure, if I can, the ultimate predominance of Freedom.

In doing this, I do no more than those who believe the Slave Power is rightest, wisest, and best, are doing, and will continue to do, with my free consent, to establish its complete supremacy. If they shall succeed, I still shall be, as I have been, a loyal citizen. If we succeed, I know they will be loyal also, because it will be safest, wisest, and best for them to be so. The question is one, not of a day, or of a year, but of many years, and, for aught I know, many generations. Like all other great political questions, it will be attended sometimes by excitement, sometimes by passion, and sometimes, perhaps, even by faction; but it is sure to be settled in a constitutional way, with out any violent shock to society, or to any of its great interests. It is, moreover, sure to be settled rightly; because it will be settled under the benign influences of Republicanism and Christianity, according to the principles of truth and justice, as ascertained by human reason. In pursuing such a course, it seems to me obviously as wise as it is necessary to save all existing laws and Constitutions which are conscrvative of Freedom, and to permit, as far as possible, the

catabilishment of no new ones in favor of Slavory; and thus to turn away the thoughts of the States which Interate Slavery, from political efforts to perpetuate what in its nature cannot be perpetnal, to the more wise and benign policy of enancipation.

cipation.
This, in my humble indement, in the simple, easy path of duty for the American Statesman. I will not contemplate that other alternative-the greater ascendancy of the Slave Power. I believe that if it shall over come, the voice of Freedom will cease to be heard in those Halls, whatever may be the cyils and dangers which Slavery shall produce. I say this without disrespect for Reprocontatives of Slave States, and I say it because the rights of petition and of debate on that are offootnally suppressed-necessarily suppressedin all the Slave States, and because they are not always held in reverence, even now, in the two Houses of Congress. When freedom of speech on a subject of such vital interest shall have ceased to exist in Cangress, then I shall expect to see Slavery not only luxuriating in all new Torritories, but stealthily ercoping evon into the Free States themselves. Believing this, and be-Free States themselves. Believing this, and be-lieving, also, that complete responsibility of the flowing, also, that complete responsibility of the Government to the people is essential to public and private safety, and that decline and ruin are sure to follow, always, in the train of Slavory, I am sure that this will be no longer a land of Freedom and constitutional liberty when Slavery shall have thus become paramount. Auferre trucidave falsis nominibus imperium atque, ubi solitudinem faciunt, pacem appellant.

Sir. I model of the second production of the spend, oven if this fearful measure should be offected; nor de I now deepond. Although, reasoning from my present convictions, I should not have voted for the compromise of 1820, I have labored, in the very spirit of these who essentially the second production of 1820, I have have a spirit of the second production of 1820, I have not spaked irreversorily even of the compromise of 1830, which, as all men know, I opposed earnestly and with distingence. Nevertheless, I have always preferred the compromises of the Constitution, and have a leading principle of the great statement of the South, [Mr. Calhoun]. Said he:
"I see my way in the Constitution; I cannot "I cannot way in the Constitution; I cannot way the constitution of the consti

"I see my way in the Constitution; I cannot in a compromise. A compromise is but an act of Congress. It may be overruled at my time. It gives un no security. But the Constitution is the gives un no security. But the Constitution is on which we can meet our friends from the non-staveholding States. It is a firm and stable ground, on which we can better stand in opposition to funatioisem than on the shifting sauds of compromise. Let us be done with compromise cut ms go back and stand upon the Constitution.

I stood upon this ground in 1850, defending Fredom upon it as Mr. Calhoun did in defending Slavery. I was overruled then, and I have waited since without proposing to abrogate any compromises.

If has been no proposition of mine to abrogate them now; but the proposition has come from another quarter—from an adverse one. It is about to prevail. The shifting sands of compromise are passing from under my feet, and they are now, without agoney of my own, taking hold. again on the rock of the Constitution. It shall be refault of mine if they do not remain firm. This recurs to me suspicious of better days and wiser of the present bour, bright stars are breaking, that inspire me with hope, and excite me to persoverance. They show that the day of compromises has past forever, and that henceforward all great questions between Freedom and Slavery

legitimately coming here—and none other can come—shall be decided, as they ought to be, upon their merits, by a fair exercise of legislative power, and not by bargains of equivocal prudence, if not of doubtful morality,

The House of Representatives has, and it al-ways will have, an increasing majority of membors from the Free States. On this eccasion, that House has not been altogother fuithless to the interests of the Free States; for although it has taken away the charter of Freedom from Kunsus and Nebraska, it has, at the same time, told this proud hody, in language which compels acquiescence, that in submitting the question of its restoration, it would submit it not merely to interested citizons, but to the allen inhabitants of the Torriterles also. So the great interests of humanity are, after all, thanks to the House of Representatives, and thanks to God, submitted to the

volce of human nature.

Sir, I see one more sign of hope. The great support of Slavery in the South has been its alliance with the Democratic party of the North. By means of that alliance, it obtained paramount influence in this Government about the year 1800, which from that time to this, with but few and which from that time to this, with but low had slight interruptions, it has maintained. While Domecracy in the North has thus been support-ing Slavery in the South, the people of the North have been learning mere profoundly the princi-ples of republicanism and of free government. It is an extraordinary circumstance, which you, sir, the present eccupant of the chair, [Mr. Staart], I am sure will not gainsay, that at this mement, when there seems to be a mere complete divergence of the Federal Government, in favor of Slavery, than ever before, the sentiment of Universal Liberty is strenger in all Free States than it ever was before. With that principle, the present Democratic party must new come into a cleser contest. Their prestige of Democramit a other contest and pressure to be or yie fast waning, by reason of the hard service which their alliance with their slavehelding brethren has imposed upon them. That party perseveres, as indeed it must, by reason of its very perseveres, as indeed it must, by reason of its very constitution, in that service, and thus comes into closer conflict with elements of true Democracy, and for that reason is destined to less, and is fast lesing, the power which it has held so firmly and long. That power will not be restered until the principle established here new shall be reversed, and a Constitution shall be given, not only to Kansas and Nebraska, but asse to every other national Territory, which will be not a tabula rasa, but a Constitution securing equal, universal, and perpetual Freedom.

Mr. Douglas closed the debate, reiterating and enforcing the views set forth in his Report already given; and at last the vote was taken, and the bill passed : Yeas 37; Nays 14; as follows:

YEAS-For the Kansas-Nebraska bill : Messra. Adam

3. Adams,	Hunter,
Atchison,	Johnson.
Badger,	Jenes of Iowa.
Bayard,	Jones of Tenn.
Benjamin,	Mason,
Brodhead,	Merten,
Brewn.	Nerris,
Butler,	Pettit.
Cass,	Pratt.
Clay,	Rusk,
Dawsen,	Sebastian.
Dixen,	Shields,
Dedge of Iowa,	Stidell,
Douglas,	Stuart,
Evans,	Thompson of Ky.
,	

Fitzpatrick. Thomson of N. J. Geyer, Toncey. Gwip, Weller, Williams-37.

NAYS-Against the said bill;

Honston, Messra. Bell, James. Dodge of Wise. Soward Pessondon, Smith. Fish. Summer. Foot, Wade, Hamlin, Walker-14.

So the bill was passed, and its title declared to be "An Act to organize the Territories of Nebraska and Kansas," and the Senate adjourned over to the Tuesday folfollowing.

In the House, a bill to organize the Tcrritory of Nebraska had been noticed on the first day of the session, by Mr. John G. Milfer of Mo., who introduced it December 22nd.

Jan. 24th .- Mr. Giddings gave notice of

a bill to organize said Territory. Jan. 30th .- Mr. Pringle of N. Y. endeavored to have the bill passed at the last session (leaving the Missouri Restriction intact), reported by the Committee on Territories; but debate arose, and his resolution lay over.

Jan. 31st .- Mr. Richardson of Ill., chairman of the Committee on Territories, reported a bill "To organize the Territories of Nebraska and Kansas," which was read

twice and committed. Mr. Richardson's bill was substantially Mr. Douglas's last bill, and was accompanied by no report. Mr. English of Ind. submitted the views of a minority of said Committee on Territories, proposing, without argument, the two following amendments:

 Amend the section defining the boundary of Kansas, so as to make "the summit of the Rocky Mountains" the western bound-

ary of said Territory.

Strike out of the 14th and 34th sections of said bill all after the words "United States," and insert in each instance (the one relating to Kansas, and the other to Nebraska) as follows:

Provided, That nething in this act shall be so construed as to prevent the peeple of said Terriconstruct as to provent the period to start to tory, through the properly-constituted legislation to the institution of Slavery, as they may deem best adapted to their lecality, and mest condu-cive to their happiness and welfare; and se much of any existing act of Congress as may conflict with the above right of the people to regulate their domestic institutions in their own way, be, and the same is hereby, repealed."

This appears to have been an attempt to give practical effect to the doctrine of Squatter Sovereignty; but it was not successful.

son, the House-Year 109; Nays 88-resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole, and took up the bill (House No. 236) to organize the Territories of Nebraskn and Knusas, and discussed it-Mr. Olds o! Ohio in the chair.

On coming out of Committee, Mr George W. Jones of Tenn. moved that the rules be suspended so as to enable him to move the printing of Senate bill (No. 22, passed the Senate as aforesaid) and the amendment now pending to the House bill. No quo-

rum voted-adjourned.

May 9th .- This motion prevailed. ter debate in Committee on the Kansas-Nebraska bill, the Committee found itself without a quorum, and thereupon rose and reported the fact to the House-only 106 Members were found to be present. After several fruitless actempts to adjourn, a call was ordered and a quorum obtained, at 9 P. M. At 10, an adjournment prevailed.

May 19th .- Debate in Committee con-

May 11th.—Mr. Richardson moved that all debate in Committee close to-morrow at

Mr. English moved a call of the House:

Refused: Yeas 88: Navs 97.

Mr. Mace moved that Mr. Richardson's motion be hid on the table: Defeated; Yeas 95; Nays 100.

Mr. Edgerton of Ohio moved a call of the House: Refused; Yeas 45; Navs 80.

The day was spent in what has come to be called "Filibustering"-that is, the minority moving adjournments, calls of the House, asking to be excused from voting, taking appeals, etc., etc. In the midst of this, Mr. Richardson withdrew his original motion, and moved instead that the debate in Committee be closed in five minutes after the House shall have resumed it

The hour of noon of the 12th having arrived, Messrs. Dean and Banks raised points of order as to the termination of the legislative day. The Speaker decided that the legislative day could only be terminated by the adjournment of the House, except by constitutional conclusion of the session. Mr. Banks appealed, but at length withdrew his

appeal. Finally, at 112 o'clock, P. M., of Friday, 12th, after a continuous sitting of thirty six hours, the House, on motion of Mr. Richardson, adjourned.

May 13th .-- The House sat but two

hours, and effected nothing.

May 15th.—Mr. Richardson withdrew his demand for the Previous Question on closing the debate, and moved instead that the debate close at noon on Friday the 19th instant. This he finally modified by substihis motion prevailed by a two-thirds majori- 100-as follows:

May 8th .- On motion of Mr. Richard | ty-Yens 137; Nays 66-the following opponents of the bill voting for the motion. namely:

> MAINE.-Thomas J. D. Fuller, Samuel Mayall-2.

NEW-HAMPSHIRE,-Geo. W. Kittredge, Geo. W. Morrison-2,

MASSACHUSETTS .- Nathaniel P. Banks, jr .- 1. CONNECTICUT.—Origon S. Seymour—1. NEW-YORK.—Gilbert Dean, Charles Hughes

PENNSYLVANIA.—Michael C. Tront—1. Outo.—Alfred P. Edgerton, Hurvey H. John-son, Andrew Ellison, William D. Lindsley, Thom-

Es Richey-5. Indiana.—Androw J. Harlan, Duniel Muco-2. Illinois.—John Wentworth-1.

MICHIGAN .- David A. Noble, Hester L. Ste-

Wisconsin.—John B. Macy.—1. Virginia.—John S. Millson.—J.

Total-21.

Mr. Richardson, having thus got in his resolution to close the debate, put on the previous question again, and the House-Yeas, 113; Nays, 59-agreed to close the debate on the 20th.

Debate having been closed, the opponents of the measure expected to defeat or cripple it by moving and taking a vote in Committee on various propositions of amendment, kindred to those moved and rejected in the Senate; some of which it was believed a majority of the House would not choose (or dare) to vote down; and, though the names of those voting on one side or the other in Committee of the Whole are not recorded, yet any proposition moved and rejected there, may be renewed in the House after taking the bill out of committee, and is no longer cut off by the Previous Question; as it formerly was. But, when the hour for closing debate in Committee had arrived, Mr. Alex. H. Stephens moved that the enacting clause of the bill be stricken out; which was carried by a rally of the friends of the bill, and of course cut off all amendments. The bill was thus reported to the House with its head off; when, after a long struggle, the House refused to agree to the report of the Committee of the Whole-Yeas (for agreeing) 97; Nays 117-bringing the House to a direct vote on the engrossment of the bill.

Mr. Liehardson now moved an amendment, which was a substitute for the whole bill, being substantially the Senate's bill, with the clause admitting aliens, who have declared their intention to become citizens, to the right of suffrage. He thereupon called the Previous Question, which the House sustained-Yeas 116; Nays 109when the House adopted his amendment-Yeas 115; Nays 95-and proceeded to engross the bill—Yeas 112; Nays 99—when he put on the Previous Question again, and tuting Saturday the 20th; and in this shape passed the bill finally Yeas 113; Nays

YEAS-113.

FROM THE FREE STATES.

MAINE-Moses McDonaid-1. NEW-HAMPSHIRE-Harry Hibbard-1.

NEW-HANT-SIRE-Harry (Hibbard-1, CONSUCTIVET-Colin M. Ingersoll-1, CONSUCTIVET-COLIN M. ACCIUSTITS—None, RIODE LIAND—None, NEW-YORK-Thomas W. Cumming, Francis B. Cutting, Peter Rovo, John J. Taylor, Wil-liam M. Tyecch Hiram Walbridgo, William A. Walker, Aliko Walsh, Theo. R. Westbrook—9, PENSITZHAM—Samuel A. Bridges, John L. PESSIVANIA—Scattler A. Bridges, come at Dawson, Thomas B. Florence, J. Glancy Jones, William H. Kurtz, John McNair, Asa Packer, John Robbins, Jr., Christien M. Straab, William H. Witte, Hendrick B. Wright—II. NEW-Jenser—Samnel Lilly, George Vail—2, Onto—David T. Disney, Frederick W. Green, Fiden R. Olds Wijson Shamon—4

CHIO—Davia T. Disney, Frederick W. Green, Edson B. Olds, Wilson Shannon—4. INDIANA—John G. Davis, Cyrus L. Dunham, Norman Eddy, William H. English, Thomas A. Hendricks, James H. Lone, Smith Miller—7. ILLINOIS—James C. Allen, Willis Allen, Wm. A. Richardson-3.

MICHIGAN-Samuel Clark, David Stuart-2. Iowa—Bernhart Henn—i.

Wisconsin-None. California-Milton S. Latham, J. A. McDougall-2. Total 44.

FROM THE SLAVE STATES.

DELAWARE—George R. Riddle—1.
MARYLAND—William T. Hamilton, Henry
May, Jacob Shower, Joshua Vansant—4.

any, Jacob Shower, Joshua Vansant— Vinorint—Thomas H. Bayiy, Thomas S. Bo-cock, John S. Castic, Henry A. Edmundson, Charles J. Fuellinor, William O. Goods, Cedebiah Kidwell, John Letcher, Paulus Powoll, William Smith, John F. Snodgrass—I.

Nouri Carolina—William S. Ashe, Burton Crigo, Thomas L. Gingman, John Kerr, Thos. Suffin, Henry M. Shaw—6.

South Carolina—Villiam W. W. South Carolina—South Carolina—Sout

Edmin, Henry M. Shaw—o.

SOUTH CAROLINA—William W. Boyce, Preston S. Brooks, James L. Orr—3.

Georgia—Devid J. Bailoy, Elijah W. Chastain, Alfred H. Colquitt, Junius Hillyer, David

A. Reses, Alex. H. Stophens—6.

A. Reses, Alex. H. Stophens—6.

ALABAMA—James Abercrombie, Williamson R. W. Cobb, James F. Dowdell, Sampson W. Harris, George S. Houston, Phillip Phillips, William R. Smith—7.

Mississippi—William S. Barry, William Barksdale, Otho R. Singleton, Daniol B. Wright

LOUISIANA-William Dunbar, Roland Jones, John Porkins, Jr .- 3

KENTUCKY-John C. Breekenridge, James S. hrisman, Leander M. Cox, Clement S. Hill, Chrisman, Leander M. Cox, Clement S. Hut, John M. Ellidt, Benj. E. Grey, William Pres-ton, Richard H. Stanton—8. — Tennessee—William M. Churchwell, Georgo Clarks Rendy. Samuel A. Smith,

TENNESSEE—WINDIN A. CHURCHVEI, GEORGE W. JONES, Charles Ready, Samuel A. Smith, Frederick P. Stanton, Felix K. Zollicoffer—6. Missount—Alfred W. Lamb, James J. Lindley, John G. Miller, Mordecai Oliver, John S.

Pholps-5. ARKANSAS—Alfred B. Greenwood, Edwin A. Warren—2.

FLORIDA-Augustus E. Maxwell-1. TEXAS-Peter H. Bell, Geo. W. Smyth-2

Total-69. Total, Free and Slave States-113.

NAYS-100.

FREE STATES.

MAINE—Samuel P. Benson, E. Wilder Far-y, Thomas J. D. Fuller, Samuel Mayall, Israel Washburn, Jr .- 5.

NEW-HAMPSHIRE-George W. Kittredge, George W. Morrison-

Georgo W. Morrison—2.
Massachusertis—Nathaniel P. Banks, Jr.,
Samnel L. Crocker, Alex, De Witt, Edward
Dickinson, J. Wiele Edmands, Thomas D.
Eliot, John Z. Goodrick, Charles W. Upham,
Samnel H. Walley, Tappan Wentwork—10.
Riode Island—Thomas Davis, Bonjamin B.
Thurston J.

Thurston—2. Connecticut — Nathan Belcher, James T. Pratt, Origen S. Soymour—3. Vermont—James Meacham, Alvah Sabin,

Pratt, Urigen S. Soymour—S.
Verisions—James Macadam, Alvah Sabin, Andrew Pracy—S.
Andrew Pracy

OHIO-Eawara Bau, Lewis D. Camporu, Alfred P. Edgerton, Andrew Ellison, Joshua R. Giddings, Aaron Harlan, John Scott Harri-son, H. H. Johnson, William D. Lindsley, M. H. Nichols, Thomas Richov, William R. Sapp, Androw Stuart, John L. Taylor, Edward Wade

INDIANA-Andrew J. Harlan, Daniel Mace, Samuel W. Parker-3.

ILLINOIS—James Knox, Jesse O. Norton, Elihu B. Washburne, John Wentworth, Richard Yates-5.

MICHIGAN-David A. Noble, Hestor L. Ste-Wisconsin-Benjamin C. Eastman, Daniel Wells, Jr.-2.

IOWA-None. California-None. Total-91.

SOUTHERN STATES.

Virginia—John S. Millson—1. NORTH CAROLINA - Richard C. Puryear, Sion H. Rogers-2. Tennessee—Robert M. Bugg, William Cul-lom, Emerson Etheridge, Nathaniel G. Tay-

LOUISIANA-Theodore G. Hunt-1. Missouri-Thomas H. Benton-I.

OTHER SOUTHERN STATES-None. Total-9. Total, Free and Slave States-100.

ABSENT. OR NOT VOTING-21.

N. ENGLAND STATES-William Appleton of

Mass .-- 1 NEW-YORK--Geo. W. Chase, James Maurice

PENNSYLVANIA—None. New Jersey—None. OHIO—George Bliss, Moses B. Corwin—2. ILLINOIS—Wm. H. Bissell—1. Califounia—None.

Indiana—Ebon M. Chamberlain—1. Michigan—None. Iowa—John P. Cook—1.

W ISCONSIN-John B. Macy-1. Total from Free States-9.

MARYLAND-John R. Franklin, Augustus R. Sollers-2.

VIRGINIA-Fayette McMullen-1 NORTH CAROLINA - None. DELAWARE -None.

SOUTH CAROLINA-Wm. Aikon, Lawrence M. | Keitt, John McQueon-3. GEORGIA-Wm. B. W. Dont, James L. Seward

ALABAMA-None. MISSISSIPPI-Wiley P. Harris-1, KENTUCKY-Linn Boyd, (Speaker,) Presley

MISSOURI—Samuel Caruthers—1.
ARKANSAS—None. FLORIDA—None.
TEXAS—None. TENNESSEE—None. LOUISIANA-None.

Total from Slave States—12. Whigs in Italies. Abolitionists in SMALL CAPITALS. Democrats in Roman.

May 23d.—The bill being thus sent to the Senate (not as a Senate but as a House bill), was sent at once to the Committee of the Whole, and there briefly considered.

May 24th .- Mr. Pearce of Md. moved to strike out the clause in sec. 5 which ex-· tends the right of suffrage to

"those who shall have declared on oath their intention to become such, [citizons] and shall have taken an eath to support the Constitution of the United States, and the provisions of this

Negatived-Yeas; Bayard, Bell, Brodhead, Brown, Clayton, Pearce, and Thomp-Navs 41. son of Kv

The bill was then ordered to be engrossed for a third reading—Yeas 35; Nays 13, as

YEAS-For Engrossing:

Messrs. Atchison, Mo. Mason, Va. Badger, N. C. Morton, Fla. Norris, N. H. Benjamin, La. Brodhoad, Pa. Brown, Miss. Pearce, Md. Pettit, Ind. Butler, S. C. Pratt, Md. Rusk, Toxas, Cuss, Mich. Clay, Ala. Sebastian, Ark. Dawson, Ga. Shields, Ill. Douglas, Ill. Fitzpatrick, Ala. Slidell, La. Stuart, Mich Thompson, Ky.
Thomson, N. J.
Toombs, Ga.
Toucey, Ct.
Woller, Cal. Gwin, Cal. Hunter, Va Johnson, Ark. Jones, Iowa, Jones, Tenn. Mallory, Fla. Willi Wright, N. J.—35 Williams, N. H.

NAYS-Against Engrossing : GILLETTE, Ct. Messra. Allen, R. I. Bell, Tenn. Hamlin, Mo. James, R. I. CHASE, Ohio, N. Y. Summer, Mass.
Vt. Wade, Ohio,
Walker, Wise.—13. Clayton, Del. Fish, N. Y. Foot, Vt.

Democrats in Roman; Whige in Italics; Free Democrate in SMALL CAPS

The bill was then passed without further division, and, being approved by the President, became a law. Its provisions are as follows:

An Act to organize the Territories of Nebraska and Kansas.

Territory of the United States included within the following limits, except such portions thereof as are hereinaiter expressly exempted from the opera-tions of this act, to wit: beginning at a point in the Missouri river where the fortiefa parallel of north latitude crosses the same; thence west on said parallel to the cast boundary of the Territory of Utah on the summit of the Rocky Mountains; thence on said summit northword to the forty-ninth parallel of north latitude; thence east on said parallel to the western boundary of the Territory of Minnesota; thence couthward on said boundary to the Missouri river ; thence down the main channel of said river to the piace of beginning, bo, and the same is hereby created into a temporary government by the name of the Terri-tory of Nebraska; and when admitted as a State or States, the said Territory, or any portion of the same, shall be received into the Union with or without Slavery, as their constitution may prescribe at the time of their admission: Provided, That nothing in this act contained shell be con-strued to inhibit the government of the United States from dividing said Territory into two or more territories, in such manner and at such times as Congress shall deem convenient and proper, or from attaching any portion of said Territory to any other State or Territory of the United States: Provided further, That nothing in this act contained shall be construed to impair the rights of person or proporty now pertaining to the Indians in said Territory, so long as such rights shall re-main unextinguished by treaty between the United States and such Indians, or to include any Territory which, by treaty with any Indian tribo, is not, without the consent of said tribo, to be included within the territorial limits or jurisdiction of any State or Territory; but all such Territory shall be excepted out of the boundaries, and constitute no part of the Territory of Nebraska, until said tribo shall signify their assent to the Presi-dent of the United States to be included within the said Territory of Nebraska, or to affect the authority of the government of the United States to make any regulations respecting such Indians, their lands, property, or other rights, by treaty, law, or otherwiso, which it would have been competent to the government to make if this act had nover passed

SEC. 2. That the exceutive power and authori-SEC. 2. Into the executive power and uninority in and over said Territory of Nebraska shall be vested in a governor, who shall hold his office for four years, and until his successor shall be appointed and qualified, unless sooner removed by the President of the United States. The gov. ernor shall resido within said Territory, and shall be commander-in-chief of the militia thereof. He may grant pardons and respites for offenses against the laws of said Territory, and reprioves for offenses against the laws of the United States, until the decision of the President can be made known thereon: he shall commission all officers who shall be appointed to office under the laws of the said Territory, and shell take care that the laws be faithfully executed

SEC. 3. That there shall be a secretary of said Territory, who shall reside theroin, and hold his office for five years, unless sooner removed by the President of the United States; he shall record and preserve all the laws and proceedings of the legislative assembly hereinafter constituted, and all thoacts and proceedings of the governor in his executive department; he shall transmit one copy of the laws and journals of the le-gislative assembly, within thirty days after the end of each session, and one copy of the execu-tive proceedings and official correspondence semi-annually on the first days of January and Be it enacted by the Sexate and House of Rep. Sexate the sexate of the United States of America in States, and two copies of the laws to the Presi-Congress accembled: That all that part of the death of the Sexate and to the Speaker of the from the Territory, the secretary shall be, and he is hereby authorized and required to execute and perform all the powers and duties of the governor during such vacancy or absence, or until another governor shall be duly appointed and qualified to

fill such vacancy.

fill such vacancy. Sec. 4. That the legislative power and authori-ty of said Territory shall be vested in the governor and a legislative assembly. The legislative assembly shall consist of a conneil and house of representatives. The council shall consist of representatives that content shall continue to the thirteen members, having the qualifications of voters as hereinafter prescribed, whose term of service shall continue two years. The house of representatives shall, at its first session, consist of twenty-six members, possessing the same qualifications as prescribed for members of the conneil, and whose term of service shall continue one year. The number of representatives may be increased by the legislative assembly, from time to time, in proportion to the increase of qualified voters; Provided, That the whole number shall never exceed thirty-nine; an apportionment shall be made as nearly equal as practicable, among the several counties or districts, for the election of the council and representatives, iving to each section of the territory represents tion in the ratio of its qualified voters as nearly as may be. And the members of the council and of the house of representatives shall reside in, and be inhabitants of, the district or county, or counties, for which they may be elected, respectively. Previous to the first election, the governor shall cause a census, or enumeration of the inhabitants and qualified voters of the several counties and districts of the territory, to be taken by such persons and in such mode as the governer shall designate and appoint; and the persons so appointed shall receive a reasonable compensation therefor. And the first election shall be held at such times, and places, and he conducted in such manner, both as to the persons who shall superintend such election, and the returns thereof, as the weverner shall appoint and direct; and he shall at the same time declare the number of members of the council and house of ropresentatives to which each of the counties or districts chall be entitled under this act. The persons having the highest number of legal votes in each of said council districts for members of the counof and corned districts for members of thocoun-ini, shall be declared by the governer to be duly elected to the council; and the persons having the highest number of legal votes for the house of representatives, shall be declared by the governor to be duly elected members of said house: Provided, That in case two or more persons voted for shall have an equal number of votes, and in case a vacancy shall otherwise occur in either branch of the legislative assembly, the governer shall order a new election; and the persons thus elected to the legislative assembly shall most at such place and on such day as the governor shall appoint; but thereafter, the time, place, and manner of holding and conducting all elections by the people, and the apportioning the representation in the several counties or districts to the council and house of representatives, according to the number of qualified voters, shall be prescribed by law, as well as the day of the commencement of the regular sessions of the legislative assembly: Provided, That no session in any one year shall exceed the term of forty days, except the first session, which may continue sixty days.

SEC. 5. That every free white male inhabitant above the age of twenty-one years, who shall be an actual resident of said territory, and shall possess the qualifications hereinafter prescribed,

House of Representatives, to be deposited in the | shall be entitled to vote at the first election, and libraries of Congress; and, in case of the death, | shall be eligible to any office within the said tor-zeroval, resignation, or absence of the governer | intery; but the qualifications of voters, and of shall be entitled to vote at the first election, and shall be eligible to any effice within the said ter-ritory; but the qualifications of voters, and of holding office, at all subsequent elections, shall be such as shall be proce. Lied by the legislative seembly: Provided, That the right of suffrage and of holding office shall be exercised only by citizens at the United States and these who shall have declared on oath their intention to become such, and shall have taken on oath to support the Constitution of the United States and the provisions of this act: And 'provided further, That no officer, soldier, scoman, or marine, or other person in the army or navy of the United States, or attached to troops in the service of the United States, shall be allowed to vote or hold office in said territory, by rousen of being on

service therein. SEC. 6. That the legislative power of the terri-tory shall extend to all rightful subjects of legistory sum extend to all rightful subjects of legis-lation consistent with the Constitution of the United States and the provisions of this act; but ne law shall be passed interfering with the pri-mary disposal of the sell; no tax shall be imposed upon the preperty of the United States; norshall the lands or other property of uon-residents be taxed higher than the lands or other property of residents. Every bill which shall have passed consenses. Every oil which small interpolaries the council and house of representatives of the said territory, shall, before it become a law, be presented to the governor of the territory; if he approve, he shall sign it; but if not, he shall enter that it with his objections, to the bonse in which it originated, who shall enter the objections at large on their journal, and proceed to reconsider it. If, after such reconsideration, two-thirds of that house shall agree to pass the bill, it shall be sent, together with the objections to the other house, by which it shall likewiso be reconsidered, and if approved by two-thirds of that house, it shall become a law. But in all such cases the votes of both houses shall be determined by year and nays, to be entered on the journal of each house respectively. If any bill shall not be re-turned by the governor, within three days (Sundays excepted) after it shall have been presented to him, the same shall be a law in like manner as if he had sigued it, unless the assembly, by adjournment, prevent its return, in which case it shall not here law. shall not be a law.

Sec. 7. That all township, district, and county officers, not herein otherwise provided for shall be appointed or elected, as the case may bo, in such manuer as shall be provided by the governor and legislativo assembly of the Territory of Nebraska. The governor shall nominate, and, hy and with the advice and consent of the legislativo council, appoint all officers not herein otherwise provided for; and in the first instance the governor alone may appoint all said officers, who shall hold their effices until the end of the first session of the legislative assembly; and shall lay off the necessary districts for members of the council and house of representatives, and all

other officers.
SE2, 8. That no member of the legislative assembly shall hold, or be appointed to, any office which shall have been created, or the salary or or emoluments of which shall have been increased. while he was a member, during the term for which he was elected, and for one year after the expiration of such term : but this restriction shall net be applicable to members of the first legislative assembly; and no person holding a cemmis-sion or appointment under the United States, excopt postmasters, shall be a member of the legislative assembly, or shall hold any office under the government of said territory.

SEC. 9. That the judicial power of said Territory shall be vested in a supremo court, district courts, probate courts, and in justices of the

peace. The supreme court shall consist of a chief instice and two associate instices, any two of whom shall constitute a quorum, and who shall hold a term at the seat of government of said Territory annually, and they shall hold their offices during the period of four years, and until their successors shall be appointed and qualified. The said Territory shall be divided into three judieial districts, and a district coart shall be held in each of said districts by one of the justices of the supreme court, at such times and places as may be prescribed by law; and the said judges shall, after their appointments, respectively, reside in the district which shall be assigned them. The jurisdiction of the several courts herein provided for, both appellate and original, and that of the probate courts and of justices of the peace, shall be as limited by law: Provided, That justices of the peace shall not have jurisdiction of any matter in ecutroversy when the title or boundaries of land may be in dispute, or where the debt or sum claimed shall exceed one hundred dellars; and the said supreme and district courts, respectively, shall possess chancery as well as common law jurisdiction. Each district court, or the judge thereof, shall appoint its clerk, who shall also be the register in chancery, and shall keep his office at the place where the court may be held. Writs of error, bills of exception, and ap-peals shall be allowed in all cases from the final decision of said district courts to the supreme court, under such regulations as may be pre-scribed by law; but in ne case removed to the supremo court shall trial by jury be allowed in said court, The supremo court, or the justices thereof, shall appoint its own clerk, and every clerk shall hold his office at the pleasure of the court for which he shall have been appointed. Writs of error, and appeals from the final decision of said supreme court, shall be ellowed, and may be taken to the supreme court of the United States, in the same manner and under the same regulations as from the circuit courts of the United States, where the value of the property, or the amount in controversy, to be ascertained by the cath or affirmation of oither party, or other competent witness, shall exceed one thousand dol lars; except only that in all cases involving title to slaves, the said writs of error or appeals shall be allowed and decided by the said supreme court, without regard to the value of the matter, property, or title in centroversy; and except also that a writ of error or appeal shall also be allowed to the supreme court of the United States, from the decisions of the said supreme court created by this act, or of any judge thereof, or of the dis-trict courts created by this act, or of any judge thereof, upon any writ of habcas corpus, involving the question of personal freedom; Provided, That nothing herein contained shall be construed to apply to or affect the previsions of the "act respecting fugitives from justice, and porsens eseaping from the service of their masters," approved Fobruary twelfth, seventeen hundred and ninety-three, and the "act to amend and supplementary to the aforesaid act," approved Septem-ber eighteenth, eighteen hundred and fifty; and each of the said district courts shall have and exorcise the same jurisdiction in all cases arising under the Constitution and laws of the United States, as is vested in the circuit and district courts of the United States; and the said supreme and district courts of the said Territory, and the and district courts of the same retriety, and the respective judges thereof, shall and may grant writs of habeas corpus in all cases in which the same are granted by the judges of the United States in the District of Columbia; and the first six doys of every term of said courts, or so much thereof as shall be necessary, shall be appropriat-ed to the trial of causes arising under the said Constitution and laws, and writs of error and ap- ring their attendance at the sessions thereof, and

peal in all such cases shall be made to the supremo court of said Territory, the same as in other cases. The said clerk shall receive in all other cases. The said clerk shall receive in all such cases the same fees which the clerks of the district courts of Utah Territory new receive for similar sorvices

SEC. 10. That the provisions of an act entitled "An act respecting fugitives from justice, and persons ecaping from the service of their mea-ters," approved February twelvo, seventeen hundred and ninety-three, end the provisions of the act entitled "An act to amend, and supple-mentary to, the aforesaid act," approved Septem-ber eighteen hundred and fifty, be, and the same are hereby, declared to extend to, and be in full feree within, the limits of said Territory of Nebraska

Sec. 11. That there shall be appointed an attorney for said Territory, who shall continue in office for four years, and until his successor shall be appointed and qualified, unless sooner re-moved by the President, and who shall receive the same fees and salary as the attorney of the United States for the present Territory of Utah. There shall also be a marshal for the Territory appointed, who shall hold his office for four yours, and until his successor shall be appointed and qualified, unless sooner removed by the President, and who shall execute all processes issuing from the said courts when exercising their arisdiction as circuit and district courts of the United States; he shall perform the duties, be subject to the same regulations and penalties, and be entitled to the same fees as the marshal of the district court of the United States for the present Territory of Utah, and shall, in addition, be paid two hundred dollars annually as a compensation for extra services.

SEC. 12. That the governor, secretary, chief justice, and associate justices, attorney and marshal, shall be nominated, and, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, appointed by the President of the United States. The governor and secretary to be appointed as aforesaid, shall, before they act as such, respectively take an eath or affirmation by the laws now in force therein, or before the chief justice or some assecinte justice of the Supreme Court of the United States, to support the Constitution of the United States, and faithfully to discharge the duties of their respective offices, which said oaths, when se taken, shall be certified by the person by whom the same shall have been taken; and such certificates shall be received and recorded by the said secretary among the executive proceedings: and the chief justice and associate justices, and all other civil officers in said Territory, before they act as such, shall take a like outh or affirmation before the said governor or secretary, or some judge or justice of the peace of the territory who may be duly commissioned and qualified which said eath or affirmation shall be certified and transmitted by the person taking the same to the socretary, to be by him recorded as afore-said; and afterwards the like eath or affirmation shall be taken, certified, and recorded, in such manner and form as may be prescribed by The governor shall receive an annual salary of two thousand five hundred dollars. The chief justice and associate justices shall receive an annual salary of two thousand dollars. The secretary shell receive an annual salary of two thousand dollars. The said salaries shall two thousand conars. In said samries snam be paid quarter-yearly, from the dates of the re-spective appointments, at the Treasury of the United States; but no such payment shall be made until said officers shall have entered upon the duties of their respective appointments. The members of the legislative assembly shall be entitled to receive three dollars each per day duthree dollars each for every twenty miles' trayel | een hundred and fifty, commonly called the Comin going to, and returning from, thousald sessions, estimated according to the newest usually-travoled route; and an additional allowance of three dollars shall be puid to the presiding officer of each house for each day he shall so preside. And a chief clerk, one needstant clerk, a sergeant at-arms, and door-keeper may be chosen for each house; and the chief clerk shall receive four dollars per day, and the sald other afficers three dollifts per day, during the session of the legislative assembly; but no other officer shall be paid by the United States: Provided, That there shall be but one session of the legislalare annually, unlose, on an extraordinary occasion, the governor shall think proper to call the logislature together. There shall be appropriated, anumally, the usual sum, to be expended by the governor to defray the contingent expenses of the territory, including the salary of a clerk of the executive departmont; and there shall also be appropriated annually, a sufficient sum, to be expended by the secretary of the Territory, and upon an estimate to be made by the secretary of the Treasury of the United States, to defray the expenses of the logis-lative assembly, the printing of the laws, and other incidental expenses; and the governor and secretary of the Territory shall, in the disbursement of all moneys intrusted to them, he governed solely by the instructions of the secretary of the Treasury of the United States, and shall, semiannually, account to the said secretary for the manner in which the aforesaid moneys shall have been expended; and ne expenditure shall he made hy said legislative assembly for objects not specially authorized by the acts of Congress making the appropriations, nor beyond the sums

thus uppropriated for such objects.

Sec. 13. That the legislative assembly of the Territory of Nebraska shall hold its first session at such time and place in said Territory as the governor thereof shall appoint and direct; and at said first session, or as soon thereafter as they shall doesn expedient, the governor and tegislative assembly shall proceed to locate and establish the governor. lish the scat of government for said Territory at such place as they may deem eligible; which place, however, shall thereafter be subject to be changed by the said governor and legislative as-sembly.

Sec. 14. That a delegate to the House of Representatives of the United States, to serve for the term of two years, who shall be a citizen of the United States, may be elected by the voters qualiwho shall be entitled to the same rights and privileges as are exercised and enjoyed by the delegates from the soveral other territories of the United States to the said House of Representatives; but the delegate first elected shall hold his seat only during the term of the Congress to which he shall be elected. The first election shall be held at such time and places, and be conducted in such such that and places, and be conducted in such manner, as the governor shall appoint and direct; and at all subsequent elections, the times, places, and manner of holding the elections shall be prescribed by law. The person having the greatest number of votes shall be declared by the governor to be duly elected, and a certificate thereof shall be given accordingly. That the Constitution and all the laws of the United States which are not locally inapplicable, shall have the same force and effect within the said Territory of Nebraska as elsewhere within the United States, except the eighth section of the act preparatory to the admission of Misor the act preparatory to the admission of Mis-souri into the Union, approved March sixth, eighteen hundred and twenty, which being in-consistent with the principle of non-intervention, by Congress with Slavery in the States and Ter-ritories, as recognized by the logislation of eight-

promise Messures, is hereby declared inoperative and yold; It being the true intent and meaning of this act not to legislate Slavery into any Ter ritory or State, nor to exclude it therefrom, but to leave the people thereof perfectly free to form and regulate their domestic institutions in their own way, subject only to the Constitution of the United States: Provided, That nothing berein contained at all be construed to revive or put in force any law or regulation which may have existed prior to the not of sixth of March, eighteen handred and twenty, either protecting, establish-ing, prohibiting or abolishing, Slavery. Sec. 15. That there shall hereafter be appro-

printed, as has been enstowary for the territorial governments, a sufficient amount, to be expended under the direction of the said governor of the Territory of Nehraska, not exceeding the sums heretofore appropriated for similar objects, for the erection of suitable public buildings at the cent of government, and for the purchase of a library to be kept at the seat of government for the use of the governor, legislative assembly, judges of the supreme court, secretary, marshal and uttorney of said territory, and such other persons, and under such regulations as shall be

prescribed by law.

SEC. 16. That when the lands in the said territory shall be surveyed under the direction of the government of the United States, preparatory to bringing same into market, sections numtory to bringing same more market, secons min-bered sixteen and thirty-six, in each township in said territory, shall be, and the same are hereby, reserved for the purpose of being upplied to schools in said territory, and in the States and Territories hereafter to be erected out of the same.

Sec. 17. That, until otherwise provided by law the governor of said Territory may define the judicial districts of said Territory, and assign the judges who may be appointed for said Territory to the several districts; and also appoint the to the several matrices; and also appoint the times and places for holding courts in the several counties or subdivisions in each of said judicial districts by proclamation, to be issued by him; but the legislative assembly, at their first, or any subsequent session, may organizo, alter, or modify such judicial districts, and assign the judges, and alter the times and places of holding the courts, as to them shall seem proper and convenient.

Sec. 18. That all officers to be appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, for the Territory of Nebraska, who, by virtue of the provisions of any law now existing, or which may be enacted during the present ing, or which may be enacted during the present Congress, are required to give seounity for moneys that may be intrusted with them for disburse-ments, shall give such security, at such time and place, and in such manner as the Secretary of the Treasury may presoribe. SEC. 19. That all that part of the tarritory of the United States included within the following

limits, except such portions thereof as are here-inafter expressly exempted from the operations of this act, to wit: beginning at a point on the western boundary of the State of Missouri, where the thirty-seventh parallel of north latitude crosses the same; thence west on said parallel to the eastern boundary of New-Mexico; thence north on said boundary to latitude thirty-eight; thence following said boundary westward to the east boundary of the Territory of Utah, on the summit of the Rocky Mountains; thence northward on said summit to the fortieth parallel of latitude; thence east on said parallel to the western bound-ary of the State of Missouri; thence south with the western boundary of said State to the place of beginning, be, and the same is hereby, created into a temporary government by the name of the Territory of Kansas; and when admitted as a

State or States, the end Territory, or any per-tion of the same, shall be received into the Union with or without Shivery, as their Constitution may prescribe at the time of their admission: Provided, That nothing in this net contained shall be construed to inhibit the Government of the United States from dividing said Territory into two or more territories, in such mouner and at such times as Congress shall deem convenient and proper, or from attaching any portion of said Territory to any other State or Territory of the United States: Provided further, That ucthing in this act contained shall be so construct as ta impair the rights of person or property now per-taining to the Indians in said Territory, so long as such rights shall remain unextinguished by treaty between the United States and such Indians, or to include any territory which, by treaty with any Indian tribe, is not, without the consent of said tribe, to be included within the territorial limits or jurisdiction of any State or Territory; but all such territory shall be excepted out of the boundaries, and constitute no part of the Territory of Kausas, until said tribe shall signify their assent to the President of the United States to be included within the said Territory of Kansas, or to affect the authority of the Government of the United States to make any regulation respecting such Indians, their lands, property, or other rights, by treaty, law, or otherwise, which it would have been competent to the Government to make if this act had never passed.

[The next seventeen sections substantially repeat the foregoing, save that their provisions apply to Kansas instead of Nebraska. The final section refers to both Territories, as follows:1

Sec. 37. And be it further enacted, that all treaties, laws, and other engagements made by the Government of the United States with the Indian tribes inhabiting the territories embraced within this act, shall be faithfully and rigidly observed, notwithstanding anything contained in this act; and that the existing agencies and su-perintendencies of said Indians be continued with the same powers and duties which are now pre-scribed by law, except that the President of the United States may, at his discretion, change the location of the office of superintendent.

No action of any moment with regard to Slavery in the Territories was taken in either House at the Second (short) Session of this Congress.

KANSAS ORGANIZED.

The struggle respecting Slavery in Kansas which followed the organization of that Territory, under the Provisions of the act just recited, is yet too recent and incomplete to justify an attempt to write its history. All that can be prudently done as yet, is to collect and arrange the most important documents in which its incidents are detailed, and its principles discussed, and this we now proceed to do, without attempting to reconcile the gloomy discrepancies between the statements submitted on the one side and on the other, respectively. Though it will not be possible in this course to avoid repeated statements of the same fact, and an occasional devotion of undue space to a point undeserving of such

claborate treatment, yet, the measurable anthenticity of statement, thus secured, and the light cast on the general theme by the conflicting views thus presented, serve to give this the preference over any other mode of marating so nearly cotemporaneous with their chronicle as those. We proceed, then, with our record, which must henceforth consist mainly of public documents, submitted to the current Congress, connected by the merest thread of narrative.

Dec. 3rd, 1855 .- The XXXIVth Congress convened at the Capital, in Washington,-Jesse D. Bright of Ind. holding over as President pro tempore of the Senate, in place of Vice-President William R. King of Alabama, deceased. A quorum of

either Hause was found to be present. But the House found itself unable to organize by the choice of a Speaker, until after an unprecedented struggle of nine weeks' duration. Finally, on Saturday, Feb. 20th, 1856, the plurality-rule was adopted—Yeas 113; Nays 104-and the House proceeded under it to its one hundred and thirty-third ballot for speaker, when Nathaniel P. Banks, Jr., (anti-Nebraska) of Massachusets, was chosen, having 103 votes to 100, for William Aiken of South Carolina. Eleven votes seattered on other persons did not count against a choice. It was therefore resolved—Yeas 155; Nays 40—that Mr. Banks was duly elected Speaker,

But, during the pendency of this election, the President had transmitted to both Houses, first (Dec. 31st) his Annual Message, and next (Jan. 24th) a special message with regard to the condition of Kansas, which is as follows:

MESSAGE OF THE PRESIDENT.

Washington, Jan. 24, 1856. To the Senate and House of Representatives :

Circumstances have occurred to disturb the course of governmental organization in the Ter-ritory of Kansas, and produce there a condition of things which renders it incumbent on me to call your attention to the subject, and urgently recommend the adoption by you of such measures of legislation as the grave exigencies of the case

appear to require.

A brief exposition of the circumstances referred to, and of their causes, will be necessary to the full understanding of the recommendations which it is proposed to submit.

The act to organize the Territories of Nebras-ka and Kansas was a manifestation of the legishas an Aansas was a maintestation of the lega-lative opinion of Congress on two great points of constitutional construction: One, that the de-signation of the boundaries of a new Territory, and provision for its political organization and administration as a Territory, are measures which of right fall within the powers of the General Government; and the other, that the inhabitants of any such Territory, considered as an inchoate State, are entitle., in the exercise of self-government, to determine for themselves what shall be their own domestic institutions, subject only to the Constitution and the laws duly enacted by Congress under it, and to the nower of the existing States to decide, according to the cal rights which are solouply declared and allim-

od by that ac'.

Based upon this theory, the act of Congress Dated upon the money, no act a congress defined for each Territory the outlines of repub-lican government, distributing public authority among the lawfully cented agents—executive, judicial and legislative—to be appointed either by the General Government or by the Territory. The legislative functions were intrusted to a Council and a House of Representatives, duly olected and oup—red to canct all the local laws which they might deem essential to their prosperity, happiness and good government. in the same spirit, Congress also defined the persons who were in the first instance to be considered as the people of each Territory; cuneting that every free white mule inhabitant of the same above the age of twenty-one years, being an actual resident thereof, and pessessing the qualifications hereafter described, should be entitled to vote at the first election, and be eligible to any office within the Territory; but that the qualifications of veters and holding office at all subsequent elections should be such as might be prescribed by the Legislative Assembly: Provided, however, That the right of suffrage and of holding office should be exercised only by citi-zons of the United States, and those who should have declared on oath their intention to become such, and have taken an eath to support the Constitution of the United States and the provisome of the act: And provided, firether, That no officer, soldier, seaman or marine, or other person in the army or navy of the United States, or attached to troops in their service, should be allowed to voto or hold office in either Territory by reason of being on sorvice therein.

Such of the public officers of the Territories as, by the provisions of the act, were to be appointed by the General Government, including the Governors, were appointed and commissioned in due season—tho law having been enacted on the 90th of May, 1854, and the emmission of the Governor of the Torritory of Nebraska being dated on the 2nd day of August, 1854, and of the Territories of Kansas on the 29th day of

June, 1854. Among the duties imposed by the act on the governors, was that of directing and superintending the political organization of the respective Territories. The Governer of Kansas was required to cause a census or enumeration of the quired to cause a census or onumeration of the inhabitants and qualified voters of the several counties and districts of the Territory to be taken, by such persons and in such mode as he might designate and appoint; to appoint and direct the time and places of holding the first elections, and the manner or performed and to decident, and its re-turns thereof; I, decleare the number of the new-terns thereof; I, decleare the number of the newturns thereof; to declare the number of the members of the Council and House of Representatives fer each county or district; to declare what persons might appear to be duly elected; and to appoint the time and place of the first meeting of the Legislative Assembly. In substance, the same duties were devolved on the Governor of Nebraska

While, by this act, the principle of constitu-tion for each of the Territories was one and the ton for each of the leteratories was one and the same, and the details of organic legislation regarding both were as nearly as could be identical, and while the Territory of Nobraska was tranquilly and successfully organized in the due course of law, and its first Legislative Assembly met on the 16th of January, 1855, the organized into of Kansase was long deluyed, and has been attended with serious difficulties and embarrassments, parily the consequence of lecal mal-

provisions and principles of the Constitution, at | administration, and parity of the new circles what time the Territory shall be received as a interference of the inhaltents of some of the State into the Union. Such are the great point | State, foreign by residence, interest, and rights States, foreign by residence, interests, and rights to the Territory.

The Governor of the Territory of 1. mans, commissioned, as before stated, on the 29th of June 1854, did not reach the designated seat of his government until the 7th of the ensuing October. and even then failed to make the that step in its legal organization-that of ordering the census or connucration of its inhabitants-until so late a day that the election of the members of the Logishtive Assembly did not take place mutil the 30th of Morch, 1855, nor its meeting until the 2d of July, 1855; so that, for a year after the Corritory was constituted by the act of Congress and the officers to be appointed by the Federal Executive and been commissioned, it was without a complete government, without any legislative authority, without local law, and, of course, without the ordinary guarantees of peace and public

In other respects, the Governor, instead of ex-orcising constant vigitance and putting forth all his onergies to prevent or counteract the tendencies to illegality which are prone to exist in all imperfectly-organized and newly-associated communities, allowed his attention to be diverted from official obligation by other objects, and himself sot an example of the violation of law in the performance of acts which rendered it my duty, in the sequel, to remove him from the office of chief executive magistrate of the Territory.

of once executive magnetime of the Letritory.

Before the requisite proparation was accomplished for election of a Territorial Legislaturo, an election of delegate to Congress had been hold in the Torritory on the 29th day of November, 1254, and the delegate tools his soat in the Heuse of Representatives without challenge. If arrangements had been perfected by the Governor so that the election for members of the Legislative Assembly might be hold in the several precincts at the same time as for delegate to Congress, any question apportaining to the qualification of the porsons voting as people of the Territory, would have passed necessarily and at once under the supervision of Congress, as the judge of the va-lidity of the return of the delegate, and would have been determined before conflicting passions had become inflamed by time, and before oppor-tunity could have been afforded for systematic interference of the peeple of individual States.

This interference, in so far as concerns its primary causes and its immediate commencement, was one of the incidents of that pernicious agitation on the subject of the condition of the agritation on the subject of the condition of the colored persons held to service in some of the States, which has so long disturbed the ropose of our country, and excited individuals, otherwise patriotic and law-abiding, to toil with misdirected color in the attempt to represent their record zoal in the attempt to propagate their social theories by the perversion and abuse of the powers

of Congress

The persons and parties whom the tenor of the act to organize the Territories of Nebraska and Kansas thwarted in the endeavor to impose, through the agency of Congress, their particular views of social organization on the people of the future new States, now perceiving that the policy of leaving the inhabitants of each State to judge for themselves in this respect was ineradicably rooted in the convictions of the people of the Union, then had recourse, in the pursuit of their general object, to the extraordinary measure of propagandist colonization of the Territory ef Kansas, to prevent the free and natural action of its inhabitants in its internal organization, and thus te anticipate or to force the determination of that question in this inchoate State.

With such views, associations were organized in some of the States, and their purpose was proolaimed through the press in language extremely | that the duties of the office were legally develved irritating and offensive to those of whom the colonists were to become the neighbors. These designs and nets had the necessary consequence to nwaken emotions of intense indignation in States near to the Territory of Kausas, and copecially in the adjoining State of Missouri, whose done sie peace was thus the most directly endangered; but they are far from justifying the illegal and reprehensible counter-movements which ensued.

Under these inanspicious circumstances, the primary elections for members of the Legislative Assembly were held in most, if not all, of the precincts, at the time and the places and by the persons designated and appointed by the Geveru-

or, according to law.

Angry accusations that illegal votes had been polled, abounded on all sides, and imputations were made both of fraud and victorice. But the Governor, in the exercise of the power and the discharge of the duty conterred and imposed by discharge of the day contested and imposed and con-law on tim alone, efficially received and con-sidered the returns; declared a large majority of the members of the Conneil and the House of Ropresentatives "duly elected;" withheld certificates from others because of alleged illegality of votes; appointed a new election to supply the length, in all the forms of statute, and with his own efficial authentication, complete legality was given to the first Legislative Assombly of the Territory.

Those decisions of the returning-officers and of the Governor are final, except that by the parliamentary usago of the country applied to the organic law, it may be conceded that each House of the Assembly must have been competent to dotermino, in the last resort, the qualifications and the election of its members. The subject was, by its nature, one appertaining exclusively to the jurisdiction of the local authorities of the Terri-Whatover irregularities may have ocenrred in the elections, it seems too late now to raise that question as to which, neither now nor at any previous time, bas the least possible legal authority been possessed by the President of the United States. For all present purposes the leislative body, thus constituted and elected, was

the legitimate assembly of the Territory.

Accordingly, the Governor, by proclamation, convened the Assembly thus elected to most at a place called Pawnee City. The two Houses met, and were duly organized in the ordinary parliamentary form; each sent to and received from the Governor the official communications usual on such occasions; an elaborate Messago opening the session was communicated by the Governor, and the general business of legislation was entered upon by the Legislative Assembly.

But, after a few days, the Assembly resolved to adjourn to another place in the Territory. A law was accordingly passed, against the consent of the Governor, but in due form otherwise, to remove the seat of government temporarily to the "Shawnee Manual-labor School" (or mission), and thither the Assembly proceeded. After this, receiving a bill for the establishment of a ferry at the town of Kickapoo, the Govornor refused to sign it, and, by special message, assigned for reason of refusel, not anything objectionable in the bill itself, nor any pretense of the illegality or incompetency of the Assembly as such, but only the fact that the Assembly had, by its act, transferred the seat of government temporarily from Pawnee City to Shawnee Mission. For the same reason he continued to refuse to sign other bills, until, in the courso of a few days, be, by official Message, communicated to the Assembly the fact that he had received netification of the termination of his functions as Governor, and |

on the Secretary of the Territory; thus to the last recognizing the body as a duly-elected and con-stituted Legislative Assembly.

It will be perceived that if any constitutional defect attached to the legislative acts of the Assombly, it is not protonded to consist in irregularity of election or want of qualification of the members, but only in the change of its place of However trivial the objection may Bession. seem to be, it requires to be considered, because upon it is founded all that superstructure of nets, plainly again: I law, which now threatens the peace not only of the Territory of Kansas but of the Union.

Such an objection to the proceedings of the Legislativo Assembly was of exceptionable orlgin, for the reason that, by the express terms of the organic law, the sent of government of the Territory was "located temporarily at Pert Loa-venworth;" and yet the Governor himself remained there less than two menths, and of his own discretion transferred the sent of Government to the Shuwnee Mission, where it in fact was at the time the Assembly were called to meet at Pawnoe City. If the Governor had any such right to change temporarily the seat of Govornment, still more had the Legislative Assembly. The objection is of exceptional origin for the fur-ther reason that the place indicated by the Governor, without having an exclusive claim of pretorquee in itself, was a proposed town-site only, which he and others were attempting to locate unlawfully upon land within a military reservation, and for participation in which illegal act the commandant of a post, a superior officer of the Army, has been dismissed by sentence of courtmartiál.

Nor is it easy to see wby the Legislativo Assembly might not with propriety pass the Territorial act transferring its sittings to the Shawnes Mission. If it could not, that must be on account of some prohibitory or incompatible provision of act of Congress. But no such provision exists. The organic act, as already quotes, says "the seat of Government is hereby located temporarily at Fort Leavenworth;" and it then provides that certain of the public buildings there "may be occupied and used under the direction of the Governor and Legislative Assembly." These expressions might possibly be construed to imply that when, in a previous section of the act, it was enacted that "the first Legislative Assembly shall meet at such place and on such day as the Governor shall appoint," the word "place" means place at Fort Leavenworth, not place anywhere in the Territory. If so, the Governor would have been the first to err in this motter, not only in himself baving removed the seat of Govornment to the Shawnee Mission, but in again removing it to Pawnee City. If there was any departure from the letter of the law, therefore, it was his in both instances,

But, however this may bo, it is most unreasonable to suppose that by the terms of the organic act, Congress intended to do impliedly what it has not done expressly—that is, to forbid to the Legislative Assembly the power to eboose any place it might see fit as the temporary seat of its deliberations. That is proved by the significant language of one of the subsequent acts of Congress on the subject, that of March 3, 1855, which, in making appropriation for public buildings of the Territory, cnacts that the same aball not be expended "until the Legislature of said Territory shall have fixed by law the permanent seat of government." Congress, in these expressions, does not profess to be granting the power to fix the permanent seat of government, but recognize the power as one already granted. But how? Undoubtedly by the comprehensive provision of the organic act likelf, which declares that "the legislative power of the Territory shall extend to all rightfu anticets of legislating causalent with the Constitution of the United States and the provisions of this act," If, in view of this act, the Legislative Assembly had the large power to fix the permanent sent of government at any place in Its discretion, of course by the same cuactment it had the less and the included power to fix it temporarily.

Novertheless, the allegation that the acts of the Legislative Assembly were illegal by reason of this removal of its place of session, was brought this removal of the place of seesion, was prought in forward to justify the first great movement in discrepard of law widths the Territory. One of the exist of the Legislative Assembly provided for the election of a Dologate to the present Congress, and a Dologate of the present Congress, and a Dologate was elected under that law. But, ambacquently to this, a portion of the people of the Territory proceeded, without majority of the congression of thority of law, to elect another Delogate.

Following upon this movement was another and more important one of the same general character. Persons confessedly not constituting the body politic, or all the inhabitants, but merely a party of the luhabitants, and without law, have a party of the inabitants, and windor law, and undertaken to summen a convention for the purpose of transferming the Torritory into a State, and have framed a constitution, adopted it, and under it elected a Governor and other officers, and a representative to Congress.

In extenuation of these illegal acts, it is alleged that the State of California, Michigan, and others. were solf-organized, and as such were admitted into the Union, without a provious enabling act of Congress. It is true that, while in a majority of cases a previous act of Congress has been passed to authorize the Territory to present itself as a State, and that this is deemed the most regular course, vet such an act has not been held to be indispensable, and in some cases the Territory has proceeded without it, and has nevertheless been admitted into the Union as a State. It lies with Congress to authorize beforehand, or to confirm afterward, in its discretion; but in ne instance afterward, in its discretion; but it no instance beas a State been admitted upon the application of persons acting against authorities duly consti-pepple of the Territory, not a party among them, who have the power to form a constitution and ask for admission as a State. No principle of public law, no practice or precedent under the Constitution of the United States, no rule of reaconstitution of the United States, no fulle of Fea-son, right, or common sense, confers any such power as that now claimed by a mere party in the Territory. In fact, what has been done is of revolutionary character. It is avowedly so in mo-tive and in aim as respects the local law of the Territory. It will become treasonable insurrection if it reach the length of organized resistance by force to the fundamental or any other federal law,

and to the authority of the General Government.

In such an event, the path of duty for the Executive is plain. The Constitution requiring him cutive is plain. The Constitution requiring him to take care that the laws of the United States be faithfully executed, if they be opposed in the Territory of Kanasa, he may and about place at the disposal of the marshal any public force of the United States which happens to be within the jurisdiction, to be used as a portion of the posts constitutes; and, if that do not suffice to maintain order, then he may call furth the milition of one or more States for that object, or early of one or more States for that object, or entry. for the same object any part of the land or navai force of the United States. So also if the obvoice of the laws of the Republic.

So also it the obrity of the laws of the Republic.

attention be to the laws of the Territory, and it

be duly presented to him as a case of insurrection, he may employ for its suppression the mill.

Executive to support public order in the Territorian of any State, or the land or naval force of the laws. The suppression the rection of the rection of the laws of the Red lean, against all attempts of organized resistance; by the citizens of other States, whether for the laws to protect its people in the establishment

purpose of deelding elections or for any other, and the local authorities flud themselves madde to rapel or withstand it, they will be entitled to, and upon the fact being fully accordance, they shall most certainly receive, the aid of the Gener-

But it is not the duty of the President of the United States to volunteer interposition by force to preserve the purity of elections either in a State or Perritory. To do so would be subversive of public freedom. And whother a law be wice or unwise, just or unjust, is not a question for him to judge. If it be constitutional—that is, if it be the law of the land—it is his duty to cause it to be executed, or to sustain the authorities of any State or Territory in executing it in opposi-

tion to all insurrectionary movements.

Our system affords no justification of revolutionary acts; for the constitutional means of re lieving the people of unjust administration and laws, by a change of public agents and by repeal, are ample, and mere prempt and effective than illegal victonee. These constitutional means must be serupulously guarded-this great prerogative of popular severeignty sacredly respect-

It is the undoubted right of the peacenble and orderly people of the Territory of Kansas to cleet their own legislative body, make their own laws, and regulate their own social institutions, without foreign or domestic molestation. Interference, on foreign or domestio more amount and contract of the one land, to precure the abolition or prohibition of slave-labor in the Territory, has produced mischiovous interference, on the other for its maintenance or introduction. One wrong beits maintenance or introduction. One wrong begots another. Statements entirely unfounded or grossly exaggorated, concorning events within the Territory, are sedulously diffused through ro-mote States to feed the flame of sectional animosity there; and the agitators there exert themselves indefatigably in return to encourage and stimulate strife within the Territory. The inflammatory agitation, of which the pres-

ent is but a part, has for twenty years produced no-thing save unmitigated evil, North and South. But for it the character of the domestic institutions of the future new State would have been a matter of too little interest to the inhabitants of matter of too little interest to the innabilities of the configuous Saites, personal or collectively, to produce among them any political emotion. Climate, soil, production, hopes of rapid advancement, and the pursuit of happiness on the part of settlers themselves, with good wishes but with no interference from without, would have quietly determined the question which is at this

time of such disturbing character. But we are constrained to turn our attention to the circumstances of embarrassment as they now exist. It is the duty of the people of Kansas to discountenance every act or purpose of resistance to its laws. Above all, the emergency appeals to the citizens of the States and especially of those contiguous to the Territory, neither by intervention of non-residents in elections, nor by unauthorized military force, to attempt to en-oraced upon or usurp the authority of the in-habitants of the Territory.

No citizen of our country should permit himself to forget that he is a part of its government, and entitled to be heard in the determination of its policy and its measures; and that, therefore, the highest considerations of personal honor and patrictism require him to maintain, by whatever of power or influence he may possess, the integ-

rity of the laws of the Republic.

of their own lastitutions, undisturbed by encreachment from without, and in the full enjoyment of the rights of self-government assured to them by the Constitution and the organic set of Congress.

Although serious and threatening disturbances in the Territary of Kansa, amounced to me by the Governor, in December 1s 4, were specific quieted without the efficient of blood, and in a satisfactory mannie, there is, I regret to say, reason to apprehend that disorders will continue to easier there, with increasing tendency to violence, or the question itself violet constitute the inducement or occasion for the question itself violet, constitute the inducement or occasion of internal agitation and of external interference.

This, it seems to use, one hest be necomplished by providing that, when the inhabitant of Kanaus may destro it, and shall be of sufficient unmbers to constitute a State, a convention of delegates, duty elected by the qualified voters, shall assemble to fravio a Constitution, and thus a lossemble to fravio a Constitution, and thus the its admission into the Union as 8 252.5. Tospectfully recommend the encement of a law to that effect.

Trecommend, also, that a special appropriation be made to defray any expense which may become requisite in the execution of the laws or the maintenance of public order in the Territory of Kansas.

March 12th.—Lt Senate, Mr. Douglas of Illinois, from the Committee on Territories, made the following

REPORT:

The Committee on Territories, to whom was referred so much of the annual message of the President of the United States as relates to territorial affairs, together with his special message of the 94th day of Jenuary, 1856, in regard to Kanssa Territory, and his message of the 18th of February, in compliance with the resolution of the Senate of the 4th of February, 1856, requesting transcripts of certain paper relative to the affairs of the Territory of Kanssa, having given the same that serious and mature deliberation which the importance of the subject demands, beg leave to submit the following report:

Your Committee deem this an appropriate occasion to state briefly, but distinctly, the principles upon which new States may be admitted and Torritories organized under the authority of the Constitution of the United States.

The Constitution (section 3, article 4) provides that "new States may be admitted by the Congress into this Union."

Section 8, Article 1: "Congress shall have power to make all laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into execution the forgoing powers, and all other powers vested by this constitution in the government of the United States, or in any department or office thereof."

States, or in any department or office thereof."

10th amendment: "The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States representatively or to the needs."

States respectively, or to the people."

A State of the Federal Union is a sovereign power, limited only by the Constitution of the United States.

The limitations which that instrument has imposed are few, specific, and uniform—applicable slike to all the States, old and new. There is no authority for putting a restriction upon the soverelgaty of a now State, which the Constitution has not placed on the original States. Indeed, if such a restriction could be imposed on any State, it would instantly come to be a State within the unening of the Federal Comellution, and, in consequence of the inequality, would assimilate to the condition of a province or depend-

cnay. Honce, equality among all the States of the Union is a fundamental principle in our federative system—a principle ombodied in the Constitution, as the basis upon which the American

Union resta,

African Shrvery existed in all the colonica, mider the saucelian of the British government, prior to the Dockaration of Hughendence. When the Constitution of the United States was adopted, it became the supreme law and bond of muon between twelve slaveholding. States and on non-treatment of the States was adopted, it became the supreme has been considered to the state of the states of the st

In pursuance of this reserved right, ax of the original slaveholding States have since abulsisher expensively, without consulting Congress or their sister States; while the other six have retained and sustained it as a domestic institution, which is their opinion, had become so firmly ongrafide on their social systems, that the relation between the other social systems, that the relation between the unsets and slave could not be dissolved on their social systems, that the relation between one will be supported by the social systems of the social systems of the social not be dissolved on the state have been admitted into the Union, on an equal footing with the original States, including, of Course, the right of coals to decide the question of Slavery for itself. In deciding this question, of Slavery for itself. In deciding this question, all the social states are supported to the social states, which the other nine have retained and regulated it. That have a holdingst and prohibited Slavery, while the other nine have retained and regulated it. That these new States had at the time of their admission, and still retain, an equal right, under the Federal Constitution, with he original States, to decide all questions of domestic policy for them-produced the state of the state states and the term of their admission, and till retain, an equal right, under the scale states and at the time of their admission, and still retain, an equal right, under the scale states are supported by the scale states and the states are states and the scale states are states and the scale states are states and at the scale states are states as a state of the scale states are states as a state of the scale states and the scale states are states as a state of the scale states are states as a state of the scale states are states as a state of the scale states are states as a state of the scale states are states as a state of the scale states are states as a state of the scale states are states as a state of the scale states are states as a st

They are all subject to the same supreme law, which, by the consent of each, constitutes the only limitation upon their sovereign author-

Since we find the right to admit now States enumerated among the powers expressly delegated in the Constitution, the question arises, Whence does Congress derive authority to organize temporary governments for the Territories preparatory to their admission into the Union on an equal footing with the original States! Your Committee are not prepared to adopt the reasoning which deduces the power from that other clause of the Constitution, which

says:

"Congress shall have power to dispose of and
make all needful rules and regulation respecting
the territory or other property belonging to the
United States."

The language of this clause is much more appropriate when applied to property than to persons. It would seem to have been employed for the purpose of conferring upon Congress the power of disposing of the public lands and other property belonging to the United States, and to make all needful rules and regulations for that upropes, rather than to govern the people who might purchase those lands from the United States and become residents thereon. The word states and become residents thereon. The word clearly the control of the property of the control of the property of the property of the United States and become the owner by virtue of the revolution and the cession by the several States. The additional words, "or other

prioraty belonging to the 'United States,' elecaly show that the -term, 'tterritory' was need in
in ordinary geographical sease to designate the
public domain, and not as descriptive of the
whole body of the people, constituting a distinct
political community, who have no representation
in Congress, and consequently no voice in make
in Congress, and consequently no voice in make
in Congress, and consequently no voice in
make and 'need of the constitution of the consequence
coras. It is under this clause of the constitution
coras. It is under this clause of the constitution
coras. It is under this clause of the constitution
coras. It is under this clause of the constitution
in the constitution of the constitution of the constitution
in the constitution of the constitution of the constitution
authority to provide for the surveys of the public lands, for securing pre-empirion rights to actual
settlors, for the ostablishment of land-offices in
the several States and fornitories, for exposing
the lands to private and public sele, for issuing
the lands to private and public sele, for issuing
the lands to private and public sele, for issuing
the lands to private and public sele, for issuing
the lands to private and public sele, for issuing
the lands to private and public sele, for issuing
the lands to private and public sele, for issuing
the lands to private and public sele, for issuing
the lands to private and public sele, for issuing
the lands to private and public sele, for issuing
the lands to private and public sele, for issuing
the lands to private and public sele.

These needful rules and regulations may be
embraced, and usually are found, in general laws

once properly sologing to the United States.

These needed rules and regulations may be applicable allowed the regulation of the properly of the united States and Terrifories, where opplicable allike to States and Terrifories, where ver the United States and Terrifories, where the state of the states are the states and terrifories, where the states are the states are the states of the Constitution, whether the 'territory, states,' shall be situated in 6 nio or Kansaria in Alabama or Minnesota, in California or Oregon. The power of Congress to meke needful rules and regulations is the same in the States and Terrifories, to the extent that the title is vested in the United States. Innsmuch as the right of the first of women the state of the state of wholes it can extend only to the tracts of land to which the United States in the first of owneaship, it is obvious it can extend only to the tracts of land to which the United States passess the title, and must case in respect to each tract the instant it becomes private property by purchase from the United States. It will state in which public lands may be located, in respect to their internal efficient and domestic corran, merely because the United States may be so fortunate as to own a portion of the territory and other property within the limits of these clause of the Constitution confers upon Congress clause of the Constitution confers upon Congress clause of the Constitution confers upon Congress the same power to make needful rules and aregulations in the States as it does in the Territories, concerning the theritory or other property belong-

ing to the United States.

In view of these considerations, your Committee are not propared to affirm that Congress dorives authority to institute governments for the people of the Territories, from that clause of the people of the Territories, from that clause of the people of the Territories, from that clause of the constitution and regulations concerning the territory or other property belonging to the United States; much lies can use deduce the power from any supposed necessity, arising outside of the Constitution and not provided for in that inserted the constitution and not provided for in the inserted in a categories, which does not result directly and necessarily from the Constitution. Necessity, when experiences shall have clearly demonstrate fas axistence, may farmish satisfactory reasons ment, by amendments to the Constitution, in the mode prescribed in the instrument; but cannot divide the constitution of powers not delegation, and which, by the tenth mendment of the Constitution of the support of the constitution of t

atituting temporary governments, must be traced dittiting temporary governments, must be uncon-directly to some provision of the Constitution conferring the authority in express terms, or as a means necessary and proper to carry into effect some one or more of the powers which are speci-fically delegated. Is not the organization of a neally desegned. Is not the organization of the formation of the following the people thereof to form and mould their local and domestic institutions, and ostablish a State government under the authority of the Constitution, preparatory to its admission into the Union? If so, the right of Congress to pass the organic act for the temporary government is clearly included in the provision which authorizes the admission of new States. This power, however, being an incident to an express grant, and resulting from it by necessary impligrain, and reasoning from to by necessary impre-cation, as an appropriate means for carrying it, into effect, must be exercised in harmony with the nature and objects of the grant from which it is deduced. The organic act of the Territory, deriving it validity from the power of Congress to admit new States, must contain no provision or restriction which would destroy or impair the equality of the proposed State with the original States, or impose any limitation upon its sove-reignty which the Constitution has not placed on all the States. So far as the organization of a Territory may be necessary and proper as a means of carrying into effect the provision of the Constitution for the admission of new States, and when exercised with reference only to that end the power of Congress is clear and explicit: but beyond that point the authority cannot exlend, for the reason that all "powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people." In other words, the organic act of the Territory, conform-ing to the spirit of the grant from which it re-ceives its validity, must leave the people entirely free to form and regulate their domestic institutions and internal concerns in their own way subject only to the Constitution of the United States, to the end that when they attain the requisite population, and establish a State govcrument in conformity to the Federal Constitution, they may be admitted into the Union on an cqual footing with the original States in all re-

spects whatsoever.

The net of Congress for the organization of the Torritories of Kansas and Nebraska, was designed to conform to the spirit and letter of the Folcoral Constitution, by preserving and neithering the Conformal Constitution, by preserving and maintaining the Statement principle of equality among a striction contained in the 8th nection of the act of March 6, 1829, (preparatory to the admission of Missouri into the Union, which assumed to deny to the people forever the right to settle the question of Slavory for themselves, provided they should make forever the right to settle the question of Slavory for themselves, provided they should make their homes and organic States should make their homes and organic States are the state of the containing the should make their homes and self-government, in obcdience to the Constitution, the Kansas-Nersaka act declared, in the precise language of the Compromise Mossures of 1850, that, "when admitted as a State, the said Zerritory, or any Union, with or without Slavory, as their constitutions may prescribe at the time of their admission." Again, after declaring the said 8th section of the Missouri cet (semeitme called the Missouri Compromise, or Missouri Restriction) inoperative and void as being requestant to these contributions of the contribution of the contribution of the composition of the contribution of t

thereof perfectly free to form and regulate their demestic institutions in their own way, subject only to the Constitution of the United States:

The passage of the Kansas Nebraska act was stremuously resisted by all persons who thought it a less ovil to deprive the people of new States and Territories of the right of State equality and self-government under the Constitution, than to sulrigovernment under the Constitution, can be allow them to decide the Slavery question for themselves, as every State of the Union had done, and must retain the underhable right to do; so long as the Constitution of the United States shall be maintained as the supreme law of the land. Finding opposition to the principles of the act unavailing in the halls of Congress and under the forms of the Constitution, combinatious were immediately entered into in some portions of the Union to control the political destinies, and form and regulate the domestic institutions, of those Territories and future States, through the machinery of emigrant aid societies. In order to give consistency and offisolutions. In other to give consistency and the ciency to the movement, and anround it with the color of legal authority, an act of incorporation was procured from the legislature of the State of Massachusetts, in which it was provided, in the first section, that twenty persons therein named, and their "associates, successors, and assigns, are hereby made a corporation, by the namo of the Massachusetts Emigrant Aid Company, for the purpose of assisting emigrants to settle in the West; and for this purpose they shall have all the powers and privileges, and be subject to all the duties, restrictions, and liabilities set forth in the 38th and 44th chapters of the revised statutes" of Massachusetts.

The second section limited the capital stock of the company to five millions of dollars, and authorized the whole to be invested in real and persenal estate, with the previse that "the said cor-poration shall not hold real estate in this com-menwealth (Massachusetts) to an amount ex-

ceeding twonty thousand dollars." The third section provided for dividing the capital stock of the corporation into shares of one hundred dollars each, and prescribed the mode, time, and amounts in which assessments

might be made on each share: The fourth and last scetion was in these words:

"At all meetings of the stockholders, each stockholder shall be entitled to cast one vote for each share held by him; provided, that ne stock-holder shall be entitled to east more than fifty votes on shares held by himself, ner mere than fifty votes by proxy."

Although the act of incorporation does not dis tinetily declare that the company was formed for the purpose of controlling the demestic institu-tions of the Territory of Kansas, and forcing it into the Union with a prohibition of Slavery in her constitution, regardless of the rights and wishes of the people as guarantied by the Consti-tution of the United States, and secured by their organic law, yet the whele history of the mevement, the circumstances in which it had its origin, and the prefessions and avowals of all engaged in it, render it certain and undeniable that such was its object.

To remove all doubt upon this point, your committee will hore present a few extracts from a pamphlot published by the company scon after its organization, under the following cap-

*Por the purpose of answering numerous communi-cations concerning the plan of operations of the Emi-grapt Aid Company, and the resources of Kansac Torgrow. And Ordanecy, and contributes of Mansar rer-ritors, which it is proposed now to settle, the secre-tary of the company has doemed it expedient to publish the following definite information in regard to this particular?

sottlement."
"It is recommended that the company's agents locate and take up for the company's benefit, the sections of land in which the boarding houses and milis tless of had in which the bearding houses and mills are located, and no others. And further, whosever the Territory shall be organized as a free State, the nestees shall showe of all its interest them. replace to the property of the state of the state

With the advantages attained by such a system of effort, the territory selected as the scene of operations would, it is believed, be filled up with free inhabit-nuts.

"There is reason to suppose several thousand men of New-Rogland origin propose to emigrate used: the samples of soon such airrangement. this very summers of the whole emigration from Europe, amountain the same of the same through the come on the original to intuiting some thirty or forty the used to take the same direction."

"Essecially will it prove an advantage to Masse-

same direction." Especially will it prove an advantage to Masu-chusetts, if she erests the new State by her foresight, the content of the content of the content of the content the content communications between their homes and her ports and factories." It determines the content of the "It determines in the right way the furtheritions of the ucestical Territories, in loss time than the dis-cussion of them her required in Congress."

Having thus secured from the State of Massachusetts the color of legal authority to sanction their proceedings, in perversion of the plain pro-visions of an act of Congress passed in pursuance of the Constitution, the company commenced its operations by receiving subscriptions to its capi-tal stock, and exerting its whole power to har-monize, combine, and direct, in the channel it should mark out, all the elements of opposition to the principles of the Kansas and Nobraska act. The plan adopted was to make it the interest of a large body of men, who sympathized with them in the objects of the corporation, to receive their aid and pretection, and, under the auspices of the company, to preceed to Kansas, and acquire whatever residence, and do whatever acts, night be found necessary to cnable them to vote at the elections, and through the ballot bex, if possible, to gain control over the legislation of the Territory. This movement is justified by those who eriginated and control the plan, upon the ground that the persons whom they sent to Kansas were free men, who, under the Constitution and laws, had a perfect right to emigrato to Kansas er any other Territory; that the act of emigration was entirely voluctary on their part; and when they arrived in the Territory as actual settlers, they advice in the Ferritory is actual estaces, they had as good a right as any other citizens to vote at the elections, and participate in the control of the government of the Territory. This would undeubtedly be true in a case of ordinary emigration, such as has filled up our new Stetes and Territories, where each individual has gone, on Aerricories, where each individual use gone, on his, own account, to improve his condition and that of his family. But it is a very different thing where a State creates a vast meneyed corporation for the purpose of controlling the demestic institutions of a distinct political community fifteen hundred miles distant, and sends out the cmail. grants only as a means of accomplishing its paramount political objects. When a powerful corporation, with a capital of five millions of dollars invested in houses and lands, in merchan-

[&]quot;Organization objects, and plan of operations of the Emigrant Ald Company; also, a description of Kansas, for the information of emigrants."

[&]quot;I Trustees—Amos A. Lawrenco, Buston; J. M. S. Williams, Cambridgo; Ely Thayor, Worcester.
"Treasurer. Amos A. Lawrence,
"Secretary, Thomas H. Wobb, Boston.

disc and mills, in cannon and rifler, in powdor | States, can the obligations of each State and and lead-in all the implements of art, agriculture, and war, and employing a corresponding number of men, all under the management and control of non-resident directors and stookholdors, who are authorized by their charter to vote by proxy to the extent of fifty votes each, enters a distant and sparsely sottled Territory with the fixed purpose of wielding all its power to control the domestic institutions and political destinies of the Territory, it becomes a question of fearful import, how far the oporations of the company are compatible with the rights and liberties of the Whatover may be the extent or limit of congressional authority over the Territories, it is clear that no individual State has the right to pass any law or authorize any act concerning or affecting the Territories, which it might not enact

allecting the retritories, which it might not einer in reference to any other State.

If the people of any State should become so much onamored with their own peculiar institu-tions as to conceive the philanthropic scheme of forcing so great a blessing on their unwilling neighbors, and with that view should create a mammoth moneyed corporation, for the avowed purpose of sending a sufficient number of their young men into the neighboring State, to romain long enough to acquire the right of voting, with the fixed and paramount object of reversing tho sate in New and paradioun; object of reversing the settled policy and obtaining the domestic institu-tions of such State, would it not be deemed an act of aggression, as officensive and flagrant as if assumpted by direct and open violence? It is a weal-settled principle of constitutional law, in this country, that while all the States of the Union are united in one, for cortain purposes, yet each State, in respect to everything which affects its domestic policy and internal concerns, stands in the rela-

tion of a foreign power to every other State. Hence, no State has a right to pass any law, or do or authorize any act, with the view to influence or change the domestic policy of any other State or Tevritory of the Union, more than it would with reference to France or England, or any other foreign State with which we are at peace. Indeed every State of this Union is under higher obligations to observe a friendly forbearingace onigations to observe a tribudy intreat-time and generous comity towards each other member of the Confederacy, than the laws of nations and impose on foreign States. While foreign States are restrained from all acts of ag-gression and unkindness only by that spirit of comity which the laws of nations enjoin upon all friendly powers, we have assumed the additional obligation to obey the Constitution, which seemes to every State the right to centrol its own internal affairs. If repugnance to domestic Slavery can justify Messachusetts in incorporating a mam-Justify Adessacentseris in incorporating a main-moth company to influence and control that question in any State or Territory of this Union, the same principle of action would authorize France or England to use the same means to accomplish the same end in Brazil or Cuba. or in fifteen States of this Union; while it would license the United States to interfere with serfdom in Russia, or polygamy in Turkoy, or any other ob-noxious institution in any part of the world. The same principle of action, when sanctioned by our example, would authorize all the king-doms, and empires, and despotisms in the world, to engage in a common crusade against republicanism in America, as au institution quite as obnoxious to them as domestic Slavery is to any

portion of the people of the United States.

If our obligations arising under the laws of nations are so imperative as to make it our duty to onect neutrality laws, and to exert the whole power and authority of the executive branch of the government, including the army and navy, o enforce them, in restraining our citizens from interfering with the internal concerns of foreign

Torritory of this Union be less imperative, under the Federal Constitution, to observe entire neutrality in respect to the domestic institutions of the several States and Territories? Non-interferenco with the internal concerns of other States is rocognized by all civilized countries as a fundarecognized by an eveniced countries as a factor mental principle of the laws of nations for the reason that the peace of the world could not be maintained for a single day without it. How, thon, and fortening feel. ean we hope to presorvo peace and fraternal feel-ings among the different portions of this republic, unless we yield implicit obedience to a principle which has all the sanction of patriotic duty as well as constitutional obligation?

When the omigrants sent out by the Massawhen the comgrants sent out by the massat-clusorts Emigrant Aid Company, acid their affili-ated socioties, passed through the State of Mis-souri in largo numbers on their way to Kansac, the violence of their language, and the unmis-takable indications of their doternined hestility to the domestic institutions of that State, created apprehonsions that the object of the company was to abolitionize Kansas as a means of presecuting a relentless warefare upon the institutions of Slavory within the limits of Missouri. These ap-prohensions increased and spread with the progross of events, until they became the settled con-victions of the people of that portion of the State most exposed to the danger by their proximity to the Kansas border. The natural consequence was, that immediate steps were taken by the peo-ple of the western counties of Missouri to stimulato, organizo, and carry into effect a system of emigration similar to that of the Massachusetts Emigrant Aid Company, for the avowed purpose of counteracting the offects, and protecting themselves and thoir domestic institutions from the consequences of that company's operations.

The material difference in the character of the two rival and conflicting movements consists in the fact that the one had its origin in an aggressivo, and the other in a defensive policy. The visions and claiming to act under the authority of a legislative enactment of a distant State, whose intornal prosperity and domestic security did not depend upon the success of the movement, while the other was the spontaneous action of the people living in the immediate vicinity of the theatre of operations, excited by a sense of common danger to the necessity of protecting their own firesides from the apprehended horrors of servile insurrection and intestine war. Both parties, conceiving it to be essential to the success of their respective plans that they should be upon the field of operations prior to the first election in the Territory, selected principally young men, persons unencumbered by families, and whose conditions in life onabled them to leave at a moment's warning, and move with great celerity, to go at once, and soleet and occupy the most eli-gible sites and favored locations in the Territory, to be held by themselves and their associates who should follow them. For the successful prosecution of such a scheme, the Missourians who lived in the immediate vicinity, possessed peculiar ad-vantages over their rivals from the more remote portions of the Union. Each family could send one of its members across the line to mark out his claim, erect a cabin, and put in a small crop, sufficient to give him as valid a right to be deemed an actual sottler and qualified votor as those who were being imported by the Emigrant Aid Societies. In an unoccupied Territory, where the lands have not been surveyed, and where there were no marks or lines to indicate the boundaries of sections and quarter-sections, and where no legal title could be had until after the survoys should be made, disputes, quarrels, vio-lence, and bloodshed might have been expected as ban was his right, in order to hold it for some new comer of his own party, and at the same time prevout persons belonging to the opposite party from settling in the neighborhead. As a result of this state of things, the great mass of emigrants from the northwest and from other States who went there on their own account, with ne other object and influence, by no other motives than to improve their condition and secare good homes for their families, were compel-led to array themselves under the banner of one of those bostic parties, in order to insure protec-tion to themselves and their claims against the ag-

gressions and violence of the other. At the first election held in the Territory, on the 29th day of November, 1854, for a delegate to Congress, J. W. Whitfold was cheen by an overwhelming majority, having received the votes of men of all parties who were in favor of the principles of the Kansas-Nebraska act, and opposed to placing the political destinies of the Territory in the keeping of the Abelition party of the northern States, to be managed through the machinery of their emigrant Aid Companies. No seener was the result of the election known, than the defeated party proclaimed throughout the length and breadth of the republic, that it had been produced by the invasion of the Territory by a Missouri mob, which had overawed and outnumbered and outvoice the bona fide settlers of the Territory. By reference to the executive journal of the Territory, which will be found in the papers furnished, by the President of the United States in response to a call of the Scnate, it will be found that Governor Receder, in obedience to what he considered to be a duty enjoined on him by the act of Congress organizing the Territory, on the 10th day of Novem-ber, 1854, issued a proclamation prescribing the time, place, and mode of holding the election, and appointing by name three citizens of the Territory, residing in each election district, to conduct the election, in such district, together with the following oath, which was taken by the judges before entering on their duties, to wit:

"We do severally swear that we will perform our duties as judges of the election, to be held this day, in the ______ district of the Territory of Kancas, to in the ——district of the Territory of Kannas, to the best of our judgment and ability; that we vill icop a cerroct and fatthful record or list of persons who shall voto at and election; that we vill pell one resident and inhabitant of said Territory on the day of election, and whom we shall not henesity believe to be a qualified voter according to the act of Congress organizing said Territory; that we will reject the sec-onyalizing the Territory; that we will reject the sec-te of the territory of the second of the sec-te of the territory of the more purpose to have come into the Territory for the more purpose to have come into the Territory for the other thread, by his own cash or otherwise; that we thereaft by his own cash or otherwise; that we then the territory.

The same proclamation pointed out in detail the mode in which the election should be conducted; and, among other things, that the polls will be opened for reception of votes between eight and ten o'clock, a. m., and kept open continually until six o'clock, p. m.; that the judges will keep two corresponding lists of persons who shall vote, numbering each name; that when a dispute arises as to the qualifications of a voter, the judges shall examine the voter or any other persons, un-

the natural andinovitable emacquences of such ex-trained large spaces of emigration, which divided and arrayed the settlers into two great hostile parties, each having an inducement to claim more than was his right, in order to hold, it for some content of the processing of the content of the third could be content of the content of rect return of the votes polled by lawful resident

The proclamation also provides that the tickets or votes polled shall, after being counted, be again deposited in the box, together with one copy of the oath, and one list of the voters, and one tally-list, and one certificate of return; and that the judges shall seal them up in the box, and earefully preserve the same until called for hy the governor of said Territory, in the event of its correctness being contested; and that the remaining copy of the oath, list of voters, tally-list, and return, will be taken by one of the judges, who shall deliver the same in person to the gov-

The proclamation also provides that, "In case any person or persons shall dispute the fairness or correctness of the return of any election district, they shall make a written statement, directed to the governor, and setting forth the specific cause of complaint or errors in the conducting or returning of the election in said district, signed by not less than ten qualified voters of the Terri tory, and with an affidavit of one or more quali-fied voters to the truth of the fact therein stated; and the said complaint and affidavit shall be presented to the governor on or before the fourth day of December next, when the proper proceed-ings will be taken to hear and decide such com-

plaint.' By reference to the executive journal of the Territory, we find the following entry:

"December 4, 1854.—The judges of the several elec-tion districts made return of the votes polled at the election hold on the 29th day of November last, for a delegate to the House of Representatives of the United States; from which it appeared that the votes in the said several districts were as follows, to wit:"

Here follows a list of the votes cast for each candidate in each of the seventeen districts of the

Territory, showing that J. W. Whitfield had received 2,258 votes. All other persons received

And on the same page is the following entry: "Becember 5, 1864.—On examining and collating the returns. J. W. Whitfield in declared by the governor to be duly elected edgeste to the House of Representatives of the United States, and the same day the cortilizate of the governor, under the seal of the Territory, issued to said J. W. Whitfield of his observations.

It nowhere appears that Ges. Whitfield's right to a seat by virtue of that election was ever contested. It does not appear that "ten qualified voters of the Territory" were ever found who were willing to make the "written statement directed to the governor, with an affidavit" of one or more qualified voters to the "truth of the facts therein stated," to "dispute the fairness or correctness of the returns," or to "set forth specific cause of complaint or errors in the conducting or returning of the election," in any one of the sev-enteen districts of the Territory. Certain it is, that there could not have been a system of fraud and violence such as has been charged by the agents and supporters of the emigrant aid rocicties, unless the governor and judges of election were parties to it; and your committee are not prepared to assume a fact so disreputable to them, and so improbable upon the state of facts pre-sented, without specific charges and direct proof. In the absence of all proof and probable truth, the charge that the Missourians had invaded tho der oath, upon the sulfeet, and the decision of the charge that the Missourians had invaded the amjority of the board will be cenelusive; that Territory and controlled the congressional elective open and count the votes, and keep two cerere: throughout the Free States, and made the bosin

of the most inflammatory appeals to all men op-posed to the principles of the Kansus-Nebruska act to emigrate or send emigrants to Kansas, for the purpose of repelling the inviders, and assisting their friends who were then in the Territory in putting down the slave power, and prohibiting Slavery in Kansas, with the view of making it a Free State. Exaggerated accounts of the large number of emigrants on their way under the auspices of the emigrant aid companies, with the view of controlling the election for members of view of controlling the december of the territorial legislature, which was to take place on the 30th of March, 1855, were published and circulated. Those accounts being republished and bolieved in Missouri, where the excitement had already been inflamed to a fearful intensity, induced a corresponding effort to send at least on equal number, to counteract the appreheuded result of this new importation. Your committee have not been able to obtain definite and satisfactory information in regard to the al-leged irregularities in conducting the election, leged irregularities in contacting to 30th of and the number of illegal votes on the 30th of March; but, from the most reliable sources of information accessible to your committee, including various papers, documents, and state-ments, kindly furnished by Messrs. Whitfield and Reeder, rival claimants of the delegate's scat in Congress for Kansas Territory, it would seem that the facts are substantially as follows: The election was held in obedience to the proclamation of the governor of the Territory, which

prescribed the mode of proceeding, the form of the oath and returns, the precautionary safe guards against illegal voting, and the mode of contesting the election, which were, in substance, the same as those already referred to in con-nection with the congressional election. When nection with the eongressional election. Which the period arrived for the governer/to canavass the roturns, and issue certificates to the persons elected, it appeared that protests had been filed against the fairness of the proceedings and the correctorses of the returns, in seven out of the eligitien election, districts into which the Territory had been divided for election purposes, altory had been divided for election purposes, altored the election purposes altored the election purposes altored the election purposes altored the election purposes. leging fraudulent and illegal voting by persons who were not actual settlers and qualified voters of the Territory. It also appears, that in some of these contested cases, the form of the oath administered to the judges, and of the returns made by them, were not in conformity to the proclama-tion of the governor. After a careful investigation of the facts of each case, as presented by the returns of the judges, and the protests and allegations of all porsons who disputed the fau-ness of the election and the correctness of the returns, the governor came to the conclusion that it was his duty to set saide the election in these seven disputed districts; the effect of which was, to create two vacancies in the council nino in the House of Representatives of the Territory, to be filled by a new election; and to change the result so far as to cause the certificate for one councilman and one representative to issue to different persons than those returned as elected by the judges. Accordingly the governor issued his writs for special elections, to be held on the 24th of May, to fill those vacancies, and, at the same time, granted certificates of election to cleven councilmen and seventeen representatives, whose election had not been contested, and whom he adjudged to have been fairly elected. At the special election to fill these vacancies, three of the persons whese election on the 30th of March had been act aside for the reasons already stated, were re-elected, and in the other districts different persons were returned; and the governor having adjudged them to have been duly elected, accordingly granted them certificates of election, thus making the full complement of thirteen

whom, by the organic law of the Territory, the legislature was to be composed. On the 17th day of April the governor issued his proclama-tion, summoning these thirteen councilmen and twenty-six representatives, whom he had com-missioned as having been fairly elected, to assem-ble at Pawnee City on the 2nd day of July, and organize as the legislature of the Torritory of Kansas.

It appears from the journal that the two Houses did assemble, in obedience to the Governor's proclamation, at the time and place ap-pointed by him; and, after the oath of office had been duly administered by one of the judges of the supreme court of the Territory, to each of the members who held the Governor's certificate, proceeded to organize their respective houses by the election of their officers; and each netified the other, by resolution, that they were thus duly organized. Also, by joint resolution, appointed a committee who waited on the Governor, and informed him that "the two houses of the Kansas Legislature are organized, and are now ready to proceed to business, and to receive" such communication as he may deem necessary.

In response to this joint resolution, "a message from the Governer, by Mr. Higgins, his private secretary, transmitting his message, was received, and ordered to be read."

The message commences thus:

"To the Honorable the Council and House of Repre-sentatives of the Territory of Kansas:

"Having boon duly notified that your respective bodies have organized for the performance of your of-ficial functions, I herewith submit to you the usual executive communication relative to subjects of legisskeurter communication rotativo to surjects of significant lation, which universal and long-continued usage in analogous cases would seem to demand, although no oxpress requirement of it is to be found in the act of Congress which has brought us into official existence,

and prescribed our official duties.
"The position which we occupy, and the selemn trust which is confided to us for originating the laws trust which is conflect to us for originating the laws and institutions, and moulding the dettnies of a new republic in the very geographical centre of our vast with a sleep and column nears of the heavy responsibility with a sleep and column nears of the heavy responsibility which we have casamed, and admoulst us to hy naded all earlies and equivocal motives, to discard all unworthy ends, and, in the spirit of junkes and all unworthy ends, and, in the spirit of junkes and all unworthy ends, and, in the spirit of junkes and all unworthy ends, and, on the spirit of junkes and all unworthy ends, and obey judgements, to address ourselves to our trait, and to perform it in the fear and reversance of that God who oversees our work, that like first that we expect to add to the national bannet and be patible to be reproach away that which springs and be subject to no repreach save that which springs from the inevitable fallibility of just and upright men."

The Governor, with the view to the " ascertainmont of the existing law" in the Territory, proceeds to trace the history of all legislation affecting it since the country was acquired from Franco, and advises the legislature to pass such laws as the public interest might require upon all appropriate subjects of legislation, and particuappropriate success or registricus, that particularly the Slavery question, the division of the Territory into counties, the organization of country courts, the election of judicial and ministerial officers, education, taxes, revenues, the location of the control of the country of the count of the permauent seat of government, and the organization of the militia, as subjects worthy of their immediate attention.

From this message, as well as from all the official acts of the Governor preceding it, baving reference to the election and return of the members and the convening of the two houses for legislative business, the conclusion is irresistible, that up to this period of time the Governor had never conceived the idea—if, indeed, he has since entertained it-that the two houses were spurious and fraudulent assemblies, having ne rightful councilmen and twenty-six ropresentatives, of

upen the people of Kansas. On the first day of the session, and immediately after the organization of the house was effected, the following Recelution was adopted:

"Resolved. That all persons who may desire to contect the cents of any persons now holding certificates of election as members of this house, may present their proto to to the committee on credentials, and that no tice thereof shall be given to the persons holding such certificates.

On the 4th day of July, (being the third day of the session,) the majority of the committee, including four of the five members, reperted that, "HAVING HEARD AND EXAMINED ALL THE EVI-DENCE TOUCHING THE MATTER OF INQUIRY BE-PERER THEM, and taking the organic law of Congress, passed on the 20th day of May, in the year 1854, organizing the Territories of Kanasa and Nebraska," as their guiding star, they have arrived at the cenclusions which they proceed to elucidate end enferce in a lengthy repert. From this report, it appears that afteen out of twentytwe members present wore permitted to rotain their seats by unanimous consent, no one appearing to contest or dispute the fairness of the election, or regularity or truthfulness of the return, in either of their cases. Hence the contest was reduced to the claims of one member who re-ceived the certificate under the general election of the 30th of March, and the six members present who received certificates under the special election of the 21th of May. In the first easo the decisien of the Governor was reversed, and the scat awarded to the candidate who received the highest number of votes at the election of the 30th of March, and from whom the certificate had been withheld by the Governor, upon the ground of irregularity in the election and returns from one precinct, the oxclusion of which poll gave the majority to the opposing candidate. In the other six cases, the sitting members were deprived of their scats; and the candidates receiving the highest number of votes at the goneral clee tion on the 30th of March, were awarded their places, upon the ground that the special election on the 24th of May was illogal and roid, the Governor not being authorized, by the organic law of the Territory, to go behind the returns, and set aside the election held on the 30th of March. The minority report dissents from the reason-

ing, and protests against the conclusions of the majority, and affirms the right of the sitting members to retain their seats, upon the ground that the governor's certificate was not merely prima facie evidence, but was conclusive, in respect to the rights of all claimants and contestants; and nence the house could not go behind the certificates of election to inquire whether there had been a previous election in these districts on the 30th of March, and who had received the highest

number of legal votes at that election. The proposition is thus stated in the minerity report: "I cannot agree that this body has the right te go behind the decisions of the governer, whe, by virtue of his office, is the organizing federal arm of the general government, to evolve and manage a rew gevernment for this Territory, for the obvious reason that Congress makes him the sole judge of the qualifications for membership." It is true that the minority report, alludes to "evidence before the committee of great deficiencies, not in the form of conducting the elections, but in the manner of holding them, both as te the qualifications of the judges who presided, and the re-turns made out by them," and says there is turns made out by them," and says there is "ne doubt that these illegal proceedings on the one hand induced the governor to withhold certificates from some who, from the number of votes returned in their faver, might at the same time appear te have been preperly elected, and on the

cuthority to pass laws which would be binding other, to have been the ground on which he proscuted a certificate in one instance, and in another ordered a new election in reference to other districts." But while the minority report affirms the right of the governor te go behind the returns and investigate inregularities and illegal voting at the election, as well as deficiencies in the forms of the returns, and asserts that he did exercise this right in each case in which ho granted or withheld a certificate, it maintains that the governor's decision, as evinced by his certificate, was final and conclusive and could not be reviewed, much less reversed, by either branch of the Territorial legislature. So far as the question involves the legality of the Kansas legislature, and the validity of its acts, it is entirely immaterial whether we adopt the reasoning and conclusions of the minerity or majority re-perts; fer each proves that the legislature was legally and duly constituted. The minority report establishes the fact, by the pesition that the governor's certificate was conclusive, and that he granted certificates to ten out of the thirteen councilmen, and to seventeen out of the twentysix representatives whe finally held their seats, six representatives who imany held their seate, which was largely more than a querum of each branch of the legislature. The majority report establishes the same fact, by the pesition that after going behind the governor's certificate, and carefully examining the facts, they confirmed these same ten councilmen and seventeen representatives in their seats, and then awarded the seats of the other three councilmen and nine representatives to the oandidates whom they believed to have been legally elected at the

general election on the 30th of March.

The house, by eighteen votes in the affirmative to one vote in the negative, passed a resolution adopting the majority report, and declaring that the contestants "having been duly elected on the 30th of March, 1855, are entitled to thoir scats as members of this house." Whereupon four of the sitting mombers, whose seats were vacated by the adoption of the majority report, signed a protest, and asked that it be spread on the journal of the house, which was accordingly done in the fellowing words:

" Protest.

"Wo, the undersigned, members of the House of Representatives of Kansas Territory, believe the organie act organizing the said Territory gives this house no power to oust any member from this house who has received a certificate from the governor; that who has received a certificate rish the governor; that this house cannot go behind an election called by the governor, and consider any claims based on a prior election. We would therefore protest against such a proceeding, and ask this protest to be opread upon the journal of this house.

"JOHN HUTCHINSON, WILLIAM JESSEE, AUGUSTUS WATTLES, E. D. LADD."

Under date of July 6, the journal centains a nicesage from the governor to the "house of representatives of the Territory of Kansas," returning "house bill entitled An act to remove the seat of government temporarily to the Shawnee Manual Laber School, in the Territory of Kansas,' together with his objections." While the governor, in assigning his reasons for return-ing the bill, labors to prove that the legislature had transcended its authority under the organic act, in adopting this particular measure, and argues against its expediency, on the score of the less of time and money in remeving to a different place during the session, he clearly and distinetly recognizes the council and house of representatives as constituting the legislature of the Territory of Kansos, elected and organized in conformity to the act of Congress creating the Territory.

The reasons of the governor for returning the

bill, was spread upon the journal, and upon re-consideration, it was passed by a two thirds' vote in each branch of the legislature, and thus became the law of the land, "the objections of the governor to the centrary notwithstanding

On the same day the following resolution was

adonted by both houses:

"Resolved by the Hause of Representatives of the Territory of Kansac, the Council concurring therins,) That the logislature of a said Territory do adjourn on the 6th day of July, A. B. 1855, to meet again on blooday, the lidit day of 4uly, 1855, at 20 clock, p. L., at the Shawnen Mannal-labor School, is the said Territory."

And on the same day the following resolution was also adopted by both houses:

"Resolved, That a Committee of three be appointed on the part of the Council, to act in conjunction with a Committee on the part of the Busse of Representatives, to inform his excellency the Governor that the Legislative Assembly will adjourn this afternoon, to meet on Monday, the 16th instant, at the Shawnoo Blanual-labor School, in the Cervitory of Kansas."

On the 16th of July, the two houses assembled, in pursuance of the adjournment, at the Shawnee Manual labor School, known as Shawnee Mission, and proceeded to the discharge of their legislative duties. In the mean time the Governor had also ropaired to Shawnee Mission, it being the place of his residouce in the Territory, and tho seat of the executive offices as established and continued by himself, during the whole period he exercised the executive functions.

On the 21st of July, a message was received from the Governor, by his private secretary, Mr. Lowry, directed "To the House of Representatives of the Torritory of Kansas," in which he says: "I return to your House, in which they originated, the bill entitled 'An act to prevent the sale of intoxicating liquors, and games of chance, within one mile of the Shawnee Manuallabor School, in the Territory of Kansas, and the bill entitled 'An act to establish a ferry at the town of Atelison, in Kansas Territory, without my approval. I see nothing in the bills themselves to prevent my sanction of them, and my reasons for disapproval have been, doubtless, anticipated by you, as necessarily resulting from the opinion expressed in my message of the 6th instant."

The Governor then proceeds to argue the question at great length, whether the legislature is now in session at a place which can be recognized as a seat of government, where the business of legislation can be legally or legitimately carried on.

He does not question the fairness and legality of the election of the members composing the legislature; nor the regalarity and validity of their organization; nor their competency as a legislature to pass all laws which they may deem necessary and proper for the best interests of the people of Kansus, provided it shall be done at the right place. Upon this point he says?

"It seems to be plain that the legislature new in session, so far as the place is concerned, is in contra-vention of the act of Congress, and where they have no right to sit, and can make no valid legislation. no right to six, and can maso no vain legislation. Entertaining these views, I can give no sauction to any bill that may be passed; and if my reasons are not satisfactory to the Logislative Assembly, it follows that we must act independently of each other."

In conclusion the Governor says:

"If I am right in these opinions, and our Torri'ory shall derive no fruits from the meeting of the present Legislative Assembly, I shall at least have the satis-faction of recollecting that I called the attention of the Assembly to the point before they removed, and that the responsibility, therefore, reats not on the Executive."

legislature, refusing to examine their acts with a view of either approving or disapproving them, they appointed a joint committee of tho two houses to draught a memorial to the Presifrom the office of governor; which memorial was signed by the presiding officer and members in joint session. The memorialists, after reviewing the causes which had led to such serious difficulties, and vindicating the right of the legislature, under the organic act, to remove the sent of government from Pawnee City to Shawnee Mission, concluded as fellows:

"In conclusion, we charge the Governor, A. H. Reeder, with willful neglect of the interests of the Territory; with ondeavoring, by all means in his power, to subvert the ends and objects intended to be accom-plished by the 'Kansas and Nebraska bill,' by neglecting the public interests and making them subservient ing the public interests and making them ancervaen to private speculation; by adding and encouraging persons in factions and treasonable opposition to the wishes of the majority of the citizens of the Torritory, and the laws of the United States in force in said Territory; by oncouraging porsons to violate the laws of the United States, and set at defiance the commands of their ductors and relating persons to resist the laws which may be passed by the present to resist the laws which may be passed by the present Logislative Assombly of this Territory. For those, and many other reasons, we respectfully pray your oxcellency to romove hue said A. H. Redőe from tho oxeniesy to fone form and A. R. Rector from the exercise of the functions now held by him in said Territory; and represent that a continuance of the same will be projudical to the best interests of the said Territory And, as in duty bound, we will ever pray, 'ctc, otc.

[Signed by the officers and members of both houses.]

On the 15th of August, Governor Reeder ad-dressed a note to the Department of State, acknewledging the receipt of a communication from the acting Secretary, under date of the 28th July, in which he was netified that "in conseof Kansas half-bred lands," and "nore espe-cially the undertaking of sundry persons, your-self included, to lay out new cities on military or other reservations in the Torritory of Kansas," and "more particularly, as you have sum-moned the Legislative Assembly of the Territory moned the Legislative Assembly of the Territory to meet at one of the places referred to, denominated in your official to work the product of the product of the President, to notify you that your functions and authority as Governor of the Territory of Knassa are hereby terminated."

On the 16th of August, the Journal of the Honse of Representatives says:

"The following message was received from Gover-nor A. H. Reeder, by Mr. Lowry, his private secre-

tary:

"To the honorable the members of the Council and House of Representatives of the Territory of Kansas: rived, Secretary Woodson will of course perform the duties of the office as acting governor.

Inasmuch as Governor Reeder dissolved his

official relations with the legislature, and denied the validity of their acts, solely upon the ground that they were enacted in the wrong place, it becomes material to inquire whother it was competent for thom, under the organic act, to remove The Governor having thus suspended all offi-cial intercourse with the two branches of the city" to the Shawnoe Mission. The 24th section of the erganic act provides "that the legislative power of the Territory shall extend to all rightful subjects of legislation consistent with the Constitution of the United States and the

provisions of this act."

That the location of the seat of government, and the changing of the same whenever the public interests and convenience may require it, is a "rightful subject of legislation," is too plain to admit of argument; hence the power is clearly included in this general grant, and may be exercised at pleasure by the legislature, unless it could be a provided to the provide of the provided of the provide

vides "that the temporary seat of government of said Territory is hereby located at Fort Leavof eard Territory is hereby located at Fort Leav-convorts; and that such portions of the public buildings as may uct be actually used and need-ed for military purposes may be occupied and used, undor the direction of the governor and legislative assembly, for such public purposes as may be required under the provisions of this act," and the tweuty-second section of the same act," and the tweuty-second section of the same act," and the tweuty-second section of the same the legislative assembly shall meet a such place." and on such day as the gevernor shall appoint" for the first meeting. These two provisions, being parts of the same act, and having reference to the same subject-matter, must be taken together, and receive such a construction as will give full effect to each, and not render either nugatory. While, therefore, the governor was authorized to convene the legislature, in the first instance, at such place as he should appoint, still he was required, by that provision which made Fort Leavenworth the temperary scat of government, with the view of using some of the public buildings, to designate as the place some one of the public buildings within the military reservation of Fort Leavenworth. Had not Congress, in the mean time, interposed and changed the law, as here presented, the governor would not have been authorized to have convened the legislature at "Pawnee City," nor at any other place in the Territory than some one of the public buildings at Fort Leavenworth, as provided in the organic act.

In view of the fact that the Secretary of Wan and intimated an opinion that all of the public buildings at Fort Leavenworth were needed for military purposes, and that the location of the seat of government, even temporarily, within the lines of a military tearvation, where the nilitary law must necessarily prevail, would be nonovenient, if not injurious to the public service, the following provision was adopted in the vice, the following provision was adopted in the purpose of enabling the government or creek buildings for the temporary sext of government at some more suitable and convenient point in the Territory: "That, in the event that the Secretary of War shall deom it inconsistent with the interest of the military service to furnish a sufficient portion of the military buildings at Fort Leavenworth for the need of the Territorial government of Kannas, the sum of twenty-five theu-carried to the sum of the suffering of the proposition of public buildings for the use of the excellent of the buildings for the use of the degislature of the Territory of Kansas, to be expended under the Territory of Kansas, to be expended under the direction of the governor of said Territory."

Under this provision, taken in connection with that clause of the organic act which are thorized the governor to convene the legislature at such place as he should appoint, he would have had the right to establish the temporary ceat of government and erect the public buildings at Pawnee City, or any other place ho might have selected in the Territory, instead

of Fort Leavenworth, but for the fact that on the 3d of March, 1835, and before any portion of the mency had been expended, or even the site selected, Congress made a further appropriation of twenty-five thousand dollars for public buildings, with the provisio of the add money, or any part thereof, or any portion of twenty-five thousand dollars for public buildings, with the provisio of the money heretoforce appropriated for this purpose and Territory shall have fixed by favr the permanent sect of government." This provision did not confer upon the legislature any power in respect to the location of the seat of government, either temporarily or permanently, which it did not previously possess; for the general graut, extending to all "rightful subjects of experiment, either temporarily or permanently, which is did not previously possess; for the general graut, extending to all "rightful subjects of experiment, either temporarily or permanently, which is did not previously posses; for the general graut, extending to all "rightful subjects of expension, was, to restrain the governor from expending the appropriation until the voice of the peoplo of Kansas should be oxpressed, through their legislature, in the selection of the organic act, away the governor to perform his whole daving the governor power or the subject of the proposition of the proposition of the organic act, and the subject of the proposition of the pro

Under this view of the subject, it is ovident that the legislature was clothed with legitimate authority to ennet the law in obedicace to which its session was adjourned from Pawnec City is Shawneo Mission; and that its enactments, made at the latter place, must have the seme force and validity that they vould have nessessed had not

the removal takon place.

Those who seek to find some tenable ground upon which to destroy the validity of the legislative acts of Kansas, seeing that they cannot safely rely upon the alleged irregularity of the elections, nor upon the absence of legal authori-ty in the legislature to remove the seat of Govcrument, flatter themselves that they have rethem from their difficulty, and enable them to accomplish their purpose. It is, that by the treaties of November 7, 1825, and of August 8, 1831, with the Shawnees of Missouri and Ohie, a large tract of land, including the Shawnee Mission, where the legislature held its session, and the governor established the executive of fices, was secured to these Iudians, with the guaranty on the part of the United States "that said lands shall never be within the bounds of any State or Territory, nor subject to the laws thereof;" and that the 19th section of the Kansas-Nebraska act provides that "nothing in this act contained shall be construed to include any territory which, by treaty with any Indian tribe, is not, without the consent of said tribe, to be included within the territorial limits or jurisdic-tion of any State or Territory; but all such ter-ritory shall be excepted out of the boundaries, and constitute ne part of the Territory of Kan-sas." Upon the authority of these clauses of the treaties, and of the act of Congress organ-izing the Territory, it is assumed that the Shawnee Mission, where the legislature enacted Shawnec Aission, where the legislature enacted those laws, was not within the limits or jurisdiction of the Territory of Kensas, and hence they were null and void. Without admitting, even by implication, that the place where the legislature should enact its laws, would to any extent impair their validity, it is proper to call the attention of the Sensite to the fact recorded. on its journal, that on the 10th of May, 1854, (only a few days before the passage of the Kansas-Nebraska act,) a treaty was made with

these same Indiam, by the first article of which all the lands granted to them by the said trea-tics of 1825 and 1831 were coded to the United States, and, being thus exempted from the operation of the guarantles or those treutles, were, by the terms of fite organic act of Kumas, in-

leaded within the limits, and rendered subject to the jurisdiction of said Territory. The second article granted the house in which the legislature afterwards held its reaslons, and the land upon which the house stood, to the missionary society of the Methodist Epis-copal Charele South, in these words: "Of the copal Charest south, in these words: "Or had lunds lying cost of the parallel line aforesaid, there shall flot be set apart to the messionary society of the Methodist Episcopal Chareh South, to include the improvements of the In-dian Munnul-labor School, three sections of land; to the Friends' Shawnee Labor-school, including the improvements there, three handred and twenty acres of land; and to the American Baptist Unlow, to include the improvements where the superintendent of the school now resides, one hundred and sixty seres of land; and also five acres of and to the Shawnee Methodist Church, including the meeting house and graveyard; and two acres of land to the Shawnee Baptist Church, including the meeting house and grave-yard."

The other articles of the treaty provide for the survey of those lands, and for granting two hundred acres to each Shawnee Indian, to be held as private property, subject to such condi-tions as Congress should impose, and recognize the right of the legislature to lay out roads and public highways across the Indian lands, on the same terms as the law provides for their leca-tion through the lands of citizens of the United Stutes. The Rev. Themas Johnson, who was president of the Kansas legislative council, and also agent of the Missionary society of the Methodist Episcopal Church, to which the lands and improvements belonged, anthorized the legislature to use and occupy such portions of the buildings of which he held the lawful possession as they should find convenient in the exercise of their legislative functions.

Upon a careful review and examination of

all the facts, laws, and treaties, bearing upon the point, your committee are clearly of the opinion that the Shawnce Manual-laber School was a place to which the legislature might

was a piace to which the legislature might lawfully adjourn and enact valid laws in pursu-ance of the organic act of the Territory. We do not deem it necessary to inquire into the expediency of the removal of the seat of government, for the reason that it cannot affect the validity of the legislative proceedings. It has the difference to the result of the conby the Governer against the expediency of the measure were: first, "the loss of time (more valuable because limited) which our organic valuable because limited] which our organic law allots to the legislative session; and secondly, because it will involve a pecuniary because it will involve a pecuniary been unde at this place for our accommodation. As an offset to the unfortunate circumstance that the people of Kanasa would be deprived, for the period of ten days, of all the davantages and protection which were expected to result from the wholesome laws which the governor had recommended them to enact and governor mu recommended them to enact upon all rightful subjects of legislation, and to the pecuniary loss which would be sustained in consequence of the removal from Pawnee City, the members of the legislature, in their memo-rial to the President of the United States, asking him to remove the governor, state their reasons neods, incorporating certain railroad companies, as follows, for the allegation that there was an this committee reported against the proposition, nunceessary loss of three months' time after and, instead of annuling the local legislation of the election in convening the legislature, and the Territory, recommended the repeal of that

that Pawnee was not a mitable place for them

"After the contest was over, and the result known, he deluyed the assembling of the body mutil the 2d day of July-more than three months afterwards-and that, too, when the whole Union was convulsed on account of alleged ontroges in Kansas Territory, and yet no law for the punishment or prevention of thom. When at last they did meet, upon the call of the governor, at a point where they had pro-viously, in an informal pouncer, protested against being called, with an avowal of their intention to adjourn to the point at widele they are now ussembled, for the reasons that the requisite accommodations could not be lud; where there were ne facilities for communication with their families or constituents; where the could not even flud the commonest food to ent. nnless at an enormous expense, there being no nniess at an enomous expense, there has being no gardens yet made by the squatters; where the house is which we were expected to assemble had no roof or floor on the Saturday preceding the Monday of our assembling, and for the the Monday of our assembling, and for the completion of which the entire Subbatk day and night was desecrated by the continual labor of the mechanics; where, at least, one half of the members, employes, and almost all others who had assembled there for business or otherwise, had to camp out in wagons and tents during a rainy, hot season, and where obolera broke out, as a consequence of the inadequate food and shelter; and when, under all of these circumstances of annoyance, they finally passed an act adjourning to this point—Shawnee Manuel labor School—where ample accommodations are provided, and where the governer biniself had previously made it the sent of government, they were met by his veto, which is herewith transmitted."

Your committee have not considered it any part of their duty to examine and review each enactment and provision of the large volume of laws adopted by the legislature of Kansas upon almost every rightful subject of legislation, and affecting nearly every relation and interest in life, with a view cither to their approval or disapproval by Congress, for the roason that they are lecal laws, confined in their operation to the internal concerns of the Territory, the control and management of which, by the principles of and management of which, by the Principles of the Federal Constitution, as well as by the very terms of the Kansas-Nebraska act, are confided to the people of the Territory, to be determined by themselves through their representatives in their local legislature, and not by the Congress, in which they have no representatives to give or withhold their assent to the laws upon which their rights and liberties may all depend. Under these laws marriages have taken place, children have been born, deaths have occurred, estates have been distributed, contracts have been made, and rights have accrued which it is not compe tent for Congress to divest. If there can be a tent for Congress to divest. Il there can be a doubt in respect to the validity of these laws, growing out of the alleged irregularity of the election of the members of the legislature, or the lawfulness of the place where its sessions were held, which it is competent for any tribunal to inquire into with a view to its decision at this day, and after the series of events which have cay, and career are series or events which have ensued, it must be a judicial quosition, over which Congress can have no control, and which can be determined only by the courts of justice, under the protection and sanction of the Constitution.

When it was proposed in the last Congress to annul the acts of the legislative assembly of Min-

clause of the organic act of Minneents which reserves to Congress the right to disapprove its lows. That recommendation was braced on the theory that the people of the Territory, being clineaus of the United States, were entitled to the Constitution; and if, in the exercise of this right, they had made wise and just have, they ought to be permitted to euloy all the advantages resulting from them; while, on the contrary, if they had made mwise and major contrary, if they had made mwise and major their own acts until they discovered, acknowledged, and corrected their errors.

It has been alleged that gross misrepresentations have been made in respect to the character of the laws enacted by the legislature of Kansas, calculated, if not designed, to projudice the public mind at a distance against those who enacted them, and to create the impression that it was the duty of Congress to inter-fere and annul them. In view of the violent and insurrectionary measures which were being taken to resist the laws of the Territory, a convention of delegates, representing almost overy portion of the Territory of Kansas, was held at the city of Leavenworth on the 14th of November, 1855, at which men of all shades of political opinions, "Whigs, Democrate, Pro-slavery men, and Free-state men, all met and harmonized together, and forgot their former differ-ences in the common danger that seemed to threaten the peace, good order, and prosperity of this community." This convention was pre-This convention was presided over by the governor of the Territory, as-sisted by a majority of the judges of the suoreme court; and the address to the citizens of the United States, among other distinguished names, bears the signatures of the United States district attorney and marshal for the Territory.

It is but reasonable to assume that the interpretation which these functionaries have given to the acts of the Kansas legislature in this address will be observed in their official exposition and execution of the same. In reference to the wide-spread perversions and misrepresentations of those laws, this address says:

"The law passed by the legislature, have been more growing misropersented, with the wise of preprinting rice proble against that body, and as an excuse for the revolutionary movements in this Territory. The limits of this address will not permit a correction of the molecular control of the control of control

It is difficult to see how a more quarted low could be framed, for the purpose of proceeding the pully of elections and the sanctity of the indiction. The officer was required to where the support of the control of t

to supply the territorial treasury with the fluctuary means to carry on the government.

"It has also been charged against the legislature that they elected all of the officers of the Territory for six they elected an or the officers of the Territory for all yes. S. This is without any foundation. They elected no officer for six years, and the only civil officers they retain the election of, that occurs to mat pres-out, are the auditor and treasurer of state, and the district attorneys, who hold their offices for four, and district attorneys, who note their concess for rour, and not six years. By the organic act, the commissions lessed by the governor to the civil officers of the Tor-ritory all capited on the adjournment of the legisla-later. To present a faiture in the local administra-tion, and from noccasity, the legislature made a number of temperary appointments, such as probate judge, and two county commissioners, and a sheriff of oach county. The probate judge and county commis-sioners constitute the tribunal for the transaction of county business, and are invested with the power to condity dustriess, and are invested with the power to appoint justices of the peace, constables, county sur-veyor, recorder, and clerk, etc. Probato judges, coun-ty commissioners, shorling, etc., are all temporary ap-polatiments, and are made elective by the people at the first annual election in 1867. The legislature could is distanced election in 1897. The tignisture could not have avoided making some temporary appointment of the avoided making some temporary appointment. There were no could have been held without them. There were no could at the section of any kind, and ill appointed by the legislature. It was the exercise in the could be the section of the could be appointed by the legislature. It was the exercise in the could be appointed by the legislature. It was the exercise in the could be appointed by the legislature of the could be appointed by the could be appointed ed by the ames power that emends it. "There is single, in the act itself, as he been charged, to prevent a free discussion of the subject of slawery. Its bearing on no-sleet, its inscritify or expediency, or whether are considered in the subject of slawery. Its bearing on the slawer is the subject of slawer in the subject of slawer in the subject of slawer in the subject of slawer is slawer in the slawer the legislature; on the contrary, we would state that there are some that we do not support of, and which there are some that we do not support of, and which will, and could, be repeated or middle are, and which will, and could, be repeated or middle are the support of the su

A few days after Governor Reeder dissolved his official relations with the legislature, on account of the control of the sect of government, and while the love of the sect of government, and while the was still in seesion, a meeting was called by "was still in seesion, a meeting was called by "the section of the section of a State government, and other subjects of public interest." At that meeting the following presemble and resolutions were adopted with but one dissenting voice:

"Whereas the prople of Kanna Territory have been since its solitonists, and now use, without any secondary grower. Here fore, secondary the prople of Kannas Ter-tilory, in mass morthing assembled, irrespective of par-distinctions, publishered by a common necessity, and greative desirous of premoting the common good, of heaving all upon and request all forms field extenses of harvily call upon and request all forms fills ellusons of Kanasa Turrilor, of whatever political views and pecil-lections, in consult together in their respective election, in the consult together in their respective election of little its an in in mass convention or of theretos, disct little associately, by productation of Governor Reedig of dato 10th March, 1856; and delegates to assemble in convention at the town of Topicks, on the 18th day of Supremier, 1956, iteriant tiles on to consider and de-tinating the convention of the 18th day of the 18th day tiles and the 18th day of the 18th day of the 18th day tiles and the 18th day of the 18th day of the 18th day tiles are the 18th day of the

This meeting, so far as your committee have been able to ascertain was the first step in that series of proceedings which resulted in the adoption of a constitution and State govern-ment, to be put in operation on the 4th of the ment, to be put in operation on the difference present month, in subversion of the Territorial government established under the authority of Congress. The right to set up the State government in deflance of the constituted authoriemment in demance of the constituted authorities of the Territory, is based on the assumption "that the people of Kansas Territory have been since its settlement, and now are, without any law-making power;" in the face of the well-known fact, that the Territorial legislature were then in session, in pursuance of the procla-mation of Governor Reeder, and the organic law of the Territory. On the 5th of September, a "Territorial delegate convention" assembled at the Big Springs "to take into consideration the present exigencies of political affairs," at which, among others, the following resolutions were sciopted:

"Resired. That this Convention, in view of its recent repaidation of the acts of the ac-called Kannas acts of the acts of the

Constitution, and such matters as may regiminately come before it.

"Resolved, That we owe no allegiance or obelence to the tyraunical enactments of this spurious legislature; that their laws have no validity or binding force upon the people of Kenase; and that every freeman among us is at full liberty, consistently with his obligations as a citizen and a man, to defy and resist

them if he choise so to do.

"Resolved, That we will endure and submit to these less on longer than the best interests of the Tortiory require, as the least of two evils, and will resist them to a bloody issue as soon as we ascertain that peacable remedies shall fail, and foreible resistance shall furnish any reasonable prospect of success; and that in the mean time we recommend to our friends througout the Tersitory, the organization and disci-pline of volunteer companies, and the procurement and preparation of arms."

With the view to a distinct understanding of With the view to a distinct understanding of the meaning of so much of this resolution as relates to the "organization and discipline of volunteer companies, and the procurement and preparation of arms," it may be necessary to state, that there was at that time existing in the Territory a secret military organization, which had been formed for political objects prior to the factor of the property of the property of the factor, and which held its first "Grand En-campment at Lawronce, February 8th, 1853." Your Committee have been put in possession of a small printed pamblet, containing the "cona small printed pamphlet, containing the "constitution and ritual of the grand encampment and regiments of the Kansas legion of Kansas Territory, adopted April 4th, 1855," which, during the recent disturbances in that Territory,

was taken on the person of one George F. Warren, who attempted to concent and destroy the ren, who attempted to concend and destroy his same by threating it into his mouth, and biting and chewing it. Although somewhat natilitied, hythe "tooth pritte", it bears internal evidence of being a greanine document, authenticated by the original signatures of "G. W. Hutchimon, grand general," and "J. K. Goodwin, grand quartermater." On the last page was a charter of the Kanasa legion, authorizing the said George E. Warren, from whose mouth the document was taken, to form a new regiment, as follows:

" Charter of the Kansas Legion. "United States of Amenica, a

"Know all mon by those presents, that we, the

Territory, have occated, ohartered, and empowered, and by those presents de create, charter, and empower and by filesh presents a curacto material and approximately flower of the Kansas Logion; and, as such, they are hereby levested with all and alngular the authority and privileges with which each and overy regiment is invested, working under a charter from

the Grand Encampment.

"In witness whereof, we have hereunte set our hands, this sixteenth day of August, one thousand olght hundred and fifty-five.

"G. W. HUTCHINSON, Grand General. "J. K. GOODWIN. Grand Quartermaster."

The constitution consists of six articles, regulating the organization of the "Grand Encamp-ment," which is "composed of representatives elected from each subordinate regiment existing in the Territory, as hereafter provided. The officers of the Grand Encampment shall consist of a Grand General, Grand Vice-General, Grand Quartermuster, Grand Paymaster, Grand Aid, two Grand Sentinels, and Grand Chaplain.

"The Grand Encampment shall make all nomina-tions for Territorial officers at large, and immediately after such nominations shall have been made, the Grand General shall communicate the result to every regiment in the Territory."

The officers of the "GRAND ENCAMPMENT" arc, Grand General Rev. G. W. Hutchinson.

Lawrence, K. T. Grand Vice-General, C. K. Holliday, Topeka,

Grand Quartermaster, J. K. Goodwin, Lawrence, K. T.

Grand Paymaster, Charles Leib, M. D., Leavenworth city, K. T.

venworth city. N. T.

By "the constitution of the subordinate encampment," "the officers of each subordinate
regiment shall consist of a colonel, a lieutenantcolonel, a quartermaster, sid, and 'wo sentinels.
The regiment boated in each and every election
district, shall make nominations for all candidates for offices in their respective districts; but where there shall be two or more regiments in any one election district, of whatever kind. these nominations shall be made by delegates from the respective encampments within said district."

The "ritual" continues the order of business and modes of proceeding in the subordinate encampment, under the following heads:

1st. Reading the minutes by the quarter-

master.

2d. Proposals for new recruits.

3d. Voting for same.

4th. Initiation of recruits.

5th, Reports of committees,

6th. Unfinished business appearing on the minutes

7th. Miscellancous business.

8th. Adjournment.

The "opening ceremony" of the subordinate | nois, you will open the doors, that our soldiers may encampmenta is as follows:

"The colonel, ill-intensity colonel, quartermenter, paymenter, sid, and sentinels, being in their respective places, the regiment shall be called and time addressed by the colonel;

" Colonel. Follow-soldiers in the Free-State army: The hour has arrived when we must resume the duties dovelving upon us. Let us each, with a heart de-voted to juntice patrictism, and liberty, attend close-ly to all the regulations laid down for our government and action; each laboring to make this review pleasant and profitable to ourselves, and a bleasing to our country. Ald, are the sentinels at their post, with closed doors?

" Aid. They are. "Colonel. Ald, you will now review the troops in Aid. (After examination.) I have examined

them personally, and find each correct. "Colonel. I pronounce this regiment arrayed and rendy for service."

Then follows the process of initiating new recruits, who are properly vouched for by members of the order, the preliminary obligations to observe secreey, the catechism to which the candidate is subjected, and the explanations of the colonel in respect to the objects of the order, which are thus stated:

"First, to secure to Kansas the blessing and prosperity of being a free State ; and, secondly, to protect the ballet-box from the LEPROUS TOUCH OF UNPRINCI-

These and all other questions being satisfactorily answered, the final oath is thus administored.

"With these explanations upon our part, we shall ask of you that you take with us an obligation piacing yoursolf in the same attitude as before.

"OBLIGATION.

"I, _____, in the most solemn manner, here "I, in the most solemn manner, here in the presence of Heaven and these witnesses, bind myself that I will never reveal, no; cause to be revealed, either by word, look, or sign, by witting, printleng, engraving, painting, or in any manner whatsoever, anything potataling to this inetitation, save to persons duly qualified to receive the same. I will never reveal the nature of the organization, the place of meeling, the fact that any person is a mem-ber of the same, or even the existence of the organiher of the same, or even the extended of the organization, except to persons legally qualified to receive the same. Should I at any time withdraw, or be suspended or expliced from this organization, a will keep person or mon-ya belonging to this organization be invasted to my care or keeping, i will faithfully and completely deliver up the same to my successor in office, or say one legally authorized to receive them. I will never knowingly propose a person for members, and the same of the same Kansas a free State, and whom I feel satisfied with Kansas a free Niale, and whom I feel satisfied will exert his entire influence to bring about this result. I will support, maintain, and abide by any honorable movement made by the organization to secure this great end, which will not conflict with the laws of the country and the Constitution of the United States. I will unflinchingly vote for and support the candidates nominated by this organization in preference to any and all others

and all others.

"To all of this obligation I do most solemnly pro-mice and affirm, binding myself under the penalty of mice and affirm, binding myself under the penalty of name published to the several Territorial senamp-ments as a perjurer before Heaven, and a traitor to my country, of passing through hife scorned and ra-viled by man, frowed on by devils, forasken by angels, and abanton aby to do:1.

The "closing ceremony" is as follows:

"Colonel. Fellow-soldiers: I trust this review has been both pleasant and profitable to all. We met as friends; let us part as brothers, remembering that we

Your committee have deemed it important to give this outline of the "constitution and ritual of the grand encampment and regiments of the or me grand enganpment and regaments of the Kansas legion," as corretituting the secret or-ganization, political and utilitary, in obedience to which the public demonstrations have been made to subvert the authority of the Territorial government established by Congress, by setting up a State government, either with or without the assent of Congress, as circumstances should determine. The endorsement of this military organization, and the recommendation by the Big Springs convention for "the procurement and preparation of arms," accompanied with the distinct declaration that "we will resist then the laws enacted by the Kausas legisla-turel to a bloody issue, as soon as we ascertain that peaceable remedies shall fail, and forcible resistance shall furnish any reasonable prospect of success," would seem to admit of no other interpretation than that, in the event that the courts of justice shall sustain the validity of these laws, and Congress shall refuse to admit Kansas as a State with the constitution to be formed at Topcka, they will set up an inde-pendent government in defiance of the federal authority.

The same purpose is clearly indicated by the other proceedings of this convention, in which it is declared that "we with scorn repudiate the election-law, so called," and nominate Gov-ernor Reeder for Congress, to be voted for on a different day from that authorized by law, at an election to be held by judges and elerks not appointed in pursuance of any legal authority, and not to be sworn by any person authorized by law to administer oaths; and the returns to be made, and result proclaimed, and certificate granted, in a mode and by persons not permitted to perform these acts by any law, in or out of the Territory.

In accepting the nomination, Governor Reeder addressed the convention as follows; and, among other things, said:

"In giving him this nomination in this manner, they had strengthened his arms to do their work, and, in return, he would now pledge to them a steady, nurshouling pertinacity of purpose, never-tring industry, which does not not not perfectly the state of the which does had endowed him, to the righting of their wrongs, and the final triumph of their cause. He believed, from the circumstance which had for the fast believed, from the circumstances which had for the last eight months surrounded him, and which had, at the same time, placed in his possession many facts, and bound him, heart and sonl, to the oppressed voters of Kansas, that he could do much towards obtaining a

Kanssa, that ae comu on muon towards overaming a redress of their grievances.

"He said that, day by day a crisis was coming upon as; that, in alter-times, this would be to posterity a turning-point, a marked period, as are to us the opening of the Bevolution, the adoption of the Deciration of Independence, and the era of the alien and self-tion laws; that we should take each carefully, so that tion isws; that we should take each carefully, so that seach be a step of progress, and so that no violence be done to the tie which binds the American people for gether. He alluded to the unprecedented tyrain under which we are and have been; and said that, if any one supposed that institutions were to be imposed. posed by force upon a free and enlightened people, posed by force upon a free and enlightened people, they never knew, or had forgotton, the history of our factors, american citizent beaut their breast two factors, american citizent beaut two thresholds and the blessings of liberty, to submit to oppression from any quarter; and the man who having once been free, could tamely submit to yranny, was fit to be a flave.

"He urged the Free-State near of Kansan to forget "He orged the Free-State near of Kansan to forget her produced the state of the

friends; let us just as brethers, remembering that we seek no wrong to any; and our band of an in all minor issues, and pursue determined the one seek no wrong to any; and our band of an in all minor issues, and pursue determined the one man, better midthors, and better citizens. We think you for your kindness and steterion, and invite us and the wise mon who followed the size to the part of the counseled that peaceful resistance be made to the ..., on ... nort, at ... c'clock p. K. Son till replaced and mighal laws of the spurious logicalisture,

that appeals to the courts, to the ballet-box, and to Dongress, to made for relief from this oppressive load; that violence should be deprecated as long as a single logs of peaceable referes remained that it, at least all there about 161-Hi, in the proper tribunds, there is no hope for our dearest rights, suttaged and prefamed if we are all the noise, that corrupt man may reap more channes for justice. Got has provided, in the chernal france of thiops, referes for every wrong; and their remains to us will the steady op and the strong france for the proper strong the strong france for the proper strong the strong france for the proper strong the strong france for the strong france france for the strong france france france from the strong france fran kopp of peaceable redress remained; but if, at last, all tremity; and, oven abould an operal to arms come, it was his opinion, that if we are well prepared, that me-ment the victory is well."

In pursuance of the recommendation of the mass meeting held at Lawrence on the 14th of mass meeting sets at Lawrence on the Aut of August, and endorsed by the convention held at the Big Springs on the 5th and 6th of September, a convention was held at Topeka on the 19th and 26th of September, at which it was deter-mined to hold another convention at the same place on the fourth Tuesday of October, for the purpose of forming a constitution and State government; and to this end such proceedings were had as were deemed necessary for giving the notices, conducting the election of dele-gates, making the returns, and assembling the convention. With regard to the regularity of these proceedings, your committee see no re-cessity for further criticism than is to be found in the fact that it was the movement of a politi-cal party instead of the whole body of the people of Kansas, conducted without the sanction of law, and in defiance of the constituted anthorities, for the avowed purpose of overthrow-ing the territorial government catablished by · Congress.

The constitutional convention met at Topeka on the fourth Tuesday of October, and organized by electing Col. J. H. Lane president, who, in returning his acknowledgments for the honor, repudiated the validity of the territorial legislature and its acts in these words:

"Gentlemen of the convention: For the position assigned me, accopt my thanks. You have met, gon-tlemen, en no ordinary occasion, to accomplish no ordinary purpose. You are the first legal representa-tives the real settlers of Kanson have over and. You tives use real settlers or Manasa mayo o'or and. Not comprise the first legally-olected representative body over exampled in the Territory," etc. "Friday, October 28.—In: Emit offered the fol-lowing resolution, instructing the standing commit-

"Recolved, That the various committees of this convention be, and they are hereby, instructed to frame their work, having in view an immediate or-

from their vors, naving in view in innerpase or ganization of a State government."

**Colcider 23.—In the confing recolor to debete "to high upon Iri. Smith's recolution in reference to an immediate State organization. The moves of the recolution may be favor of oldering State officers at ones. He would edvice no beststion, he would pre-cent a bold from, and waver not at all. The Territory cont a botalrous, and water mps at all. And various van without laure; Ho and proposty wore unproducted. The territorial government had broken down. He would not leave at an hour for the exten of compensation an application for edmission, but would cot up an independent form of government, "Not.

Mr. Emery caid:

"How, It's Observed, that does this resolution confunction which is proposed to be done? It first confunction when the proposed to be done? It first confunction in the proposed to be done in the confunction of programment, and before it consistents the functional programment. The proposed in the confunction of an extending the confunction of the confunc to the calculation of the strategy of the control o

insitiate a new jewerment. He propose to force theories of many ripin onto fact, of synatchist proposed to force the proposed to the proposed to the proposed to the proposed to the control of the developed to the propile, and extending superintensial this right of the people, and extending no gentlemas on the floor will elagory owith non. If he does, he occupied a most entirely position, and both. When the proper is the proper in the proper is the proper in the property of the proper body. No, when we say that we will take measures to ouperseds and ronder unnecessary that thing now ox-tanged over us called a torritorial government—when we say and maintain that we have a right guaractoed by the Constitution, to have a form of government recting on our own consent and free will, we are only doing what, an American citizens, we have a right to do; we only propose to earry out the deetrine, much abused and grossly misrepresented as it has been-I mean the doctrine of squatter sovereignty, under which mean the describent against servering by and or which we are assombled here to-day, and in parsuance of the principles of which we hope to extricate ourselves from our present unhappy condition."

It is but just to state, that in another part of this same speech, Mr. Emery declared himself opposed to an immediate election "under the new constitution, and an immediate session of new constitution, and in immediate session of the general assembly, when all the wheels of State government shall be put in motion, irrespective of the action of Congress, upon due application for admission. Mr. E. presented his objections to the opsition of Mr. Smith, and maintained the views above indicated. He contended that, inasmuch as the Territorial form of government was recognized by the Supreme Court of the United States, and hence a legal form of government, no other government could be substituted so long as that was in existence, without risking the most serious consequences, to say the least."

In reply to the advocates of immediate State organization, Mr. Delahay, of Leavenworth,

said:

"Tader the defined rights of squatter covereignty, as enunciated by the Kannas-Nelmalia and I. it soom reasonable that the people have the right to take upon themselve the the people have the right to take upon themselve the time to be soon to be a soon to be soon to reason the process of the authority is to come in consistent with that of the people of Kannas to organized with that of the power from the restrict by Congress. The gentleman from Livership is to come in contract the process of th ted it; in other words, that the government and law of Kanssa can be abelished by Congress alone, and are beyond the reach of this verritory, or any and are beyond the reach of this 'Ferritory, at any other power. I do not protend to dony that, as all civil power is derived from the people, they have the moral right to abelish unjust laws, or to everithree cheexistic governments by force; but I do question the expedi-governments by force; but I do question the expediency of effecting a reform in Kaneas by any overt act of robellion. For I must confess, Mr. Chairman, while I cast not the shadow of suspicion on the motives of the advocates of this measure, that from the point of view from which I regard this question, it appears to mo to be an act of rebellion."

Your committee have made these voluminous rour committee have made these voltaments extracts from the best authenticated reports which they have been able to obtain of the proceedings of the convention, for the purpose of showing that it was distinctly understood on os showing mater vas assumety understood on all sides that the adoption of the proposition for organizing the State government, before the as-cent of Conjeccs for the admission of the State chould be obtained, was a decision in favor of repudiating the laws, and overthrowing the Ter-ritorial government in defence of the authority of Congress. By this decision as incorporated into the cchedule to the constitution, the vote on for all State efficers on the third Tuesday of January, 1856. The third section of the schedule is

"The general assembly chall meet on the did dry of Jarch, A. D. 1891, at the other of Zugelin, at dry of Jarch, A. D. 1891, at the other of Zugelin, at an any occurrent, menticater of state, judges of supermi-court, treasurer, suattor, state printer, reporter, and cloth of agreement court, and atterney-general, shall appear, take the oath of oilee, and onter upon the discharge of the cluster and build position in office life unsurage of the autice of their respective offices in-der this constitution; and chall continue in office in the came manner, and during the same period, they would have done had they been elected on the first Efonday in August, A. D. 1863."

The elections for all these officers were held at the time specified; and on the fourth day of the present month, the new government was to have been put in operation, in conflict with the Territorial government established by Con-gress, and for the averyed purpose of subverting and overthrowing the same, without reference to the action of Congress upon their applica-tion for admission into the Union.

your commistee are not aware of any case in the history of our born country, which can be fairly cited as an example, much less a justification, for these extraordinary proceedings. Cases have occurred in which the inhabitants of particular processing the commission of particular processing the contract of the country o ticular Territories have been permitted to form constitutions, and take the initiatory steps for the organization of State governments, preparatory to their admission into the Union, without obtaining the previous assent of Congress; BUT IN EVERY INSTANCE THE PROCEEDING HAS ORIGINATED WITH, AND BEEN CONDUCTED IN SUBORDINATION TO, THE AUTHORITY OF THE LO-CAL GOVERNMENTS ESTABLISHED OR RECOG-NIZED BY THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES. Michigan, Arkansas, Florida, and California, are cometimes cited as cases in point, Michigan was creeted into a Territory in pursuance of the ordinance of the 13th of July, 1787 as recognized and carried into effect by acts of Congress subsequent to the adoption of the Federal Constitution. In that ordinance it was provided that the Territory northwest of the Ohio river should be divided into not less than three nor more than fivo States; "and, whenever any of said States shall have sixty thousand free inhabitants therein, such State shall be admitted, by its delegates, into the Congress of the United States on an equal footing with the original States in all respects whatever, and original States in the respects watered, and shall be at liberty to form a permanent conditution and State government."

In pursuance of this provision of their original law, the legislature of the Territory of Michigan

passed an act providing for a convention of the people to form a constitution and State government, which was accordingly done in obedience to the laws and constituted anthorities of the Territory. The legislature of the Territory of Arkansas, having ascertained by a census that the Territory contained about fifty one thousand eight hundred inhabitants, at a time when the ratio of representation in Congress awarded one representative to each forty-seven thousand seven hundred inhabitants, passed an act authe result of the result of th this point your committee adopt the legal opin-ion of the Attorney General of the United States, (B. F. Butler,) as ompromed in the following en-

ordinary privileges and immunities of citizens of (1.) United States: Among those, is the right to assumely and to position the government for the redress of griovances; in the exercise of this right, the lahab prierancies; in the exercise of this right, the labeling and of Arinascia may praceable meet together and primary accombine, or in conventions closen by puch accombine, and accombine, and accombine, and accombine to the more right of positioning, and conduct, all their proceedings in a peaceable mean car. And as the proceeding rise precedings in a peaceable mean car. And as the progress of Congrues over the whole shipes in pleany rad utilizes which is their polyment means the case of the popie to to be affected by it. If, therefore, the efficient project to accompany their position and the project to accompany their position of Arkanaan think project to accompany their position and their primary accombilies, or by a convention of Calzagote chosen by such incombiles, I processor not produced objection to their power to do so, nor to any uncomed objection to their power to do so, nor to any uncombiling the projection of their power to do so, nor to any uncombiling the projection of their power to do so, nor to any uncombiling the projection of their power to do so, nor to any uncombined to the project of the provided, charge, that much measures in respect to it; provided, always, that such measures in respect to it; provided, always, that such measures be commenced and proceeded in a poaceal is manua; in strict subordination to the existing Territorial governmont, and in entire cabservious to the power of Congress to adopt, reject, or disregard them at their pleasure.

"It is, however, very obvious, that all measures com-menced and proceduted with a design to subvert the Torritorial government, and to establish and put in Torritorial government, and to establish and with the force in its place a new government, either the cases of Congress, will be unlawful. The laws establishing the Poristorial government must consultant force until abrogated by Congress; and, in the meaning, it will be the duty of the governor, and of all the Territorial officers, as well as of the President, to take ears that they are distillably executed.

On the 11th day of January, 1839, a committee of the constitutional convention of Florido addressed a memorial to Congress, in which thoy state that, in 1837, the Territorial council passed a law admitting to the people the qua-tion of "State" or "Territory," to be decaded, at the election of delegates to Congress in 'tho month of May of that year; that a decided ma-jority of the suffrages given at that election was in favor of "State;" that the legislative conneil of 1838, in obedience to the expressed wishes of the people, enacted a law authoriz-ing the holding of a convention to form and adopt a State constitution; that the conven-tion assembled on the 3d of December, 1833, and continued in session until the 11th of January, 1839: and that, on behalf of the people of Florida, they transmit the "constitution, or form of government," and ask for admission into the Union. It is also stated in the memorial tate Choin. It is case stated in the Emiliotic that in 1828 a census of the Territory was taken, in obedience to clay passed by the Territorial council, and that this census, although taken during the ravages of Indian hostilities, when a large postion of the inhabitants could not be found at home, showed an aggregate population of forty-eight thousand two hundred and twenty-three persons, which the memorial-ists ineisted furnished estisfactory accurance of a sufficient population to entitle them to admistion, according to the treaty acquiring the country from Spain, and the then ratio of repre-sentation, which awarded a member of Congress sentation, which awarded a member of Congica-to each 4,7700 inhabitants. Congress failure to yield its ussent to the admission of Plovide for more then air years after this constitution van-formed, and application made, the peculiar Flaid, during all that period, roundined loyal to the Periodical government, and obtained for failure, and did not extrame the Flaid of the lower of the periodic program of the periodic properties of Science for earn of the program of the constitution of the constitution of the periodic program of the constitution of the periodic program out until the cosmit of Congress tree delivered in 1855. was obtained in 1845.

The circumstances connected with the forma-"But I am not repaired to say think all proceedings of the constitution and State government of Onlike subject, on the part of the elitions of Airo of the country because the desired on position. Purity the License and advantage of the country was etual accomplished by the country was etual accomplished by the Lieutoca

our troops, and the civil government administerod by the military authorities ender the war-power. According ten militaria comminisation of tieneral Persiter F. Smith, acting povernor of Francisco, mader dato of March 27, 1889, with-bolding his "recognition and concurrence" in their proposition "to organize a legislative as-sumbly, and to appoint judges and other minis-terial folicers, and to ender suitable laws to es-terial folicers, and to ender suitable laws to esod by the military authorities under the warterial officers, and to enact sutuants may a vertablish principles of justice and equity, and to give protection to life, liberty, and property," it appears that the President of the United States (Mr. Polk) and his cabinet officially promulgated the following opinions as the decision of the President of the Pr Executive on the points stated :

let. That at the conclusion of the treaty with Mexico, on the 30th of May, 1848, the military government existing in California was a govern-

ment de facto.

2nd. That it, of necessity, continue until Congress provide another; because, if it cease, anarchy must ensue: thus inferring that no power but Congress can establish any government.

It disc appears, from the proclamation of General Riley, acting governor, to the people of California, dated, June 3d, 1849, that a government de facto was constituted as follows:

- "A hoir any many of the organization of the present giverment may not be unliaivesting. It consists— Birst, of a governor appointed by the supreme gover-ment; in doubt of such appointment, the office is temporarily vessed in the commanding military office temporarily vessed in the commanding military office or any of a limited to present out itsee of the gover-tor any of a limited to present out itsee of the gover-tor any of a limited to present out the political out by the laws. Second, a secretary, whose du-lass and powers are also properly defined. Third, a terri-tical of the political control of the political out of four judges and a fixed. Fifth, a profest and sub-prefect for each district, who are charged with the preservation of the public order and the exceetion of the laws; their dutic correspond, in a great measure, the laws; their dutic correspond, in a great measure, ledge of first instance, for each district, this office is, by a custom, red inconsistent with the laws, vested "A briof sur, mary of the organization of the present the first alcalde of the district. Seventh, alin the first alcaled of the district. Seventh, al-caldes, who have concurrent jurisdiction among them-solves in the same district, but are suberdinate to the higher jusicial tribunals. Fighth, local justices of the peace. Minth, ayuntamientos, or town councils. The powers and functions of all these officers are fai-by defined in the laws of the country, and are almost identical with these of the corresponding officers in the Atlantic and Western States."
- On the 3d of April, 1849, President Taylor ap-pointed Thomas Butler King agent, for the pur-pose of conveying important instructions to our military and naval commanders who were intrusted with the administration of the civil government de facto in California, and to make known to the people his opinions and wishes in respect to the formation of a constitution and State government preparatory to their admission into the Union. What these opinions and wishes were, are distinctly stated by the President in the following extract from his special message to Congress on the 23d of January, 1850:
- "I did not healtate to express to the people of those Torritories my desire that each Territory should, if prepared to comply with the requisitions of the Constitution of the United States, form a plan of a State Constitution, and aubmit the same to Congress, with a prayer for admission into the Union re a State; but I did not anticipate, suggest, or authorize the catab-I did not anticipate, suggest, or authorize the catal-lishmont of any such government without its assont of Congress; nor did I suthorize any government-ficance or control over the olection of dispute, or over any convention, in making or modifying their domestic institutions, range of the provisions of their proposed Constitution. On the contrary, the instruc-tions by my orders were, that all measures of consenti-

policy, adopted by the people of California, must originate sololy with themselves; that, while the Executive of the United States was desirous to protect them in the formation of any government republican in its character, to be, at the proper time, submitted to Congress, yet it was to be distinctly understood that the plan of sach a government must, at the same time, be the result of their own deliberate choice, and originate with themselves, without the interference of the Executive."

On the 39th of June, 1850, General Riley, in his capacity as civil governor of California, reports to the government at Washington that

44 On the 3rd instant. I issued my preclamation to the copie of California, defining what was understood to a the legal position of affairs here; and pointing out the course it was deemed advisable to pursue in order to procure a new political organization, better adapted to the character and present condition of the country. The course indicated in my preclamation will be adopted by the people, almost unanimonsly; and there is now little or no doubt that the convention

there is now little or no doubt that the convention will meet on the first of Suptember not, and form a State Constitution, to be submitted to Congress in the early pars of the coming sense indication; but I think a majority will be in favor of a State government, so as to word all further of the State government, so as to word all further of the state government, so as to word all further of the state government, so as to word all further of the state government, so as to word all further of the state government, the property of the state of the state of the people of California may be clearly and fully as presend. Of coarse, the Constitution or plan of a Tertitorial government formed by this convention, can have an Cegal Toco till approved by Congress."

On the 12th day of October, General Riley, acting governor, issued the following proclama-

" To the People of California,

"The delegates of the people, assembled in conven-tion, have formed a constitution which is now pre-sented for your ratification. The time and mannor of voting on this constitution, and of helding the first general election, are clearly set forth in the schedulo. The whole subject is, therefore, left for your unbiased and deliborate consideration.

and dellocate consideration.

"The preject (or person exercising the functions of that office), of each district will designate the places for opening the polis, and give due notice of the election, in accordance with the provisions of the

constitution and schedule.
"The people are now called upon to form a governmont for themselves, and to designate such officers as they desire to make and execute the laws. That their thoy uses to make and execute the law. Into their choice may be wisely made, and that the government so organized may secure the permanent welfare and happiness of the people of the new State, is the sincere and earnest wish of the pre-ent executive, who, if the constitution be ratified, will with pleasure surrender his pewors to whomseover the people may designate

as his successor.
"Given at Monterey, California, this twelftn day
of October, in the year of our Lord eighteen hundred and forty-nine.

Brevet Brig. Gen. U. S. A., and Governor of Cali-fornia.

"Official: l: H. W. HALLECK, Brevet Captain, and Secretary of State."

These facts and official papers prove conclusively that the proposition to the people of Cali-fornia, to hold a convention and organize a State government, originated with, and that all the progovernment, originated with, and that an the pro-ceedings were had in subordination to, the au-thority and supremacy of the existing local gov-ernment of the Territory, under the advice, and with the approval, of the executive government of the United States. Hence the action of the people of California in forming their constitution and State government, and of Congress in admitting the State into the Union, cannot be cited, with the least show of justice or fairness, in justification or palliation of the revolutionary move-ments to subvert the government which Con-gress has established in Kansas.

Nor can the insurgents derive aid or comfort

from the position assumed by either party to use of similar means in the slaveholding States, the unfortunate centroversy which arose in the to produce directly the opposite result. To those State of Rhode Island, a lew years 209, when causes, and to these alone, in the option of your an effort was made to change the organic law, Committee, may be traced the origin and proand set up a State government in opposition to the one then in existence, under the charter grarted by Charles the Second of England. Those who were engaged in that unsuccessful struggle assumed, as fundamental truths in our system of government, that Rhode Island was a Sovereign State in all that pertained to ber internal affairs; that the right to change their organic law was an essential attribute of soveorganic law was an essential attribute or sove-roignty; that, inasmuch as the charter under which the existing government was organized contained no provision for clianging or amend-ing the same, and the people had not delegated that right to the legislature or any other tribunal, is followed, as a matter of course, that they had retained it, and were at liberty to exercise it in such manner as to them should seem wise, just,

and proper.
Without deeming it necessary to express any opinion on this occasion, in reference to the opinion on this occasion, in recreme to the merits of that controversy, it is evident that the principles upon which it was conducted are not involved in the revolutionary struggle now going on in Kansas; for the roason, that the sovereignty of a Territory remains in abeyance, suspended in the United States, in trust for the people, until they shall be admitted into the Union as a State. In the mean time, they are untitled to enjoy and exercise all the privileges and rights of self-government, in subordination to the Constitution of the United States, and in obcdience to their organic law passed by Con-gress in pursuance of that instrument. These rights and privileges are all derived from the Constitution, through the act of Congress, and Constitution, through the act of Congress, and must be exercised and enjoyed in subjection to all the limitations and restrictions which that Constitution imposes. Hence, it is clear that the people of the Territory have no inherent severeign right, under the Constitution of the United States, to annul the laws and resist the authority of the territorial government which Congress has established in obedience to the Constitution.

In tracing, step by step, the origin and history of these Kanass difficulties, your Committee have been profoundly impressed with the signi-ficant fact, that each one has resulted from an attempt to violate or treumvent the principles and provisions of the act of Congress for the or-ganization of Kansas and Nebraska. The leading idea and fundamental principle of the Kansas-Nebraska act, "as expressed in the law itself, was to leave the actual settlers and bona-fide inhabitants of each Territory "perfectly free to form and regulate their domestic institutions in their own way, subject only to the Constitution of the United States." While this is declared to of the United States." While this is declared to be "the true intent and meaning of the act," those who were opposed to allowing the people of the Territory, preparatory to their edimission into the Union as a State, to decide the Slavery question for themsleves, failing to accomplish their purpose in the hells of Congress, and under the authority of the Constitution, immediately the authority of the Constitution, immediately and extraordinary means to State of the Slavery of the Constitution, and contraordinary means to State of the Slavery of the Constitution of the Constitution of the Slavery of the S destinies and shape the demestic institutions of Kansas, in defiance of the wishes, and regardless of the rights, of the people of that Torritory, as of the rights, or the people of that Torritory, as guaranteed by their organic law. Combinations, in one section of the Union, to stimulate an un-natural and false system of emigration, with the view of controlling the elections, and forcing the domestic institutions of the Territory to assimi-late to these of the non-slaveholding States, were followed, as might have been foreseen, by the

causes, and to these alone, in the opinion of your Committee, may be traced the origin and pro-gress of all the controversies and disturbances

with which Kansas is now convulsed. If these unfortunate troubles have resulted, as natural consequences, from unauthorized and improper schemes of foreign interference with the internal affairs and domestic concerns of the Territory, it is apparent that the remedy must be sought in a strict adherence to the principles, aul rigid enforcement of the provisions, of the organic law. In this connection, your Committee feel sincere satisfaction in commending the messeges and proclamation of the President of the United States, in which we have the gratifying assurance that the supromacy of the laws will be maintained; that rebellion will be orushed; that insurrection will be suppressed; that aggressive insurrection will be suppressed; that aggressive intrusion for the purpose of deciding cleotions, or any other purpose, will be repelled; that unatterized intermeddling in the local concerns of the Territory, both from adjoining and distant States, will be prevented; that the federal and local laws will be vindicated against all attempts. of organized resistance; and that the people of the Territory will be protected in the establishment of their own institutions, undisturbed by encreachments from without, and in the full en-joyment of the rights of self-government assured. to them by the Constitution and the organic law.

In view of these assurances, given under the conviction that the existing laws confer all the authority necessary to the perfermance of these important duties, and that the whole available force of the United States will be exerted to the setten required for thoir performance, your Committee repose in outire confidence that pesse, and security, and law, will provail in Kansas. If any farther evidence were necessary to prove that all the cellisions and difficulties in Kansas. have been produced by the schemes of foreign interference which have been developed in this report, in violation of the principles and in eva-sion of the provisions of the Kunsas-Nebraska act, it may be found in the fact that in Nebraska, to which the emigrant-aid societies did not extend their operations, and into which the stream of emigration was ocrmitted to flow in its usual and natural channels, nothing has occurred to disturb the peace and harmony of the Territory, while the principle of self-government, in obe-dience to the Constitution, has had fair play, and is quietly working out its legitimate results.

It now only remains for your Committee to respond to the two specific recommendations of the President, in his special message. They are

"This, it seems to me, can be best accomplished by providing that, when the inhalitants of Kansas may desire it, and shall be of sufficient numbers to constiwearre 15, and some 00 Of sufficient numbers 10 Constitute a State, a convention of delegates, duly alcoted by the qualified voters, shall a-semble to frame a constitution, and thus prepare, through regular and lawful means, for its admission into the Union as a State. I respecifully recommend the cancetment of 6

lawful means, for its numerous into the owner at a State. I respectfully recommend the enactment of a law to that effect.

"I recommend, also, that a special appropriation be made to defray any expense which may become requisite in the execution of the laws, or the maintenance of public order in the Territory of Kansas."

In compliance with the first recommendation. your committee ask leave to report a bill authorizing the legislature of the Territory to provide by law for the election of delegates by the people, and the assembling of a convention to form a constitution and State government preparatory to their admission into the Union on an equal footing with the original States, so soon as it shall appear, by a census to be taken under the direction of the governor, by the authority of the legisintere, that the Torritory contains minety-three thousand four hundred and twenty inhabitants— that hoing the annaber required by the present ratio of representation for a promber of Con-

In compliance with the other recommendation, our committee propose to offer to the appropriation bill on amondment appropriating such sum as shall be found necessory, by the certimates to be obtained, for the purpose indicated in the recommendation of the President,

All at which is respectfully submitted to the Equato by your committee.

Mr. Collamor of Vermont, the minority member of said Committee, submitted the following

MINORITY REPORT-

Views of the minority of the Committee on Territima of the introving of the communes to herry tories, to whom was referred so much of the an-nual message of the President as relates to Per-tiorial affairs, the message of the Presi-dent of 24th January in relation to Kansas Territory, and the message of the President of the 18th February, in answer to the resolu-tion of the Senate of the 4th February, relative to affairs in Kansas.

Thirteen of the present presperous States of this Union passed through the period of appreuticeship or pupilage of territorial training, under the guardiauship of Congress, preparatory to assuming their proud rank of manhood as soveroign and independent States. This period of their pupilage was, in every case, a period of the good offices of parent and child, in the kind rela-tionship agets ined between the national and the Territorial government, and may be remembered with feelings of gratitude and pride. We have fallen on different times. A Territory of our government is now convulsed with violence and discord, and the whole family of our nation is in a state of exitement and anxiety. national executive power is put in motion, the army in requisition, and Congress is invoked for interference.

In this case, as in all others of difficulty, it becomes necessary to inquire what is the true cause of existing trouble, in order to apply effectual cure. It is but temporary palliatives to deal with the external and more obvious manifestations and dovelopments, while the real, procuring cause lies unattended to, and uncorrected, and noremoved.

It is said that organized opposition to law exists in Kansas. That, if existing, may probably be suppressed by the President, by the use of the army; and so, too, may invasions by armed bodies from Missouri, if the Executive he sincero in its efforts; but when this is done, while the cause of too ble remains the sending the send of trouble remains, the results will continue with

of trottle remains, the hands war consumed of danger.

Let us, then, look fairly and undisguisedly at this subject, in its true character and listory. Wherein does this Kaneas Territory differ from all our other Territories, which have been so an our other territories, which have been so peacefully and successfully carried through, and heen developed into the manhood of independent States? Can that difference account for existing troubles? Can that difference, as a cause of trouble, be removed?

The first and great point of difference between the Territorial government of Kansas and that of the thirteen Territorial governments before

clear provision, the law is relation to the subject of slavery to be operative in the Territory, while it remained such; not leaving it in may one of those cases to be a subject of controversy within the same, while in the plastic gristle of its youth. This was done by Congress in the exercise of the same power which mounded the form of their orcome power wich moment in our of their of gaule laws, and appointed their executive and indicinry, and sometimes their ligibilities officers; it was the power provided in the Constitution, in these words: "Cangress shall have power to dispose of and make all needful rules and redispose of and make all needful rule; and ro-regulations respecting the Territory or other pro-perty belonging to the United States." Softling thocubject of Shavery while the country remain-ed a Territory, was no higher exercise of power in Congress than the regulation of the functions of the Territorial government, and actually ap-mitting in religious functions. This was pointing its principal functionacies. This prucsenced with this national government, and a scontinued, with uninterrupted uniformity, for more than sixty years. This practical contemporanceus construction of the constitutional power of this government is too clear to leave room for doubt, or opportunity for skepti-The peace, prosperity, and success which attended this course, and the results which have cusued, in the fernation and admission of the thirteen States therefrom, are most conclusive unrecen states thereform, are most conclusive and satisfactory ovidence, also, of the wisdom and prudence with which this power was exercised. Deludod must be that people who, in the purant of plausible theories, become deaf to the lessous, and blind to the results, of their own experieuce.

Lot us next inquire by what rule of uniformity Congress was governed, in the exercise of this power of determining the condition of each Torritory as to Slavery, while remaining a Territory, as manifosted in those thirteen instances. An examination of our history will show that this was not done from time to time by egitation and local or party triumphs in Congress. The rule pursued was uniform and clear; and whoever may have lost by it, peace and prosperity have been gained. That rule was this:

Where Slavery was actually existing in a country to any considerable or general extent, it was (though somewhat modified as to further importation in some instances, as in Mississippi and Orleans Territories) suffered to remain. The fact that it had been taken and existed there, was nect tight in and poet taken and existed there, was taken as an indication of its adaptation and local utility. Where Slavery did not in fact exist to any appreciable extent, the same was, by Congress, expressly prohibited; so that in either ease the country settled up without difficulty or doubt as to the character of its institutions. In no instance was this difficult and disturbing subject left to the people who had and who might settle in the Torritory, to be there an everlasting bone of contention, so long as the Territorial government should continue. It was ever re-garded, too, as a subject in which the whole country had an interest, and, therefore, improper for local legislation.

And though whenever the people of a Territory

come to form their own organio law, as an indedependent State, they would, either before or after their admission as a State, form and would their institutions, as a sovereign State, in their nour institutions, as a sovereign citate, in their own way, you it must be expected, and has always proved true, that the State has taken the character her pupilage has prepared her for, as well in respect to Slavery as in other respects. Honce, six of the thirteen States are free States, or inte turrison. Aertitoria governments. Betrop mentioned, consists in the subject of Slaveys, because Slavety was prohibited in them by Con-gress while Territories, to wit: Ohio, Indiana, i. The action of Congress in relation to all those thitteen Tecritories was conducted on a uniform and pradent principle, to wit: To settle, by all Slavery was allowed in them by Congress while year of the thirteen are also whether them by Congress while they were Territories, to wit: Tengessee, Alabana, Michisippi, Florida, Loubinana, Arkaness Jupea.

Ali further to be absenced that to their large for the first the absenced that to the second first to the absenced that to the second first to the absenced that the second first to the second firs

On the 6th of Merch, A. D. 1820, was passed by Congress the net preparatory to the admission of the State of Missouri into the Union. Much controversy and discussion arose on the question whether a prohibition of Elevery within said State should be inserted, and it resulted in this that said State should be admitted without such prohibition, but that Slavery should be forever prohibited in the rest of that country coded to us by France lying north 36° 30' north latitude, and it was so done. This contract is known as the Missouri Compromise. Under this arrangement. Missouri was admitted as a slaveholding State, the same having been a slaveholding Territory. Arkanson, couth of the line, wes formed into a Territory, and Sinvery allowed therein, and afterwards admitted as a slaveholding State. lowa was made a Territory, north of the line, and, under the operation of the law, was settled up without slaves, and admitted as a free State. The country now making the Territories of Kansas and Nebracka, in 1820, was almost er entirely uninhabited, and lay north of said line, and whatever settlers entered the same before 1854 did se under that law, ferover forbidding

Slavery therein. In 1854 Congress passed an act establishing two new Territories—Nebraske and Kansas—in this region of country, where Slavory had Leon prohibited for mere than thirty years; and, instond of leaving said law against Slavery in operation, or prohibiting or expressly allowing or establishing Slavery, Congress left the sub-ject in said Territories, to be discussed, agitated, and legislated on, from time to time, and the elections in said Torritories to be conducted with reforence to that subject, from year to year, so long as they should remain Territories; fer, whatevor laws might be passed by the Torritorial legislatures on this subject, must be subject to change er repeal by those of the succeeding years. In most former Territorial governments, it was provided by law that their laws were subject to the revision of Congress, so that they would be made with cautien. In these Territories that was omitted.

The provision in relation to Slavery in Ne-

braska and Kansas is as follows: "The eighth section of the act preparatory to the admission of Missouri into the Union (which being incensistent with the principle of non-intervention by Congress with Slavery in the States and Terri-tories, as required by the legislation of 1850, commonly called the compromise measures) is hereby declared inoperative and void; it being the true intent and meaning of this act net to legislate Slavery into said Territory or State, nor to exclude it therefrom, but to leave the people thereof perfectly free to form and regulate their domostic institutions in their own way, subject only to the Censtitution of the United States: Provided, That nothing herein centained shall be construed to revive or put in force any law or regulation which may have existed prior to the act of 6th March, 1820, oithor protecting, es-

the act of the Marcia, 1820, either protecting, cestabilishing, prohibiting, or abelishing Slavere."

Thus it was promulgated to the people of this whole country that here was a clear field for competitior—an open course for the race of rivalship; the good of which was, the altimate establishment of a sovereign State; and the praditions on the open country in the production of the produc

lopes.
It is further to be observed, that in the performance of this novel experiment, it was pro-vided that all white men who became inhebits auta in Kanson were cutified to vote without regard to their time of residence, usually provid-of in other Texritories. Mor was this right of veting contined to American citizons, but in-cluded all such aliens as had declared, or would declare, on oath their intention to become citizens. Thus was the produmntion to the world to become inhabitants of Kausus, and culict in to become initializate of Kansus, and cure in this grant cuterprise, by the force of numbons, by vite, to decida for it the great passion. Was it to be expected that this great proclama-tion for the political tournament would be litted ed to with indifference and apachy? Was it ed to with indifference and apachy? Was it prepared and presented in that spirit? Did it relate to a subject on which the people were coal or indifferent? A large part of the people of this country look on domestic Slavery as "only evil, and that continually," alike to man ter and to slave, and to the community; to bu left alone to the management or enjoyment of the people of the States where it exists, but not the people of this caucis where it exists, but for to be extended, more especially as it gives, or may give, political eagreement to a minerity of the people of this country in the United States government. On the other hand, many of the people of another purt of the United States regard Slavery, if not in the abstract a blessing, at least as now existing, a condition of society best for both white and black, while they exis-together: while others regard it as ne ovil, but as the highest state of social condition. These consider that they cannot, with safety to their interests, permit political secudancy to be largely in the hands of those unfriendly to this peculiar institution. From these conflicting views, long and violent has been the centroversy, and experience seems to show it intorminable.

Many, and probably a large majority of this nation, levers of quiet, entertained the hepe, that, after 1850, the so-called Compremise Measures, even though not satisfactory to the Free States, would be kept by their supporters, and States, would be kept by their supporters, and made by them what they were professed to be, a finality on the subject of the extent and limitations of slave territory; more especially, after the assurances contained in the Inaugural Address of President Pierce. This hope was fortified with the consideration that at that time Congress lad, by different provisions, settled by law the condition of Freedom or Slavery for all the territory of the United States. These hopes have been disappointed, and from this very provision for repose has been extracted a principle for disturbing the cendition of things on which its founda-tion of finality rested—that is, the permanence and continuance of the then existing condition of legal provisions. The ostablishment of the terrilegal provisions. The detablishment of the terri-torial governments for Utah and New-Mexico, without a prohibition of Slavery, was sustained by many on the ground that no such provision was required for its exclusion, as the condition of the country and its laws were a sufficient barrier; and therefore they sustained them, because it would complete the series, and finish the provisions as to Slavery in all our territory, and make an end of controversy on that subject : yet, in 1854, it was insisted by the friends and sup-perters of the laws of 1850, and it is actually ascetablisation of a sovereign State; and the privacy for the laws of 1859, and it is actually asprize, the reward of everiating liberty and its serted in the law catablishing the territorial gorinstitutions on the one hand, or the perpetuity of Slavery and its concomitants on the other and Utah, being of the Compromise Measures,
It is the obvious duty of this government, which this law continues, to see this manifesto faith their great and profises object of finality; and the somethy, and honorably performed,
even though its particular supporters may sist and congrain and ending the provieven though its particular supporters may sist and congrain calcino for the Territorius no to Slavery, it really declared a principle which condition of society, either to go to Kanoas as in-unsettled all those where Slavery had been proemettee an moss whose survery may use mean pro-hibited, and rendered it proper, and only proper, to declare such prohibitions all "imperative and word." The spirit and feeling which thus per-verted those Compromise town, and made them the direct instrument of renewed disturbance, could not be expected then to leave the result to the decision of the people of Kansas with entire inactivity and indifference.

The claveholding States, in 1829, seemed the

admission of Missouri as a slaveholding State, and all the region south of 36° 39' to the same purpose, by agreeing and ocacting that all north of that line should be forcer free; and by this they obtained only a sufficient number of votes from the Free States, as counted with theirs, to arou the Free States, as counted with thems, to under it. In 1859, they agreed that if Now-Mexico and Utah were unde Territories, without a pro-hibition of Shavery, it would, with the laws al-roady made for the rest of our territory, settle forever the whole subject. This proposition, for such a termination, also seemed votes from the auch a termination, also accurred votes from the Freo States, enuegh, with their own, from the daveholding States, to adopt it. In 1854, in atter disregard of these repented contracts, both these arrangements were broken, and both these com-promises disregarded, and all their provisions for feedom doclared imperative and void, by the vote of the slavelholding States, with a very few honorable exceptions, and a minority of the votes of the Free States. After this extraordinary and inexousable proceeding, it was not to be expected that the people of the slavoholding States would take no active measures to secure a favorable result by votes in the Territory of Kausas, Neither could it be expected that the people of the Free States, who regarded the act of 1854 as a double breach of faith, would sit down and make no offort, by legal meuns, to correct it.

It has been said that the repeal of this provision

of the Misseuri Compromise, and breach of the Compromise of 1850, should not be regarded as a measure of the slaveholding States, because it was presented by a Senator from a Free State.

The actions or votes of one or more individual men cannot give character to, or be regarded as fixing a measure on, their section or party. The only true or honest modo of determining whether any measure is that of any section or party is, to ascertain whether the majority of that section or party voted for it. Now, a large majority—indeed, the whole, with a few rare exceptions—of the representatives from the slaveholding States voted for that ropeal. On the other hand, a majority of the representatives from the Free States voted against it.

voted against it.

This subject of Slavery in the Territories, which has violently agitated the country for many years, and which has been attempted to be settled twice by compromise, as before stated, does not remain settled. The Missouri Compromise and the supposed finality by the acts of 1850, are scattered and dissolved by the vote of the slaveholding States; and it is not to be dis-guised that this uncalled for and disturbing measure has produced a spirit of resentment, from a

ure has produced a spirit of resontinent, from a feeling of its injustice, which, while the cause continues, will be difficult to allay. This subject, then, which Congress has been unable to settle in any such way as the Slavo States will enstain, is now turned over to those who have or shall become inhabitants of Kansas. value have of said teconic introducts of Russis to arrange; and all men are invited to partici-pate in the experiment, regardless of their charac-ter, political or religious views, or place of na-

tivity. Now, what is the right and the duty of the people of this country in relation to this matter? Is it not the right of all who believe in the bless-

good condition of that Territory ; or If they caunot se go and selle, is it not their duty, by all lawful means in their power, to promote this object by inducing others like-minded to go? This right becomes a daty to all who follow their couvictions. All who regard an establishment of Slavery in Kansan as best for that Territory, or as necessary to their own safety by the political weight it given in the national government, should use all lawful means to scenro that result; and clearly, the inducing men to go there to become permanent inhabitants and so incre to be vote as often as the elections occur in favor of the establishment of Slavory, and thus control the elections, and preserve it a Slave State for-over, is neither unlawful nor consumeble. It la, and would be highly prainoworthy and commendable, because it is using lawful means to carry forward honest convictions of public good. All lawfully-associated effort to that ond is equally commendable. Nor will the application of opprobrious epithets, and calling it propagandism, chango its moral or legal character from whatever quarter or source, official or othorwise, such opi-thets may come. Neither should they detor any mon from peaceably performing his duty by fol-lowing his honest convictions.

On the other hand, all those who have seen and realized the blessings of universal liberty, and be-lieve that it can only be secured and promoted by the prohibition of domestic Slavery, and that the elevation of honest industry can never succeed where servitude makes labor degrading should, as in duty beand, put forth all reasonable oxer-tions to advance this groat object, by lawful

means, whenever permitted by laws of their country. When, therofero, Kansas was presented, by law, as an open field for this experiment, and all were invited to outer, it became the right and all were invited to enter, it became the right and duty of all such as desired, to go there as inhabit-ants for the purpose, by their numbers and by their votes lawfully east, from time to time, to carry or control, in a legal way, the elections there for this object. This could only be lawfully effected by permanent residence, and continued and repeated effort, during the continuance of the Territorial government, and pormanently remaining there to ferm and preserve a Free-State constitu-tion. All those who entertained the same sentiments, but were not disposed themselves to go, had the right and duty to use all lawful means to encourage and promote the object. If the pur-pose could be best effected by united efforts, by voluntary associations or corporations, or by voluntary assectations or corporations, or by State assistance, as proposed in some Southern States, it was all equally lawful and laudable. This was not the officious intermeddling with the internal affairs of another nation, or State, or the Territory of another people. The Territory is the property of the nation, and is, professedly, open to the settlement and the institutions of every part of the United States. If lawful means, every part of the United States. It inwited Means, so extensive as to be effectual, were used to people it with a majority of inhabitants opposed to Slavery, is now considered as a violation of, or an opposition to, the law establishing the Territory, then the declarations and provisions of the law were but a premeditated delusion, which not only allowed such measures, but actually invited them, by enacting that the largest number of the settlers should dotermine the condition of the country; thus inviting efforts for numbers. Such an invitation must have been expected to prodnee such efforts on both sides.

It now becomes necessary to inquire what has in fact taken place. If violence has taken place as the netural, and, perhaps, unavoidable, censequences of the nature of the experiment, bringing ings of slaveholding, and regard it as the best into dangerous contact and collision inflamma-

ble elements, it was the vice of a mistaken law, and immediate measures should be taken by Congress to correct such law. If force and vio-lence have been substituted for peaceful meas-ures there, logal provisions should be made and excented to correct all the wrong much violence has produced, and to prevent their recurrence, and thus seeme a fair fulfillment of the experiment by penceful means, an originally professed

and presented in the law.

A succinct statement of the exercise and progress of the praterial ovents in Kausas is this: After the passage of this law, establishing the After the passage of this ray, estamening in-Territory of Kaumu, a large body of settlers rap-lelly entered into naid Territory with a view to sermonout inhabitancy therein. Most of these permanent, inhabitancy therein. Most of these were from the Free-States of the West and North, who probably intended by their votes and influence to establish there a Free State, agreeable to the law which invited them. Some part of those from the Northern States had been encouraged and aided in this enterprise by the Emigrant Aid Society formed in Massachusetts, which put forth some exertions in this landable object, by open and public measures, in providing theilities for transportation to all peaceable citizens who desired to become permanent settlers in said Torritery, and providing therein hotels, mills, etc., for the public accommodation of that new coun-

try.
The governor of Kansas, having, in pursuance of law, divided the Territory into districts, and procured a census thereof, issued his proclama-tion for the election of a logislative assembly therein, to take place on the 30th day of March, 1855, and directed how the same should be conducted, and the returns made to him agreeable the text and the returns made to thin agreeable to the law establishing said Territory. On the day of election, large bodies of armed mon from the State of Missouri appeared at the polls in most of the districts, and by most violent and tumultuous earriage and demeanor overawed the detonscloss inhabitants, and by their own votes elected a large majority of the members of both houses of said assembly. On the returns of said election being made to the governor, protests and objections were made to him in relation to and objections were inside to him in rotation to a part of said districts; and as to thom, he set aside such, and such only, as by the returns ap-peared to be bad. In 'clation to others, cover-ing, in all, a majority of the two houses, equally victous in fact, but apparently good by formal returns, the inhabitants thereof, borne down by said violence and intimidation, scattered and disceuraged, and laboring under apprehensions of personal violence, refrained and desisted from presenting any protest to the governor in rela-tion thereto; and he, then uninformed in relation thereto, issued certificates to the members who appeared by said formal returns to have been elected.

In relation to these districts which the governor so set aside, orders wero by him issued for new electious. In one of these districts the same proceedings were repeated by men from Missou-ri, and in others not, and certificates were issued

to the persons elected.

This legislative assembly, so elected, assembly, 1855 This legislative assembly, so elected, assembled at Pawnec, on the second day of July, 1855, that being the time and place for holding said meeting, as fix-1 by the governor, by authority of law. On assembling, the said houses proceeded to set naide and reject those members so elected on said second election, except in the district where the men form Misseauth had. the district where the men from Missouri had, at said election, chosen the same persons they had elected at the said first election, and they admitted all of the said first-elected members.

A legislative assembly, so created by military force, by a foreign invasion, in violation of the organic law, was but a usurpation. No act of

Its own, no net or neglect of the governor, could logalize or sanctify it. Its own decisions as to its own legality are like its laws, but the fruits of its own naurontlon, which no governor could logithuate.

They passed an act altering the place of the temporary seat of government to the Shawnee Mission, on the border of, and in near proximity to, Missouri. This act the governor regarded as a violation of the organic law establishing the Territory, which fixed the temperary neat of government, and prohibited the legislative as-sembly from doing anything inconsistent with said act. He, therefore, and for that cause, vetoed said bill; but said assembly repassed the same by a two-thirds majority, notwithstanding said vete, and removed to said Shawnoo Mussion. They then proceeded to pass laws, and the governor, la writing, declined further transmission of the same by th to recognize them as a legitimate assembly, sit-ting at that place. They continued passing laws there, from the 18th day of July to the Slat day of August, 1855.

On the 15th day of August last, the governor of said Territory was distributed from office, and the duties devolved upon the sceretary of the Territery; and how many of the laws passed with his official approbation does not appear, the laws as now presented being without date

or authortication.

As by the law of Congress organizing said Territory it was expressly provided, that the people of the Territory were to be "left perfectly free to form and regulate their domestic institutions in their own way," and among those institutions Slavery is included, it was, of course, implied that that subject was to be open and free to public and private discussion in all its bearings, rights, and relationships. Among these must, of course, be the question, What was the state of the existing laws, and the medifications that might be required ou that subject? The law had declared that its "true intent and meaning was not to legislate Slavery into the Territory, or exclude it therefrom." This would, of course, loave to that people the inquiry, What, then, are the existing rights under the Coustitution? Can slaves be holden in the absence of any law on the subject? This question, about which so much difference of opinion exists, and which Congress and the courts have never settled, was thus turned over to the peo-pie there, to discuss and settle for themselves.

This territorial legislature, so created by force from Missouri, atterly refused to permit discussion on the subject; but, assuming that discussion on the subject; but, assuming that Slavery already existed there, and that neither Congress nor the people in the Torritory, under the authority of Congress, had or could prohibit it, passed a law which, if enforced, utterly prohibits all discussion of the question. The eleventh and twelfth sections of that act are as follows:

lows:

lows:

"Sec. 11. If any person print, write, introduce into, publish or circulate, or cause to be brought into, publish or circulated, or circulated, or shall knowingly aid or sasist in bringing into, printing, but the printing into the printing any statements, argaments, opinions, entiments, decirious, advice or innuendo, calculated affection among the slawes in this Territory, or induce such sisses to except from the service of their masters or to resist their authority, he shall be guilty of a folony, and be pumbled by imprisonment and in a few printing and the pumbled by imprisonment and "Sec. 12. If any free person, by speaking or by writing, asset or maintain that persons have not the right to hold slaves in this Territory, or shall intotials, or cause to be introduced into this Territory, written, printed, published or circulated in this Ter-

citory, any book, paper, rasgaciae, pamphlet or circular, containing any denial of the right of percous to hold slaves in this Territory, such percon shell be deemed guilty of felory, and punished by imprisonment at hard labor for a term of not less than two years."

And further providing, that no person "conceintiously opposed to holding alvace" shall sit as a juro in the trial of any cause founded on a breach of the foregoing law. They further provided, that all officers and attoracys should be breach of the foregoing law. They further provided, that all officers and attoracys should be foregoing law. They further provided, that all officers and tentracy should be foregoing law of the Territory, and the fugitive-slave laws, and that any person offering to vote shall be presumed to be entitled to vote until the contrary is shown, and if any one, and the fugitive-slave laws, he shall not be person to be considered to the foregoing law of the further provided and the presumed to the presumed to the further provided to the contract of the further provided for the immediate when the contract of the further provided for the immediate further provided for the results of the further provided for the provided for the immediate further provided for the provided for the immediate further provided for the immediate further

They pormitted no election of may of the officers in the Territory to be made by the people thereof, but created the offices and filled them, or appointed officers to fill them for long periods, and provided that the next annual election should be holden in October, 1855, and the assembly to meet in January, 1857, so that some of these might be changed, in 1856; but the council, which is elected for two years, could not be changed so as to allow a change of the laws or officers until the session of 1858, however much be inhabitants of the Territory might desire it.

These laws, made by an essentity created by a foreign force, are but a manifestation of the spirit of oppression which was the parent of the spirit of oppression which was the parent of the whole transaction. No excuse can be found for it in the pretense that the inhabitants had carried with them into said Territory a quantity of no excuse; secondly, it is untrue, as their harps rifles were only o'hained afterwards, and entirely for the parpose of self-defones, the necessity for which this invasion and other acts of violence and the said the said to the said the said of the said to the s

by the army and the whole power of this unifica. The people of Kanasa, thus invaded, subducd, oppressed, and insulted, seeing their territorial government (such only in from) perverted into an engine to crush them in the dust, and to decat and deterry the professed object of their orientation of their orientation of their orientation of their orientation of the color of their orientation of the color of their orientation of the orientation of their orientation orientation of their orientation of their orientation orient

It is true that in several instances in our po-

litical history, the people of a Territory have been authorized by an act of Congress form a State constitution, and after so doing, vero as that constitution, and after so doing, vero as the constitution of Congress. It is quite obvious that no such authority could be given by the act of proven by the constitution of the people of a Territory have, without any provious act of Congress, proceeded to call a convention of the people by their delegates; have formed a State constitution of the constitution of the people of a Territory have, without any provious act of Congress, proceeded to call a convention of the people by their delegates; have formed a State constitution and a State legislature ossembled under it, and chosen Senators to Congress, and then have presented said constitution to Congress, who has approved the same, and received the senators and members of Congress who were chosen unabled and the senators and members of Congress, and then have a case of Michigan, where the people not only formed a State constitution without an act of Congress, that they actually put their State government into full owner of the people of a congress thereof it was approved by Congress by receiving it as the constitution without an act of Congress before they were admitted into the Union. When the people of Arkansas were constitution without appears of the constitution without appears

"It is not in the power of the goneral assembly of Arkansas to pass any law for the purpose of electing members to a convention to form a Constitution and State government, nor to do any other net, directly or indirectly, to reast each government. Swerg such, the form of the convention of the convention of the theory of the convention of the convention of the theory of the convention of the convention of the third of text branch, it would still be equally void."

He further decided that it was not rebellious or insurrectionary, or even unlowful, for the continuous of the constitution, and that the se forming a State constitution, and often organizing under the same as to choose the officers necessary for its representation in Congress, with a view to present the same to Congress, or admission, was a powor which fell clerify within the right of the people to assemble and petition for redress. The people of Arkansas proceeded without an et of Congress, and were received into the Union accordingly. If any rights were derived to the people of Arkansas from the terms of the Fronch treaty of session, they equally extended to the people of gestion, they equally extended to the people of

Exenses, it being a part of the same cession. In this view of the subject, in the first part of August, 1855, a call was published in the published papers for a meeting of the citizens of Kansas, irrespective of party, to meet at Lawrence, in said Territory, on the 18th of said August, to take into consideration the propriety of calling a convention of the people of the whole Territory, to consider that subject. That meeting was held on the 18th day of August last, and it proceeded to call such convention of detegates to be detected, and to assemble at Topeka, in each Territory on the convention of the propriety of calling, formuly, a convention for that purpose. The proceedings of this meeting of the 18th of August were as follows:

State Constitution.

" LAWRENCE, KANSAS TERRITORY, August 15, 1855. "Pursuant to a published call, signed 'Many Citicon). 'A take helo consideration the symplety of Colling a Territorial convention, artifalings to the formation of a state government, and other abyletic of public interest, 'a convention of the clitican of Rancas Territory, trespective of party, mot, and upon Gaucas Territory, trespective of party, mot, and upon the chair, G. W. Brown, E. D. Ladd, G. R. Blood, L. P. Licolin, James Ohriettan, and Dr. J. D. Barane cleen vice-president, and J. E. Goodin, and J. P. Dos, 'do the chair of the chair secretaries

"On motion of J. Hutchinsen, eq., a committee of five were appointed to prepare business for the conven-tion. Measts. G. W. Smith, O. K. Holliday, C. Robia-go, John Brown, jr., and A. F. Powell were chosen that committee.

that committee.
"During the absence of the committee, the conveation was addressed by Rov. — Loveloy, G. W. Brown, J.
Hagchieson, and M. F. Conway. After which, Mr. G.
W. Smith, chairman, submitted the following as the
report of the committee:
"Whoreas the people of Kansan Territory have
been, siace it settlement, and now are, without any

"Whoreas the people of Rankan Territory have been since in accelerance, and one are, without any Researched, That we, the people of Kanasa Territory. Researched, That we, the people of Kanasa Territory and the state of the sta States of America.'

States of America."

"After the disension of the resolution by Mr. Stearns and others, the report of the committee masses and others, the report of the committee masses. "In motion, it was ordered that the proceedings of this convention be published in the newspapers of this convention be published in the newspapers of the convention the published of W. Brown, were appointed a crimitation to be holden at circulate the call for the conviousno to be holden at

Topeka.

"On motion, the convention adjourned sine die.

"A. HUNTING, President.
"G. W. BEOWN,
E. D LADD,
C.E. BLOOD,
L. P. LINGOLN, JAS. CHRISTIAN,

J. D. BARRES, Vice-Presidents,

" J. K. Goodin, J. P. Fox, Secretaries."

Agreeable to these proceedings, the people of the different districts did, as therein recommended, proceed to appoint delegates to this meeting at Topcka, to be holden on said 19th day of Sep-tember, 1835. The delegates so appointed did assemble at Topcka on said day, and proceeded to consider said subject, and they took the following proceedings:

" Proceedings of the State Constitutional Convention, held at Topeka, Kanzas Territory, September 19-20, 1855.

"Whereas the Constitution of the United States "Whereas the Constitution of the United Situal guarantees to the people of this republic the right of anomaling together in a pencishic manner for the constitution of the people of the people of the people of the constitution of the people of the temperature in the people of the pe

feating the object of the organicact, in consequence of which the Torritorial government became a perfect feature, and the people were lost without any legal control of the people which the people was supported by the second of the second o

members of a convention to from a constantion, adopt a bill of rights for the people of Kanasa, and take all needful measures for organizing a Siste government preparatory to the admission of Kanasa into "Resolved. That the appretionment of elegates to add convention shall be as follows: two delegates for each representative to which the people were outlied in the tightsirto exemulty by predamation of the contract of a chairman and secretary. They shall keep a resolution of a chairman and secretary. They shall keep a resolution of the contract of the contract of the Reviews of superintendence of the affairs of the Territory so far as regards the organization of a State government, which committee chall be styled 'the executive com-

which committee that he styled 'the executive committee of Kansa Ferritory'.

"Resolved. That it shall be the day of the oxecutive committee of Kansas Ferritory to advertise said election at least filtered days before the second Therefore the said the state of the said indexes of each preciact shall appoint two clerks, all of whom shall be duly sworn or affirmed to discharge the duties of their respective offices impartially, and with fidelity and they shall save power to administer the outlet of the said indexes and they shall save power to administer the outlet of the said they shall save power to administer the outlet of the said they shall save power to administer the outlet of the said they shall save power to state they are said election at 10 c'olock a.u. at the place designated in each preciact by the said executive designated in each precinct by the said executive committee, and close the same at 4 o'clock P. M. And committee, and close the same at 4 o'clock p. M. And in case any o'the officers appointed fail to attend, "he officer or officers in attondance shall supply the first of the officers of the fore the convention, and they shall, within ten days, soal up and hand the other to some member of the executive committee.

executive committee.

"Resided," That the chairman of the executive committee of Ennasa Territory shall assume, by production of the name of the persons elected deligation to the said convention; and in case the returns from soon thereafter as practicable; and in case of a file, a new election shall be ordered by the executive committee, gring fare days' notice thereof, by the same officers who officiated at the first election.

"Resided," Rat all white made junkability, citizens of the case of the place of thirty days immeritory of Kansas for the space of thirty days immeritory of Kansas for the space of thirty days immeritory of Kansas for the space of thirty days immeritance.

ritory of Kaasas for the space of thirty days immediately preceding the day of said election, shall be entitled to vote for delegates to said convention; and entitled to vote for delegates to said convention; and all white male inhabitants, citizens of the United States, above the age of twenty-one years, who have resided in the Territory of Kanass for the space of three months immediately preceding the 'wy of election, shall be eligible as delegates to said con-

vention: "Resided, That if at the time of he kiding end e lection it shall be inconvenient, on account of I calida natulities or any other cause whatever that would distarbate the contract of the calidate for the calidate for the calidate for the calidate for each of the calidate for the calidate f

judges, at which time and place the qualified voters may cast their vites.

Accorded. That is the state of th

privileges, and incumpities incident to each budies, and preficions and Baumilles maiorite a and future, and including allowed raths and regulations for the govern-ment are indicate thereof any direct. If a majority of said convention to not ensemble on the day appointed thereby, a less member is hereby authorized to ad-

Journ from day to day. " Resolved. That he case of the death, resignation, or mentional That is consort in a court, resignation, come attendance of any delegate obtain from any district of the Territory, the president of the convention shall issue its wift ordering a new desiden, on the days notice, to be conducted as heretother director.

"Resolved, That no person shall be called to a aest in the convention at its organization except the monbers whose prince are contained in the presimuation of the chairmon of the executive committee. But after the convention is organized, seats may be con-

tested in the usual way. "Resolved. That the members of the convention shall receive, as a compoundion for their services, the oun of three dellars per day, and three dellars for every twenty polles' travel to and from the same, and that Boogress barespectfully requested to appropriate a audicient sum to defray the necessary expenses of

a someout and o using a anid convention.
"Resolved, That on the adoption of a Constitution for the State of Kaussa, the president of the conven-tion shall transmit on anthenticated only thereof to the President of the United States, to the President of the Senate, and to the Speaker of the Rouse of Representatives; to each member of Congress, and to the governor of each of the several States in the Union; and adopt such other monances as will soone to the people of Kanssa the righta and privilege of a soverelge State.

" On motion, the committee on address was vested with authority to notify the people of the several districts of the Territory of the coming election, by handbills, public addresses, and otherwise as they may

think proper. "The Territorial executive committee was appointed by the chair, consisting of the following persons.

J. H. Lane, O. K. Holliday, M. J. Parrott, P. O.
Schuyler G. W. Smith, G. W. Brown, and J. K. Goodin.

"On motion, the proceedings of this convention were ordered to be published in all the papers of the Territory.

" A vote of thanks was passed to the president and officers of the emrention.
Adjourned, with three enthusiastic choers for the

new government of Kanass.
"WM, Y. ROBERTS, President. E. D. LADD, J. H. NESBITT,

M. W. DELAHAY, Secretaries."

" CONSTITUTIONAL PROCLAMATION.

" To the Legal Voters of Kansas:

"Whereas the Territorial government, as now canstituted for Kansas, has proved a failure—squatter sovereignly under its workings a miscrable delusion, in proof of which it is only necessary to refer to our past history and our pres in deplorable condition, our fallot-boxes have been taken possession of by bands of armed men from foreign States—our people forcibly or armee men irom ioreign States—our people foreibly driven thereform—person attempting to be folsed upon ne as members of a so-called legislature, unac-quainted with our wants, and hostile to our best interests—some of them never residents of our Ter-ritory—minammed large passed, and now attempting to be enforced by the aid of citizens of foreign States of **Sisteman enterprise of the most oppræsive, tyramical, and insulting characteristic properties and the most oppræsive. Tyramical, and insulting characteristic properties are the right of suffice and the most oppræsive. Tyramical, and insulting characteristic properties are the right of the properties and the resulting control of even stilled—the unraining of the press attempted; and whereas longer forbastness without, and whereas the right of changing their form of government when the right of changing their form of government when the right of changing their form of government when the right of changing their form of government when the right of changing their form of government when the right of changing their form of government is uncome to the Constitution, and is the more central the right of constitution, and is the more control that the control of processing the control of processing the control of government is uncome to the Constitution, and leaven as a few process of the control of processing the control of government is uncome to the Constitution, and is the more control of the control of government is uncome to the Constitution, and is the more control of government is uncome to the Constitution, and is the more control of government is uncome to the Constitution, and is the more control of government is uncome to the control of government is uncon

accrequented to meet in your accord precises in soft, Particle Chainer and the soft of the second of the Particle Chainer seed, it being the soluth day of and, month, and then and there each your ballets for mon-leva of a convention, to must at Tupols on the fourth and the second of the second of the second of the holys at Mil of Tights for the pumple of Kausan, and take all needful amounts for unanishing a Wate gover-rement properties of the threshold of Kausan into the Union as a State.

" Places for Polls.

" First election-district - Lawrence procinct, at the "Cryst rection-district "-lawrouse proclinet, at the office of John Hatchitson, in Lawrence, librarion predicet, in the house of J. B. Abhett, in Hauten, Palmyra proclinet, at the house of H. Hartchitse, in Palmyra—Walanton river the dividing line between

the two prochests. Second election-district,-- lileomington procinct,

"Szonia dectrian-district.—Honoulustica products, busan of Harrison Bravon, an the Wakturas, Richida product, busan of 2, 2, Granton, Basil Boughton, of C. V. dibas, Topulas, Ilig. Springs products, the of E. V. dibas, Topulas, Ilig. Springs product, at the hones of Wesley Frust, le Wachington Toomuse, "Popurth election-district.—Willow Springs product, at the hones of Mr. Hoyaland, in Tecamoch." "Popurth election-district.—Willow Springs product at the longest OB. Ushapuna, on the Scatts Pe crad, at the hones of D. Ushapuna, on the Scatts Pe crad,

at the homos of Br. Okapunn, on the Sunta For rown, splugfield.

"Myll's already allerica—hall-loresk precised, at "Myll's already allerica—hall-loresk precised, at "Myll's already allerica—hall precised, at the homos of Houry Sherman. Sasuwatanda precised, at the homes of Houry Sherman. Sasuwatanda precised, at the homes of Land Burgar-Creek precised, at the home of Land Burgar-Creek precised, at the homes of Land Burgar-Creek precised, at the homes of Land Burgar-Creek Results product, at the homes of Land Burgar-Creek Results product, at the homes of Land Burgar-Creek Poster precised, at the homes of Mr. Johnson, or a suitable building in Fort Scott. Scott's Town precised, at the homes of Mr. Johnson, or a suitable building in Fort

Scott. Scott's Town precinct, at the house of Mr.

Vandever.

"Seventh election-district. - Titus procinct, at the house of J. H. Titus, on the Santa Fe road, "Eighth election-district. - Council Grove precinct, "Eighth election-disvite."—Countil view freeholds at Gouncil Grove Mission House. Waubonsa precinct, at some auitable building in Waubonsa. Mill-Grock precinct, at the house of G. S. Hohoneck, on Mill-Grock. Ashland precinct, at the house of Mr. Adams,

in Ashland.

"Nonth election-district.--Tames product, at Lo-don and Shay's atoro. In Pawno.
"Tenth election-district.--lig Bine product, at the hone of S. D. Dyer, in Junistia. Rock-Greek pre-cinct, at the house of Robert Wilson.
"Eleventh election district.—Varmillion precinct, at the house of John Schmidt, on Vermillion branch of Blue river.

Blue river.

"Theight election-district.—St. Mary's precinct, at the house of B. F. Bertrand. Silver Lake precinct, at the house of Joseph Leframbois.

"Thirteenth election-district.—Hickory Point precinct, at the house of Chales Hardt. Falls precinct, at the house of Mill Company,' at Grass-hopper at the house of Mill Company,' at Grass-hopper.

Falls. "Fourteenth election-district.—Bur-Gak precinct, at the house of Benjamin Harding. Doniphan precinct, (including part of the 15th district to Walnut-Greek), at the house of Dr. G. A. Outler, in Doniphan. Wolf irrer precinct, at the house of Walnut-Greek pre-cinct of the Company of Walnut-Greek pre-cinct of the Company of Walnut-Greek pre-ting of the Company of the Company of Walnut-Greek pre-cinct of the Company of the Company

clorks shall have power to administer the oath or affirmation, to each ather; and the said judges shall open and discident at 10 o'clock s.m., at the place designated in each precised by the executive constitue of Kamens in each predict by the executive councilities of Raissa. Xertings, and close the sugar at 40 clock p. n. Incree any of the elifers applical fall to attack, the elifer or colleges in attackness shall supply their places. And the said judges shall make out duplicate returns of said election; sed an am transmit one copy of the source within two days to the clairman of the executive committee to be hid before the convention, and they shall within ten days send up and hand the other to must written for days send up and limed the other to none member of the ndel secretive coincillities. If at the three fineling raid election it shall be incorrect-ted on encount of irelian healtilities, or any other cause whatever, that would distart as prevent the voters of any obstitute-precised in the Territary rant the free and penevalue exercise of the distarter frauchies, the other way the property of the territary and the any other any after property. The Territary and to any of learn are he eby authorized to august and to any action proclass in the Territory, and to any other day they may see proper, of the necessity of which they shall be the exclusive judges, at which time and place the qualified voters may cast their

" QUALIFICATION OF VOTEIN, RTC.

" All white male inicabitants, citizens of the United States, or who have declared their latentions, before the proper authorities, to become such, above the age tid projet at aprittee, to become sates, house the age of twonly-uno years, who have lead a bone fide real-dence in the Territory for the space of thirty days immodistely proceding the day of the said obsetton, shall be outlined to vote for delegates to said conven-tion; and all white made inhobitants, citizens of the United States, above the age of twenty-one years, who have had a bone file residence in the Territory of Kan-ans for the space of three mouths immediately pre-coding the day of ciection, shall be oligible as celegates to said convention.

" APPORTIONMENT.

"The apportionment of delegates to said convention rane apportunment of delegate 2 to said convention thall be an follown: Two delegates for each represent-ative to while, the people were entitled in the legis-lative assembly, by preclamation of Governor Reeder of date March 10, 1855.

"It is confidently believed that the people of Kansas are fully allow to the importance of the step they are about to take in discothralling themsolves from the altern ut to before uncontraining tremsolver run in a slavory which is now fortering them; and the quad-ters of K-missa are carnesly requested to be at their soveral policy on the day above designated. See that there be no liegal votes cast, and that every ballot re-solved be in accordance with your choice for delegate to the constitutional convention, and have all the

to the constitution-I convention, and have all the regulations and restrictions carried out.

"The plan proposed in the proclamation, to govern you in the election, has been adopted after mature deliberation, and, if adhered to by yon, will result in estabilishing in Kansas an independent government that will be admitted into our beloved Union as a soveregen State, securing to our people the liberty they have heretofore enjoyed, and which has been so ruthlessly

wrested from them by reckless invaders By order of the executive committee of Kansas Territory.

"J. H. LANE, Chairman, " J. K. GOODIN, Secretary,

Delegates were elected agreeably to the pro-clamation so issued, and they met at Topcka on clamation so issued, and they met at Topcka on a constitution, which was submitted to the peo-ple, and was ratified by them by vote in the districts. An election of State officers and members of the State legislature has been had, and a rep-resentative to Congress elected, and it is intended to proceed to the election of senators, with the view to present the same, with the constitution, to Congress for admission into the Union. Whatever views individuals may at times, or

in meetings, have expressed, and whatever ultiin meetings, have expressed, and whatever un-mate determination may have been entertained in the result of being spurned by Congress, and refused redress, is now entirely immaterial. That cannot condemn or give character to the

Many may have honestly believed usurpation could make no law, and that if Congress made

In forming a law for themselves; but it is not now necessary to consider that matter, as it is to be heard that Congress will not leave them to much a necessity.

Thus far, this effort of the people for redress is posecial, constitutional, and right. Whether is will succoul, rests with Congress to determine but clear it is that it should not be not and denonneed an revolutionary, robellions, insurroctionary, or uninwful, nor does it call for ar junify the exercise of any farce by my department of this government to check or control it.

It now becomes proper to inquire what should he done by Congress; for we are informed by he done by Congress; for we are mornes by the President, in substance, that he has no power to correct a morporator, and that the laws, even though made by nourped authority, must be by hlu enforced and executed, even with military force. The measures of redress should be spplied to the true cause of the difficulty. abviously lies in the ropest of the clause for free-dom in the act of 1820, and therefore the true remedy lies in the entire repeal of the act of 1854, which effected it. Let this be done with frankness and magnimisity, and Kazzaa be organized anow, us a Froe Territory, and all will be put

right.
But, if Congress insist on proceeding with the experiment, then declare all the action by this spurious, foreign legislative assembly utterly inoperative and void, and direct a reorganization providing proper safeguard for legal voting and against foreign force.

There is, however, another way to put an end to all this trouble there, and in the nation, withto all this frombo there, and in the matter, with outrefracing steps or continuing violence, or by force compelling obedience to tyrannical laws made by foreign force; and that is, by admitting that Territory as a State, with her free constitu-tion. True, indeed, her numbers are not such as

gives her a right to demand admission, being, as the President informs us, probably only about twenty-five thousand. The Constitution fixes no number as necessary, and the importance of now settling this question may well justify Congress in admitting this as a State, at this time, especially as we have good reason to believe that, if admitted as a State, and controversy ended, it will immediately fill up with a numerous and successful population.

At any rate, it seems impossible to believe that Congress is to leave that people without redress, to have enforced upon them by the army of the nation these measures and laws of violence and oppression. Are they to be dragooned into sub-mission? Is that an experiment pleasant to

execute on our own free people?

The true character of this transaction is matter of extensive notoricty. Its essential features are too obvious to allow of any successful disguise or palliation, however complicated or ingenious may be the statements, or however special the pleadings, for that purpose. The case requires some quieting, kind, and prudent treatment by the hand of Congress to do justice and satisfy the nation. The people of this country are peacefully relying on Congress to provide the competent measures of redress which they have the undoubted power to administer.

The Attorney-General, in the case of Arkansas, says: "Congress may at pleasure repeal or modify the laws passed by the territorial legislamounty the laws plassed by the territorial tegish-ture, and may at any time abrogate and remodel the legislature itself, and all the other depart-ments of the territorial government. Treating this grievance in Kansas with inge-nious excuses, with neglect or contempt, or riding

over the oppressed with an army, and dragooning them into submission, will make no satisfactory could make no law, and that if Congress made termination. Party success may at times be no further provisions they were well justified temporarily secured by adroit devices, plausible

protensor, and partioen address; but the perma-neut preservation of this Union gan be maintainand procedured to the friend to be initiated of only by frankness and integrity. Justice may be denied where it ought to be granted; power may propotated that vassaling which violence and nearpation Lave produced; the subjugation of white breaman may be necessary, that African Slayery may succeed; but much a commo quant not be expected to produce peace and satisfaction in our country, so long as the people retain any proper southment of justice, liberty, and law.

J. COLLAMER.

It is not possible, within the limits prescribed for this volume, to give a full account of the debates and proceedings in the present Congress with relation to Knasas. Suffice it that, on the 19th of March, the House was brought to a vote on the proposition of the Committee of Elec empower said Committee to send to sansas for persons and papers, modified, on motion of Mr. Dunn of Indiana, with the assent of said Committee, so as to read as follows:

"Resolved, That a Committee of three of the members of this House, to be appointed by the Speaker, shall proceed to inquire into and collect evidence in regard to the troubles in Kansas generally, and particularly in regard to any fraud or force uttempted or practiced in reference to any of the elections which have taken place in any or the elections when into taken place in said Territory, either under the law organizing said Territory, or under any pretended law which may be alleged to have taken effect there since. That they shall fully investigate and take proof of all visions and turnultuous proceedings in 1 and of all visions and turnultuous proceedings in 1 and Territory, at any time since the passage of the Kansas-Nebraska act, whether engaged in by the residents of said Territory, or by any person or persons from elsewhere going into said Territory. tory, and doing, or encouraging others to do, any act of violence or public disturbance against the laws of the United States, or the rights, peace, and safety of the residents of said Territory; and for that purpose, said Committee shall have full power to send for, and examine, and take copies of, all such papers, public records, and proceed-ings, as in their judgment will be useful in the premises; and also, to send for persons and exampremises; and ano, to sent for persons and examine them on oath, or affirmation, as to matters within their knowledge, touching the matters of said investigation; and said formatitee, by their Chairman, shall have power to administer all necessary oaths or affirmations connected with their aforesaid duties.

" Resolved further, That said Committee may hold their investigations at such places and times not their investigations at such places and times as to them may seem advisable, and that they have leave of absence from the duties of this House until they shall have completed such in-vestigation. That they be authorized to em-ploy one or more clerks, and one or more assistant sergeants-at-arms, to aid them in their investigation; and may administer to them an oath, or affirmation, faithfully to perform the duties assigned to them, respectively, and to keep secret all matters which may come to their knowledge touching such investigation, as said Committee may direct, until the Report of the same shall be submitted to this House; and said Committee may discharge any such clerk, or assistant sergeant at arms, for neglect of duty or disregard of instructions in the premises, and employ others

under like regulations.
"Resolved further, That if any person shall, in any manner, obstruct or hinder said Committee, or attempt to do so, in their said investigation

or shall refuse to attend on said Committee, and to give evidence, when summoned for that purpose, or shall reture to produce any paper, book, public record, or proceeding, in their possession or control, to said Committee, when so required, or shall make my disturbance where said Con mittee is [ore] holding their sittings, said Commit-tee may, if they see fit, cause any such person to be arrested by said assistant sergeauf-at-arms, and brought before this House, to be dealt with ... for contempt.

"Resolved further, That, for the purpose of defraying the expenses of said Commission, there be, and hereby is, appropriated the num of ten thousand dollars, to be paid out of the contingent

fund of this House.

nint of the relocation. That the Prockdont of the United States be, and is hereby, requested to farmles to said Committee, cheuld they be not with any serious opposition by bedies of lawloss men in the discharge of their duties aforesaid, men in the assumpts of their datas and same, such ald from any military force as may at the time be convenient to them, as may be necessary to remove such opposition, and enable said Com-mittee, without molestation, to proceed with their labers.

"Resolved further, That when said Committee shall have completed said investigation, they report all the ovidence so collected to this House."

This proposition the House adopted-Yeas 101 : Navs 93-as follows :

YEAS-For the proposed Investigation:

MAINE-Samuel P. Benson, Ebenezer Knowlton, Israel Washburn, Jr.—2. New-Hampshirke—Aaron H. Cragin, James

Pike-2.

Massachusetts—James Buffluton, Ansen Burlingame, Calvin C. Chaffee, Linus B. Comins, William S. Daurell, Timothy Davis, Robert B. Hall, Chauncey L. Knapp, Mark Trefton

RHODE ISLAND-Nathaniel B. Durfee-1 CONNECTICUT-Ezra Clark, Jr., Sidney Dean, William W. Welch, John Woodruff-4.

VERMONT-James Meacham, Justin S. Mor-

rill—2.

NEW-YORK—Henry Bennett, Bayard Clarke,
Samuel Dickson, Edward Dodd, Francis S. Edwards, Thomas T. Flagler, William A. Gilbert,
Amos P. Granger, Solomon G. Hawen, Thomas
R. Horton, Jonas A. Hughston, William H. Kelsey, Rufus H. King, Oreamus B. Matteson,
Andrew Z. McCarty, Killiam Miller, Edwin B.
Morgan, Ambrese S. Murray, Andrew Oliver,
Andrew C. Merch, Delpanin Pringle, Russell
Sage, George A. Benjamin Pringle, Russell
Sage, George S. Strandska, Abrum Walcomson,
James S. T. Strandska, Abrum Walcomson

26.

NEW-JERSEY—Icaiala D. Clawson, James Bishop, George R. Robbins—3.

PERSEVITARIA—John Allison, DAVID BAR-CLAI, Semmel D. Brodden, James H. Camp-clai, Semmel D. Brodden, James H. Camp-clai, Semmel D. Brodden, Jense H. Camp-clai, Semmel D. Brodden, Jense H. Camp-clai, David Ritchie, Anthony E. Roberts, Job B. Tyson, Lemnel Todd—14.

OBIG—Edward Ball, Philemon Bliss, Lowis Campbell, Jimody C. Day, Jonas R. Eurie, Samuel Gelloway, Joshaa H. Gildings, Auron Gelloway, Joshaa H. Gildings, Auron Horton, Benjamin F. Leiter, Occar P. Moore, Richard Mott, Matthias H. Nichols, William R. Sapp, John Sherman, Elward Wade, Cooper S. Sapp, John Sherman, Edward Wade, Cooper K. Watson—18.

r ausur—10.
INDLANA—Lucien Barbour, Samuel Brenton,
Schuyler Colfax, William Cumback, George G.
Dunn, Daniel Mace, John U. Pettit, Harvey D.
Scott—8.

ILLINOIS-James Knox, Jesse O. Norton,

Ellhu B. Washburno, James H. Woodworth-

MICHIGAN-William A. Howard, David S. Walbridge, Houry Waldron-3.
Wisconsin-Charles Billinghurst, Cadwallader C. Woshburne--2

IOWA-AUGUSTUS C. HALL, James Therington-2.

Total Yeas, 101.

NAYS-Against the Investigation :

MAINE-Thomas J. D. Fuller-1

OTHER NEW-ENGLAND BYATES—None,
NEW-YORK—John Kelly, William W. Valk,
John Wheeler, Thomas R. Whitney—4.
NEW-JUSSEY—Glorgy Oyall—1.
PENNSYLYANA—John Cadwalader, Thomas B.

Plorence, J. Glancy Jones—3.
Omo—None. Wisconsin—None.
Indiana—William H. English, Smith Miller

MICHIGAN-George W. Peck--1. ILLINOIS-James C. Allon, Thomas L. Harris, amuol S. Marshall, William A. Richardson-

California-Philomon T. Horbort-1. IOWA-None.

Total from Free States, 17.

DELAWARE-None. MARYLAND-Thomas F. Bowie, Henry W. Davis, Henry W. Hoffman, J. Morrison Har-ris, James B. Ricaud, Jamos A. Stewart—6. VIRGINIA—Thomas S. Bocock, John S. Car-RIGHNA—THOMAS S. BOGOCK, John S. Carliske, John S. Caskie, Henry A. Edmundson, Charles J. Faulkner, William O. Goodo, Zedekiah Kidwell, John Leteber, Fayette McKullen, John S. Millson, Paulus Powoll, William Smith

NORTH CAROLINA-Louis O'B. Branch, Thom NORTH CAROLINA—LOUIS O. B. Branch, Lawrence as L. Clingman, Burton Craige, Robert T. Painc, Thomas Ruffin, Warren Winslow—6, South Carolina—William Aiken, William W. Boyce, Preston S. Brooks, John McQueen, James L. Orr—5.

Georgia—Howell Cobb, Martiu J. Crawford, John H. Lumpkin, James L. Seward, Alex. H. Stephens, Robert P. Trippe, Hiram Warner—

ALABAMA—W. R. W. Cobb, James F. Dow-dell, Sampson W. Harris, George S. Houston, Eli S. Shorter, William R. Smith, Percy Walker

—7.

MISHISHPI—Handley S. Bennett, William A.

Lake, John A. Quiman—3.

LOUISIAN—Thee. G. Davidson, George Eustis, Jr., John M. Sandidge, Miles Paylor—4.

FRORID—Augustas E. Maxwell—1.

TEXAS—Poter H. Bell, Lemud D. Eenns—2.

KEFFUCKT—Henry C. Burnett, John P.

Campbell, Leander M. Coz., John M. Elliot,

Elliot, M. G. M. Garden, J. G. G. Marker, M. Grander, F. Swop, Albert G. Talbott, William L. UnderTENNESSE, Glocore W. Long. Charles.

TENNESSEE-George W. Jones, Charles Ready, John H. Savage, Samuel A. Smith, William H. Sneed, Albert G. Wetkins, John V. Wright, Felix K. Zellicoffer—8.

of Missouri, were appointed the Committee of Investigation thereby required.

These gentlemen proceeded to Kansas, and spent several weeks there in taking tedtimony as to the elections, etc., which had taken place in that Territory. The testimony thus taken forms a volume of nearly twelve hundred large and closely-printed pages, the substance of which was summed up on their return by the majority (Mesars. Howard and Sherman), in the following

REPORT ON THE OUTRAGES IN KANSAS.

A journal of proceedings, including sundry communications made to and by the Committee was kept, a copy of which is herowith submitted. The testimony also is horowith submitted; a copy of it has been made and arranged, not ac-eotding to the order in which it was taken, but so as to present, as clearly as possible, a consecutive history of events in the Territory, from its organization to the 19th day of March, A. D.

Your Committee deem it their duty to state, en briefly as possible, he principal facts proven be-fore them. When the act to organize the Tor-Bliesty as provided in the act to organize the Ter-fore them. When the act to organize the Ter-ritory of Kansas was passed on — day of May, 1884, the greator portion of its eastern bor-der was included in Indian reservations not open for settlement, and there were but few white settiers in any portion of the Torritory. Its Indian population was rapidly decrossing, while many emigrants from different parts of our country were emigrants from atherent partoes our country was anxiously waiting the extinction of the Indian title, and the establishment of a Territorial Government, to seek new homes in its fortile prairies. It cannot be doubted that if its condition as a free Territory had been left undistubed by Congress its sottlement would have been partial, prospecting the consequence of the control prairies of the control prairies of the control prairies are consequently and prospecting the climate in the control prairies are controlled to the control prairies of the control prairies are controlled to the controlled to th rapid, peaceful, and prosperous. Its climate, soil, and its easy access to the older settlements would have made it the favored course for the tide of emigration constantly flowing to the West, and by this time it would have been admitted into the Union as a Free State, without the least into the Union as a Free State, without no least sectional excitement. If so organized, none but the kindest feeling could have existed between it and the adjoining State. Their mutual interests and intercourse, instead of, as now, endangering the harmony of the Union, would have strength-ened the ties of national brotherhood. The testimony clearly shows, that before the proposition to repeal the Missouri Compromise was introto repeal the Missouri Compromise was introduced into Congress, the people of western Missouri appeared indifferent to the prohibition of Slavery in the Territory, and neither asked nor desired its repeal.

desired its repeat.

When, however, the prohibition was removed by the action of Congress, the aspect of affairs entirely changed. The whole country was agitated, by the reopening of a controversy which conservative men in different sections hoped had been settled, in every State and Territory, by some law beyond the danger of repeal. The ex-citement which has always accompanied the Felix K. Zolitooffer—8.

Missours—Samuel Caruthers, Luther M. Kennett, James J. Lindley, Mordecai Oliver, John S. Phelps, Gilchrist Forter—6.

Arkabasa—None.

Rillimore man in Railes; Buchanan men voling Rillimore man in Railes; Buchanan men voling Rillimore man in Railes; Buchanan men voling Shanan Nays in Bomman.

So the resolution prevailed, and Messrs.

WILLIAM A. HOWARD of Michigan, Doub Good of a unifound compact. This excliment was multi-ruly transferred into the border counties of Missouri and the Territory as settlers favoring free or Slave institutions moved into it. A new WILLIAM A. HOWARD of Michigan, During Shanan men voling Shanan men vol in the Territory existed, and that neither the peo-ple nor the Territorial Legislature could prohibit Slavery—that that power was alone possessed by States — that have was done possessed by the people when they were authorized to form a State government. It was contended that the removal of the restriction virtually established Slavery in the Territory. This claim was urged by many prominent men in western Missouri, who solively engaged in the affairs of the Terriwhich tended to establish free institutions, was regarded as an interforence with their rights.

Within a few days after the organic law passed, and as soon as its passage could be known on the border, leading citizens of Missouri crossed into the Territory, held squatter meetings and then returned to their homes. Among their reso-

lutions are the following:

"That we will afford protection to ne Abelitionist as a settler of this Territory."
"That we recognize the institution of Slavery as al-ready existing in this Territory, and advise slavehold-ors to introduce their property as early as pecsible."

Similar resolutions were passed in various parts of the Territory, and by meetings in seve-al counties of Missouri. Thus the first effect of the repeal of the restriction against Slavery was to substitute the resolves of squatter meet-ings, composed almost exclusively of citizens of a single State, for the deliberate action of Con-gress, acquiesced in for thirty-five years. This unlawful interference has been continued

in every important event in the history of the Territory; every election has been controlled not by the actual settlers, but by citizens of Missouri, and as a consequence every officer in the Territory, from constables to legislators, except those appointed by the President, owe their posi-tions to non-resident voters. None have been

tions to non-resident votors. None have been elected by the settlers, and your Committee have been unable to find that any political power whatever, however unimportant, has been exercised by the people of the Territory.

In October, A. D. 1854, Gov. A. H. Reeder and the other officers appointed by the President and the other officers and the other officers appointed by the President and the other officers and the other officers and the other officers and the other officers and the other off and the other officers appointed by the Frestein arrived in the Territory. Settlers from all parts of the country were moving in ingreat numbers, making their claims and building their cabins. About the same time, and before any election was or could be held in the Territory, a secret politi-cal society was formed in the State of Missouri (1). It was known by different names, such as "Social Band," "Friends' Society," "Blue Lodge," "The Sons of the South." Its member were bound together by secret oaths, and they were bound together by secret outns, and they had passwords, signs, and grips by which they were known to each other. Penalties were imposed for violating the rules and secrets of the Order. Written minutes were kept of the proceedings of the Lodges, and the different Lodges were connected together by an effective organization. It embraced great numbers of the citi-zens of Missouri, and was extended into other Slave States and into the Territory. Its avowed purpose was not only to extend Slavery into Kan-aas, but also into other Territory of the United States, and to form a union of all the friends of States, and to form a union of all the friends of that institution. Its plan of operating was to or-ganize and send mon to vote at the elections in the Territory, to collect money to pay their ex-penses, and, if necessary, to protect them in vot-ing. It also proused to induce Pro-Slavery men to configurate into the Territory, to aid and sustain them while there, and to teled mone to office but those friendly to their views. This dengerous occiety was controlled by men who avewed their purpose to extend Slavery into the Territory at all hazards, and was altogether the most effective

instrument in organizing the subsequent armed invasions and forays. In its Lodges in Missouri the affairs of Kansas were discussed, the force necessary to control the election was divided into bands, and leaders selected, means were cel-lected, and signs and badges were agreed upon. While the great body of the actual settlers of the Territory were relying upon the rights secured to them by the organic law, and had formed no organization or combination whatever even of a party charactor, this conspiracy against their rights was gathering strength in a neighboring State, and would have been sufficient at their first election to have overpowered them, if they had been united to a man.

Your Committee had great difficulty in elicit-ing the proof of the details in regard to this secret ing the proof of the declaration in regard to this secrety. One witness, member of the legislative council, refused to answer questions in reference to it (2). Another declined to answer fally, because to do so would result to his injury (3). Others could or would only answer as to the gene-Others could or would only answer as to me goneral purposes of the Society, but sufficient is disclosed in the testimony to show the influence it had in controlling the elections in the Territory.

The first election was for a Delegate to Congress. It was appointed for the 29th of November, 1854. The Governor divided the Territory into seventeen Election Districts; appointed Judges and prescribed proper rules for the elec-tion. In the 1st, IIId, VIIIth, IXth, Xth, XIIth, XIIIth, and XVIIth Districts there appears to

have been but little if any fraudulent voting.
The election in the Hd District was held at the village of Douglas, nearly fifty miles from the Missouri line. On the day before the election, Missouri line. On the day before the election, large companies of men came into the district in wagons and on horseback, and declared that they were from the State of Missouri, and were going to Douglas to vote. On the morning of the election they gathered around the house where the election was to be held. Two of the Judges appointed by the Governor did not appear, and other Judges were elected by the crowd. All then voted. In order to make a pretense of right to vote, some persons of the company kept right to vote, some persons of the company kept a pretended register of squatter claims, on which any one could enter his name and then assert he had a claim in the Territory. A citizen of the district who was himself a candidate for Delegate to Congress, was told by one of the strangers, that he would be abused and probably killed if he challenged a vote (4). He was seized by the collar, ealled a d-d Abolitionist, and was compelled to seek protection in the room with the Judges. About the time the polls were closed, these strangers mounted their horses and got into their wagons and cried out

All aboard for Westport and Kansas City. A number were recognized as residents of Missouri, and among them was Samuel H. Woodson, souri, and among them was samuel H. Woodson, a leading lawyer of Independence. Of those whose names are on the poll-books, 35 were resident settlers and 226 were non-residents. The election in the IVth District was held at Dr. Chapman's, over 40 miles from the Missouri

Dr. Chapmans, your 40 mices from the Anssour State line. It was a thinly-settled region, con-taining but 47 voters in February, 1855, when the consus was taken. On the day before the election, from 100 to 150 citizons of Cass and Jackson Counties, Mo., came into this district, declaring their purpose to vote, and that they were bound to make Kansas a Slave State, if they did it at the point of the sword (5). Persons of the party on the way drove each a stake in the ground and ealled it a claim-and in one case saveral names were put on one stake. The party of strangers camped all night near where the

(1) Jordan Davidson, J. C. Prince, John Scott, J. H. Stringfellow.

(2) W. P. Richard on. (3) O. C. Prince. (4) John A. Wakefield. (5) Peter Bassinger.

election was to be held, and in the merning were at the election-polls and voted. One of their party got drunk, and to get rid of Dr. Chapman, bludge of the election, they sout for him to come and see a sick man, and in his absence filled his place with another judge, who was not swora. They did not deny or conceal that they were residents of Missouri, and many of them were residents of Missouri, and many of the work of the wear of the many of the wear of the many of the work of the many of the work of the wear of the work ritory if they were in it one hour. After the election thoy again returned to their homes in Missouri, eximping over night on the way.

We find upon the poll-books 161 names; of these not over 30 resided in the Territory, 131 were

non residents (6).

But few settlers attended the election in the Wth District, the District being large and the set-'th District, the District bong large and me seri-timent scattered. E2 votes were east; of these between 20 and 30 were settlers (7), and the rosi-duc were citizens of Missouri. They passed into the Territory (8) by way of the Santa Fe road and by the residence of Dr. Westfall, who then lived on the western line of Missouri (9). Some little excitement arose at the polls as to the legalnear extrement arose auther poils as to the legality of their voting, but they did vote for Gen. Whitfield, and said they intended to make Kansas a Slave State-and that they had claims in the Territory. Judge Tonzle, judge of the Court in Jackson County, Missouri, was presont, but did not vote (9). He said he did not intend to vote, but came to see that others voted. After the election, the Missourians returned the way they came.

The election in the VIth District was held at Fort Scott, in the southeast part of the Territory and near the Missouri line. A party of about one hundred men, from Cass and the counties in Missouri south of it, went into the Torri-tory, traveling about 45 miles, most of them with their wagons and tonts, and camping out. They appeared at the place of election. Some attempts were made to swear them, but two of the Judges were prevailed upon not to do so, and none were sworn, and as many as chose voted. There were but few resident votors at the polls. The settlement was sparse—about 25 actual settlers voted out of 105 votes east, leaving 80 illegal votos (10). After the voting was over the Missourians wont to their wagons and commenced

loaving for home. The most shameless fraud practiced upon the thts of the settlers at this election was in the VIIth District. It is a remote settlement about 75 miles from the Missouri line, and contained in 75 miles from the buseouri 1100, and contained in February, A. D. 1855, three months afterwards, when the census was taken, but 53 voters; and yet the publ-books show that 664 votes were east. The election was held at the house of Frey Me-Geo, at a place called "110." But few of the actual settlers were present at the polla (11). A written who formed world in La broad County witness who formerly resided in Jackson County, Mo., and was well acquainted with the citizens of that county (12), says that he saw a great many wagons and tonts at the place of election, and many individuals he knew from Jackson county. Ho was in their tents and conversed with some of them, and they told him they had come with the intention of voting. He went to the polls intending to vote for Flennekin, and his taket being of a different color from the rest, his vote was challegot a uncounterour rom the rest, his vote was challenged by Frey McGee, who had been appointed one of the Judges but did not serve. Lemnel Rajstone, a citizen of Mis-souri, was adding in his place. The witness theu challenged the vote of a young man by the

(6) Thomas Hopkins, Rubin Hacket, Perry Fuller, John F. Lucas. (1) James W. Wilson. (8) Dr. B. C. Westfall. (9) J. W. Wilson. (10) S. C. Prince. (11) Efatthias A. Reed. (12) Wm. F. Johnstone.

name of Nolan, whom he knew to reside in Jackson County. Finally the thing was hushed up as the witness had a good many friends there from that county, and it might lead to a fight if he challenged any more votes. Both voted and he then went down to their camp. He there say many of his old acquaintances whom he knew had yot dis of additional residual to the had voted at the election in August previous in Missouri, and who still resided in that State. By a careful comparison of the poll-lists with the census rolls, we find but 12 names on the pollbook who were voters when the census was taken three menths afterwards, and we are satisfied that not more than 20 legal votes could have been polled at that election. The only residents who are known to have voted are named by the witness, and are 13 in number-thus leaving 584 illegal y tes east in a romoto distriet, where the settlers within many miles were acquainted with each other.

The total number of white inhabitants in the

XIth District in the month of February, A. D. 1855, including men, women, and children, was 36, of whom 24 were voters—yet the poll-lists in this District show that 245 votes were cast at this clotton. For reasons stated hereafter in regard to the election on the 30th of March, your Committee were unable to procure the attendance of witnesses from this District. From the records it clearly appears that the votes cast could not have been by lawful resident voters. The best test, in the absence of direct proof, by which to ascortain the number of legal votes cast, is by a comparison of the census-roll with

the poll-book—by which it appears that but 7 resident settlers voted, and 238 votes were illegally and fraudulently given.

The election in the XIVth District was held The election in the XIVth District was held at the house of Benjamin Harding, a few miles from the town of St. Joseph, Miscouri. Before cases of Buchana. County, Miscouri, and among them many of the leading clitzens of St. Joseph, were ast-the place of voting, and made a majority of the company present. At the time appointed by the Governor for opening the polls, two of the Judges were not there, and it became the duty of the legal voters prosent to select other Judges. The Judge who was present (13) suggested the name of Mr. Waterson as one the Judges—but the crowd voted down the preposition. Some discussion then arose as to the right of non-residents to vote for Judges, during which Mr. Bryant was nominated and elected by the crowd. Some one nominated Col. John Scott as the other Judge, who was then and is Sect as the other Judge, who was then and is now a resident of St. Joseph. At that time he was the City Attorney of that place, and so con-tinued until this Spring, but he claimed that the night before he had come to the house of Mr. Bryant, and had engaged boarding for a month, and considered himself a resident of Kansus on that ground. The Judges appointed by the Governor refused to put the nomination of Col. Scott to vote, because he was not a resident. Scott to vote, because he was not a resident. After somo discussion, Judge Leonard, a citizen of Misseaur, stepped forward and put the vote of the second se imposed upon the settlers by the citizens of the State. When the board of Judges was thus completed, the voting proceeded, but the cited of the rule adopted by the Judges allowed many, if not a majority of the non-residents, to vote. They claimed that their presence on the ground, es-

(13) Benjamin Harding,

pesially when they had a claim in the Texritory, gave them a right to vote—under that construc-tion of the law they readily, when required, swore they years "residents", and then voted. My this evasion, as near as your Committee can ascertain from the testimony, as many as 50 lllegal votes were cast in this District out of 153, the

whole number polled.

The election in the XVth District was held at The election in the Event Plantat was not at Pensenant's, on Stranger Creek, a few fullos from Weston, Missouri. On the day of the elec-tion a large number of citizens of Platte County, but chiefly from Veston and Platte City, owne in small parties, in wagen and on horseback, to the pells. Amon: them were several leading ottizons of that town, and the names of many of them are given by the witnesses (14). They gonorally insisted upon their right to vote, on the goner my measure upon more right to other off the ferritory could vote, no matter where he lived (15). All voted who chese. No man was chal-lenged on sworn. Some of the residents did not vote. The purpose of the strangers in votive declared to be to make Kansas a Slave State (16). We find by the poll books that 306 votes were east—of these we find but 57 are on the consus-rolls a logal voters in February fellowing. Your Committee is satisfied from the testimeny that net over 100 of those whe voted had any right so te do, leaving at least 206 illegal es éast.

The election in the XVIth District was held at Leavenment. It was then a small village of three or four houses, lecated on the Delaware Reservation (17). There were but comparatively few settlers then in the district, but the number rapidly increased afterward. On the day before and on the day of the election, a great many citizen of Platte. Clay, and Ray countries

and wagons about the town, "like a comp meeting" (18). They were in companies or messes of tot to filteen in each, and numbered in all coveral hundred. They brought their own pravisions and coulod it themselves, and were generally and council it meaning on an wext gondardy ormed. Many of thom wore known by the wit-nesses, and their manes given, and their manes are found upon the poll-books. Among them were several persons of influence where they rewero nevertu persona or miniculco where they re-sided in Missauri, who held, or had held, high official positions in that State. They claimed to he residents of the Territory, from the fact that they were then present, and insisted upon the right to vote, and did vote. Their avowed purpose in doing so was to make Kansas a Slave State. These strangers crowded around the polls, and it was with great difficulty that the settlers could get to the polls (19). One resident attempted to got to the polls in the afternoon, but was provided and pulled back. He then went was cowded and pulled back. He then went entatide of the cowd and hurrahed for Gen-Whitfield, and some of these who did not knew him sald, "that's a good Pro-Shavery mun," and lifted him up over their heads so that he crawled on their heads and put in his vote. A person who saw from the color of his tacket that it was not fer Gen. Whitfield, eried out, "He is a dammed Abelitiouist—let him down;" and they dropped him (20). Others were passed to the pelis in the same way, and others crowded up in the bost way they could. After this meckpoint in the same way, and others enjoyed alp in the boat way they could. After this meek-ery of an election was over, the non-residents returned to their hence in Misseuri. Of the 312 votes cast, not over 150 were by logal veters.

The following abstract exhibits the whele num-ber of votes at this election, for each candidate; befere and on the day of the cleenien, a great his number of legal and illegal votes cast ina election, ier each great his number of legal and illegal votes cast in many clitzens of Platte, Clay, and Ray counties crossed the irver—most of them camping in tent seek district; and the number of legal votes in crossed the river—most of them camping in tent seek district in February following:

ABSTRACT OF CENSUS ALCO ELECTION OF NOV. 29, 1854.

Districts	Place of Voting.	Whitfield	Wakofield	Flenniken	Scattering	Total	Number Votes by Census	Legal Votas	Illegal Votes
I III HII V V VIII V XVII XVII XVII XVII	Lawrence Douglas. Stinson's. Dr. Chapman's. Tor Scott. "116" Council Grove. Council Grove. Big Bline Oross. Marwrille. Warton's Store. Osaxile. Lexesworth. Lexesworth. Shawneo Agendy.	597 16 9 2 237 81 69 180 267	188 20 21 4 	51 6 7 15 7 81 29 3 1 28 80 13	15	800 261 47 161 82 105 604 16 40 87 245 41 71 153 806 312 62 28	269 199 101 47 442 258 53 89 863 24 78 96 834 808 385 50	300 35 47 30 80 25 26 16 40 37 7 41 108 100 150 62	226 131 52 80 584 — 288 — 206 162 —
	Total	2268	249	305	21	2871	-	1114	1729

Thus your Committee find that in this the first Missouri, in violation of the organic law of election in the Territory, a very large majority the Territory. Of the legal votes oast, General of the votes were east by citizens of the State of Whitfold received a plurality. The ethers took but little interest in the election, not one-half of

⁽¹⁴⁾ J. B. Crane, Francis M. Peter, John W. ow. Phiness Skinner, H. B. Gale. (15) J. B. Crane. 5) H. B. Gale. (17) George H. Keller, and John

⁽¹⁸⁾ Geo. H. Keller. (19) John A. Lunday, L. L. Easdreau. (20) John A. Lunday.

Agreat extent—that the term of the Delegate to be elected was short—and that the question of Free and Slave institutiona was not governily regarded by them as distinctly at issue. Un-der these circumstances a systematic invasion from an adjoining State, by which large numbers of illegal votes were cast in remote and sparse sottlements for the avowed purpose of extending Slavory into the Territory, even though it givou:

them voting. This may be accounted for from | did not change the result of the election, was a the fact that the actilements were scattered over | crime of great magnitude. Its insuediate effect crime of great magnitude. Its insuediate effect was to further excite the people of the Northern States—induce acts of retaliation, and examprate the actual acttlers against their neighbors lu Missouri.

In January and February, a. p. 1855, the Governor caused an onumeration to be tak-en of the inhabitants and qualified voters in the Territory, an abstract of which is here

ABSTRACT OF CENSUS RETURNS.

	Districts	Males	Females	Voters	Жиога	Natives of the United	Foreign Birth	Negroes	Slaves	Total
C. W. Babcook	- i	623	889	369	459	887	75	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1 062
O. H. Brown	11	316	203	199	287	506	19	1	7	610
T. W. Bayes	IN	101 106	91 71	101	112 .97	215 169	12	ī	6	252 177
E. B. Donaldson	v.	824	583	442	724	1885	22	27	26	1407
William Barboo	vi	492	318	253	418	791	12	111	111	810
J. R. McClure	vii	82	36	58	60	117	1	l "i	1 ~i	118
J. R. McClure	VIII	56	27	39	28	76	Î	18	10	83
M. F. Conway	1X	61	25	36	31	66	12	14	a a	80
M. F. Conway	X	97	54	63	61	108	23	-	-	151
B. H. Twombly	XI	83	8	24	5	30	6		-	36
B. H. Twombly	XII	104	.40	78	35	109	87	1	7	144
H. B. Jolly	XIII	168	116	98	145	273	9	14	14	284
Albert Heed.	XIV	655	512	334	-	301	46	.1	85	1167
H. B. Jolly	XV	492	381	308	448	846	16	16	15	878
Charles Leib	XVI	708	475	385	514	1042	104	48	33	1183
Alex. S. Johnson	XVII	91 59	59 40	50 28	54 51	143 97	5	4	23	150 99
Total		5128	8373	2905	3469	7161	409	151	242	2501

On the same day the ceusus was completed, | the Governor issued his Proclamation for an election to be held on the 30th of March, a. D. 1855, for Members of the Legislative Assembly of the Territory. It preservibed the boundaries of Districts; the places for polls; the names of observed, a just and fair election would have redicted the will of the people of the Perritory. Before the election, takes an inflammatory of Western Missouri. The number and character of Western Missouri. The number and character of the emirration then nussine into the Territory. the Governor issued his Proclamation for an the emigration then passing into the Territory were grossly exaggerated and misrepresented. Through the active exertions of many of its leading citizers, sided by the secret societies before referred to, the passions and prejudices of the people of that State were greatly excited. Several residents there have testified to the character of the reports circulated among, and credited by, the people. These efforts were successful. By an organized movement, which extended from Andrew County in the north to Jasper County in the south, and as far eastward as Boone and Cole Counties, companies of men were arranged Cole counties, companies or nieto were arranged in regular parties and sent into every Connect. District in the Territory, and into every Representative District but one. The numbers were so distributed as to control the electival in each district. They went to vote and with the avowed sentative District but ono. The numbers vere pol distributed as to control the electric in the historics. They went to vote and with the avowed design to make Kansas a Slave State. They were generally armed and equipped, carried with them their own provisions and tents, and so marched into the Territory. The details of this invasion from the mass of the testimony takes. If we have the support of the support

by your Committee, are so voluminous that we can here state but the leading facts elicited.

1st District-March 30, 1855,-Lawrence,

The company of persons who marched into this District, collected in Ray, Howard, Carroll, Boone, La Fayette, Randolph, Saline, and Cass Counties, in the state of Missouri. Their ex-Counties, in the state of Missouri. Their ex-penses were paid-those who could not come contributing provisions, wagons, etc. (21). Pro-visions were deposited, for those who were ex-visions were deposited, for those who were ex-visions were deposited, and the counties of the William Lykins, and were distributed among the Missouriams after they arrived there (22). The evening before and the morning of the day of election, about 1,000 men from the above counties arrived at Lawrence, and encamped in a ravine a durt distance from town, near the place of voting. They came in wagons—of which back, under the command of Col. Samuel Yours. back, under the command of Col. Samuel Young, ones, under the command of Col. Samuel 1 cong, of Boone County, Missouri, and Clayborne F. Jackson, of Missouri. They were armed with guns, rifles, pistols, and bowie-kuives, and had tents, music, and flags with them (23). They brought with them two pieces of artillery (24).

londed with musket-balls (25). On their way to Lawrence some of them fact Mr. N. B. Blandon, On their way to ! who had been appointed one of the Judges of Election by Gov. Reeder, and after learning from him that he considered it his duty to demand on onth from them as to their place of re-sidence, first attempted to bribe, and then threatoned him with hanging, in order to induce him to dispense with that oath. In consequence of these

the point which are out. In consequence of these threats, he did not uppear at the pole the next norming to act as Judgo (20).

The oventing before the election, while in camp, the Missourlans were called together at the tent of Captain Chiberne F. Jackson, and speeches were made to them by Col. Young and others, calling for volunteers to go to other districts where there were not Missourians enough to control the election, and there were more at Lawrence than were needed there (27). Many Lawronce than were nessed there (27). Many voluntoered to go, and the morning of the elec-tion, sevenal companies, from 350 to 200 mon coch, wort off to Teourasch, Hickory Polat, Bloomington, and other places (28). On the morning of the olection, the Misseurians came over to the place of voting from their camp, in bodies of one hundred at a time (29), Mr. Blanbodies of one hundred at a time (29). Mr. Blan-ton not appearing, another, Judge was appointed in his place—Col. Young claiming that, as the people of the Territory had two Judges, it was nothing more than right that the Allssourians should have the other ene, to look after their in-terests (30); and Robort E. Cummins was relect-ed in Blanton's stoad, because he considered that every man had a right to vote if he had been in the Territory but an hour (31). The Mis-sourlans brought their tickets with them, (32); but not having enough, they had three hundred more printed in Lawrence on the evening before and the day of election (33). They had white ribbons in their button-holes to distinguish themselves from the settlers (34).

When the voting commenced, the question of the legality of the vote of a Mr. Page was raised. Before it was decided, Col. Samuel Young stopped up to the window where the votes were received, and said he would settle the matter. The vote of Mr. Page was withdrawn, and Col. Young offered to yote. He refused to take the oath onered to vote. He request to take the oam prescribed by the Governor, but swore he was a resident of the Territory, upon which his vote was received (35). He told Mr. Abbett, one of the Judges, when asked if he intended to make Kansas his future home, that it was none of hi business; that if he were a resident then, he nusmess; that it he were a resident then, he should ask no mere (36). After his vote was received, Col. Young got up in the window-sill and announced to the crowd that he had been permitted to vote, and they could all come up and vote (37). He told the Judges that there was no when in swearing the others, as they would all swear as he had done (38). After the other Judges concluded to receive Col. Young's vote, Mr. Abbott resigned as Judge of Election, and Mr. Benjamin was elected in his place (39)

The polls were so much crowded until late in the evening, that, for a time, when the men had voted, they were obliged to get out by being hoisted up on the roof of the building where the election was being held, and pass out over the

(25) E. Obagunan. (25) N. B. Blanton. (27) Norman Allen, J. Davidson. (28) Norman Allen, Wm. Yates, W. B. Morody, J. Ow. Babcock, S. N. Wood, J. Davidson, V. W. Babcock, B. N. Wood, J. Davidson, J. B. A. Cummins, Norman Allen, S. N. Wood, O. B. Pratt, J. B. Abbott, (23) G. W. Babcock, Bober Elliott. (35) Robert Billott. (34) E. W. Dietrick. (35) E. B. Lodd, Sorman Allen, S. N. Wood, C. S. D. L. Dadd, Norman Allen, S. N. Wood, C. S. Pratt, J. B. Abbott. (36) C. W. Babcock, J. B. Abbott. (39) C. W. Babcock, J. B. Abbott. (39) C. W. Babcock, S. N. Abbott.

house (40). Afterward a passage way through the crowd was made, by two lines of men being formed, through which the voters could get up former, through which the polls (41). Col. Young suked that the old men be allowed to go up first and vote, as they were thred with the traveling, and wanted to get back to camp (42). The Missourlans sometimes came up to the

polls, in procession, two by two, and voted (43). During the day the Missonvians drove off the

ground some of the citizens, Mr. Stevens, Mr. Bond, and Mr. Willis (44). They threatened to shoot Mr. Bond, and a crowd rushed after him threatening him, and as he run from thom some shots were fired at him as he jumped off the bank of the river and made his esoape (45). The citizens of the tewn went over in a body, late in the afternoon, when the pells had become compara-

tively clear, and veted (46).

tivoly clear, and veted (46). Before the voting land commoneed, the Missourians said, if the Judges appeinted by the Governor did not receive their vetes, they would choose other Judges (47). Some of them voted several times, changing their hats or conte and coming up to the window again (48). They said these intended to vote fart, and after they had coming up to the window again (40). They shat they intended to vote first, and after they had got through then, the others could vete (49). Some of them claimed a right to vote under the organic act, from the fact that their mere presence in the Territory constituted them residents, though they were from Wisconsin, and had home in Missouri (50). Others said they had a right to vote, because Kansas belonged to Missouri, to vote, because Amasas ucionged to Ausseurr, and people from the east had no right to settle in the Territery and vote there (51). They said they came to the Territory to elect a legislature to sait themselves, as the people of the Territory and persons from the east and north wanted to elect a legislature that would not suit them (52). They said tuey had a right to make Kansas a Slavo State, because the people of the north had sent persons out to make it a Free State (53). Some claimed that they had heard that the Emigrant Aid Society had sent men out to be at the election, and they came to offset their votes, but the most of them made no such claim. Col. the most of them made no salen claim. So, Young said he wanted the citizens to voto in order to give the election some show of fairness [54]. The Missourians said there would be no order to give us out the first state of the could be as figure of the first state of the or mean said that by voting in the territory, they would deprive themselves of the right to vote in Missouri for twelve months afterward (57). The Missourins began to leave the afternoon of the day of election, though some did not go home until the next morning (58).

In many cases, when a wr gon-load had voted,

(40) E. D. Ladd, Norman Allen, C. W. Babcock, Lyman Allen, J. M. Banks. (41) E. D. Ladd, Norman Allen, Lyman Allen, E. D. Ladd, (69) E. D. Ladd, C. W. Babcock, Lyman Allen, S. N. Wood, R. D. Ladd, C. W. Babcock, Lyman Allen, S. N. Wood, R. W. Barton, J. Davidson, Dr. John Day, 160 E. D. Ladd, C. W. Babcock, Lyman Allen, S. N. Wood, In W. Ackley, (49) J. Davidson, D. Gollman, (60) E. D. Ladd, Norman Allen, Lyman Allen, (50) W. D. Ladd, Norman Allen, Lyman Allen, (50) W. D. Ladd, Norman Allen, Lyman Allen, Lyman Lyman, Lyman Allen, Lyman Lyman, Lyman Allen, Lyman Lyman, Lyman

hang lihu (60).

The oldizons of the town of Lawrence, as a general thing, were not armed on the day of olection, though come had revolvers, but not exposed, as were the arms of the Micsourians (61). They kept a guard about the town the night after the election, in consequence of the thronto

of the Missonrinus, in order to protect it (62).
The Pre-Slavery men of the District attended
the nominating Conventions of the Free-State
men, and voted for, and seemed the mominations of, the men they considered the most obnexions to the Free-State party, in order to cause dis-sousion in that party (63). Quite a number of nettlers cause into the Dis-

triot before the day of election, and after the census was taken (64). According to the consus returns, there were then in the District 369 logal voters. Of those whose unmes are on the census returns, 177 are to be found on the poll-books of the 30th of March, 1855. Mesars. Ladd, Babcock, and Pratt, testify to 55 names on the poll-books of persons they knew to have settled in the District after the census was taken and before the olcotion. A number of persons came into the Torritory in March, before the election, from the northorn and castern States, intending to sottle, who wore in Lawronce on the day of election. At that time, many of them had selected no claims, and had no fixed place of residence. Such were not entitled to vote. Many of them became disentisfied with the country. Others were disappointed in its political ccudition, and at the price and domand for labor, and returned. Whether any such voted at the election, is not clearly shown, but from the proof, it is probable that in the latter part of the duy, after the great body of the Missourians had voted, some did go to the polls. The number was not over 50. These voted the Free-State ticket. The whole number of names appearing upon the poll-lists is 1,034. After full examination, we are satisfied that not over 232 of these were legal voters, and 802 were non-resident and illegal voters. This District is strongly in favor of making Kansas a Free State, and there is no doubt that the Free State candidates for the legislature would have been elected by large majorities, if none but the actual settlers had voted. At the preceding election in November, 1854, where none but legal voters were polled, General Whitfield, who received the full trength of the Pro-Slavery party (65), got but 46 votes.

II. DISTRICT-BLOOMINGTON.

On the morning of election, the Judges appointed by the Governor appeared and opened the polis. Their anames were Harrison Burson, Multanie Ramsay, and Mr. Ellison. The Misson State of Misson; All State of Misson State of Misso On the morning of election, the Judges apernor of Kansas, and shortly afterward announced Thomas Johnson of Shawnee Missions, elected Govornor (67). The polls had been opened but

(69) S. N. Wood. (60) Gains Jenkins. (61) E. D. Ladd. (62) A. B. Wade. (64) E. D. Ladd. (53) A. B. Wade. (64) E. D. Ladd. (53) A. B. Wade. (64) E. D. Ladd. (54) A. B. Wade. (64) E. Ladd. (55) James Whitney, Lyman Allen, J. M. Banks. (65) James Whitney. (65) H. Burson, N. Ransasy, James M. Daus, Andrew White, ur. E. G. Macoy, H. Muzzy, Wm. Jesse, John A. Wakkfoldd. (7) E. G. Macoy.

they immediately started for home (59). On a short time, when Mr. Jones marched with the their way home they said that if Givernor crowd up to the window, and demanded that they Reader did not amortion the election, they would should be allowed to yoke without wearing an to their residence (68). After some noisy and threatening talk Chiborno F. Juckson addressed the crowd, saying they had come there to yote, that they had a right to yote if they had been there but five minutes, and he was not willing to go home without voting; which was received with cheera (69). Jackson then called upon them to form into little bands of fifteen or twenty, which they did (70), and went to an ox-wagon filled with game, which wor distributed among then (71), and proceeded to load come of flow on the ground (72). In pursuance of Jackson's request, they tied white tape or ribbons in their. hattoindees, so as to diviting tish them from the "Abolitionists" (73). They regain domained that the Jadgee should reside, and upon their refusing to do as enumbed in the window, sash and all, and presented their pistols and guns to them, threatening to shoot them (74). Some one on the outside cried out to them not to shoot, as there ousside crisis on the most to snew, as there were Pro-Sinvery men in the room with the Judges of the longe, which was a log house, until the lift of the longer of the longe, which was a log house, until lifted it and a few inches and let it full again (70, but does not upon being fold there were Pro-Silvery and in the house. During this time the crywd repeatedly demanded the full form of the course of the longer of the without being sworn, and Mr. Ellison, one of the Judges, expressed himself willing, but the other two Judges refused (77); thereupon a body of men, headed by "Sheriff Jones," rushed into the Judges' room with cocked pistols and drawn bowie-knivos in their hands, and approached bowie-knivos in their manes, and approaches Barson and Ramsay (78). Jones pulled out his watch, and said he would give them five minutes to resign in, or die (79). When the five minutes had expired and the Judges did not resign, Jones said he would give them another minute, and no more (89). Ellison told his associates that if they did not resign, there would be one hundred shots fired in the room in less than fifteen minutes (81); and then, snatching up the ballot-box, ran out into the crowd, holding up the ballot-box and hurrahing for Missouri (82). About that time Burson and Ramany were called out by their friends, and not suffered to return out by their friends, and not suffered to return (33). As Mr. Burson went out, he put the ballot poll-books in his pocket, and took them with him (44); and as he was going out, Jones snatched some papers away from him (53), and shortly atterward came out himself holding them up, crying "hurrah for Missouri" (36). After he dis-covered they were not the poll-books, he took a party of men with him and started off to take the poll-books from Burson (57). Mr. Burson the poll-books from Burson (87). Mr. Burson saw them coming, and he gave the books to Mr. Umberger, and told him to start off in another direction, so as to mislead Jones and his party (88). Jones and his party eaught Mr. Umberger, took the poll-books away from him, and Jones

(S) H. Burson, N. Bamsay, J. M. Dann, A. White, E. G. Macey, H. Muzzy, Wm. Jessee, John A. Wakeleld, (G) J. M. Dunn, A. White, Ed. G. Macey, H. Wakeleld, (G) J. M. Dunn, A. White, Ed. G. Macey, J. S. Wakeleld, (G) E. G. Maccy, J. A. Wakeleld, (G) E. G. Maccy, J. C. Macey, J. C. J. M. Dunn, J. D. Dunn, A. White, (G) E. G. Maccy, G. J. J. Dunn, A. Wakeleld, G. J. B. Burson, N. Rumsay, J. W. Mace, J. C. Dunn, A. White, E. G. Maccy, H. Muzzy, S. Jones, J. A. Wakeleld, G. J. D. Dunn, G. M. Burson, N. Ramsay, J. Wakeled, G. M. Dunn, A. White, E. G. Maccy, H. Muzzy, K. Jessee, S. Jones, J. A. Wakeleld, G. S. B. Burson, J. C. Dunn, A. White, D. G. Maccy, H. Muzzy, W. Jessee, S. Jones, J. A. Wakeleld, G. S. B. Burson, J. O. Dunn, A. White, G. W. Lawsey, J. O. Dunn, A. Wakeleld, G. J. Burson, N. Ramsay, J. O. Burson, J. C. Dunn, A. Wakeleld, G. J. H. Burson, J. C. Dunn, A. G. G. Maccy, W. M. Jessee, S. J. Dunn, A. Wakeleld, G. J. H. Burson, N. Ramsay, J. O. Burson, R. G. Maccy, W. Jessee, S. J. H. Burson, N. Ramsay, J. G. Maccy, W. M. Jessee, S. J. H. Burson, N. Ramsay, J. G. Maccy, W. M. Jessee, S. J. H. Burson, N. Ramsay, J. G. Maccy, W. M. Jessee, G. J. H. Burson, N. Ramsay, J. G. Maccy, W. M. Jessee, G. J. H. Burson, N. Ramsay, J. G. Maccy, W. M. Jessee, G. J. H. Burson, N. Ramsay, J. G. Maccy, W. M. Jessee, G. J. H. Burson, N. Ramsay, J. G. Maccy, W. M. Jessee, G. J. H. Burson, N. Ramsay, J. G. Maccy, W. Jessee, G. J. H. Burson, N. Ramsay, J. G. Maccy, W. Jessee, G. J. H. Burson, N. Ramsay, J. G. Maccy, W. Jessee, G. J. H. Burson, N. Ramsay, J. G. Maccy, W. Jessee, G. J. H. Burson, N. Ramsay, J. G. Burson, J. G. Maccy, W. Jessee, G. J. H. Burson, N. Ramsay, J. G. Maccy, W. Jessee, G. J. H. Burson, N. Lamsay, J. G. Maccy, W. Jessee, G. J. H. Burson, N. Lamsay, J. G. Maccy, W. Jessee, G. J. H. Burson, N. Lamsay, J. G. Maccy, W. Jessee, G. J. H. Burson, N. Lamsay, J. G. Maccy, W. Jessee, G. J. J. H. Burson, N. Lamsay, J. G. Maccy, W. Jessee, G. J. J. H. Jessee, J. L. Laws, J. J. L. Laws, J. J. Laws, J. J. Laws, J. J. L

took him up behind him on a horse, and carried him back a prince or (69). After Jones and his party had taken Umberger back, they went to the home of Mr. Rawmy and took Judge John A. Wukefield prisoner, and carried him to the pluce of election [90], and made him get up on a wagon and make them a speech; after which they ant a white robbon in his button-hole and let him go [91]. They then chose two new Judges, and proceeded with the election

They also threatened to kill the Judges if they did not receive their vates without swearing them er che realgn (92). They said no man should yota who would submit to be sworn—that they would kill any one who would offer to do so-"shoet him," "out his guts out," etc. (93). They sald no man should vote this day unless he veted and no man should vote this may inness no votes an open ticket, and was "all right on the goose," (24), and that if they could not vote by fair means, they would by fout means (95). They said they had as much right to vote, if they had been in the Territory two minutes, as if they had been there for two years, and they would vote (96). Some of the citizens who were about the (96). Some of the citizens was were about window, but had not voted when the crowd of Missourians marched up there, upon attempting to vote, were driven brek by the mob, or driven off (97). One of them, Mr. J. M. Maccy, was asked if he would take the eath, and upon his reasked it no would take the oath, and upon his ro-plying that he would if the judges required it, he vas dragged through the crowd away from the polls, amid cries of "Kill the d-ed higger thist," " Out his throat," "Tear his heart out," ofc. After they got him to the outside of the crowd, they stood around him with cocked revolvors and drawn bowie-knives, one man putting a knife to his heart, so that it touched him, another holding a cocked pistol to his ear, while another struck at him with a club (98). The Missourians said they had a right to vets if they had been in the Torritory but five minutes (99). Some said they had been hired to come there and vote, and get a dollar a day, and, by G—d, they would vote or die there (100).

They said the 30th day of March was an important day, as Kansas would be made a Slave State on that day (101). They began to leave in the direction of Missouri in the afternoon, after they had voted (102), leaving some thirty or forty around the house where the election was held, to guard the polls until after the election was over (103). The citizens of the Territory were not around, except those who took part in the mob (104), and a large portion of them did not vote [105]; 341 votes were polled there that day, of which but some thirty were citizens (106). A protest against the election was made to the Government. rest against too election was made to the Governor (107). The returns of the election made to the Governor were lost by the Committee of Elections of the Legislature at Pawnee (108). The duplicate returns left in the ballot-box were taken by F. E. Laley, one of the Judges elected with the Microscopic and the state of the State o by the Missourians, and were either lost or de-

stroyed in his house (109), so that your Commit-tee have been muchle to institute a compurison between the poll-lists and comme returns of this other and point and and common relation of the district. The teetlmony, however, is uniform, that not even filtry of those who voted there that day were entitled to vote, leaving 311 lllegal vates. We are natisfied from the testimony that, had the actual nettlers alone voted, the Free State candidates, would have been elected by a handsome majority.

IIID DISTRICT-TECUMSER.

On the 28th of March, persons from Clay, Jackson, and Howard Counties, Mo., began to come into Tecumeel, h wagous, carriages and on horsoback, armed with game, bowie-knives, and revolvers; and, with threats, encamped close to the terror and outlined some surface with the country of the by the town, and continued coming until the day of election (110). The night before the elec-tion 200 men were sent for from the camp of Missourians at Luwrence (111). On the morning of the election, before the polls were opened, some 300 or 400 Missourians and others were collected in the yard about the house of Thomas Stinson, where the election was to be held, armed with bowio-knives, revolvers, and clubs (112). They said they knives, revolvers, and clubs (112). Ancy state they came to vote, and while the damaed Yankees, and would vote without being sworn (113). Some said they came to have a fight and wanted one (114). Col. Samuel H. Woodeen of Independence, Mo., Col. Samuel II. Wooden of Independence, Mo, was in the room of the Judges when they arrived, preparing poll-books and tally-lists, and remaind there daring their attempts to organize (113). The room of the Judges was also filled by many of the strangers (115). The Judges could not agree concerning the outs to be taken by themselves and the outh to be administered to the voters, Mr. Burgess desiring to administer the oath pre-scribed by the Governor and the other two Judges opposing it (16). During this discussion between the Judges, which lasted some time, the crowd outsido became oxcited and noisy, threat-ening and cursing Mr. Burgess, the Free-State ening and cursilig Mr. Burgess, the reconstruc-judge (117). Persons were sent at different times, by the crowd outside, into the room where the Judges were, with threatening messages, es-pecially against Mr. Burgess, and at last ten minutes were given them to organize in or leave; and utes were given them to organize in or leave; and as the time passed, persons outside would call out the number of minutes left, with threats against Burgess, if he did not agree to organize (118). At the end of that time, the Judges not being At one end of that time, the Judges not being able to organize, left the room and the crowd proceeded to elect nine Judges and carry on the election (119). The Free-State men generally left the ground without voting, stating that there was no use in their voting there (120). The polls were so crowded during the first part of the day that the citizens could not set up to the window. that the citizens could not get up to the window to vote (121). Threats were made against the Free-State men (122). In the afternoon the Rev. Mr. Gispatrick was attacked and driven of by the mob. 'A man, by some called "Texas," made a speech to the crowd urging them to vote and to remain on the ground until the polls were closed, for fear the abolitionists would come there in the afternoon and overpower them, and thus they would lose all their trouble. For making an affidavit in a protest against this

election, setting forth the facts, Mr. Burgess was indicted by the Grand Jury for perjury, which in-dictment was found more than fifteen mouths ago, coolinian was ronter more than introet months ago, and is still pouding, Mr. Burgean sever having boon informed who his necessor was a cr what was the testimony against him (23). A large majority, four to one, of the actual rettlers of the definite were Free-Sixty mon (124), and thore definite were Free-Sixty mon (124), and thore are the contraction of election, that the Free-State candidate would have been elected. The number of legal votes in the district, according to the census returns, was 101. The total number of votes cast was 372, and of those but thirty-two are on the returns, and from the testimony and records, we are satisfied that not over forty legal votes were cost at that clocities. A body of armed Missourians cause his the district provious to the election, and encamped there (125). Before the time arrived for opining the polls, the Missourians wout to modifor than the town appointed for the election: and one of the Judges appointed by the Governor, and two chosen by the Missourians, proceeded to open the pells and carry on the election (126). The Missourians said none but Pro-Slavery men should vote, and threatened to shoot any Free-State man who shruld come up to vote (127). Mr. Mockbee, one of the judges elected by the Misseurians, had a store near the boundary fixed by the proclamation of the Gevernor, while be cultivated a farm in Missouri, where his family lived (128), and where his legal residence was then and is new. The Missourians also held a side-election for governor of the Terri-tory, voting for Thomas Johnson of Shawnce Mis-sion (129). The Free-State men finding the polls under the control of the non-residents, refused te, under the control of the non-residents, roused to, and did not, vote (130). They constituted a decided majority of the actual settlers (131). A petition signed by a majority of the residents of the district was sent to the dovernor (132). The whole number of voters in this district, according to the consus returns, was forty-seven; the number of votes cast was eighly, of whom but fifteen were residents, the number of residents whose names are on the census-rolls, who did not vote, was thirty-twe.

For seme days prior to the election, companies of men were organized in Jackson, Cass, and Clay counties, Mo., for the purpose of coming to the Territory and voting in this Vth district (133). The day previous to the election, some diverse of the come at Bull Creek, and others at Pelawatamie come at Bull Creek, and others at Pelawatamie come at Bull Creek, and others at Pelawatamie opportunity. On the evening before the election, Jugar from the Control of the Control

(122) H. B. Burgess. (124) H. B. Burgess. (125) Ferry Fuller, Peter Bassinger. (125) Ferry Fuller, Peter Bassinger. (125) Ferry Fuller, William Moore. (126) Ferry Fuller, William Moore, Willi

the election, the pelle for Bull Creck precinct were opened, and, without watering the Judger, they proceeded to rec viva the votes of all whost forest to vote. For the sake of appearance they would get some one to come to the window and offers to vote, and when naked to be sworth to would go away, and the name would be just down as having offered to rote, but "rejected, refining to be swort." This arrangement was made previously and perfectly understood by the district very present at the reliable to the sworth of the control of the contro

in the preclinct ven 293.

One Missourian voted for him self and then voted for his little son, but 10 or 11 years old 1490. Col. Coffer, Henry Xonaper and Mr. Lychis, who were voted for and elected to the Legislature, were residents of Missouri at the time (141). Col. Coffer subsequently matried in the contract of t

After the polls were closed, and the returns made out for the signature of the Judges, Mr. Chesnut refused to sign them, as he did not consider them correct returns of legal veters.

Chessust refused to sign than, as he did not consider then correct returns of legal votors.

Cel. Coffer, a resident of Missouri, but docted that election, condeavored with others to induce Mr. Chessust by threats to sign the returns, which he refreshed to do, and left the House. On his wey home he "as fired at by some Missourians, though not injured (143). There were tirres illegal to ene legal voto given there that any (144). As the Big Layer precinet, the day (144) and the Big Layer precinet, the day (144) and the Big Layer precinet, the continued of the state of the

(189) Dr. B. C. Westfall. (189) J. W. Wilson. (140) Dr. B. O. Westfall, J. W. Wilson. (141) Dr. B. C. Westfall, J. M. Gearhart. (142) Dr. B. C. Westfall. (145) William Chestnut. (144) William Chestnut. (145) James M. Arthur. (149) S. W. Benton.

the total number given in the precincts of the Vth District. Of the legal votes east, the Free-State condidates received 152.

VITH DISTRICT-FORT SCOTT.

A company of cilitaria from Missouri, medity from Blades Country, cames in the this District the day hefero the election, name camping and others multing an at the public-haves (447). Two ynambered from 180 to 280 (1439), and cames in wagens and on horzcheake, carrying their provisions and touts with them, and were generally armed with pict. As. They declared their purposes to vote, and touts with them, and were generally armed with his polis generally in small hadden, with tickets in his rimands, and many, if not all, voted. In some cases they declared that they had voted, and gave their reasons for no doing. Mr. Ardessin, a Pre-Slavery candidate for the Legislator, endeavorant to disamine the non-revisionation, and gave their reasons for no doing. Mr. Ardessin, a Pre-Slavery candidate for the Legislator, onleavorant to disamine the non-revisionation of the content of the second of the non-revisionation of the content of the second of the non-revisionation of the content of the second of the non-revisionation of the content of the second of the non-revisionation of the second of the non-revisionation of the second of

VIITH DISTRICT.

From two to three hundred men, from the State of Missouri, came in wagone or on horse-back to the electien ground at Swin-ze's Creek, in the VIIIb Ibstrict, and one angod near the polls, on the day preceding the election. They were arread with pistols and off-weepons, and the sheet of the state of the s

(147) John Hamilton. (148) John Hamilton, E. B. Cook, F B. Arnett. (149) J. C. Anderson.

Lawrence, as they and I lany could be there before night, and all went the way they come. The consum-list shown 53 legal votices in the Disricel. 250 votes were end of these 55 were residents, 17 of whom were in the District when the other in the properties of the properties of the proceeding the pola tid not york, declaring it uses less. Conditions they were mainly from Misson't for risk of 80 magnal a cantest—it being known that a great many were coming up from Misson't for the LOD. Kearty at the settlers were Preceeding to the properties of the properties of the were cent for the only Fros State candidate running. Mobiller Metters, who was declared elected Representative, but a colinar-a saw-mill and a leanen in the Territory—and he was facer part of the time. But his legal residuace is now, and owns and conducts a valuable facen, and where

VIIITH DISTRICT.

This was utached to the VIIth District for a member of the Cannell and a representative, and its rote was controlled by the illegal vote cast there. The census shows 39 votes in it—37 votes were east, of whom a majority voted the Free-State tieket.

IXTH DISTRICT.

Fort Riley and Pawnee are in this District. The latter place was selected by the Governor as the temperary capital, and he designed there or expend the sums appropriated by Congress in the construction of suitable houses for the Legislature. A good deal of building was then being done at the fort near by. For these reasons a number of mechanics, mostly from Pennsylvania came into this district in March, 1855, to seek camployment. Some of these voted at the ose seek camployment. Some of these voted at the first postponed, then shundlered, and finely not seek of the control of the seek of

XTH DISTRICT.

In this district ten persons belonging to the Wyandot tribs of Indians voted. They were of that class who under the law were contact class who under the law were contibled to you, but their residence was in Wyandot Vilvoto, but their residence was in Wyandot Vilvoto, but he in right to voto in this district. They wood he Pro-Shavery ticket (183). Eleven men recently from Pennsylvania voted the Free-State for the testimony, they had not, at the time of the election, so established their residence of the residenc

XITH DISTRICT.

The IXth, Xth, and XIth and XIIth Election-Districts, being all sparsely seitled, were attached

(150) James A. Stewart, Mr. H. Rose. (151) Wm. F. Johnstone. (152) Annrew McConnell, R. W. Wilson, A. B. Redder. (153) M. A. Garrett, Joseph Stewart. (154) N. J. Usborn, Isaac Hascall.

together as a Council-District, and the XIII and XIIII as a Representative District. This Elec-tion-District is 60 miles north from Paymer, as 1 150 miles from Kansas City. It is the northwest nettlement in the Territory, and contained, when the cenum wan taken, but 36 inhabitants, of whom 24 were voters. There was on the day of election no white settlement about Maryaville, Marshall and Bishop kept a store and forty at the crossing of the Big Bhe and the Califor in road (185). Xon Comultee were mable to precure witnesses from this district. Persons who were present at the election were duly summoned by an officer, and among them was F. J. Marshall, the member of the House from that district. On his retarn the officer was arrested and detained, and persons bearing the names of some of the witnesses ammuoned were stopped near Lecompton, and did not appear before the Committee. The returns show that, in definice of the Governer's preclamation, the voting was viva voce, instead of by ballet. 328 names apviva voce, instead of by ballet. 328 names appear upon the poll-books, as voting, and by comparing these names with these on the census rolls, we find that but seven of the latter veted. The person voted for as Representative, F. J. Marshall, was chief owner of the store at Marysville, and was there sometimes (156), but his family lived in Weston. John Donaldsen, the candidate voted for for the Council, then lived

candidate voted for for the Collicit, then fived in Jackson County, Misson (157).

On the day after the election, Mr. Marshall, with 25 or 30 mon from Weston, Mo., was on the way from Marysville, to the State. Some of the party told a witness who had formerly resided at Weston, that they were up at Marysville and carried the day for Missouri, and that they had voted about 150 votes. Mr. Marshall paid the

bill at that point for the party.

There does not appear to bave been any emigration into that district in March, 1855, after the census was taken, and judging from the best the census was taken, and judging from the best test in the power of your Committee, there were but seven legal vetes cast in the district, and 321 illegal.

XIITH DISTRICT.

The election in this district was conducted fairly. No complaint was made that illegal votes were cast.

XIIITH DISTRICT.

Previous to the day of election, several hundreds of Missourians from Platte, Clay, Boone, Clinton, and Howard counties, came into the district in wagons and on horseback, and camped there (158). They were armed with guns, revolvers, and bowie-knives, and had badges of hemp in their button-holes and elsewhere about their pursens (159). They claimed to have a right to vote, from the fact that they were there on the ground, and had, or intended to make, claims in the Territory, although their families were in Missayrii (150). Missouri (160).

The judges appointed by the governor opened the polls, and some persons offered to vote, and when their votes were rejected on the ground when then votes were rejected on the ground that they were not residents of the district, the crowd threatened to tear the house down if the judges did not leave (161). The judges then withdrew, teking the poll-books with them (162). The crowd then proceeded to select other persons to act as judges, and the election went on (163).

Those persons voting who were sworn were ask-ed if they considered themselves residents of the district, and if they said they did, they were allowed to vote (164). But few of the residents lowed to vote (194). But Iny of the residents were present and voted (185), and the Erce-State, men, as a general thing, did not vote (195). After the Missourians got through voting, they raturated home (197). A formal return was made by the judges of election retting out the fretch, but it was not verified. The number of logal voters in this district was not verified. The number of logal voters in this district was not verified. The number of votes were the present the control of the present the present

XIVTH DISTRICT.

It was generally russored in this district, for some days before the election, that the Missonrians were coming over to voto (168). Provious to the election, men from Missouri came into the district, and electionsered for the Pro-Slavory candidates (169). Gen. David R. Atchison and a party controlled the neminations in one of the primary elections (170). .

BUILL OAK PRECINCY.

Several hundred Missourians from Buchanan, Platte, and Andrew Counties, Mo., including a great many of the prominent citizens of St. Jesoph, grant many of the prominent cutzens of St. Joseph, ame into this precinct the day bofror, and on the day of election, in wagous and on here, and camped there (171). Arrangements were anadofor them to cross the forry at St. Joseph free of cropase to themselves (172). They were armed with bowleknives and pistols, guas and riflex (173). On the morning of the election, the Free-State candidates resigned in a bedy, on account of the presence of the large number of armed Missourians, at which the crowd choered and hurached (174). Gen. B. F. Stringfellow was present, and was prominent in pronoting the election of the Pro-Slavery ticket, as was also the Hon. Willard P. Hall, and others of the most prominent citizens of St. Joseph, Mo. (175). But one of the judges of election, appointed by the governor, served on that day, and the crowd chose two others to supply the vacancies (176).

The Missourians said they came there to vote

The Missourians said they came there to vote for, and secure the election of, Major Richardson (II). Allor Richardson (II) affor Richardson (II) where the wide and the secure of the secure where his wide and daughter lived with his sonin-law, Willard P. Hall, he himself generally going home to Missouri every Saturday night. The harm was generally known as the Hichardson farm. He had a claim in the Territory upon which was a saw-mill, and where he generally

remained during the week (178).

Some of the Missourians gave as their reason for voting that they had heard that eastern emigrants were to be at that election (179), though no eastern emigrants were there (180). Others

(194) R. Ohandler. (169) J. B. Boas, Dr. J. Noblo. (169) J. B. Roas, Dr. J. Noblo. (169) J. Roas, Dr. J. Noblo. (169) J. Roas, Dr. J. Noblo. (169) J. Roas, Dr. J. Robert, J. Robert, J. L. Whitchead, J. R. Robert, A. M. Milchall, B. S. Cesel, G. W. Gillespie. (179) L. Dillon, G. W. Gillespie. (113) J. A. A. Jamieson, Willard F. Hall, J. W. Rosert, A. M. Milchall, B. G. Cesel, G. W. Gillespie. (179) L. Dillon, G. W. Gillespie. (179) J. Robert, J. R. Ohander, J. R

said they were going to voto for the purpose of making Kausas a Slavo State (181)

of making Kansas a Slavo State (181).
Some claimed that they had a right to vote, under the provisions of the Kansas-Nebraska the state of the provision of the facts of the state on the ground on the day of election (183).
The Prec-State men generally did not vote (183), and those who did vote, voted generally for John H. Whitehead, Pro-Slavery, for Country, against higher Wan, P. Richardson, and did not vote at all for members of the Lower House

(184).

The parties were pretty nearly equally divided And puries were pretty hearry equal, unvaced in the district, some being of opinion that the Free-State party had a small majority (185), and others that the Free-Stavery party had a small majority (186). After the election was over, and the polls were closed, the Missourians returned home. During the day they had provi-sions and liquor served out, free of expense to all (187).

DONIPHAN PRECINCY.

The evening before the election some 200 or And evening before the election some 200 or more Missourians from Platte, Buchanan, Salino, and Clay counties, Missouri, came into this precinct, with tonts, music, wagons, and provisions, and arraed with grues, rilles, pistols, and form the place of cotting 1889. The very miles from the place of cotting 1889, the very miles from the place of cotting 1889. The very miles from the place of cotting 1889, the very miles are also also the place of cotting 1889, the very miles and intended to return to Missouri after they and intended to return to Missouri after they had voted (189).

On the morning of the cleation the Judges appointed by the Governor would not serve, and others were appointed by the crowd (190). The others were appointed by the crowd (190). The Missourians were allowed to vote without being sworn (191)-some of them voting as many as eight or nine times; changing their hats and conts and giving in different names each time (192). After they had voted they returned to Missouri (193). The Free-State mon generally did not vote (194), though constituting a majority in the precinct (195). Upon counting the ballots in the box and the names on the poll-lists, it was found that there were too many ballots (196), and one of the judges of election took out bal lets enough to make the two numbers correspond (197).

WOLF RIVER PRECINCY.

But few Missourians were present in this pro-cinct, though some of them threatened one of the judges, because he refused to receive their votes, and when he resigned another was chosen in his place, who consented to receive their votes (198).

Protests were drawn up against the elections in the various procincts in the XIVth District, but on account of threats that greater numbers of Missourians would be at a new election should it be called, and of personal violence to those who should take part in the protest, it was not presented to the Governor (199). Major Rich-

ardson, the Pro-Slavery candidate for Council, threatened Dr. Cutler, the Free-State candidate, that if he contested the election he and his office should be put in the Missouri River (200).

The number of votes in the district by the cen-Ano number of votest in tag dastrict by the cen-sate was 334—of these 124 voted. The testimony shows that quite a number of persons whose legal residence was in the populous county of Buchanan, Mo., on the opposite side of the river, had claims in the Territory. Some ranged cattle, and others marked out their claim and built a oabin, and sold this incipient title where they could. They were not residents of the Territory in any just or legal sense. A number of settlers moved into the district in the month of March. Your Committee are satisfied, after a careful analysis of the records and testimony, that the number of legal votes east did not exceed 200out of 727.

XVTH DISTRICT.

The election in this district was held in the house of a Mr. Hayos. On the day of election a crowd of from 400 to 500 men (201) collected around the polls, of which the great body were oitizens of Missouri. One of the Judges of Election, in his testimony (202), states that the strangors commenced crowding around the polls, and that then the residents left. Threats were made that then the resource reft. America were made before and during the election day that there should be no Free-State candidates, although there were nearly or quite as many Free-State as Pre-Stavery men resident in the district. Most of the crowd were drinking and carousing, Most of the crowd were urinking and carousing, cursing the Abolitionists and threatening the only Free-State Judge of Election. A majority of those who voted were hemp in their buttonholes (203) and their pass-word was, " all right on the hemp." Many of the Missourians were known and are named by the witnesses. Several shown and are named by them at the polls, and among those who spoke wero Major Oliver, one of your Committee, Col. Burns, and Lalan Wil-liams of Platte County. Major Oliver urged upon all present to use no harsh words, and ex-pressed the hope that nothing would be said or done to harm the feelings of the most sensitive on the other side. Ho gave some grounds, based on the Missouri Compromise, in regard to the right of voting, and was understood to excuse the Missourians for voting. Your Committee are satisfied that he did not vote. Col. Burns recom-mended all to vote, and he hoped none would go home without voting. Some of the Pro-Slavery residents were much dissatisfied at the interference with their rights by the Missourians, and for that reason-because reflection convinced them that it would be better to have Kansas a Free-State—they "fell over the fonce" (204). The judges requested the voters to take an oath that they were actual residents. They objected at first, some saying they had a claim, or "I am here." But the Free-State Judge insisted upon the oath, and his associates, who at first were disposed to weive it, coincided with him, and the voters all took it after some grumbling. One said he cut him some poles and laid them in the shape of a square, and that made him a claim: and another said that he had cut him a few sticks of wood, and that made him a claim. The Freeos woos, and that made nim a caim. The Free-State men did not vote, although they believed their numbers to be equal to the Fre-Slavery set-tices, and some claimed that they had the majori-ty. They were deterred by through throughout by the Alinsourians, before and on the day of election, from putting up candidates, and no can-didates were run, for this reason—that there was

⁽¹⁸¹⁾ W. P. Hall, H. S. Creel. (182) B. H. Brock, C

⁽²⁰⁰⁾ Dr. G. A. Jutler. (201) J. B. Crano. (202) E. R. Zimmerman, (203) E. R. Zimmerman, Joseph Potter. (203) E. R. Zimmerman.

e excdited rumor previously that the Missourisms | would control the election. The Free-State Judge was threatened with expulsion from the pells, and a young man thrust a pistol into the window through which the votes were received. The whole number of yotes cast was 417; of the names on the poll-book but 62 are in the consusrolls, and the testimony shows that a small portion, estimated by one witness at one quarter of the legal voters, voted. Your Committee estimate the number of legal voters at 80. One of the judges referred to, certified to the Governor that the election was fairly conducted. It was not contosted because no one would take the responsibility of doing it, as it was not considered safe, and that if another election was had, the residents would fare no better.

XVITH DISTRICT.

For some time provious to the election, meetings were held and arrangements made in Misings were held and arrangements made in Missouri to get up companies to come over to the Territory and vote (205), and the day before and on the day of election, large bodies of Missourians from Platte, Clay, Ray, Charlton, Carrol, Clinton, and Saline Counties, Mo, came into this district and camped there (205). They were armed with pistols and bowick-inves, and some with guns and rifles (207), and had badges of hemp in their button-holes and elsewhere

about their persons (208).

On the morning of the election there were from 1,000 to 1,400 persons present on the ground (209). Previous to the election, Missourians endeavored to persuade the two Free-State judges to resign by making threats of personal violence to them (210), one of whom resigned on the morning of election, and the crowd chose another to fill his place (211). But one of the judges, the Free-State judge, would take the oath prescribed by the Governor, the other two deciding that they had no right to swear any one who offered to voto, but that all on the ground were entitled to voto (212). The only votes refused were some Delaware Indians. some 30 Wyandot Indians being allowed to vote (213)

One of the Free-State candidates withdrew in consequence of the presence of the Missourians, amid cheering and acelamations by the Mis-sourians (214). During the day, the steamboat New Lucy came down from Western Missouri, with a large number of Missonrians on board, who voted and then returned on the boat (215).

The Missourians gave as a reason for their coming over to vote, that the North had tried to force emigration into the Territory, and they wanted to counteract that movement (216). Some of the candidates and many of the Missourians took the ground that, under the Kan-sas-Nebraska act, all who were on the ground on the day of election were entitled to vote (217), and others, that laying out a town, stak-ing a lot, or driving down stakes, even on another man's claim, gave them a right to vote.

(203) H. Miles Moore, A. McAaloy, L. Kerr. (203) Bavid Brown, T. A. Hart, G. F. Warren, R. R. Rees, A. Russell, P. R. Orr. L. J. Bastin, A. Fisher, M. France, M. B. Moore, (207) B. Brown, E. A. Hart, G. F. Warren, R. R. Rees, A. Hart, L. J. Bastin, M. France, W. H. Adams, H. Moore, (209) F. A. Hart, T. A. Minard, G. F. Warren, R. R. Rees, A. J. Fattin, W. G. Matthis, A. Cally D. Brown, F. M. Hart, L. J. Fatrin, W. H. Adams, R. Cally D. Brown, K. B. Rees, S. J. Bastin, A. T. Kylo, D. J. Johnson, M. Brence, A. T. Rattin, H. M. Adams, R. Rees, S. J. Bastin, A. T. Kylo, D. J. Johnson, M. Paracc, A. T. Rattin, H. M. Rees, C. Elly H. R. Bester, S. J. Bastin, A. T. Kylo, D. J. Johnson, M. Paracc, A. T. Rattin, H. M. Son, C. (201) H. Ree, B. T. L. Moore, T. Missard, G. F. Warren, R. R. Brown, T. A. Missard, G. F. Warren, R. R. Hees, H. T. M. Moore, R. R. R. Hees, H. T. Moore, (205) H. Miles Moore, A. McAulov, L. Kerr. (206)

And one of the members (218) of the Council, R. R. Recs, declared in his testimony that he who should put a different construction upon the law must be either a knave or a fool.

The Free-State men generally did not vote at that election (219); and no newly-arrived Eastern emigrants were there (220). The Free-State Judge of Election refused to sign the returns under the Land of the Land Judge of Election refused to sign the returns un-til the words "by larvill resident votors" were stream out, which was done, and the returns the stream of the stream of the returns of the tested, and a new election ordered by Gov. Reeder for the 22d of May.

The testimony is divided as to the relative strength of parties in this district. The whole number of voters in the district, according to

number of voters in the district, according to the census roturns, was 385; and, according to a very carefully-prepared list of voters, pre-pared for the Pro-Slavery candidates and other Pro-Slavery men, a few days previous to the election, there were 305 voters in the district, including those who had claims but did not live on them (222). The whole number of votes cast was 964. Of these named in the cousus 106 was 964. Of these named in the consus 100 voted. Your Committee, upon careful examination, are satisfied that there were not over 150 legal votes cast, leaving 814 illegal votes,

XVIITH DISTRICT.

The election in this district seems to have been fairly conducted, and not contested at all. In this district the Pro-Slavery party had the majority.

XVIIITH DISTRICT.

Provious to the election, Gen. David R. Atchison of Platte City, Mo., got up a company of Missourians, and passing through Weston, Mo., (223) went over into the Territory. He remained all night at the house of exhibited his arms, of which he had an abundance. He proceeded to the Nemohaer (XVIII') District (224). On his way, he and his par tended a Nominating Convention in the XIVth District, and proposed and caused to be nominated a set of candidates in opposition to the wishes of the Pro-Slavery residents of the district (225). At that Convention he said that there were 1,100 men coming over from Platto County, and if that wasn't enough they could send 5,000 more—that they came to vote, and would vote or kill every G—d d—d Abolitionist

in the Territory (226).
On the day of election, the Missourians under Atchison, who were encamped there, came up to the polls in the XVIIIth District, taking the onth that they were residents of the district. DEAD that they were resultants of into district. The Missourians were all armed with pistole or bowie-knives, and said there were 60 in their company (227). But 17 votes given on that day were given by residents of the district (228), The whole number of votes was 62.

R. L. Kirk, one of the candidates, came into the district from Missouri about a week before the election, and boarded there (229). He left after the election, and was not at the time a legal resident of the district in which he was elected, No protest was sent to the Governor on account of threats made against any who should dure to contest the election (230). The following tables embody the result of the examination of your

Committee in regard to this election. In some of the districts it was impossible to ascertain

(20) B. Brown T. A. Hart. (20) D. Brown, E. A. Minand, G. F. Warren, F. A. Hart, M. France, H. A. Minand, G. E. Warren, F. A. Hart, M. France, W. H. Adams, (222) L. J. Esstin, M. France, W. H. Adams, (222) L. J. Esstin, A. McLauley, (225) H. Niles Boore, (224) J. J. Esstin, A. McLauley, (225) H. Niles Boore, (224) Cutler, (225) D. F. G. A. Outler, (227) D. H. Baler, John Belew, (229) D. F. G. A. Outler, (227) D. H. Baler, John Bolew, (229) D. F. G. A. Outler, (227) D. F. G. A. Outl

the precise number of the legal votes east, and a lilegal votes east is stated, after a careful re-excepcedally in the XIVIA, XVII and XVIII Distribution of all the tentimony and recertific in an under connection under or legal and corning the election:

	Protode Beauth If no Investor.	Free-State.			7.		Free-State. Pro-Stavery.	Pree-State.	Doubtful Pro-Slavery.	Doubiful	
			is .	1					AA	Å	_
	No. Councilmen elected by Illegal Votes	C1 .	11	,			01				1
	No. of Illegal Votes in Council District		23 23	12		114	83	33	888	첉	
	No. of Legal Votes in Council District		8 8	Zř.			ឱ្	12	658	163	
	No. of Illegal Votes in Election District	8 8	316	88	8 5	1 2	<u> </u>	ğ °1	3428	8 2	
CIS	No. of Legal Votes in Election District	8 19	ន ន	ដននេ	2 1	: 8	5552	1-225	8##8 	의 <u>유</u>	
STRI	Total Votes cast in Council District		2000	弱			32	8	8884 8824	1206	888
BY COUNCIL DISTRICTS.	Total Votes cast in Election District	1034	8 8 8	888	88 8	ដូ ផ	88488	¹ ន្លីនដ	85	252	
JNC	Scattering	7	a	60			P 61		н		ន
8	Total Votes in Council District for them		25	4			158	340	8	8	198
5, BY	No. of Votes for them in Election District	228000	1222	4820	0.188	32.28	35 83£	10 Br	88 .	_හ ක පි වී	
MARCH 30, 1855,	Pres State Cardidates.	Joel K. Goodwin S. N. Wood Joel Goodwin	Joel K. Goodwin S. N. Wood	Wm. F. Johnson Bice	James P. Fox M. G. Morris	M. G. Morris	M. G. Morris James P. Fox M. F. Conway	M. F. Conway M. F. Conway M. F. Conway M. F. Conway	ė	B. H. Twombly A. J. Whitney B. H. Twombly A. J. Whitney	
N OF	Total Voters in Council Districts for them		818	869			88	396	\$\$\$ £\$\$	1129	2487
ELECTION OF	No. of Voters for them in Election District	822						4월 당 4 12			
ABSTRACT OF FLE	Pro-St. avert Candidates.	Thos. Johnson Ed. Chapman Thos. Johnson	Thos, Jehnson Ed. Chapman A. McDonald	H. J. Strickler H. J. Strickler H. J. Strickler	David Lykins.	A. M. Coffee David Lykins	A. M. Coffee David Lykins Wm. Barbee John Donaldson	John Donaldson John Donaldson John Donaldson John W. Foreman.	John W. Foreman John W. Foreman Wm. P. Richardson D. A. M. Grover	R. R. Beese. L. J. Eastin. R. R. Beese. L. J. Eastin.	
ABS	No. of Councilmen	64	-					н		03	ĪΞ
	No. of Voters by Census in Council Districts	468	213	188	!		252	247	258	8	뙳
200	Voters in Election Dists	369	22 22	288£	<u> </u>		888	219	8558 8858	2 × 28	
	Preomots.	Lawrence	-)	Titus's	Pottawatamie	Big Sugar Creek	Little Sugar Creek Big Blue	Marysville Silver Lako St. Mary's	Barr Oak		
1	No. of Election District		P 01	0 F- 00 A	• .		ಕ್ಕಾ	祖田 林	848		
1_	No. of Council District.	-	¢1		4		, to to	-	00.00	8	لِـــا

TAY THE PARTY OF		
TANK SERVICE		
TAXABLE .	2	
CANADA VA		
A Mark and	4	

1 90	72		10 2 Z	222	121	1	U C Z	= ##	Î	US2	2 2 19	20	FH	848	==	FZ	EFZ	c=2	3 22	
No.	No. of		5 5	No. Votors by cen- sus in Represent- ative District	No. E		No. of Votes for them in Election District	enta enta		100	Total Votes for them in Repro- sentative District	Scattoring	Total Votos cast in Alegtica Olst	Total Votos cant in Representative District	No. Legal Voton in Election Dist.	No. Illegal Votes in Blockon Dist	No. Log In Ropr District	1 5 L	No. Represention bleeted by Illo- gal Votes	
of Rep.	£	PRECINCTS AND	2" 5	Di Rei	ê	PRO-SLAVERY	25.5	18 H X	FREE-STATE	25.5	A U.A	ä	640	ct of	20	율.	200	or and	3 7 5	>
ş	Elec.	PLACES OF VOTING.	E e	pro	80	CANDIDATES.	60	발등합	Candidates,	E oto	P#S	Ĩ	20	25	5.	57	1 2 4	1 2 2	A 6	5
Dist.	Dist		8 8	80.0	Represent'vs		# 5	gg a		0.0	2 5 P		et.	t g	100	100	유	4.6	1 25	Ę
			47	97		A. S. Johnson	77		A. F. Powell.	3		÷	- 50			65	- 52	- 6 3	. 7.3	č
1	17	Dr. Chapman's Shawnee Mission	50	l .	1	A. S. Johnson	43	120	A. F. Powell	18	19	8	59	139	15 59		74	63		77
2	1	Lawrence	869	389	8	James Whitlock J. M. Banks	780 781		J. Hutchinson E. D. Ladd	252 253										Ę
١.	2	Bloomington	212	212	2	A. B. Wade	781 318	781	P. P. Fowler Issac Davis	254 12	253	10	1034	1034	232	202	233	802	3	3
3						O. H. Brown	318	318	E. G. Macv	12 4 1	12	11	341 370	341 370	25 32	316 338	25 33	316 338	2	<u> </u>
4 5	3	J. B. Titus's	101	101	1	D. L. Croysdale M. W. McGee	366 210	366	C. K. Holliday A. J. Baker	i		1.		210	32	ಹು		~~	-	12
1	8	Council Grove	89		1	M. W. McGee	12	222	H. Rice	23 25 25	49		234 37	271	95	209				8
В	6	Fort Scott	253	253	2	Joseph C. Anderson	315 313	315	John Hamilton	35 16	25		350	350	25 37		82	209	1	0
7	5	Bull Creek	442	442	4	S. A. Williams W. A. Haskell	377	315	W. Margraves John Serpell	9	ω		200	200			100	250		5
1.						Allen Wilkinson Henry Younger	375 375		Adam Pore S. H. Houser	19										<u> </u>
	-	Potawatamie Creek		i	ĺ	Samuel Scott.	877 198	(377)	Wm. Jennings	61		7	(393)		13	350			l	200
		Potawatamie Creek		1		Wm. A. Haskell	198		Adam Pore	54										Ħ
-			1	1		Henry Younger Samuel Scott	198 198	(198)	S. H. Houser	62 17		6	(266)		75	191				TION OF MARCH 39, 1855, BY REPRES
		Big Sugar Creek				W. A. Haskell	74		John Serpell	17 16										18
						Henry Younger	74		8. H. Houser	17			(91)		82	59				بخ
		Little Sugar Creek	١.			Samuel Scott	33	(74)	John Serpell	62				1 1	34	99				Ξ
- 1		-		-		Allen Wilkinson Henry Younger	32 35	(35)	Adam Pore	62 62 64			(105)						4	×
١.				99	1	Samuel Scott	32 35 35 18	684	Wm. Jennings		152	4	855 75	855	104		204	580		15
- 8	10	Pawnee	86 63	99	1	Russell Garrett	21 2		S. D. Houston	43		١.	69		59	10			١.	2
۰	11	Rock Creek	24	102	1	Russell Garrett Fr. J. Marshall	328	41	S. D. Houston	1	120	6	69 23 328 31	167	104 75 59 23 7	321	158	10	1	ABSTRACT OF FILECTION OF MARCH 30, 1855, BY REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICTS
1	12	Silver Lake	78	1 2	-	Fr. J. Marshall	12	344	H. McCartney P. McCartney	19	26	4	31 11	310	46		58	321	1	3
10	13	St. Mary's	83	83	1	Fr. J. Marshall Wm. H. Tibbs	237	237	C. Hart (Hard?)	3	-	*	242	242	12	230	58 12	321 230	1	ž
11	14	Wolf River	219	247	2	J. H. Stringfellow R. L. Kirk	57 52		Jno. Landis	15 8	1	=	l	+ 1	Í		l.			V.
1		Danishan				J. H. Stringfellow	11.0		J. Ryan	8 30		1	78		76	2			ĺ	12
1		Doniphan	1			R. L. Kirk	292		John Landis			6	348		188	160			1	E E
	18	Nemaha	28	}		J. H. Stringfellow	48		Joel Byan	14	l	1 -					~~	200		3
12	14	Burr Oak	215	215	2	B. L. Kirk	256	1	John Landis		54	1	62	486	17	45	279	206		Ë
1 .		Muli Vak	208	208	2	T. W. Waterson	258 412	258		-	1		303		140	155	140	168		133
13	16					H. B. C. Harris J. Weddell	412	412		١.,	1		417	417	80	332	80	222	1	
14	16	Leavenworth	385	885	8	Wm. G. Matthias H. D. McMeekin	899 897		Folix G. Bradin	. 59		1							1	
L		1	1	l _	l	Archy Paine		897	F. Browning		59	1	964	964	150	514	150	814	<u> </u>	

ABSTRACT OF CENSUS, AND RETURNS OF ELECTION OF MARCH 30, 1855, BY ELECTION DISTRICTS.

14		7	29	8	н	17	년.	Cons	118,	C'	:1, '	11'	oo.
Number of District	Place of Voxing,	o-Sievery Votes	Free-State Votes	sttering	Total	Total of Legal Votes	Total of Hiegal Votes-	No. persons restits	No. of Voters	No. of District	No. of Members	No. of District	No. of Members
1 2 3 4 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 10	Lawrenno Illoomington Stinnow's, or Tecumach Dr. Olaspana's Illoomington Dr. Olaspana's Illoomington Dr. Olaspana's Illoomington Dr. Olaspana's Dr. Olas	781 818 800 78 8377 109 74 815 211 17 23 227 5 828 4 12 233 313 67 250 412 800	253 12 4 2 0 06 17 70 85 23 17 52 42 21 7 10 6 80 16 2	11 2 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	1034 841 872 80 80 80 204 80 208 104 860 234 87 76 69 23 328 11 33 230 840 78 806 417 904	232 89 82 16 18 76 82 101 100 26 37 75 48 29 7 7 11 83 12 200 80 150	802 310 338 05 380 191 60 209 21 21 230 680 837 814	962 519 202 177 — 1407 810 118 89 80 151 36 144 284 1107 873 1188	200 100 101 47 442 253 58 36 63 24 78 90 334 208 885	1 2 3 1 4 - 5 3 3 6 10 8 9 10 7 7 7 8 9 10	211 2 1 1 1 1 2	23 4 1 7 6 5 5 8 8 8 9 9 10 11 11 12 13 13 14	32 11 1 2 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 3
17 18	Gum Springs Moonestown	48 48 5427	16 14 791	92	6320	1310	4968	150 09 3501	2802	7	1 18	E	26

Your Committee report the following facts not shown by the tables:

Of the twenty-nine hundred and five votors named in the census rolls, eight hundred and thirty-ono are found on the poll-books. Some of the settlers were prevented from attending the election by the distance of their homes from the polls; but the great majority were deterred by the open avowal that large bodies of armed Missourians would be at the polls to vote, and by the fact that they did so appear and control the election. The same causes deterred the Free-State

tion. The same causes deterred the Free-State settlers from running conditates in several dis-tricts, and in others induced the candidates to The pell-books of the III and WIIIth districts were lost; but the proof is quite clear that, in the III district, there were thirty, and in the VIIIth district thirty-eight legal votes, undring a total or ignit hundred and minety-sight eight or the consistor ugin matter and may regar legal voters of the Territory, whose names are on the consus-returns, and yet the proof, in the state in which we are obliged to present it, after excluding ille-gal votes, leaves the total vote of 1,310, showing a discrepancy of 412. The discrepancy is accounted for in two ways: First, the coming in of settlers before the March election, and after the census was taken, or sottlers who were omitted in the census; or secondly, the disturbed state of the Territory while we were investigat-ing the elections in some of the districts, thereby preventing us from getting testimony in relation to the names of legal voters at the time of election

If the election had been confined to the actual settlers undeterred by the presence of non-residents, or the knowledge that they would be

IIId, IVth, and VIth, council-districts. The result in the VIIIth, and Xth, electing three members, would have been doubtful, and the Vth, VIIth, and IXth would have elected three Pro-Slavery

members Under like circumstances the House of Representatives would have been composed of four-teen members in favor of making Kansas a Free State, elected from the IId, IIId, IVth, VIIth, VIIth, VIIIth, IXth, and Xth representative-

districts. The result in the XIIth and XIVth representative-districts, electing five members, would have been doubtful, and the Ist, VIth, XIth, and XVth districts would have elected seven Pro-Slavery members.

By the election, as conducted the Pro-Slavory candidates in every district but the VIIIth representative-district, received a majority of the votes; and several of them, in both the Council and the House, did not "reside in" and were not "inhabitants of" the district for which they were elected, as required by the or-ganic law. By that act it was declared to be the true intent and meaning of this act to leave the people thereof perfectly free to form and regulate their domestio institutions in thoir own vays, subject to the Constitution of the United States.

So careful was Congress of the right of popular concarent was congress or me right of popular sovereignty, that to secure it to the people, without a single petition from any portion of the country, they removed the restriction of the Slavery imposed by the Missouri Compromise, And yet this right, so carefully secured, was thus by force and fraud overthrown by a portion of the needed of an editaring Slavie.

those laws have been made peacefully and quietly. through the ballet-box. This invasion is the first and only one in the history of our Government, by which an organized farce from one State has elected a Legislature for another State or Territory, and manch it should have been resisted by the whole excentive power of the National Government.

Your Committee are of the opinion that the Capatitution and laws of the United States have Invested the President and Gavernar of the Territory with ample nower for this purpose. They could only set after receiving authentic information of the facts, but whon received, whether be-fore or after the certificates of election were lore of after the corthicates of election were granted, this power should have own excreted to its fullest extent. It is not to be tolorated that a legislative body thus nelected shurld ansume or expresse any legislative functions; and their concetnents should be regarded as null and void; nor should the question of its legal exist-once us a legislative body he determined by itself, as that would be allowing the criminal to judge of his own crime. In section twenty-two of the organic act it is provided, that " the persons having the highest number of legal votes in each of said Conneil districts for members of the Council, shall be declared by the Gev-erner to be duly elected to the Council, and the persons baving the highest number of le-gal vates for the House of Representatives, shall be declared by the Governor duly elected members of said House." The proclamation of the Governor required a verified notice of a contest when one was made, to be filed with him within four days after the election. Within that time he did net obtain information as to force or fraud in any except the following districts, and in these there were material defects in the returns of election. Without deciding upon his power to set aside elections for force and fraud, they were set aside for the following reasons:

In the Ist district, because the words "by lawful resident voters," were stricken from the return.

In the IId district, because the oath was administered by G. W. Taylor, who was not authorized to administor an oath.

In the IIId district, because material erasures from the printed form of the oath were purposely made.

In the IVth district for the same reason

In the VIIth district, because the Judges were not sworn at all

In the XIth district, because the returns show the election to have been held viva voce instead of by ballot.

In the XVIth district, because the words "by lawful residence" were stricken from the re-

ABSTRACT OF THE RETURNS OF ELECTION OF MAY 22, 1855.

No. of District	PLACES OF VOTING.	Pro-Slavery Volum-	Free-State Votes	Scattering	Total
1 2 3 7 3 16	Lawrence Douglas Stinson's "110" Council Grove. Leavenworth	560	288 127 148 66 38 140	18 1 13 15	306 127 149 79 33 715
10	Total	560	802	47	1409

Although the frand and force in other districts were equally great as in these, yet as the Gov-

group had in information in regard to them, ho issued certificates negoriting to the returns.

Your Committee here felt it to be their, daily not only to inquire into and, collect evidence in regard to force and fraud attempted and practiced at the elections in the Torritory, but she into the facts and protects by which this force and fraud has been excused and justified; and for this purpose, your Committee have allowed the declarations of non-resident voters to be given us cyldenee in their own behalf, also the declarations of all who came up the Missouri River us emigrants in March, 1855, whether they vated or nat, and whother they can into the Territory at all or nat; and are the ramps which were circulated among the people of Missouri previous to the election. The great body of the testimony taken at the instance of the sit-ting Delegate is of this character.
When the declarations of parties passing up the

river were offered in evidence, your Committee received them upon the distinct statement that they would be excluded unless the persons making the declarations were by other professions to have been connected with the elections. This proof was not made, and therefore much of this alone of testiment in markets by class of testimony is incompetent by the rules of law, but is allowed to remain as tending to show the cause of the action of the citizens of Misseuri.

The alloged causes of the invasion of March, 1855, are included in the following charges:

I. That the New-England Aid Society of Boston was then importing into the Territory large numbers of men morely for the purpose of control-ling the elections. That they came without we-

mng que cioctions. That they came without wo-men, children, or baggago, went into the Terri-tory, voted, and returned again.

II. That men were hired in the Eastern or Northern States. Northern States, or induced to go into the Territory solely to veto, and not to settle, and by so

tory solely to vote, and not to settip, and by so doing to make it a Froe State.

III. That the Governor of the Territory pur-posely postponed the day of election to allow this emigration to arrive, and notified the Emigrant Aid Society, and persons in the Eastern States, of the day of election, before he gave netice to the people of Missouri and the Ter-

ritory That these charges were industriously circulated; that gazaly exaggerated statements were made in regard to them; that the newspaper press and loading men in public meetings in western Missour, aided in one case by a Chap-lain of the United States Army, gave currency and credit to them, and thus excited the people, and induced many well-meaning citizens of Missouri to march into the Territory to meet and repel the alleged Eastern paupers and Abolitionists, is fully proven by many witnesses.

But these charges are not sustained by the

proof.

In April, 1854, the General Assembly of Massachusetts passed an act entitled "An act to incorporate the Massachusetts Emigrant Aid Society." The object of the Seciety as declared in the first section of this act, was "for the purpose The moneyed capital of the corporation was not to exceed five millions of dollars; but no more than four per cent, could be assessed during the year 1854, and no mere than ten per cent. in any

year 1884, and no more than ten per cen, in any one year thereafter. No organization was per-fected, or proceedings had, under this law. On the 24th day of July, 1845, certain persons in Poston, Mossachusetts, concluded articles of agree-cent and association for an Emigrant Aid Society. The purpose of this association was delimed to be "assisting entigrants to settle in the West." Under these articles of association, each stockholder was individually liable. To avoid the difficulty, an application was made to the General Assumbly of Messachimetts for an act of moorporation, which was granted. On the Zeat corporate in New England Emigrant Ahl Company. The purpose of this not were declared to be "directling emigration weekvard, and sitting and providing accommodation for the only made of the company. The purpose of the property of stockholder was individually liable. To avoid the usual extra freight (234). Each passenger not to exoced one million of dellars. Under this

nor to exceed one minion of deniare. Under this charter a company was organized.

Kour Committee have examined some of its officers and a portion of its effects and records to assortial what has been done by it. The public attention, at that time, was directed to the Territory of Kamea, and emigration, antimity tonded in that direction. To ascortain its character and reconreces, this Company sent its agent into it, and the information than obtained was published. The Company made arrangements with various lines of transportation to reduce the expense of emigration into the Territory, and procured tickets at the reduced rates. Applications were made to the Company by persons desiring to emigrato, and when they were numerous enough to form a party of convenient size, tickets were sold to thom at the reduced rates. An agent acquainted with the rente was selected to accompany them. Their baggage was checked, and all trouble and danger of less to the emigrant in this way avoid-

Under these arrangements, companies went into the Territory in the Fall of 1854, under the articles of association referred to. The compaarrives or assential records to. The company did not pay any pertion of the fare, or furnish any porsonal or real property to the emigrant. The company during 1835 sent into the Territory from eight to ton saw-mills, purchased one hotel in Kansas City, which they subsequently sold, built one hotel at Lawrence, and owned one other building in that place. In some cases, to induce building in that place. In some cases, to induce them to make improvements, town lost were given to them by town associations in this Ter-itory. They held no property of any other kind or description. They imposed ne condition upon their emigrants and did not inquire into their po-litical, religious, or secial opinions. The total amount expended by them, including the isalaries of their agents and officers, and the expenses in-cident to all organizations, was less than \$100,-

Their purposes, as far as your Committee can ascertain, were lawful, and contributed to sup-ply those wants most experienced in the settlement of a new country.

ment of a new county.

The only persons or company who emigrated into the Territory under the auspices of the Emigrant Aid Society in 1855, prior to the election in March, was a party of 159 persons who came under the charge of Charles Robinson (231).

ander the charge of Charles Mohason (231).
In this party there were 67 women and ohiden (232). They came as extend settlers, intending to make their hemes in the Territory, and for no other purpose (233). They had about their persons in little beggage; usually sufficient clothing in a carple-task for a bort time. Their personal effects, such as obthing, famiture, etc., was put into trunks and boxes; and fer convenience in selecting and cheapness in transport-ing, was marked "Kansas party baggage, oare B. Slater, St. Louis." Generally this was consigned as reight, in the usual way, to the care of a commission merchant. This party had, in addition to the usual allowance of one hundred pounds to each passenger, a large quantity of baggage on which the respective owners paid

or party paid lds or their own expenses; and the only benefit they derived from the Society, not shared by all the people of the Territory, was the reduction of about \$7 in the price of the fere, the convenience of traveling in a company lastead of alone, and the elempness and facility of transporting their freight through regular agents. Bubsequently, many enigrants, being either disappelated with the country or the pol-tical condition. or decired by the attenuation that condition, or deceived by the attenuants made by the newspapers and by the agents of the Society, became dissatisfied, and returned, both before and after the election, to their old homes. Most of thom are now orthers in the Territory (235). Some fow voted at the election in Lawrence (235), but the number was small. The names of these emigrants have been ascer-The names of these configurates have freed assertanced, and — of them were found upon the poll-books. This company of peaceful enigrants, moving with their household goods, was distorted into an invading horde of pumper Abelittoniats, who were, with others of a similar character, to centrel the domestic institutions.

of the formory, and then overturn those of a neighboring powerful State.

In regard to the second charge: There is no preef that any man was either lived or induced to come into the Territory from any Free State, merely to vote. The cutire emigration in March 1855, is estimated at 500 persons (236), including men, wemen, and children. They eams on steamboats up the Missouri River, in the ordinary course of emigration. Many returned for causes similar to those before stated; but the body of them are now residents. The only persons of those who were connected by preef with the election, were some who voted at the Big Blue Proclect in the Xth District, and at Pawnee in the IXth District. Their purpose and character are stated in a former part of this report.

of the Torritory, and then overturn those of a

The third charge is entirely groundless. Tho organic law requires the Governor to cause an enumeration of the inhabitants and legal voters to be made, and that he apportion the members of the Council and House according to this enuof the Council and House according to this enu-meration. For reasons stated by persons en-gaged in taking the comms, it was not completed the council of the council of the council of the time the day of holding the election had not been, and could not have been, named by the Governor. As soon as practicable after the returns were brought in, he issued his proclamation for an election, and named the earliest day consistent with due notice, as the day of election. The day on which the election was to be held, was a matter of conjecture all over the country; but it was generally known that it would be in the latter part of March. The precise day was not known by any one until the proclamation issued. It was not known to the agents of the Emigrant Aid Society in Boston on the 13th of March, 1855, when the party of emigrants, before referred to left (238).

Your Committee are satisfied that these charges were made the mere pretext to induce an armed invasion into the Territory, as a means to control the election and establish Slavery there.

The real purpose is avowed and illustrated by the testimony and conduct of Col. John Scott, of St. Joseph's, Missouri, who acted as the attorney for the sitting delegate before your Committee. The fellowing are extracts from his deposition:

"Prior to the election in Burn-Oak precinct, in the XIVth district, on the 29th of November, 1854, I had been a resident of Missouri, and I then determined, if I found it necessary, to become a resident of Kansan

⁽²³⁴⁾ B. Slater and F. A. Hunt. (235) Charles Robinson, Samuel C. Smith. (236) W. H. Chick, Mr. Riddlerburger. (237) Wm. Barbour. (238) Charles Rob-

⁽²³¹⁾ Benj. Siater, Charles Robinson, P. A. Hunt-(232) Charles Robinson. (233) Samuel C. Smith.

Territory. On the day previous to that election, I soldled up my learn at my leveding-house, in it, and the leaf of the my learn at my leveding-house, house when the ment can be nearly with Mr. Hyana, hear whose house the pelle were held the n.xt day, for one menth, see that I might have it in my pewer, by merely deer miding to do so, to become a resident of the Nerritory on the day of the the Nerritory on the day of the day of the new the day of the new the

"When my name was proposed as a Judge of Election, objections were made by two persons only. **** "When my name was proposed as a Judge of Biller.

"When my name was proposed as a Judge of Biller.

"When deletion were made by two persons only," a second of the color of th

issue of that election.

"It is my intention, and the intention of a great many other Missourians now resident in Missouri, whenever the Slavery issue is to be determined upon by the people of this Territory in the adoption of the State the people of this Territory in the adoption of the State Constitution, to remove to this Territory is time to acquire the right to become legal voters upon that question. Territory is to determine the domestic institutions of this Territory, when it comes to be a State, and we could not come but for that purpose, and would never think of coming here but for that purpose. I believe there are a green tump in Missouri viboure we sistuated."

The invasion of March 30th left both parties in a state of excitement, tending directly to produce violence. The successful party was lawless and reckless, while assuming the name of the "Law reckless, while assuming the name of the "Law and Order" party. The other party, at first sur-prised and confounded, was greatly irritated, and some resolved to prevent the success of the inva-sion. In some Districts, as before stated, protest were sent to the Governer; in others, this was prevented by threats; in others, by the want of the country of the protest of the protest of the dimension for the protest of the protest of the pro-ting of the protest of the protest of the pro-ting of the protest of the protest of the pro-ting of the protest of the protest of the pro-ting of the protest of the protest of the pro-ting of the protest of the protest of the pro-ting of the protest of the protest of the pro-ting of the protest of the protest of the pro-ting of the protest of the pro-ting of the protest of the protest of the protest of the pro-ting of the protest of the protest of the protest of the pro-ting of the protest of the protest of the protest of the pro-test of the protest of the protest of the protest of the pro-test of the protest of the protest of the protest of the pro-test of the protest of the pro-test of the protest of the pro clamation for this purpose; and in others, by the belief that a new election would bring a new invasion. About the same time, all classes of men commenced bearing deadly weapons about the person, a practice which has continued to this time. Under these circumstances, a slight or accidental quarrel produced unusual violence, and lawless acts became frequent. This evil condition of the public mind was further increased contained of the public mind was lutriner increased by acts of violence in Western Missouri, where, in April, a newspaper press called *The Parkville* Luminary was destroyed by a mob.

About the same time, Malcolm Clark assaulted

Cole McCrea, at a squatter meeting in Leavenworth, and was shot by McCrea, in alleged self-

On the 17th day of May, William Phillips, a lawyer of Leavenworth, was first notified to leave, and upon his refusal, was fercibly seized, taken across the river, and carried several miles into Missouri, and then tarred and feathered, and one side of his head shaved, and other gross indignities put upon his person.

Previous to the outrage a public meeting was held (239), at which resolutions were unanimously passed, looking to unlawful violence, and grossly intolerant in their character. The right of free speech upon the subject of Slavery was charac-

terized as a disturbance of the peace and quict of the community, and as "circulating lucen-diary sentiments." They say "to the poculiar friends of northern fanatics," Go home and do your treason where you may find sympathy. Among other resolves, in the following:

" Resolved, That the lastitution of Slavery is known and recognized in this Territory; that we repel the dectrine that it is a moral and pullifical coul, and we luri back with scorn upon its shanderons authors the charge of likemanity; and we warn all persons not to come to our paceful firedess to alander us, and sow the seeds of discord between the master and the servant; for, as much as we deprecate the necessity to which we may be driven, we cannot be responsible for the componences.

A Committee of Vigilance of 30 men was appointed, "to observe and report all such persons no shall, " " " " by the expression of Abelition scutiments, produce disturbance to the quiet of the oitizens, or danger to their domostic rela-tions; and all such persons so offending, shall be

notified, and made to leave the Territory The meeting was "ably and cloquently addressed by Judge Lecompte, Col. J. N. Burns of Western Misseuri, and others." Thus the head of the Judiclary in the Territory, not only assisted at a public and bitterly partisan meeting, whose direct tendency was to preduce violence and disorder, but before any law is passed in the Torritory, he prejudges the character of the de-mestic institutions, which the people of the Ter-ritory were, by their organic law, "loft perfectly free to form and regulate in their own way.

On this Committee were several of those who held certificates of election as members of the Legislature; some of the others were then and still are residents of Missouri; and many of the Committee have since been appointed to the leading offices in the Territory, one of which is

the Sheriffalty of the County. Their first act was that of mobbing Phillips.

Subsequently, on the 25th of May, A. D. 1855, a public meeting was hold, at which R. R. Recs, a member elect of the Council, presided (240). The fellowing resolutions, offered by Judgo Payre, a member elect of the House, were unanimously adepted:

"Resolved, That we heartly inderse the action of the committee of citizens that shaved, tarred, and feathered, rode on a rall, and had sold by a negro, Wm.

feathered, rose on a ran, sun masora by a section, the Phillips, the moral printer.

"Resolved. That we return our thanks to the committee for stithfully performing the trust emploide upon them by the Pro-Slavery party.

"Resolved. That the committee be now discharged.

"Resolved. That the wareness modifyer, are call."

Slavery mon who, from mercenary motives, are call-ing upon the Pro-Slavery party to submit without er action

"Resolved, That in order to secure peace and har-mony to the community, we now solemnly declare that the Pro-Slavery party will stand firmly by and carry out the resolutions reported by the committee ap-pointed for that purpose on the memorable 50th."

The act of moral perjury here referred to, is the swearing by Phillips to a truthful protest in regard to the election of March 30, in the XVIth District.

The members receiving their certificates of The members receiving their certificates of the Governer as members of the General Assembly of the Territory, met at Pawnee, the place appointed by the Governer, on the 2d of July, a. 5. 1855. Their proceedings are stated in three printed books, herewith submitted, entitled respectively, "The Statutes of the Territory of Kansas," "The Journal of the Council of the Renier of Annasa," and "The Journal of the Government of the Green of the House of the Perritory of Kansas," and "The Journal of the Government of the House of Representatives of the Perritory

Your Committee do not regard their enactments as valid laws. A Legislature thus imposed upon a peoplo, cannot offect their political at least and to a qualified elector for all electicities. Such an attempt to doe at it successful, ive offices (251)." Two eleanses of percons vero in virtually an overtheor of the organic law, and traduces the people of the Territory to the condi- level of vote, viz.: those who would not aware the conditions of the con tion of vascals to a neighboring State. To avoid the ovils of anarchy, no armed or organized re-sistance to them should be made, but the citioscarice of mean another by antice, our can characteristic and the ballot-box at public elections, to the Pederal Judiciary, and to Congress, for relief. Such, from the proof, would have been the course of the people, but for the nature of these canciments and the manner in which they are enforced. Their character and their execution have been so intimately con-nected with one branch of this investigation— that relating to "violent and tumultuous pro-ceedings in the Territory"—that we were compelled to examine them.

The "laws" in the statute-books are general and special; the latter are strictly of a local character, relating to bridges, reads, and the like. The great body of the general laws are exact transcripts from the Missouri Code. To make them in some cases conform to the organic act, separate acts were passed, defining the meaning of words. Thus the word "State" is to be underctood as meaning "Territory" (241); the word "County Court" shall be construed to mean the Board of Commissioners transacting county business, or the Probate Court, according to the intent thereof. The words "Circuit Court" to mean "District Court" [242].

The material differences in the Missouri and Kaisas statutes are upon the following subjects: The qualifications of voters and of members of the legislative assembly; the official eath of all officers, attorneys, and voters; the mode of selecting officers and their qualifications; the slave code, and the qualifications of jurors.

Upon these subjects the provisions of the Missouri Code are such as are usual in many of the States. But by the "Kansas Statutes," every office in the Torritory, executive and judicial, was to be appointed by the legislature, or by some officer appointed by it. These appointments were not merely to meet a temporary exi-gency, but were to hold over two regular elec-tions, and until after the general election in October, 1857 (243), at which the members of the new Council were to be elected (244). The new Legislature is required to meet on the first Mon-day in January, 1858 (245). Thus, by the terms of these "laws," the people have no control whatover over oither the Legislature, the excentive, or the judicial departments of the territorial government until a time before which, by the natural progress of population, the territorial government will be superseded by a State government.

No session of the Legislature is to be held during 1856, but the members of the House are to be elected in October of that year (246). A candidate, to be eligible at this election, must owear to support the fugitive-slave law (247), and each judge of election, and each voter, if chullenged, must take the same oath (248). The same outh is required of every officer elected or appointed in the Territory, and of every attorney admitted to practice in the courts (249).

A portion of the milite is required to muster on the day of election (250). "Every free white male citizen of the United States, and every free male Indian, who is made a citizen by treaty or otherwise, and over the age of twenty-one years, and who shall be an inhabitant of the Perritory and of the county and district in which he offers to vote, and shall have paid a territori-

All jurous are required to be selected by the Shoriff, and "no porson who is conscientiously opposed to the holding of slaves, or who does not admit the right to hold slaves in the Territory,

shall be a jurer in any cause's affecting the right shall be a jurer in any cause's affecting the right to hold slaves, or relating to slave property. The Slave Code, and every provision relating to slaves, are of a character intolerant and unu-sual even forthat class of logicalation. The char-ceter and code of the two conversed to the acter and conduct of the men appointed to hold office in the Territory contributed very much to produce the events which followed. Thus Samuel produce the events which followed. Anus samues.

I. Jones was appointed Sheriff of the County of Douglas, which included within it the 1st and IId Election Districts. He had made himself the state by this conpeculiarly obnoxious to the settlers by his conduct on the 30th of March in the IId District, and by his burning the cabins of Joseph Oakley

and Samuel Smith (254).

An election for delogates to Congress, to be held on the 1st day of October, 1855, was provided for with the same rules and regulations as were applied to other elections. The Free-State men took no part in this election, having made arrangements for helding an election on the 9th of the same month. The citizens of Missouri attended at the election of the 1st of October, attended at the election of the lat of October, some paying the dollar tax, others not being re-quired to pay it. They were present and voted at the voting-places of Atchison (259) and Deni-phan (259), in Atchison County; at Green Springs, Johnson County (257); at Willow Springs (258); Franklin (259), and Lecompton (260), in Douglas County; at Fort Scoti, Bour-bon County (261); at Baptisto Paola, Lykins Co, where some Indians voicia, some whiten navinewhere some Indians voted, some whites paying the \$1 tax for them (262); at Leavenworth City (263), and at Kickapoo City, Leavenworth County, at the latter place under the lead of Gen. B. F. Stringfellow and Col. Lewis Barnes of Missouri (264). From two of the election pre-cincts at which it was alleged there was illegal voting-viz.: Delaware and Wyandotte, your Committee failed to obtain the attendance of witnesses. Your Committee did not deem it necessary, in regard to this election, to enter into details, as it was manifest time, from there being but one candidate—Gen. Whitfield—he must have received a majority of the votes east, This election, therefore, depends not on the number or character of the votes received, but upon the validity of the laws under which it was held. Sufficient testimony was taken to show that the voting of citizens of Aliseouri was prac-ticed at this election, as at all former elections in the Territory. The following table will exhibit

to the eath required, and these of foreign birth who had declared on oath their intention to beeome citizens (252). Any man of proper age who was in the Territory on the day of election, and who had paid one dollar as a tax to the Sheriff, who was required to be at the polls to receive in, no was required to no at the polis to receive it (253), could votons an "inhabitant," although he had breakfasted in Missouri, and intended to return there for supper. There can be no doubt that this unusual and unconstitutional provision was inserted to prevent a full and fair expression of the popular will in the election of members of the House, or to control it by non-residents.

⁽²⁵¹⁾ p. 562. (252) Statutes, p. 34. (253) p. 553. (252) Smnt. Smith and E.S. Oxiloy. (255) D.W. Field. (253) John Lundle. (257) Robert Morror, E. Jondine, B. G. Verstfell, (259) A. White. T. Woorton, J. Reid. (253) L. 13. Oxy. L. A. Frather. (250) B. O. Westfall. (251) L. B. Oxe., J. A. Hamilton. (252) B. G. Westfall. (253) C. P. Greens, H. Niles Moore. (252) J. V. Sta-(211) Statutes, page 718. (212) Statutes, page 763. (215) Statutes, pages 163, 227, 712. (245) 330. (245) 475. (219) Statutes, page 330. (317) p. 333. (215) p. 522. (229) pp. 162, 523, 6, 6. (220) p. 503. phons.

reasons before stated indicate that the great ma- mine.

thoresult of the testimony as regardatho number | jerity of the votes then cost were either illeged of legal and illegal votes at this election. The or fictitions. In the counties to which our excounty of Marshall embraces the same territory aministion extended, there were — illegal votes as was included in the XIth District; and the

ABSTRACT OF POLI-BOOKS OF OCTOBER 1 1855.

Countres,	Токазиюз.	J. W. Whitheld	Scattering	Total Votes carv	No. of Legal Votes	No. of Illegal Votes
Atchinen	Grasshopper	. 7	-		_	
	Shannen	131	4	219	- 1	-
Bourbon		242	-	242	59	192
		4		4	4	-
Calhoun		23	4	20 12	29	-
Doninhan	Burr Oak	62	2	12	41	1
Domphan.	Iowa	31	-	Ξ	31	
	Wayne	68	_	_	62	4
	Washington		-		50	
	Washington	53	-	251	63	63
Douglas	Franklin	. 86		-	23	63
-	Lawrence	42	1-	-	42	-
	Lecompton	101	1 -		=	-
m	Willow Springs	103]	332	53	50
Franklin		15 42	3	15 45	15	_
Tolongon			1 -	190	90	100
Leavenworth		42		100		100
	Dolawaro		-	-	_	1 =
	Kickanoo		1 1	-	1-	50
1	Leavenworth		-	-		100
ĺ	Wyandott	246	5	895	I —	1 -
Lykins		220	-	220	70	150
Lynn		67	-	67	-	-
Madison	(See Wise Co.)		1		١.,	
		171	I -	171	24	147
Nemana		28	1=	28	28	_
Shawnee	One Hundred and Ten			20	23	
Outwhee	Tecumseh			75	52	=
Wise		14	1=	14	14	
	(Council Group, Branches	1 22				

want these enactments of the alleged legislative assembly were being made, a movement view instituted to form a State government, and apply for admission into the Union as a State. The first step taken by the people of the Territory, in consequence of the invasion of March 30, 1855, was the circulation for signature of a combine as the state of the state While these enactments of the alleged legisla-Fy, in consequence of the invasion of a separation of a graphic and truthful memorial to Congress. Your Committee find that every ellegation in this memorial has been sustained by the testimony. No further step was taken, as it was hoped that some action by the general governnoped that some action by the general govern-ment would protect them in their rights. When the alleged legislative assembly proceeded to construct the series of encoments referred to, the cettlers were of opinion that submission to then would result in depriving them of the rights ac-cured to them by the organic law. Their politi-cal condition was freely discussed in the Territory during the summer of 1855. Several meetings were held in reference to holding a convention to form a State government, and to apply for admission into the Union as a State. Public opinion gradually settled in favor of such an ap-plication to the Congress to meet in December, 1855. The first general meeting was held in Lawrence on the 15th of August, 1855.

The following preamble and resolutions were then passed:

"IFhereas. The people of Kansan have been, since its cottlement, and now are, without any law-making power, therefore be it

" Resolved, That we, the people of Kancas Territory, in mass mooting assembled, irrespective of party disin sits modeling used model, irrespective to party anditinctions, inducenced by common necessity, and greatly desirous of pursuiting the continuous good, do horeby call upon and request all bons fale citizens of Kannas Territory, of Chatever political views or predictions, to commit together in their respective Election. Districts, and in mass convention or otherwise, elect Districts, and in misse convention or otherwise, elect-tron delepstes for each representative to which raids throu delepstes for each representative to which raids the result of the foliation of the results of the re-rentatives of the feedbard to submit of laters, 1855, 1869, to a feedbard to the results of the results of the re-tent of the results of the results of the re-sults of Coppellation to a finite deleter of the re-outed for the results of the results of the re-outed for the results of the results of the re-lative the results of the re-presentative the results of the re-sults of the results of the re-tent of the Constitution, with an intention of an immediate application to be admitted as a State into the Union of the United States of America."

Other meetings were held in various parts of the Territory, which indersed the action of the Lawrence meeting, and delegates were selected in compliance with its recommendations.

They met at Topdies, on the 19th day of Sentember, 1855. By their resolutions they provided for the appointment of an Executive Committee to consist of acven persons, who were required to "keep a record) of their proceedings, and shall have a general superintendence of the affairs of the Territory so far as regards the or-ganization of the State Government." They were required to take steps for an election to be held on the second Tuesday of the October fellowing, under regulations imposed by that Cam- | ritory, and in nearly every preciuet. " for mombers of a Convention to form a Constitution, adopt a Bill of Rights for the people of Kames, and take all needful measures for organizing a State Government, preparatory to the admission of Kamese into the Union as a State." The rules prescribed were such as usually govern elections in most of the States of the Union, and in most respects were similar to those contained in the proclamation of Gov. Reeder for the election of March 30, 1855.

The Executive Committee, appointed by that Convention, necepted their appointment, and en-tered upon the discharge of their duties by issu-ing a proclamation addressed to the legal voters of Kansas, requesting them to meet at their several precincts, at the time and places named in the proclamation, then and there to cant their ballots for members of a Constitutional Convention, to meet ut Topoka on the 4th Tuesday of October then next.

The proclumation designated the places of elections, appointed judges, recited the qualifica-

moetings were held in every district in the Ter- table :

bern of the Convention. After this proclamation was issued, public

movement was a general topic of discussion throughout the Territory, and there was but lit-tle opposition exhibited to it. Elections were held of the three and places designated, and the returns were sout to the Executive Committee.

The result of the election was proclaimed by the Executive Committee, and the members-elect were required to meet on the 23d day of October, 1855, at Topoka. In pursuance of this procla-mation and direction, the Constitutional Convention met ut the time and place appointed, and formed a State Constitution. A memorial to Congress was also prepared, praying for the adula-sion of Kansas into the Union under that Constitution. The Convention ulso provided that the question of the adoption of the Constitution and other questions be submitted to the people, and required the Executive Committee to take the

accessary steps for that purpose.

Accordingly, an election was held for that purpose on the 15th day of December, 1855, in complinuce with the proclamation issued by the Executive Committee. The returns of this election were made by the Excentive Committee, and an abstruct of them is contained in the following

ABSTRACT OF THE ELECTION ON THE ADOPTION OF THE STATE CONSTITUTION, DEC. 15, 1855.

Districts	Раконота.	Constit	utlon.	General ing L		Exclusi Negroe Mulat	No. votes cast.	
Ŀ		Yes.	No.	Yes.	No.	Yes.	No.	
1	Lawrence	348 72 11	1 2 1	225 59	83 14	133 48 12	223 20	358 76
2	Paimyra Franklin Bloomington	48 137	4	9 31 122	15 11	48 113	2 15	12 53 137
1 3	East Douglas	18 135	=	13 125	9	14 69	64	136
١	Washington Brownsville	42 24	=	41 22	1 2	42 22	2	42 24
4	Tecumseh Prairie City	35 72	-	23	11	35 69	_	35 72
5	Little Osage	21	7 2	16	12	23	3 7	81
1	Big Sugar	18 12	- 1	5 6	16	20 12	=	21
1	Potawatamie Little Sugar	39	18	21 83	19	25 42	18	43 60
1	Stauton	42 32 56	1	83	23 20	93 38	17	37 59
7	Titus	39	5	82	7	25 10	15	44
8	Juniata Ohio City	30 21	=	23 16	6 5	20	19	81 21
1	Mill Creek	20 14	=	= -	20 14	20 14	=	20
	Wanbaunsee Pawnee	19 45	=	17 15	1 29	40	11	19
1 -	Grasshopper Falls	54	=	19	34	50	3	54
10	Burr Oak	22 23 12	=	5	14 16	21 22 13	1	22 23
ıı	Jesse Padur's Ocena	12 28	=	1 8	11 20	19 28	=	12 28
13	Kickepoo	28 20 47	Ξ	87	13	16	1	20 47
10	Pieasant Hill	19	=	-	18	19	- 1	19
14	Whitdeld	24	=	31	12	13	6	24
15	St. Joseph's Bottom Mt. Pleasant	. 15	=	82	9	14 30	1 2	15
16	Easton	71	2	53	19	71	-2	73
1"	Mission							
L_	Total	1731	46	1120	564	1287	453	1778

N. B. Poli-Book at Leavenworth was destroyed.

The Executive Committe then issued a procla-ing the results of the election of the January, 1886, for State officers and members 18th of December, and at the same time provi- of the General Assembly of the State of Kansea.

An election was accordingly held in the several | sent to the Executive Committee. An abstract election-precincts, the returns of which were of them is contained in the following table:

ABSTRACT OF THE ELECTION OF JANUARY 15, 1856.

ABSTRACT OF T	1117	121.	1110	4174				M		,,		
	Gor.	go.	Lieut.	Liezt		State.	Aud	itor.	Tres		747	Bep.
	0	4	7	#	.00	0	ţ,	71	•	jst.	a	6
		м	Gov.	Gor.	6	þ	1	h	⊬	6	Gen.	Con.,
	055		1	7. 15	18	M	Cutler	g.	扫	þı	ᄪ	js l
Prioritan.	032	Roberts	-1	1	4	Holliday.	1	61.5	skefisid.	က္က	भास	
	!	1 å	М	10	6	1		F	E E	Garrey	3	[집]
	Robinson	١ï	Roberts.	J. Parrott	Schuyler	l i			1		胺	W. Delahar
	:		Ě	ı	1 :						Moore	
	1	Ŀ		1	1:	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Weahington Donotphan Onewatanio O	1	20	-	29	1	20	1	20	1	20	30	30
Ossawatamio	82	-	32 80	=	82 82	1=	31 81	-	82	-	32 81	32 78 10 73 24 50 27 30 31 28 32 77 71 44 10 25 66
Osago	19	-	10	-	10	- 7	10		19		19	10
Burr Oak	66	6	24	7	63	7	86	7	84	3	75 24	73
St. Joseph's Bottom	49 27	1	49	_	24 50	=	24 49	_	24 50	=	50	60
Wolf River	36	_	49 27 86	-8	27 36	=	27 36	3	27 86	= 3	27 86	27
East Douglas	28	8	1 28	8	28	-8	28	8	28	3	31	81
Potawatamio	31 89	=	81	=	81 89	=	81	l	31	=	81	28
Tltus	28 52	4	28 42	4	28 55	4	99	4	98	17	20	32
Prairio City	24	25 50	25	23 45 2 9	27	23 37	64 27 43	4 24 45	55 27	1 28	78 72	77
Pleasant Hill	42 10	2	43	2	27 43 10 25 8	2	43	2		2	45	44
Palmyra	25	_	25	- 1	25	1=	10 25 8	=	10 25 8	=	10 25 66	25
Frankliu	8 33	58	35	59	82	58	8	58	8	58	66	66
Little Osago	10	=	19	=	10 77		10	=	19		19	10
Topeka	83	61 34	61	64	77	68	83	62	89	48 24	145 85	135 85
Brownsville	8	23	3	64 54 23 59	ı —	84 23 51	3	34 23	90	l	-	20 65
Kickspoo	14	51	94	59	14 94	61	14 94	61	14 94	51	65	65
Lawrence	365	41	176	7 245	383	43	1880	-	1385	36	101	100 395
Neosho	=	14	13 14	=		14	13	14	13	14	18	13
Tribuga Ottook			1.4	_	35	14	1.	1.4	1.53	1.4	_	1.4
Wyandot	1	1	34	1 —	(35	1 -	85		85	I —	85	1
wyandor	1	1 1		_	1.00	1-	1 85	<u></u>	-	m 0	85	1
wyandot	1	1 1		reme	Judge	cs.	85	Rep	-	S. B.	Prin	-
Wyanuot	1				Judge	es.	J.	Rep	S.	Clerk St S. B. Fr	Prin	ter.
Paecingts.	1	×	Sup Sup	M. F.	Judge	<u>m</u>	J. A.	_	s. irt.	Clerk Sup'r	Prin	ter.
	1	×	Sup Sup	M. F.	Judge O.	8. W.	J. A.	8. B.	S. M.	S. B. Floyd	Prin	ter.
	1	M. Hunt.	Sup	M. F.	Judge O.	8. W.	J. A.	8. B.	S. M.	Clerk Sup'me Cou S. B. Floyd	Prin	ter.
	1	M. Hunt.	Sup Sup	M. F.	Judge O.	8. W.	J. A.	8. B.	S. M.	Clerk Sup'me Court-	Prin	ter.
Paecinots.		M. Hunt.	Sup S. N. Latta	M. F. Conway	Jodg G. W. Smith	S. W. Johnson-	J. A. Wakefield.	S. B. McKenzie	oi. B. M. Thurston	S. B. Floyd	John Speer	g B. G. Elliott
Paecinots.		M. Hunt	Sup. S. N. Latta 31	M. F. Conway ~ =	Judge O.	8. W.	J. A.	S. B. McKenzie	oi. B. M. Thurston	30	Pri John Speer	E B. G. Elliott A
Parcinots. Washington Doniphan Osawatane		M. Hunt 1 32 81	Sup. S. N. Latta 31	M. F. Conway Tas &	Jodg G. W. Smith	8. W. Johnson- & 1	J. A. Wakefield.	S. B. McKenzie	oi. B. M. Thurston	30	Pri John Speer	E B. G. Elliott A
Parcinots. Washington Doniphan Osawatane		M. Hunt 122819	Sup. S. N. Latta 31819	M. F. Conway 131 22 29	Judge G. W. Smith 29	8. W. Johnson- & 1	J. A. Wakefield.	S. B. McKenzie	s. E. M. Thurston 122996	30 32 82 19	Pri John Speer	E B. G. Elliott A
Parcinots. Washington Doniphan Osawatane		M. Hunt	Sup. S. N. Latta 3 31 81 19 73 24	M. F. Conway 131 22 29	Jodg G. W. Smith	8. W. Johnson. 29 7	J. A. Wakefield.	S. B. McKenzie	s. E. M. Thurston 122996	30 32 82 19 76	Pri John Speer	E B. G. Elliott A
Parcinots. Washington Doniphan Osawatane		M. Hunt	Sup S. N. Latta 3 31 81 9 73 24 50 27	M. F. Conway. 1312219524557	Judge G. W. Smith 29	8. W. Johnson. 29 7	J. A. Wakefield.	S. B. McKenzie	s. E. M. Thurston 12279 9664	30 32 82 19 76 24 50	Prin John Speet 1 82 82 19 70 24 50	E B. G. Elliott A
Parcinots. Washington Doniphan Osawatane		M. Hunt	Sup. S. N. Latta 3119734 500 277 86	M. F. Conway. 1312219654502786	Judg G. W. Smith 28 7	8. W. Johnson. 29 7	J. A. Wakefield.	8. B. McKenzie 2 7	s. st. E. M. Thurston 182 79 19 66 24 50 736	30 32 82 19 76 24 50 27 39	Prin John Speer 1 822 82 19 70 24 50 27 36	E B. G. Elliott A
Parcinots. Washington Doniphan Osawatane		M. Hunt 1 32 381 196 624 250 27 369 31	Sup S. N. Latts 3 31 19 73 24 27 31	M. F. Conway 131 82 19 654 27 828 31	Judg G. W. Smith 29 7 4	8. W. Johnson- 29 7 4	J. A. Wakefield.	S. B. McKenzie	S. H. B. M. Thurston 122799 666 244 507 326	30 32 82 19 76 24 50 27 39 31	Prin John Speer 1 32 82 19 70 24 50 27 36 881	E B. G. Elliott A
Parcinots. Washington Doniphan Osawatane		M. Hunt	Sup. S. N. Latta 3 31 19 73 24 50 27 36 27	M. F. Conway 131 822 1965 24 50 27 88 831 39	Judg G. W. Smith 29 7 4	8. W. Johnson- 29 7 4	J. A. Wakefield. %	8. B. McKenzie 2 7 1	S. H. B. M. Thurston 122799 666 244 507 326	30 32 82 19 76 24 50 27 89 31 31	Prin John Speer 1 32 82 19 70 24 50 27 36 881	B. G. Elliott. 29 7 8 4
Parcinots. Washington Doniphan Osawatane		M. Hunt	Sup S. N. Latta 3 31 81 19 73 24 50 27 31 32 32 35 55	M. F. Conway 131 822 105 24 250 27 86 831 39 88	Judg G. W. Smith 29 7 4 4 23	8. W. Johnson- 29 7 4	J. A. Wakefield. 34	8. B. McKenzie 2 7 1	S. H. B. M. Thurston 122799 666 244 507 326	30 32 82 19 76 24 50 27 89 31 31	Prin John Speer 1 32 82 19 70 27 36 28 281 39 28 4 54	B. G. Elliott. 29 7 8 4
Parcinots. Washington Doniphan Osawatane		M. Hunt	Sup. S. N. Latta 3 31 81 9 73 244 50 27 31 82 55 55 27 43	M. F. Conway 131 82 9 65 24 82 83 1 39 82 557	Judg G. W. Smith 29 7 4	8. W. Johnson. 29 7 4 42345	J. A. Wakefield. 34	8. B. McKenzie 2 7 1	S. M. Thurston 1279 66 24 50 27 36 28 3 39 28 5 57	30 32 82 19 76 24 50 27 39 31 31 39 32 77	Prin John Speer 1 32 22 19 70 24 50 28 31 39 28 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54	B. G. Elliott. 29 7 8 4
Parcinots. Washington Doniphan Osawatane		M. Hunt	Sup. S. N. Latta 3 31 19 73 24 27 31 39 227 31 39 24 31 10	H. F. Conway 1 182 19 654 250 27 86 28 19 55 27 43 10	Judg G. W. Smith 2 7 4 4 2 4 5	8. W. Johnson- 29 7 4	J. A. Wakefield. %	8. B. McKenzie 2 7	S. H. B. M. Thurston 182 799 196 524 31 39 26 55 27 34 10	30 32 82 19 76 24 50 27 89 81 31 39 32 77 70 45	Prin John Speer 1 82 82 19 70 24 36 82 81 39 8 84 25 34 30	E B. G. Elliott A
Parcinots. Washington Doniphan Osawatane		M. Hunt 1 32 19 66 27 65 29 31 39 55 57 43 10 25 8	Sup. S. N. Latta 3 31 19 73 24 250 27 31 25 27 31 10 25 8	H. F. Conwsy. 11822 1654 16524 1652 1652 1652 1652 1652 1652 1652 1652	Judg G. W. Smith 29 7 4 4 2352	8. W. Johnson. 29 7 4 42345 2	J. A. Wakefield. 37	S. B. McKenzie 2 7 8 42342	S. H. E. M. Thurston 182 79 9 66 24 5 27 36 8 28 1 39 28 5 27 43 0 25	30 32 82 19 76 24 50 27 89 81 31 39 32 77 70 45	Prin John Speer 1 82 82 19 70 24 36 82 81 39 8 84 25 34 30	B. G. Elliott. 29 7 8 4245 2
Parcinots. Washington Doniphan Osawatane		M. Hunt	Supp. S. N. Latts	M. F. Conway. 131 82 19 65 24 25 27 38 28 19 55 27 43 10 35 8 34	Judg G. W. Smith 2 7 4 4 2 4 5	8. W. Johnson. 29 7 4 42345	J. A. Wakefield. 34	8. B. McKenzie 2 7 1	S. H. E. M. Thurston 182 79 9 66 24 5 27 36 8 28 1 39 28 5 27 43 0 25	30 32 82 19 76 24 50 27 89 81 31 39 32 77 70 45	Prin John Speer 1 82 82 19 70 24 36 82 81 39 8 84 25 34 30	B. G. Elliott 29 7
Parcinots. Washington Doniphan Osawatane		M. Hunt	Sup. Sup. Sup. Sup. Sup. Sup. Sup. Sup.	M. F. Conway 131229 654 654 105 655 105 655	Judg G. W. Smith 29 7 4 4 235 2	8. W. Johnson 29 7 4 4 23 45 2 57 61	J. A. Wakefield. 37	8. B. McKenzie 29 7 8 42345 2 58	S. H. E. M. Thurston 182 79 9 66 24 5 27 36 8 28 1 39 28 5 27 43 0 25	30 32 82 19 76 24 50 27 39 31 31 39 32 77 70 45 86 86 19	Prin John Speet 1 32 82 82 19 70 24 50 27 86 88 10 25 8 8 33 19 8 8 10 25 8 8 33 19 8 8	E. G. Elliott. 29 7
Parcinots. Washington Doniphan Osawatane		M. Hunt	Supp.	M. F. Conway. 131 82 9 65 24 35 28 31 9 25 5 27 43 10 85 8 44 10	Judg G. W. Smith 29 7 4 4 23 4 2	8. W. Johnson. 29 7 4 4 23 45 2	J. A. Wakefield. 37	S. B. McKenzie 2 7 8 42342	S. H. B. M. Thurston 182 799 196 524 31 39 26 55 27 34 10	30 32 82 19 76 24 50 27 89 81 31 39 32 77 70 45	Prin John Speet 1 32 82 82 19 70 24 50 27 86 88 10 25 8 8 33 19 8 8 10 25 8 8 33 19 8 8	E. G. Elliott. 29 7
Parcinots. Washington Doniphan Osawatane		M. Hunt 1 32 19 66 29 28 31 32 25 5 27 43 19 25 8 34 19 19 84 1 14	Sup. S. N. Latts	M. F. Conway 131 822 19 624 250 27 828 19 524 10 55 8 84 10 14 1 14	Judge G. W. Smith 29 7 4 4 23 45 2	8. W. Johnson. 29 7 4 4 235 2 61 34	J. A. Wakefield. 37	8. B. McKenzie 2 7 8 42342 68 634 51	S. H. B. M. Thurston 122 799 166 240 27 36 28 199 26 5 27 43 10 25 8 34 194 14	30 32 32 19 76 24 50 27 39 31 39 32 77 45 66 19 145 66	Prin John Speer 1 322 82 92 97 70 24 35 54 43 10 25 8 83 99 6 1 18	E. G. Elliott. 29 7
Parcinots. Washington Doniphan Osawatane		M. Hunt	Sup S. N. Latta 3 81 19 24 50 77 32 43 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	M. F. Conway 131 822 19 624 250 27 828 19 524 10 55 8 84 10 14 1 14	Judge G. W. Shith 29 7 4 4 2 3 4 2 57 61 4 51 7	8. W. Johnson. 29 7 4 4 23 5 2 57 614 517	J. A. Wakefield. 27	8. B. McKenzie 29 7 8 42345 2	S. H. B. M. Thurston 1829 19 66 2 50 27 3 28 3 19 28 5 5 7 4 3 0 1 8 4 1 1 4 4	30 82 19 76 50 27 31 31 39 32 45 *9 25 66 36 19 145 65	Prin Speet 122 19 24 50 27 36 8 33 9 96 1 18 144	B. G. Elliott. 29 7 8 4245 2 58 84 8517
Parcinots. Washington Doniphan Osawatane		H. Hunt 132 811 966 24 527 63 29 81 19 66 24 19 18 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Sup. S. M. Latta 3 31 81 973 24 55 65 27 31 98 22 56 52 7 43 98 22 65 65 84 19 141 101 379 13	M. F. Conway. 1312819 654 27 38 28 28 19 654	Judge G. W. Smith 29 7 4 4 23 24 2 2 57 61 44 61 7 62	8. W. Johnson- 29 7 4 4 23 45 2 61 4 8 61 7 48	J. A. Wakefield. 27	8. B. McKenzie 29 7 8 423452 58 684 51746	S. H. B. M. Thurston 122 799 166 240 27 36 28 199 26 5 27 43 10 25 8 34 194 14	30 82 19 76 50 27 31 31 39 32 45 *9 25 66 36 19 145 65	Prin John Speer 1 322 82 92 97 70 24 35 54 43 10 25 8 83 99 6 1 18	ter. B. G. Elliott. 29 7 3 42452 58 84 851753
Parcinots. Washington Doniphan Osawatane		M. Hunt	Sup 92. N. Latta	M. F. Conway. 131229654 2765243 3829654 3829654 383984 1144 1144 1144 1144 1144	Judge G. W. Shith 29 7 4 4 2 3 4 2 57 61 4 51 7	8. W. Johnson. 29 7 4 4 23 5 2 57 614 517	J. A. Wakefield. 27	8. B. McKenzie 29 7 8 42345 2	S. M. Thurston 12279 196 624 507 36 328 3198 255 2743 105 84 144 44 380 1	30 32 82 19 76 24 50 31 31 31 32 77 45 45 66 36 19 145 65 101 1427 101	Prin John 200007 1 32 82 82 82 19 70 25 6 28 83 19 25 44 10 25 8 83 19 96 11 8 144 94 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81	B. G. Elliott. 29 7 8 4245 2 58 84 8517
Paecinots.		H. Hunt 132 811 966 24 527 63 29 81 19 66 24 19 18 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Sup. S. M. Latta 3 31 81 973 24 55 65 27 31 98 22 56 52 7 43 98 22 65 65 84 19 141 101 379 13	M. F. Conway 131 822 19 624 250 27 828 19 524 10 55 8 84 10 14 1 14	Judge G. W. Smith 29 7 4 4 23 24 2 2 57 61 44 61 7 62	8. W. Johnson- 29 7 4 4 23 45 2 61 4 8 61 7 48	J. A. Wakefield. 27	8. B. McKenzie 29 7 8 423452 58 684 51746	S. H. B. M. Thurston 1829 19 66 2 50 27 3 28 3 19 28 5 5 7 4 3 0 1 8 4 1 1 4 4	30 82 19 76 50 27 31 31 39 32 45 *9 25 66 36 19 145 65	Prin John Speet 12822 19 24 50 25 8 33 9 96 18 144 378	ter. B. G. Elliott. 29 7 3 42452 58 84 851753

The result of this election was unnounced by a proclamation by the Executive Commit-

In accordance with the Constitution thus adopted, the members of the State Legislature and most of the State officers met on the day and ut the place designated by the State Constitution, and took the oath therein prescribed.

Mittiton, and took. Incomin increase processors.
After cleething United States Somators, possels, some preliminary laws, and appointing a Codifying Counciltoe and preparing a Memorial to Congress, the General Assembly adjourned to

Congress, the content cases in a grant of the 4th day of July, 185.

The laws passed were all conditional upon the admission of Kaness as a State into the Union. These proceedings were regular, and, in the opinlon of your Committee, the constitution thus adopted fairly expresses the will of the majority of the settlers. They now await the action of

Congress upon their memorial.

These elections, whother they were conducted in pursuance of law or not, were not

illegal.
Whether the result of them is sauctioned by the action of Congress, or they are regarded us the mere expression of a popular will, and Congross should refuse to grant the prayer of the memorial, that cannot affect their legality. The right of the people to assemble and express their political opinion in any form, whether by means of an election or a convention, is secured to them by the Constitution of the United States. Even if the elections are to be regarded as the act of a party, whether political or otherwise, they were proper, in accordance with examples, both in States and Territories.

The elections, however, were preceded and followed by acts of violence on the part of those who opposed them, and those persons who approved and sustained the invasion from Missouri were peculiarly hestile to these peaceful movements preliminary to the organization of a State government. Instances of this violence will be

referred to hereafter.

To provide for the election of delegates to Congress, and at the same time do it in such a manner as to obtain the judgment of the House of Representatives upon the validity of the alleged legislative assembly sitting at Shawnee Mission, a convention was beld at Big Springs on the 5th and 6th days of September, 1855. This was a party convention, and a party calling itself the Free State party was then organized. It was in no way connected with the State movement, except that the election of a delegate to Congress was fixed by it on the same day as the election of members of a constitutional convention, instead of the day prescribed by the al-leged legislative assembly. Andrew H. Reeder was put in nomination as territorial delegate to Congress, and an election was provided for under the regulations prescribed for the election of March 30, 1855, excepting as to the appointment of officers, and the persons to whom the returns of the elections should be made. The determination of the elections about he made and the contract of the elections about he made and the contract of the elections about he made and the contract of the elections about he made and the contract of the elections about he made and the contract of the elections about he made and the election of the elections about he made and the election of the election about the election of the election of the election about the election about the election of the election about the election of the electio of the elections should be made. The election was held in accordance with these regulations, an abstract of the returns of which is contained in the following table:

ABSTRACT OF THE ELECTION OF A. H. REEDER.

VOTING PLACES.	No. Votes.
Blauton	77
Bloomington	16 116
	VOTING PLACES. Lawrence

III	Brownsylle	274
	Teoumselt	384
	Tooumselt	131
	Hig Hprings	80
	Camp Orook	7
17	Willow Springs	54
1/	Hamplen	83
	Neosho	10
	Stanton.	44
- 1	Ossawatamlo	74
	Potawatarale	56
	Blg Bugar Creek	26
	Little Sugar Creek	41
Vχ	Scott Town	27
	Columbia	20
	Ferguals	12
VII	Council City	62
VIII	Wanbougg	26
249	A. J. Baker	10
IX	Pawnee	70
X.	Hig Blue	77
-	Rock Oreok	80
XI	Black Vermillen	14
XII	St. Mary'n	18
	Bilver Lako	28
XIII	Pleasant Hill	48
	Falls Precinct	46
	Hickory Point	11
XIV	Barr Oak	88
	Doulphan	48
	Palermo	82
x.v	Ocona	82
	Crosby's Store	88
	Jackson Crane's	38
XVI	Loavenworth.	503
	Wyandotto	38
	Delaware	22
	Easton	63
	Ridge Point	48
XVII	Wakarusa	7
	Mission	13
XVIII	Iowa Point	40
	Total	2827

The resolutions passed by this convention indicate the state of feeling which existed in the Torritory in consequence of the invasion from Missouri, and the enactments of the alleged legislative assembly. The language of some of the resolutions is violent, and can only be justified either in consequence of the attempt to enforce the grossest acts of tyranny, or for the pur-pose of guarding against a similar invasion in future

In the fall of 1855, there sprang out of the existing discords and excitement in the Territory, two scoret Free-State societies (265). They were defensive in their character, and were designed to form a protection to their members against unlawful acts of violence and assault. One of the societies was purely of a local character, and was confined to the town of Lawrence. Very shortly after its organization it produced its desired effect, and then went out of use and ceased street entert, and near rent of use combersome, and of no utility except to give confidence to the Free-State men, and o'l able them to know and aid each other in contemplated danger. So far as the evidence shows, they led to no act of violence in resistance to either real or alleged laws (267).

On the 21st day of November, 1855, F. M. Coleman, a Pro-Slavery man, and Charles W. Dow, a Free-State man, had a dispute about the division line between their respective claims. Several hours afterward, as Dow was passing from a blacksmith's shop toward his claim, and by the cabin of Coleman, the latter shot Dow with a double-barreled gun loaded with slugs. Dow was unarmed. He fell across the road and died immediately. This was about 1 o'clock near immediately. This was about I o'clock P. M. His dead body was allowed to lie where it fell until after sundown, when it was conveyed by Jacob Branson to his house, at which Dow

(265) Pat. Laughlin, Francis. (268) G. P. Lowry, A. H. Reeder. (207) Lowry, Reeder and M. F. Conway.

boarded. The testimony in regard to this bond, revenue before I see Missouri." A person proolde is voluminous (268), and shows clearly that II was a deliberate murder by Coleman, and fint Liberthon Bulkely and a Mr. Hargons were ne-cessories to it. The excitement caused by it was very great monog all claimes of the rettlers. On the 26th, a large meeting of citizens was held at the place were the murder was committed, and resolutions pursed that Coloman should be blrought to justice. In the mean time Coloman had gone to Missouri, and then to Gov. Shannon at Shawaco Mission, in Johnson County. He was there taken into custody by S. I. Jones, then neting as Sheriff. No warrant was issued or examination had. On the day of the meeting at Hickory Point, Harrison Bradley procured a peace warrant against Jacob Branson, which was placed warrantagnini Lacob Brauson, which was placed in the hunds of Jones. That amno creasing, after Bransion had gono to hed, Jones canno its achin with a party of hobot 25 persons, among whom were Hargons and Buckloy—buted open the door, and early Brauson's breast, and and, "You are my prisoner, and if you moved the library of the Brauson's breast, and and, "You are my prisoner, and if you moved will blow you through," could have been been supported by the property of the property of the prisoners of the principles of the prisoners As they approached the bridge, there wore 13 in the party, several having stopped. word is in the party, several naving scorpron-Jones rodo up to the prisoner mad, unlong other things, told him that he had "heard there were 100 nion at your house to day," and "that he re-gretted they were not there, and that they were cheated out of their sport" [269]. In the mean time, they alarm had been given in the neighborhood of Branson's arrest, and sevoral of the settlers, among whom were some who had attended ters, among whom wore some who had attended the meeting at Hiekory Point that day, gathered together. They were greatly excited; the al-leged injustice of such an arrest of a guiet set-tler, under a peace warrant by "Sheriff Jones," aided by two men believed to be accessory to a hurder, and who were allowed to be at large, exasperated them, and they proceeded as rapidly as possible by a nearer route than that taken by as possible by a nearer route than that taken by Jones, and stopped near the house of J. S. Abbott, one of them. They were on foot as Jones's advantage of the state of the st was driven over to Jones's party; Jones then left (270). Of the persons engaged in this rescue, three were from Lawrence, and had attended the meeting. Your Committee have deemed it the meeting. Your Committee have deemed it proper to detail the particulars of this rescue, as it was made the groundwork of what is known as the Wakerusa War. On the same night of the rescue the cabins of Coleman and Buckley were burned, but by whom, is left in doubt by the testimony.

On the morning of the rescue of Branson, Jones was at the village of Franklin, near Law-Jones was at the village of Franklin, near Lawrience. The rescue was spoken of in the presence of Jones, and more conversation passed between two others in his presence, as to whether it was most proper to send for assistance to Col. Boons in Missouri, or to Gev. Shanons. Jones wrote a law of the control my dispatch to Missouri, and by G-d I'll have

rent, who was examined as a witpens (271), complained publicly that the dispatch was not cont to the governer; and within half an hour one to the governer; and within hold an Indur ond.
was sent to the governer by Jones, through Hargons. Within a few days, large numbers of montrum the State of Missouri gathered and encamped on the Wakerman. They brought with them
all the equipments of, war, To obtain them, a
party of men under the direction of Judgo T. V. Thompson broke into the United States are coal and armory at Liberty, Missourl, and after a forcible detention of Captain Leonard (then in charge) (272), they took the cannon, muskots, rilles, powder, harness, and Indeed all the materials and munitions of war they desired, some of which have never been returned or accounted

The chief hostility of this milltary foray was usuast the town of Lawrence, and this was especially the case with the officers of the law.

Your Committee can see in the testimony no ronson, excuse, or pulliation for this feeling. Up rossent, excuse, or pananton for men recong.

To this true no verrant or proclemation of any kind had been in the hands of any officer against any citize so of Lunernee (273). No arrost had been attempted, and no writ resisted in that town. The resence of Braneon sprung out of murder committed thirteen miles from Lawrence, murder committed thirteen miles from Lawrence, in a detached settlement, and neither the town in a donactical getherment, and neither the town in rise citizens extended any protocotion to Bran-son's resoners (274). On the contrary, two or three days much the research S. N. Wood, who claimed publicly to be one of the rosening party, wished to be arrested for the purpose of testing the territorial laws, and walked up to Sheriff Jones and should hands with him, and exclunged Jones and shouk hands with min, and exeminates other contresies. He could have been arrested without any difficulty, and it was his design, when he went to Mr. Jones, to be arrested, but no attempt was made to do so (275).

It is obvious that the only cause of this hostili-ty is the known desire of the citizens of Law-rence to make Kausas a Free State, and their repugnance to laws imposed upon them by non-

residents. Your Committee do not propose to detail the incidents connected with this foray. nately for the peace of the country, a direct con-flict between the opposing forces was avoided by an amicable arrangement. The losses susand money expended in thoir own defense, add-ed much to the triels incident to a new settlement. Many persons were unlawfully taken and detained—in some cases, under circum-stances of gross cruelty. This was especially so in the arrest and treatment of Dr G. A. Cutter and G. F. Warren. They were taken, without cause or warrant, 60 miles from Lawrence, and when Dr. Cutter was quite sick. They were compelled to go to the camp at Lawrence, were put into the custody of "Sheriff Jones," who put into the custody of "Differit course, ran-bud no process to arrest them—they were taken into a small room kept as a liquor shop, which was open and very cold. That night Jones came in with others, and went to "playing" came in with others, and went to "playing poker at twenty-five cents ante." The prisonpoker at twenty-five cents ante." The prison-ers were obliged to sit up all night, as there was no room to lie down, when the men were, playing. Jones insulted them frequently, and told one of them be must either "tell or swing." The guard then objected to this treatment of prisoners, and Jones dosisted. G. F. Warren thus describes their subsequent conduct: They then carried us down to their camp;

Kelly of The Squatter Sovereign, who lives in Atchison, came round and said he thirsted for

⁽²⁶⁸⁾ Wm. and Nicholas McKinney, D. T. Jones and wife, Thomas Brown, F. M. Coleman and others. (269) Jacob Branson. (270) Jacob Branson.

⁽²⁷¹⁾ L. A. Prattier. (272) Luther Leonard. (278) William Shannon, Chas. Robinson. (274) G. P. Lowry and Charles Robinson. (275) Chas. Robinson.

blood, and said he should like to hang us on the | election and threatened to destroy the hallot-box first tree. Cutter was very week, and that ex-olted him so that he became delicious. They sent for three doctors, who council Tr. String-fellow was one of them. They remained there with Catter sutil after midnight, and then took him up to the office, as it was very cold in camp. Daring the farny, either George W. Clark, ar Mr. Burns, murdered Thamas Barber, while the Mr. Burns, nurdered rhames deriver, while the letter was out the highway on the road from Law-ronce to his claim. Both fired at him, and it is impossible from the proof to tell whose shot was fath. The details of this homicide are stated

by eye-witnesses (276). Among the many acts of lawless violence which it has been the duty of your Committee to investigate, this invesion of Lawrence is the most descureless. A comparison of the facts proven, with the official statements of the offi-cers of the Government, will show how groundless were the pretexts which gave rise to it. "A community in which no crime had been committed by any of its members, against none of whom had a warrant been issued or a complaint made, who had resisted no process in the hands of a real or pretonded officer, was threatened with destruction in the name of "law and order," and that, too, by men who marched from a neigh-boring State with arms obtained by force, and who, in every stage of their progress, violated many laws, and among others the Constitution of the United States (277).

The chief guilt of it must rest on Samuel J. Jones. His character is illustrated by his language at Lecompton, where peace was made: "The said Maj. Clark and Burns both claimed the credit of killing that d-d Abelitionist, and Shannon hadn't knew which cught to have it. If Shannon hadn't been a d-d old feel, that peace would never have been declared. He would

have wiped Lawrence out. He had men and means enough to do it" (278). Shortly after the retreat of the forces from Shorty after the retricat of the forces from short Lawrence, the cleeding most the adoption of the State Constitution was held at Leavenwerth City, on the 15th of December, 1855. While it was proceeding quietly, about noon, Churles Dunn, with a party of others, smashed in the window of the building in which the election was being held, and then Jimpeel into the room where the Judges of election were sitting, and drove them off (279). One of the clerks of election snatched up the ballot-box and followed the Judges, throwing the box behind the counter of an adjoining room through which he passed on his way out. As he got to the street door, Dun caught him by the throat, and pushed him up against the outside of the huilding, and demanded the ballot-box (280).

Then Dunn and another person struck him in Then Dinia and another person states, man in the face, and he fell into the mud, the crowd rushed on him and kicked him on the head and in his sides (281). In this manner the election was broken up, Dunn and his party obtaining the ballot-box and carrying it off.

the ballot-box and carrying it on.
To avoid a similar outrage at the election for
State officers, etc., to be held on the 15th of January, 1856, the election for Leavenworth District
was appointed to be held at Easton, and the
time postponed until the 17th day of January,
1856 (282). On the way to the election, persons were stopped by a party of men at a greecry, and their guns taken from them (283). During the afternoon, parties came up to the place of

and were guilty of after insolent and almoive conduct (233). After the polls were closed, many of the settlers being upprehensive of an attack, were arned in the home where the clostion had been held until the next morning. Late that night Stephen Spark, with his son and nephew, started for home, his route running by the store of a Mr. Dawson, where a large party of armed men had callected. As he approached, these men demanded that he should surrender, mid gathered about him to enforce the demand (284). Information was carried by a man in the company of Mr. Sparks to the house where the oblight of the spanish of the spanis back with Mr. Brown and his party, and while on their wey were fired upon by the other party. They returned the fire, and an irregular fight then ensued, in which a man by the name of Cook, of the Pro-Slavery party, received a mortal wound, and two of the Free State party were slightly wounded:

Mr. Brown, with seven others who had seconipanied him from Leavenworth, started on their Yours home. When they had proceeded a part of the way, they were stopped and taken prisen-ors by a party of men called the Kickapoe Ran-gers, under the command of Capt. John W. Martin. They were disarmed and taken back to Easton, and put in Dawson's store (286). Brown was separated from the rest of his party, and

taken into the office of E. S. Trotter (287). By this time several of Martin's party and some of the citizens of the place had become intexicated, and expressed a determination to kill Brown (288). Capt. Martin was desirous, and did all in his power to save him. Several hours were sport in discovering what should be done with Brown and his party. In the mean time, without the knowledge of his party, Capt. Martin liberated all of Brown's party but himself, and aided them in their escape (289). The crowd repeatedly tried to get in the room where Brown was, and at one time succeeded, but were put out by Martin and others. Martin, finding that further effort on his part to save Brown was useless, left and went home. The crowd then got possession and went neme. The crowd then got possession of Brewn, and finally butchered him in cold blood. The wound of which he died was inflicted with a hatchet by a man of the name of Gibson. After he had been mortally wounded, Brown was sent home with Charles Dunu, and died that night. No attempt was made to arrest or punish the murderers of Brown. Many of them were wellknown citizens, and some of them were officers of the law. On the next Grand Jury which sat in Leavenworth County, the Sheriff summoned soveral of the persons inplicated in this murder (290). One of them was M. P. Rively, at that time Treasurer of the County. He has been examined as a witness before us. The reason he amined, as a witness before us. The reason he gives why no indictments were found is, "they killed one of the Pre-Slavery men, and the Pro-Kled one of the Pre-Slavery men, and the Pro-Kled of the Pre-Slavery men, and the Pro-Kled of the Pre-Slavery men, and Jury, however, found bills of indictment against those who acted as Judges of the Pre-Slave election. Rively says, "I know our utmost endear over were made to find out who acted as Judges and Clerks on the 17th of Jeanary last, and at the bogue selections held by the Abolitionsits

⁽²⁷⁶⁾ Robert T. Barber, Thomas W. Plerson, Jane W. Colborn and others. (277) Article 4 of the Amendments. (278) Harrissu Nichols. (279) Geo. (279) Geo. (279) Geo. (279) Geo. (279) George Wetherell. George W. Hallis. (280) J. C. Grosn, Henry J. Adame, Joseph H. Bird. (283) Stephen Eparts.

⁽²⁸⁴⁾ Stephen Sparks. (285) George A. Taylor, Stephen Sparks, J. H. Bird. (285) Henry J. Adams, George A. Taylor, W. P. Kirls. (285) Henry J. Adams, Williams. (287) Henry J. Adams, J. W. Mattla, Wiley Williams, J. W. Martlin, H. J. Adams, G. M. Taylor, J. H. Bird, Wiley Williams. (280) M. P. Rively.

we thought them acting iltegally."

Your Committee, in their examination, have found that in no case of orline or homicide, men-

tioned in the report or in the testimony, has any indictment been found against the guilty party, except in the homicide of Clark by McCrea, Me-

Crea hoing a Free-State man. .

Your Committee did not down it within their power or duty to tal 9 testimony us to events which have transpired a nee the date of their appointment; but as some of the events tended actionaly to ombarrass, hinter and usuay men-investigations, they deem it proper here to refer to them. On their arrival ht the Territory, the people were arrayed hi two hostile parties. The hostility of them was continually increased during our stay in the Territory, by the urrival of armed bodies of men who, from their equipments, came not to follow the penceful pursuits of life, but armed and organized into companies, apparently for war-by the unlawful detention of per-sons and property while passing through the State of Missouri, and by frequent feroible scizures of persons and property in the Territory without legal warrant. Your Committee regret without legal warrant. that they were compelled to witness instances of each of these classes of outrages. While holding their session at Westport, Mo., at the request of the sitting Dolegate, they saw several bodies of armed men, confessedly citizens of Missouri, march into the Territory on forays against its citizons, but under the pretense of enforcing the cunctments before referred to. The wagons of comigrants were stopped in the highways, and searched without claim or legal powers, and in some instances all their property taken from them. In Leavenworth City, leading citizens were arrested at monday in our presence, by an armed force, without any claim of authority, ex-copt that derived from a self-constituted Committee of Vigilanco, many of whom were Legis-lative and Executive officers. Some were released on promising to leave the Territory, and others, after being detained for a time, were formally notified to leave, under the severest penalties. The only offense charged against them was their political opinions, and no one was thus ar-rested for alleged crime of any grade. There was no resistance to these lawless acts by the sottlers, because, in their opinion, the persons engaged in thom would be sustained and reinforced by the citizens of the populous border counties of Missonri, from whenco they were only separated by the river. In one case witnessed by your Committee, an application for the writ of habeas corpus was prevented by the urgent solicitation of Pro-Slavery men, who insisted that it would endanger the life of the prisoner to be discharged under legal process While we remained in the Territory, repeat-

ed acts of outrage were committed upon the ea lets of outrage were committed upon not quiet, unoffeuding citizens, of which we received authentic intelligence. Men were attacked on the highway, robbed, and subsequently imprisoned. Men were seized and searched, and their weapons of defense taken from them with their weapons of decease taken from them with-out compensation. Horses were frequently taken out compensation. Horses were frequently taken explicitly the state of the state of the con-pensation of the state of the con-ence of their owners. One young man was selec-ed in the streets of the town of Atchison, and under circumstances of gross barbarily was tarred and outened, and in that condition was constitution of the Tuistad States accurate were sont to his family. All the provisions of the Constitution of the United States, securing persons and property, are utterly disregarded. The officers of the law, instead of protecting the people, were in some instances engaged in these outrages, and in no instance did we learn that any man was arrested, indicted or pun- but partially occupied.

here. We were very auxious to find them out, as | wheel for any of these erlines. While such offenses were committed with impanity the lays were used as a means of indicting men for holding elections, proliminary to framing a constitu-tion and applying for admission into the Union as the State of Kansas,—Charges of high treason woro made against prominent citizens upon grounds which seem to your Committee abourd and ridiculous, and under these charges they are now hold in eastedy and are refused the privilege of bail. In several cases men were arrested in the State of Missouri while passing on their lawfal business through that State, and detained until Indictments could be found in the Terri-

These proceedings were followed by an offense of still greater magnitude. Under celor of of still greater inaginitide. Under cetor of legal process, a company of about 709 armed men, the great body of whom your Committee are satisfied were not cilizens of the Territory, marchod into the town of Lawrence under Marshal Donaldson and S. J. Jones, officers claiming to not under the law, and bombarded and then burned to the ground a valuable hotel and one private house; destroyed two printing-presses and material; and then, being released by the officers, whose posso they claim to bo, proceeded to sack, pillage, and rob houses, stores, trunks, etc., even to the clothing of women and children. Some of the letters thus nulawfully taken were private ones, written by the contesting Delegate, and they were offered in evidence. Your Committee did not deem that the persons holding them had any right thus to use them, and refused to be made the instruments to report

private letters thus obtained

This force was not resisted, because it was collected and marshaled under the forms of law. But this act of barbarity, unexampled in the history of our Government, was followed by its natural consequences. All the restraints which American citizens are accustomed to pay even to the appearance of law, were thrown off; one act of violence led to another; homicides became frequent. A party under H. C. Pate, composed chiefly of citizens of Missouri, were taken prisoncalledy of cluzens of missouri, were taken prison-ers by a party of settlers; and while your Com-mittee were at Westport, a company chiefly of Missourians, accompanied by the acting Dele-gate, went to relieve Pate and his party, and a collision was prevented by the United States troops. Civil war has seemed impending in the Territory. Nothing can prevent so great a ca-lamity but the presence of a large force of United States troops, under a commander who will with prudence and discretion quiet the excited pas-sions of both parties, and expel with force the armed bands of lawless men coming from Missouri and elsewhere, who with criminal pertinacity infest that Territory.

In some cases, and as to one entire election district, the condition of the country prevented the attendance of witnesses, who were either arrested attenuance of winceses, who were clear. Arrested from so doing. The Sergeant-at-Arms who served the processes upon them was himself arrested and detained for a short time by an armed force, claiming to be a part of the posso of the Marshal, but was allowed to proceed upon an examination of his papers, and was furnished with a pass, signed by "Warren D. Wilkes of South Carolina." John Upton, another officer of the Committee, was subsequently stopped by a lawless force on the berders of the Territory, and after being detained and treated with great indignity was released. He also was furnished with a pass signed by two citizens of Misseuri, and addressed to "Pro-Slavery men." By reason of these disturbances we were delayed in Westport, so that while in session there, our time was

But the obstruction which created the most p acrious embarrassment to your Committee was the attempted arrest of Gov. Reeder, the contesting Dologate, upon a writ of attachment issued against him by Judge Lecempte to compel his attendance as a witness before the Grand Jury of Douglas County. William Fane, recently from State of Georgia, and claiming to be the Doputy Marshal, came into the room of the Committee while Gov. Reeder was examining a witness before us, and producing the writ regulard Gov-Reeder to uttend him. Subsequent events have only strengthound the conviction of your Committee that this was a wanton and unlawful interference by the Judge who issued the writ, tending greatly to obstruct a full and fair luvestigation. Gov. Reeder and Gen. Whitfield alone were fully possessed of that local information which would enable us to elicit the whole truth, and it was obvious to every one that any event which would separate either of them from the Committee would necessarily hinder, dolar, and ombarrass it. Gov. Reeder claimed that, under the circumstances in which he was placed, he was privileged from arrest except for treasen, felony, or breach of the peace. As this was a question of privilego, proper for the Courts, or for the privileged person aloue to determine on his peril, we declined to give him any protection or take any action in the matter. He refused to obey the writ, believing it to be a mere pretense to got the custody of his person, and fearing, as he alleged, that he would be assassinated by lawless bands of men then gathering in and near Lecompton. He then loft the Territory.

Subsequently H. Miles Moore, an atterney in Leavenworth City, but for several years a ciz-zen of Westen, Mc., kindly furnished the Com-mittee information as to the residence of persons voting at the elections, and in some cases examined witnesses before us. Ho was arrested on the stroets of that town by an armed band of about thirty men, headed by W. D. Wilkes, without any color of authority, confined, with other citizens, under a military guard for twenty-four hours, and then notified to leave the Terri-His testimony was regarded as important, and upon his sworn statement that it would endanger his person to give it openly, the majority

of your Committee deem it proper to examine him

ex parte and did so. By reason of these occurrences, the contestant, and the party with and for whom he acted, were unrepresented before us during a greater portion of the time, and your Committee were required to ascertain the truth in the best manner they

could.

Your Committee report the following facts and conclusions as established by the testimony: First: That each election in the Territory, held under the organic or alleged Territorial law, has been carried by organized invasions from the State of Missouri, by which the people of the The ciace of allisourt, by which the people of the Territory have been prevented from exercising the rights secured to them by the organic law. Scoond: That the alleged Territorial Legislature was an illegally-constituted body, and had no powerto pass valid laws, and their enactments are therefore and lead and

are, therefore, null and void.

Third: That these alleged laws have not, as a general thing, been used to protect persons and property and to punish wrong, but for unlawful

purposes.

Fourth: That the election under which the sitting Delegate, John W. Whitefield, holds his seat, was not held in pursuance of any valid law, and that it should be regarded only as the expression of the choice of those resident citizen. who voted for him.

Fifth: That the election under which the contesting Delegate, Andrew H. Reeder, claims his and Memorial having been submitted to the

sont, was not hold in pursuance of law, and that it should be regarded only as the expression of the choice of the resident cilizens who veted for hlnı

Sixth: That Androw H. Roeder received a greater number of votes of resident citizens than John W. Willfield, for Delegate.

Seventh : That in the present condition of the Territory a fulr election cannot be held without a now counts, a stringent and well-guarded election law, the selection of impartial Judges, and the presence of United States troops at every place of election.

Eighth: That the various elections held by the people of the Torritory probalmary to the formation of the State Government have been as regular as the disturbed condition of the Territory would allow; and that the Constitution passed by the Convoution, held in pursuance of anid elections, embodies the will of a majority of the people.

As it is not the province of your Committee

to suggest remedies for the existing troubles in the Territory of Kansas, they content themselves with the foregoing statement of facts.
All of which is respectfully submitted.

> WM. A. HOWARD, JOHN SHERMAN.

The Free-State Constitution framed at Topeka for Kansas, by the Convention called by the Free-State party, (as set forth in the foregoing documents,) was in due season submitted to Congress-Messrs. Andrew H. Receder (the Free-State Territorial delegate) and James H. Lane having been chosen by the first Free-State Legislature Senators of the United States, and Mr. M. W. Delahay elected Representative in the House, by the Free-State men of Kansas. Of course, these were not entitled to their seats until the aforesaid instrument (known as "the Topeka Constitution") should be accepted by Congress, and the State thereupon admitted into the Union. This Constitution, being formally presented in either House, was received and referred to their respective Committees on Territories; but the accompanying Memorial from the Free-State Legislature, setting forth the grounds of the application, and praying for admission as a State, was, after having been received by the Senate, reconsidered, rejected, and returned to Col. Lane, on the allegation that material changes had been made in it since it left Kansas. The Senate, in like manner, rejected repeated motions to accept the Constitution, and thereupon admit Kansas as a Free statethere never being more than Messra. Hamlin and Fessenden of Maine, Hale and Bell of New-Hampshire, Collamer and Foot of Vermont. Sumner and Wilson of Mass., Foster of Connecticut, Seward and Fish of New York, Wade of Ohio, Durkee and Dodge of Wisconsin, Trumbull of Illinois, and Harlan of Iowa, (16) Senators in favor of such admission, and these never all present at the same time.

In the House—the aforesaid Constitution

Committee on Territories, its Chairman, Mr. Grow of Penna., from a majority of said Committee, reported in favor of the admission of Kausus under such Constitution, as a Free State; and after debate the Previous Question thereon was ordered (June 28th) by a vote of 98 Ayes to 63 Nocs. Previous to this, however, Mr. Stephens of Georgia had proposed, as an amendment or substitute, a radically different bill, contemplating the appointment by the President and Scuato of five Commissioners, who should repair to Kansas, take a census of the inhabitants and legal voters, and thereupon proceed to apportion, during the month of September, 1856, the delegates (52) to form a constitutional convention, to be elected by the legal voters aforesaid; said delegates to be chosen on the day of the Presidential election (Tuesday, Nov. 4th, 1856), and to assemble in convention on the first Monday in December, 1856, to form a State Constitution. The bill proposed, also, penalties for illegal voting at said election.

To this substitute-bill, Mr. Dunn of Indiana proposed the following amendment, to come in at the end as an additional section:

Sec. 18. And be it further enacted, That so much of the fourteenth section and of the thirtymuch of the fourteenin section and of the tirst session of the Thirty-Third Congress, commonly called the Knasas and Nebraska act, as reads called no Annas and Modraska act, da roans as follows: "Except the eighth section of the act preparatory to the admission of Missouri into the Union, approved March 6, 1820, which, being inconsistent with the principle of non-intervenion by Congress with slavery in the States and Torritories, as recognized by the legislation of 1850, commonly called the compromise measures, in bureby declared inoperative and void; it being the true intent and meaning of this act not to legislate slavery into any State or Territory, or to exclude it therefrom, but to leave the people thereof perf-city free to form and regulate their domestic institutions in their own way, subject only to the Countitution of the United States: *Provided*, That nothing herein contained law or regulation which may have existed prior to the net of 6th March, 1820, either protecting the contract of 1850, commonly called the compromise measures, that any person or persons lawfully held to sorvice within either of the Territories named in said act shall be discharged from such service, if they shall not be removed and kept out of said Territories within twelve months from the passage of this act.

Mr. Dunn's amendment to the Stephens

YEAS—Messra. Albright, Allison, Ball, Bar-bour, Henry, Hennett, Benson, Billinghuet, Bingham, Bishop, Bliss, Bradelsaw, Beetton, Bell, Lawis D., Campbell, Bayard Clarke, Ezra Clarke, Clawson, Collax, Gomina, Covode, Cragin, Cumback, Damrell, Timothy Davis, Dean, De Witt, Dick, Dickson, Dodd, Dunn, Durfec, Edic, Edwards, Enric, Figley, Galloway, Gid-dings, Gilbert, Granger, Grow, Robert B. Had-dings, Gilbert, dranger, Grow, Robert B. Had-Borton, Velentine B. Horton, Howard, Hugh-

aton, Kebey, King, Kuapp, Kulght, Kuewiton, Kuox, Kunkol, Leiter, Macc, Mattaeon, McCarly, Menchann, Killian Miller, Milward, Moere, Margan, Morrill, Murrey, Andrew Oliver, Parkor, Poucco, Pedian, Pounington, Peary, Petil, Pike, Pringle, Purvinance, Robbins, Roberta, Robiona, Pablus, Sago, Sapp, Seatt, Sherman, Simmons, Simoton, Strandava, Kapdonar, Samona, Martiney, Watte, Watter, Carlon, Colevaluder C. Wuehlburne, Ellin 3. Wuehlburne, Iracel Washburn, Watter, Welch, Willer, Pared Washburn, Watter, Welch, Willer, Watter, Welch, Willer, Watter, Welch, Watter, Israel Washburn, Watson, Welch, Whitney, Wood, Woodruff, and Woodwerth—109. NAYS—Messra Alican, Allen, Barolay, Barks

NAYS—Memer. Aliken, Allen, Burelay, Burkada, Bell. Heudley S. Bennett, Booosek, Boyle, Boyce, Brauch, Brooku, Burnett, Cadwalnder, Boyne, Carathew, Carathew, Candwalder, Chiugman, Howali Gobb, Williamson R. W. Cohb, Cox, Cralge, Crawford, Davidoon, Day, Denver, Dowlell, Edmundson, Elliof, English, Entals, Fallakner, Florence, Festor, Thomas J. D. Puller, Goode, Greenwood, Augustan Had, J. Morrison Harris, Sampson, W. Harris, Hels-J. Morrison Harris, Sampson W. Harris, Hick-man, Hoffman, Houston, Jewett, George W. Jones, J. G'anoy J. nen, Keitt, Kelly, Kennett, Kilwell, Lake, Letcher, Lampkin, Absunder K. Marshall, Hamphrey Marshall, Maxwoll, Mo-Mordean Gilver, Orr. Packlett, Padno, Peek, Phelps, Portor, Powell, Puryear, Guitman, Ready, Rieand, Rehardson, Rivers, Ruffin, Rust, Sandidgo, Savage, Saward, Shorter, Samnel A. Smith, William Smith, William K. Smith, Sanced, Spinner, Stephena, Stowart, Swope, Tally, A. Share, M. Share, M. Share,

Mr. Stephens's substitute, as thus amended by its adversaries, was abandoned by its original friends, and received but two votes —those of Messrs. Geo. G. Dunn of Indiana and John Scott Harrison of Ohio-Navs 210.

Mr. Dunn had previously moved a reference of the bill to the Committee of the Whole on the state of the Union. This was now defeated : Yeas 101; Nays 109.

Mr. Jones of Tenn. now moved that the bill do lie on the table, which was defeated: Yeas 106; Nays 107 (Barclay of Penn., Dunn of Ind., Haven and Williams of N. Y.

-Yeas ; Bayard Clarke of New-York, Hickman and Millward of Pa., Moore of Ohio, and Scott of Ind .-- Nays; Scott Harrison of Ohio not voting, Wells of Wisc. absent). The House now refused to adjourn by 106 to 102; and, after a long struggle, the final question was reached, and the bill rejected: Ŷeas, 106; Nays 107-as follows:

Mr. Dunn's amendment to the Stephens amendment or substitute, was carried: Yeas 109; Nays 102—as follows:

YEAS—Messra. Albright, Allison, Ball, Barbour, Henry Bennett, Benson, Billinghurst, Bingham, Bishop, Bliss, Bradshaw, Brenton, Broom, Badinton, Burlingman, James H. Campbell, Lewis D. Campbell, Bayard Clarke, Ezra Clark, Clawson, Colfax, Comina, Covode, Cragin, Comback, Cumback, Damrell, Timothy Davis, Dean, De Witt, Dick, Dickson, Dodd. Dunn, Durkit, Dickson, Dodd. Dunned, Timbor, Davis, Dunna, R. Horton, Yelentine B. Horton, Holward, Hughard, Moore, Morgan, Morrill, Murray,

Nichola, Andrew Oliver, Pürker, Poarca, Peltou, Penuington, Perry, Peltli, Piko, Purvinner, Robbins, Roberts, Robbins, Sabin, Sago, Sopp, Scott, Sherman, Simmons, Spinner, Stunton, Strauman, Tappan, Thorityou, Thursdon, Tadd, Tradun, Wade, Wakcunan, Walbridge, Wahron, Cadwindor C. Waehburto, Ellin J. Wash-Cadwindor C. Waehburto, Ellin J. Washhurno, Israel Washburn, Watson, Welch, Wood, Woodruff, and Woodwarth-106

NAYS.—Messrs, Aikeu, Allen, Barclay, Barks-dale, Bell, Hendley S. Beunet, Bocock, Bawie, Boyco, Branch, Breoke, Broom, Burnett, Cadwalader, John P. Campbell, Carillo, Carthers, Caskie, Howell Cebb, Williamson R. W. Cobb, Cox, Craigo, Crayford, Cullon, Davidson, Denzer, Dowdell, Dunn, Edmundson, Elliot, English, Etheridge, Eustie, Evans, Faulkuer, Flor-once, Foster, Thomas J. D. Fuller, Goode, Greenonee, Fonter, Thonnan J. D. Fuller, Goode, Groom-wood, Anguehas Hall, J. Morrison Harris, Samp-nou W. Harris, Harrison, Harces, Herbert, Hoff-Glancy Jones, Kolit, Kelly, Komuelt, Kidwell, Lake, Loteler, Limiley, Lumpkiu, Alexander K. Marshull, Humphrey Marahull, Samuel S. Mar-shull, Markey M. Modellin, McQueen, Smith Mil-ter, Allibon, Mordeoil Oliver, Orr, Facker, Palvo, Peck, Phelips, Porter, Yowe, Parker, Studdiec, Swayee, Soward, Sherfer, Samuel A. Quitman, Roady, Richaud, Rivery, Rahula, Rast, Sandidigo, Savago, Soward, Sherter, Sammed A. Smith, William Smith, William R. Smith, Sneed, Stephens, Stewart, Swope, Falbott, Tayler, Trippo, Underwood, Valk, Walker, Warner, Watkins, Wheeler, Whitney, Williams, Daniel B. Wright, John Y. Wright, and Zollicoffee—107.

So the bill was lost.

Mr. Goode of Virginia now sought to move a reconsideration, and to have that motion laid on the table ; but was cut off by a motion to adjourn already pending, which prevailed

July 1st,-Mr. Barclay (Dem.) of Pa. rose to a privileged motion. He moved a reconsideration of the preceding vote, by which the Free-Kansas bill had been rejected. stormy debate ensued, in the midst of which Mr. Howard of Mich. rose to a question of higher privilege (as affecting the right of a member [delegate] to his seat) and submitted the Report of the Kansas Investigating Committee (already given). The Speaker sustained the motion, and the House sustained the Speaker. The Report was thereupon presented and read, consuming a full

July 3rd.—The question of reconsidering the vote defeating the Free-Kansas bill was again reached. Mr. Houston of Ala. moved that it do lie on the table : Defeated : Yeas 97; Nays 102. The main question was then ordered: Yeas 101; Nays 98; and the reconsideration carried: Yeas 101; Nays 99. The previous question on the passage of the bill was now ordered: Yeas 99; Nays 96; a motion by Mr. McQueen of S.C. to lay the bill on the table was defeated: Yeas 97; Nays 100; and then the bill was finally passed: Yeas 99: Nays 97, as follows:

Combas, Covode, Gragin, Cumbask, Danurell, Timothy Davia, Davy, Donn, Do Witt, Hick, Dickeon, Dodd, Durtce, Ride, Edwards, Kantie, Elagler, Galerter, Gallenge, Uller Marting, Grant Grant, Carlon, Kallen, Miller, Millerand, McGurty, Mondann, Killinn Millor, Millward, Morgan, Martill, Matt. Marcay, Nichola, Audrew Oliver, Parker, Pentre, Pollon, Perry, Picko, Salbit, Sarev, Sano, Sooti, Sherman, Soliuce, Salbit, Sarev, Sano, Sooti, Sherman, Soliuce, Sabiu, Sage, Sapp, Soott, Sherman, Spluner, Straushan, Tappan, Thorington, Thurston, Todd, Trafton, Wado, Wakenun, Walbridgo, Waldren, Cadwalader C. Weshburne, Ellini B. Wush-burne, Israel Washburn, Welel, Woodraff, and

Woodworth-99. NAYS-Messrs. Aiken, Allen, Barksdale, Bell, MAYS—Messer, Atten, Allen, Harkenaen, neu-leudley S. Bounett, Boecels, Bowle, Hrauch, Beoulas, Broom, Burnett, Catwalader, Gruthern, Cackie, Cliugman, Howell Cabb, Williamson E. W. Cobb, Cox, Graige, Crawford, Callen, Heary Winter Davis, Denver, Dowdell, Dunn, Ed-mandean, English, Etheridge, Eastis, Evone, Faulkeer, Piercucc, Henry M. Fuller, Thos. J Paulkeer, Piercucc, Henry M. Fuller, Thos. J D. Fuller, Geude, Grecourseed, Augusta, Monda, J. Harten, Markey, M. Paren, Houston, Jovett. Merrison Harris, Sampsen W. Harris, Thomas L. Harris, Harrisen, Havon, Houston, Jowelt, Geergo W. Jones, J. Glancy Jenes, Kelly, Kennett, Kilvell, Lehe, Lindley, Lumpkin, Alex ander K. Marshall, Humphroy Marshall, Samuel S. Marshall, McQueen, Smith Miller, Milsen, Mordecai Oliver, Orr. Packer, Peck, Fleips, Porter, Fowell, Purycar, Ready, Peck, Fleips, Porter, Powell, Purycar, Ready, Seward, Sherter, Samuel A. Smith, William Smith, William E. Smith, Seed, Stephens, Stewart, Swepe, Tayler, Trippe, Underword, Valk, Walker, Waraer, Walkins, Waseler, Warts, Walker, Warer, Walkins, Waseler, Williams, Winslow, Daniel B. Wright, John V Wright, and Sollicofter—37. Wright, and Zollicoffer-97.

Mr. Grow of Pa. moved the reconsideration of this vote, and that the motion to reconsider do lie on the table, which was permitted, without further division.

The following is the Free-Kansas or Topeka Constitution aforesaid:

CONSTITUTION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS.

PREAMBLE:

WE, the People of the Territory of Kansas, by our delegates in Convention assembled at Tope ka, on the 23d day of October, A. D. 1855, and of the Independence of the United States the eightieth year, having the right of admission into the Union as one of the United States of America, consistent with the Federal Constitution and by virtue of the treaty of cession by France to the United States of the Province of Louisians, in order to secure to ourselves and our posterity the enjoyment of all the rights of life, liberty and property, and the free pursuit of happiness, do mutually agree with each other to form ourselves into a free and independent State, by the name and style of the STATE OF KANSAS, bounded as follows, to wit: Beginning at a point on the western boundary of the State of Missouri where the thirty-seventh parallel of north latitude crosses the same; thence west on said parallel to the eastern boundary of New-Mexico; thence YEAS—Mesers Albright, Allison, Ball, Bar-bour, Barclay, Henry Bennett, Benson, Billing-hunt, Bingham, Bliss, Bradshaw, Breaton, Billing-thunt, Engham, Bliss, Bradshaw, Breaton, Brilling-ton, James H. Campbell, Lewis D. Campbell, Bayard Clarke, Ezra Clark, Clawson, Collar, Inorthward on said summit to the fortieth parallel of said latitude; thence cast on said parallel is in his behalf, and a speedy public trial by an in-the western bout dury of the Shato of Missecuri; partial jury of the county or district in which the thoses could with the western baundary of said [ofcasse is oligant to have been committed; nor State to the place of heglanding; and do ordali and establish the following Constitution and BILL OF RIGHTS for the government thereof:

ARTICLE L.—BILL OF RIGHTS.

SECTION 1. All mon are by nature free and independent, and have certain inclicable rights, among which are those of enjoying and defending The and likerty, acquiring, possessing, and protecting property, and seeking and obtaining happiness and adoty.

Sec. 2. All political power is inherent in the Broothe. Government in instituted for their

equal protection and benefit; and they have the right to alter, reform or abolish the same whenover they may deem it necessary; and no special privileges or immunities shall ever be granted that may not be altered, reveked, or repealed by the General Assembly.

Sec. 3. The people have the right to assemble

together, in a peaceable manner, to consult, for their common good, to instruct their Representatives, and to polition the General Assembly for the redress of grievances.

Sec. 4. The people have the right to bear arms for their defence and security, but standing armies in time of peace are dangerous to literaty, and shall not be kept up; and the military shall be kept in strict subordination to the civil

SEC. 5. The right of trial by jury shall be inviolato SEC. 6. There shall be no Slavery in this State.

nor involuntary servitudo, unless for the punishment of crime.

SEC. 7. All men have a natural and indefeasible right to worship Almighty God according to the dictates of their own conscience. No person shall be compelled to attend, erect or support any place of worship, or maintain any form of hip against his consent; and no preforence shall be given by law to any religious society; nor shall any interference with the rights of censeience be permitted. No religious test shall be required as a qualification for office, nor shall any person be incompetent to be a witness on ac-count of his religious belief; but nothing herein count of his religious belief; but nothing herein shall be construed to dispense with oaths and affirmations. Roligion, morality, and knowledge, howovor, being essential to good government, it shall be the duty of the General Assembly to pass suitable laws to protect every religious de-nomination in the pasceable enjoyment of its own mode of public weeding, and to encourage schools and the means of instruction.

SEC. 9. All persons shall be ballable by atti-SEC. 9. All persons shall not be suspended, unless in case of rebellion or invasion the public safety require it. SEC. 9. All persons shall be ballable by sutti-

cient surcties, unless for capital offenses where the proof is evident, or the presumption great. Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted.

SEC. 10. Except in cases of impeachment, and cases arising in the army and navy, or in the militia, whon in actual service, in time of war or public danger, and in cases of petit larceny and other inferior offenses, no person shall be held to answer for a capital or otherwise infamous crime, unless on presentment or indictment of a Grand Jury. In any trial, in any court, the party accused shall be allowed to appear and defend in person, and with counsel, to demand the nature and cause of the accusation against

shall any person be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness against himself, or be twice put in jeopardy for the name offense

Sec. 11. Every citizen may freely speak, write and publish his sentiments on all subjects, being responsible for the abuse of the right; and no law shall be passed to restrain or abridge the liherty of speech or of the press. In all criminel prosecutions or indictments for libel, the truth have been a full first the transfer of the transfer of the transfer of the full appear to the jury that the matter charged as ilbelous is true, and was published with good nuctives, and for justifiable cude, the party shall be acquitted.

Sec. 12. No person shall be transported out of the State for any effense committed within the same; and no conviction shall work corruption

of blood or forfeiture of estate.

SEC. 13. No soldier shall, in time of poace, be quartered in any house without the consent of the owner; nor in time of war, except in a manner prescribed by law.

SEC. 14. The right of the people to be seenre in their persons, houses, papers, and possessions, against unreasonable searches and scizures, shall not be violated; and no warrant shall issue but upon probable cause, supported by oath or affirmation, particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons and things to be soized.

Sec. 15. No person shall be imprisoned for dobt in any civil action, or mesno or final pro-

ccse, unless in ease of fraud.

Sec. 16. All courts shall be open; and every person for an injury done him in his land, goods, person, or reputation, shall have remedy by due course of law, and justice administered without donial or delay. SEC. 17. No hereditary emoluments, honors, or

privileges, shall over be granted or conferred by this State.

SEC. 18. No power of suspending laws shall ever be exercised, except by the General Assem-

by.
SEC. 19. The payment of a tax shall not be a qualification for exercising the right of suf-

frage.
SEC. 20. Private property shall ever be held SEC. 20. Frivate property shall ever be near inviolate, but subservient to the public welfare. When taken in time of war, or other public exigency, imperatively requiring its immediate gency, imperatively requiring as immediate seizure, or for the purpose of making or repair-ing roads, which shall be open to the public use, without tell or other charge therefor, a compensation shall be made to the owner in money; and in all other cases, whore private property shall be taken for public use, a compensation there-for shall first be made in money, first secured by to stand mer be made in money, mas secured by a doposit of money, and such compensation shall be assessed by a jury, without deduction for benefits to any property of the owner. Sec. 21. No indenture of any negro, or mulatto, made and executed, out of the bounds of the

State, shall be valid within the State.

SEC. 22. This enumeration of rights shall not be construed to impair or dony others retained by the people; and all powers not herein dele-gated shall remain with the people.

ARTICLE II .- ELECTIVE FRANCHISE.

SECTION 1. In all elections by the people, the vote shall be by ballot, and in all elections in the General Assembly, the vote shall be viva voce.

SEC. 2. Every white male person, and every civilized male Indian who has adopted the habhim, and to have a copy thereof; to meet the divinceses face to face, and to have compulsory its of the white man, of the age of twonty-one process to procure the attendance of winesses; wears and upward, who shall be at the time of

offering to vote a citizen of the United States; and Counties, and shall have their effices at such who shall have resided, and had his hubitation, places as may be required by law. domicile, home, and place of permanent abode in the State of Kunsas for six mentis next preceding the election at which he offers his vote; who, at such than, and for thirty days innucliately preceding end time, shall have had his actual hubitation, domicile, home, and place of abode in the county in which he offers to vote; and who shall have resided la the precinct or electionshall have resulted in the presente of election-district for at least ten days inmediately preced-ing the election, shall be deemed a qualified elec-tor at all elections under this Constitution, except the elections by general telect in the State or district prescribed by law, in which case the elector must have the aforesaid qualifications, but a residence in said district for ton days will ontitle him to vote: Provided, That no soldier, seaman, or marine of the regular army of the United States shall be considered a resident of the State in consequence of being stationed within the same

Sec. 3. The General Assembly shall, at its first session, provide for the registration of all qualified electors in each county, and thereafter, from time to time, of all who may become qualified olectors

SEC. 4. The Legislature shall have power to exclude from every office of honor, trust or profit within the State, and from the right of suf-frage, all persons convicted of any infamous erime

Sicc. 5. No porson what he deemed capable of holding or being elected to any post of honor, profit, frust, or emolument, civil or military, or ment of this Bato, who shall hereafter light a duel, send or accept a challenge to fight a duel, or who shall be a second to other party, or who shall is now manner aid or acsist in such duel, or who shall be knowingly the bearer of such chal-leage or acceptance, whether the same occur or Szc. 5. No person who may hereafter be col-SEC. 5. No porson shall be deemed capable of

SEC. 5. No person who may hereafter be collector or holder of public moneys shall be eligi-ble to any office of trust or profit in the State until he shall have accounted for and paid into the proper public treasury all sums for which he may be accountable.

SEC. 7. No State officer or member of the General Assembly of this State shall receive a fee, be engaged as counsel, agent, or attorney, in any case or claim against the State.

Sec. 3. No Senator or Representative shall, during the term of office for which he shall have been elected, be appointed to any civil office of profit in this State which shall have been created, or the emoluments of which shall have been in-creased during such term, except such offices as

may be filled by election by the people.

Szc. 9. All officers, civil and military, in this
State, before they enter upon the duties of their respective offices, shall take the following oath or affirmation: "I ______, do swear for or affirmation: "I — do swer for affirmation: that I will support the Constitution of the United States, and of the State of Kansas; that I am duly qualified according to the Constitution to exercise the office to which I have been elected for supported and the constitution." ed. [or appointed.] and will, to the best of my abilities, discharge the duties thereof faithfully

and impartially, according to law.

Sec. 10. Every person shall be disqualified from helding any office of honor or profit in this State, who shall have been convicted of having given or offered any bribe to procure his elec-tion, or who shall have made use of any undue influence from power, tumult, or other improper practices

SEC. 11. All civil officers of the State shall reside within the State, and all District and County officers within their respective Districts

SEC. 12. Roturns of elections for members of Cougress, the Goueral Assembly, and all other officers not otherwise provided for, shall be made to the Secretary of State, in such meaner as may

SEC. 13. Electors shall in all cases be privilogod from arrest during their attendance on elections, and in going to und returning therefrom, except in cuse of felony, treasen, and breach of the pence.

AUTICLE III .-- DISTRIBUTION OF POWERS.

SECTION 1. The powers of the Government shall be divided into three separate departments—the Legislative, the Executive, including the Administrative, and the Judicial; and no person charged with official duties under one of those departments shall exercise any of the functions of another, except as in this Constitution expressly provided.

ARTICLE IV .- LEGISLATIVE.

SECTION 1. The Legislative power of this State shall be vested in the General Assembly, which shall consist of a Senate and House of

Representatives.

Sec. 2. The Senaters and Representatives shall be chosen annually by the qualified electrons of the respective Counties or Districts for which they are chosen, on the first Monday of August, for one year, and their term of office shall commence on the first day of January next thereafter

SEC. 3. There shall be elected at the first election twenty Senators, and sixty Representatives, and the number afterward shall be regulated by

SEC. 4. No person shall be cligible to the office of Senator, or Representative, who shall not possess the qualifications of an elector.

SEC. 5. No person holding office under the authority of the United States, or any lucrativo office under the authority of this State, shell be eligible to or hold a seat in the General Assembly; but this provision shall not extend to town by; but this provision shall not extend to town-ship officers, justices of the peace, notaries pub-lic, pestmasters, or officers of the militie. SEC. 6. Each House, except as otherwise pro-vided in this Constitution, shall choose its own

officers, determine its own rule of proceeding, punish its members for disorderly conduct, and with the concurrence of two-thirds expels member, but not the second time for the same cause and shall judge of the qualification, election and return of its own members, and shall have all other powers necessary for its safety and the un-disturbed transaction of business.

SEC. 7. Each House shall keep a journal of its proceedings and publish the same. The Yeas

proceedings and publish the same. The Yeas and Nays on any question shall, at the request of two members, be catered on the journal. However, the control of the journal of the process of

Sec. 10. Senators and Representatives shall Sec. 10. Senators and Representative shall, in all cases except treason, felony or breach of the peace, be privileged from arrest during the session of the General Assembly, and in going to and returning from the same; and for words spoken in debate they shall not be questioned in any other place.

Sec. 11. A majority of all the members elected

to each House shall be necessary to pass every hill or Joint resolution, and all bills and joint resolutions so passed shall be signed by the presiding officers of the respective Houses, and pre-scuted to the Governor for his approval. Sec. 12. The doors of each House, and of

Committees of the Whole, shall be kept of Nelther House chall, without the consent of the other, adjourn for more than two days, nor to any other place than that in which the two

SEC. 13. Every will shall be read by sections on three several days in each House unless in case of emergency. Two-thirds of the House where such bill is pending, may, if deemed expedient, suspend the rules on a call of the Yeas and Nays; but the reading of a bill by sectious on its and passage shall in no case be dispensed with; and the vote on the passage of every bill or joint resolution shall be taken by Yeas and

Nays.
SEC. 14. Every act chall contain but one subject, which shall be clearly expressed in its title. jeet, which shall be elearly expressed in its inte-Bills may originate in either House, but may be altered, amended, or rejected by the other. SEC 15. In all cases when a general law can

be made applicable, special laws shall not be enacted. SEC. 16. No act shall ever be revived or amended by more reference to its title; but the

actrevived, or the section amended, shall be set

forth and published at full length. SEC. 17. No act shall take effect until the same shall have been published and circulated in the counties of the State by authority, except in case of emergency, which emergency shall be declared in the preamble, or the bedy of the

SEC. 18. The election and appointment of all efficers, and the filling of all vacancies not otheremeers, and the hining of all vacanetes not other-wise provided for by this Censitution, or the Constitution of the United States, shall be made in such manner as shall be prescribed by law; but no appointing power shall be exercised by the General Assembly, except as provided in this Constitution and in the election of the United States Senator, and in these cases the vote shall be taken viva voce.
Sec. 19. The General Assembly shall not have

power to enact laws annulling the contract of marriage in any case where by law the courts of this State may have power to decree a di-

SEC. 20. The General Assembly shall not have pewer to pass retro-active laws, or laws impairing the obligation of contracts, but may by general laws anthorize Courts to carry into effect, upen such terms as shall be just and equitable, the manifest intention of parties and officers,

the manifest intentien of parties and officers, by curing omissions, defects, and errors in instruments, and proceedings arising out of a want of conformity with the laws of this State.

SRC. 22. The style of the laws of this State shall be: "Be style of the laws of this State bid by office State of Kamasa. Begresentatives shall have the sole power of improchment. All impeachments and the tried by the Senate, and when sitting for the purpose, the Senate, and when sitting for the purpose, the Senators shall when sitting for the purpose, the Senators shall be upon oath or affirmation to do justice se-cording to law and evidence. No person shall be convicted without the concurrence of two-thirds of all the Senators present.

SEC. 23. The Governor and all other civil officers, under the laws of this State, shall be liable to impeachment for any misdemeanor in office, but judgment in such cases shall not extend further than to removal from office, and disqualification acquitted, shall nevertheless to liable to indict-ment, trial, judgment and punishment, according

SEC. 24. Within one year after the ratification of this Conciliation, and within overy subscript quent two years thereafter, for the term of ten years, an enumeration of all the whitelihabitants of this State shall be made in such manuer as shall be directed by law.

SEC, 25. All regular accelent of the General Assembly shall be held at the equital of the State, and shall commono on the firs truesday

of January annually.

Sec. 26. All bills for raising revenue shall originate in the House of Representatives, subject, however, to amendment or rejection as in other

SEC. 27. The members of the General Associably shall receive for their services the sun of four dollars per day for each and every day they are actually in attendance at any regular or special session, and four dollars for every twenty miles they shall travel in going to and returning from the place of meeting by the most usually traveled route; and no session of the General Assembly, except the first under this Constitution, shell extend beyond the term of sixty days, nor any special session more than forty days.

ARTICLE V .- EXECUTIVE.

Section 1. The Executive Department shall Section 1. The Executive Department shall consist of a Governor, a Lioutenant-Governor, Secretary of State, Treasurer, Anditor, and Attornor-General, who shall be chosen by the electors of the State at the same time and place of voting for the members of the General Assembly

Sec. 2. The Governor, Lieutenant-Governor, Secretary of State, Treasurer, Auditor, Attorney General, and State Printer, shall hold their office for two years. Their terms of office shall com-mence on the first Tuesday of January next after their election, and continue until their successom are elected and qualified, neither of which officers shall be eligible for ro-election more than two out of three consecutive terms : nor shall any person be eligible for the office of Governor who who shall not have attained the age of thirty

SEC. 3. The returns of every election for the efficers named in the foregoing section, shall be sealed up and transmitted to the seat of government by the returning-officers, directed to the Secretary of State, who shall lay the same before sceneral vi Sunte, who shall lay in a same better the General Assembly at their first meeting thereafter, when they shall epen, publish and declare the result thereof in the presence of a majority of the members of both Honses. The person having the highest number of votes shall be declared only elected, and a certificate thereof given to such person, signed by the presiding officers of both bedies; but if any two or more shall be highest and equal in votes for the same office, one of them shall be chosen by the joint vete of both Houses.

Sec. 4. The supreme executive power shall be

Sec. 4. The suprame excessive powers as a vected in a Governor.

Sec. 5. He may require information in writing from the officers in the Executive Department upon any subject relating to the duties of their respective offices, and shall see that the laws are faithfully executed.

SEC. 6. He shall communicate at every session, by message, to the General Assembly, the condi-tion of the affairs of the State, and recommend such measures as he shall deem expedient for their action

this State. The party, whether convicted or convene the General Assembly by proclamation,

and shall state to both Houses when assembled the purposes for which they were convened.

Sec. 8. In case of dangreement between the

two Houses, in respect to the that of adjournmont, he shall have power to adjourn the Geneproper, but not beyond the regular meetings thereof.

Sec. 9. He shall be commander-in-chief of the military in the State, except when they shall be onlied into the service of the United States.

SEC. 10. The pardoning power shall be vested in the Governor, under such regulations and re-

atrictions as may be prescribed by law. Sec. 11. There shall he a seal of the State, the device of which shall be fixed upon by the Gov-orner and other State efficers, be kept by the Governor and used by him efficially, and shall be called "The Great Seal of the State of Kan-

sas." Sec. 12. All grants and commissions shall be seed in the name and by the authority of the

State of Kansau, scaled with the great scal, signed by the Governor, and countersigned by the Sec. 13. No member of oither House of Congress, or other persons holding office under the authority of this State, or of the United States,

shall execute the office of Governor except as herein provided.

Sec. 14. In the case of death, impeadment, resignation, romoval, or other disability of the Governor, the Lioutenant-Governor shall exeroise the duties of the office of Governor, until another Governor shall be duly qualified; but in such case another Governor shall be chosen at the next annual election for members of the General Assembly, unless such death, resignation, imponehment, removal, or other disability shall occur within three calendar months imme diately preceding such next annual election, in which case a Governor shall be chosen at the which case a vovenor said to chosen at the second succeeding annual election for members of the General Assembly, and in ease of the death, impeachment, resignation, removal, or other disability of the Lieutenant-Governor, the President of the Senato pro tem. shall exercise the office of Governor until a Governor shall be duly qualified as aforesaid.

SEC. 15. The Lieut-Governor shall be Presi dent of the Senate, but shall vote only when the Senate is equally divided, and shall be entitled Schate is equally divined, and shall be enhanced to the same pay as the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and in case of his death, impeachment, resignation, removal from office, or when he shall exercise the office of Governor,

the Senate shall choose a President pro tem.
Sec. 16. Should the office of Secretary of State, Treasurer, Auditor, or Attorney-Goneral become vacant, for any of the causes specified in the fourteenth and fifteenth sections, the Governor shall fill the vacancy or vacancies until the disability is removed or a successor is elected and omy is children in a discussion in click a had gaulified. Every such vacancy shall be filled by election, at the first general election that occurs more than thirty days after such vacancy shall have occurred, and the person chosen shall hold the effus for the full term fived in the means. hold the office for the full term fixed in the second section of this article.

SEC. 17. The officers montioned in this article shall, at stated times, receive for their services compensation to be fixed by law, which shall neither be increased nor diminished during the

period for which they shall have been elected. SEC. 18. The officers of the Executive Department, and of the public State Institutions shall, at least ten days preceding each regular session of the General Assembly, severally report to the Governor, who shall transmit the same to the General Assombly.

SEC. 19. Every bill which shall have passed years.

both Itomics shall be presented to the Governor. If he approve he shall sign the rame; but if be shall not approve, be shall return it with his ob-jections to the House in which it shall have originated, who shall outer the objections at large upon the journal, and proceed to reconsider the of that House shall agree to pass the bill, it shall

be sent, with the objections, to the other Honce, by which, likewise, it shall be reconsidered, and if approved by two-thirds of that House, it shall be a law. But in such cose the votes of both Houses shall be determined by Yous and Nays, and the names of the persons voting for or against the bill shall be entered upon the journals of the House respectively. If any bill shall not be re-turned by the Governor within five days (Sunday excepted) after it shall have been presented to him, it shall be a law in like manner us if he had signed it, unless the General Assembly, by their edjourument, prevented its return, in which onso at shall also be a law, unless sent back within

two days after the next meeting.

Sec. 20. Contested elections for Governor,
Lioutenant Governor, Judges of the Supreme Court, and all other State officers, shall be deter-mined by the General Assembly in such man-

ner us may be prescribed by law.
Sec. 21. The Goueral Assembly shall have power to provide by law for the election of a Surveyor-General, State Goologist, and Superintendent of Common Schools, whose duties shall be prescribed by law.

ARTICLE VI .- JUDICIAL.

SECTION 1. The Judicial power of the State shall be vested in a Supreme Court, Courts of Common Pleas, Justices of the Peace, and in such other Courts inferior to the Supreme Court as the General Assembly may establish. Sec. 2. The Supreme Court shall consist of

three Judges, a majority of whom shall form a quorum. It shall have such original and appelquorum. It shan have suo orginat and appu-late jurisdiction as may be provided by law. It shall hold at least one term each year at the seat of Government, and such other Terms as may be provided by law. The Judges of the Su-preme Court shall be elected by the electors of

the State at large.

Sec. 3. The State shall be divided by the first General Assembly under this Constitution into three Common Pleas Districts of compact Territhree Common Free Districts of Compact Leni-tory, bounded by courty lines, and as nearly equal in population as practicable; and a Judge for each District shall be chosen by the electors thoreof, and their term of office shall be for three. years.

SEC. 4. The Courts of Common Pleas shall consist of one Judge each, who shall reside within the district for which he is chosen during his continuance in office

SEC. 5. The jurisdiction of the Court of Com-mon Pleas and of the Judges thereof shall be

fixed by law.
SEC. 6. A competent number of Justices of the Peuce shall be elected by the electors in each township of several counties. The term of office shall be three years, and their powers and duties shall be fixed by law.

72

SEC. 7. All Judges, other than those provided for in the Constitution, shall be elected by the electors of the judicial district for which they may be created, but not for a longer term of

office than three years.
SEC. 8. The Judges of the Supreme Court shall immediately after the first election under this Constitution, be classified by lot, so that one shall hold for the term of one year, one for the term of three years; and all subsequent elections the term of each of said Judges shall be for three

become vacant before the expiration of the term for which be was elected, the vacancy shall be filled by appointment by the Governor, until a successor shall be elected and qualified; and such successor shall be elected for the residue of the mexpired term, at the first annual election that occurs more than thirty days after such

vacancy shall have happened.

SEC. 10. The Judger of the Supreme Court and of the Court of Common Pleas shall, at stat-

ed times, receive such compensation as may be provided by law, which shall not be increased or diminished during their torm of office; but they shall receive no fees or perquisites, nor hold my other office of profit and frust under the State,

other than a indicial office.

SEC. 11. The General Assembly may increase

or diminish the number of the Judges of the ar diminish the fitting of the districts of Supreme Court, the mumber of the districts of the Courts of Common Pleas, the number of Judges in any district, or establish other courts, who never two thirds of the members elected to each House shall concur therein; but no such change, addition, or diminution shall vacate the office of any Judge.

office of any Jugo.

SEC. 12. There shall be elected in each county, by the electors thereof, one Clork of the Court of Common Pleas, who shall hold his effect for the

term of three years, and until his successor shall be elected and qualified. SEC. 13. The General Assembly shall provide by law for the speedy publication of the decis-ions of the Supreme Court made under this Con-

stitution SEC. 14. The Supreme Court shall, upon the decision of every case, give an opinion in writ-ing of each question arising in the record in such case and the decision of the Court thereon.

SEC. 15. There shall be elected by the voters of the State a Clerk and a Reporter for the Su-preme Court, who shall hold their offices for three years, and whose duties shall be pre-scribed by law.

SEC. 16. Judges may be removed from office

by concurrent resolution of both Houses of the General Assembly, if two-thirds of the members elected to each House conour therein; but no such removal shall be made except upon complaint, the substance of which shall be entered upon the journal, nor until the party thereof charged shall have had notice thereof, and an

opportunity to be heard.
SEC. 17. The several Judges of the Supremo Court, of the Court of Common Pleas, and of

Coultr, of the Coultr of Common Freels, and such other courts as may be created by law, shall respectively have and exercise such power and jurisdiction, at chambers or otherwise, as may be provided by law.

SEC. 18. The style of all process shall be "The State of Kansas." All proceenions shall be carried on in the name and by the authority of the State of Kansas, and all indictments shall conclude "Against the peace and dignity of the State of Kansas."

ARTICLE VII.-EDUCATION.

SECTION 1. The principal of all funds arising from the sale or other disposition of lands or other property granted or intrusted to this Stato for educational and religious purposes, shall for-ever be preserved inviolate and undiminished, and the income arising therefrom shall be faithfully applied to the specific objects of the original grants or appropriations.
SEC. 2. The General Assembly shall make

such provision, by taxation or otherwise, as, with the income arising from the school-trust fund will secure a thorough and efficient system of common schools throughout the State; but no religious or other sect or sects shall ever have

Sec. 9. In case the office of any Judge shall any exchance right to, or control of, any part of ecome vacant before the expiration of the term | the school funds of this State.

SEC. 3. The General Assembly may lake measnres for the establishment of a University, with such branches as the public convenience may bereafter dominal, for the promotion of siterature, the arts, seiences, medical and agricultural instruction.

SEC. 4. Provision may be made by the law for the support of normal schools, with saitable libraries and selectific apparatue.

ARTICLE VIII .- PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS.

SECTION 1. It shall be the duty of the Ceneral Ascembly, at an early a date as possible, to provide State Asylums for the benefit, treatment and instruction of the blind, deaf and dumb, and กับหลาก

SEC. 2. The General Assumbly shall make prevision for the establishment of an Asylum for

idiots, to be regulated by law.
SEC. 3. The respective counties of the State shall provide in some suitable manner for those inhabitants who, by reason of age, infirmity or sympathy and file of society; under provisions to be made by the laws of the General As-SEC. 4.

Src. 4. The General Assembly shall make provision for the establishment of houses of refuge for the correction, reform, and instruction of juvenilo offenders

SEC. 5. It shall be the duty of the General Assembly to make provisions as seen as pessible for a State General Hospital.

ARTICLE IX .- PUBLIC DEET AND PUBLIC .. WORKS.

SECTION 1. No money shall be paid out of the treasury except in pursuance of an appropriation by law

SEC. 2. The credit of the State shall never be iven or loaned in aid of any individual associa-

tion or corporation.

Sec. 3. For the purpose of defraying extraor-dinary exponditures, the State may contract publie debts, but such debts shall never in the aggre-gate exceed one hundred thousand dollars, unless authorized by a direct vote of the people at a general election. Every such debt shall be authorized by law, and every such law shall pro-vide for the payment of the annual interest of

vide for the payment of the annual interest of such debt, and the principal within ten years from the passage of such law, and such appropriation shall not be repeated until the principal and interest shall have been wholly paid.

SEC. 4. The Legislature may also berrow money to repel invasion, suppress insurrection, or defend the State in time of war; but the money thus raised shall be applied exclusively to the object for which the loan was authorized, or re-

object for when the loan was authorized, of the payment of the debts thereby created.
Sec. 5. No scrip, certificate, or other evidence of State debt whatever, shall be issued, except for such debts as are authorized by the third and fourth sections of this article.

ARTICLE X .- MILITIA.

SECTION 1. The militia shall consist of all able-SECTION 1. The mainta snau consist or su anal-bodied white made persons between the ages of-eighteen and forty years: except such as may be exempt by the lows of the United States or of this State, and shall be organized, officered, armed, equipped, and trained in such manuer as may be a support of the state of th

tant, Quartermaster and Commissary Gene-

Sec. 3. All militia officers shall be commis-

sioned by the Governor and shall held their

offices not longer than three years.

Eso, 4. The General Assembly shall determine the method of dividing the militia into divisions, brigados, reginente, inttulione and companies, and fix the rank of all officers.

Sec. 5. The militia may be divided into

classes, in such manner as shall be prescribed by law.

Sko. 6. No person consciontionally opposed to bearing arms shall be compelled to do militia duty; but onch person shall pay an equivalent for such exemption, the amount to be prescribed by law.

SEC. 7. The first General Assembly shall offer inducements for the formation, uniforming and drilling of independent volunteer companies in the different cities and counties in this State.

ARTICLE XI .- FINANCE AND TAXATION.

SECTION 1. The General Assembly shalf provide, by law, for miform and equal rate of as-sessment and taxation; and taxes shall belevied upon all each property, real and personal, as the General Assembly may, from time to time, pro-scribe; but all property appropriated and used exclusively for municipal, literary, educational, cannavery for minimple, interacty, educational, sciontific or charitable purposes, and personal property to an amount not exceeding one hundred dellars, for each head of a family, and all property appropriated and used exclusively for religious purposes to an amount not exceeding \$200,000, may, by goneral laws, be exempted from taxation.

Sec. 2. The General Assembly shall provide by law for an annual tax sufficient to derray the estimated ordinary expenses of the State for each

Sec. 3. Every law imposing a tax shall state distinctly the object of the same, to which it shall

be applied.

SEC. 4. On the passage, in either House of the General Assembly, of any law which imposes,

General Assembly, of any law which imposes, continues or renews a tax, or makes, ooutnues or renews an appropriation of public or trust money; or releases, diacharges or commutes a claim or demand of the State, the queedon shall be taken by Yeas and Naye, which shall be duly entered on the journal; and three-films of all the members elected to such House shall, in all such cases, be required to constitute a quorum.

ARTICLE XII .- COUNTY AND TOWNSHIP OFFI-CERS.

SECTION 1. The General Assembly shall provide by law for the election of county, city, town

and township officers.

SEC. 2. All officers whose election or appointment is not provided for by this Constitution shall be elected by the people, or appointed as the General Assembly may by law direct. Szc. 3. Provision shall be made by law for the

removal, for misconduct or malversation in office, of all officers whose powers and duties are not local or legislative, and who shall be elected at general elections, and also for supplying vacan-cies created by such removal.

Sec. 4. The Logislature may declare the cases in which any office shall be deemed vacuat, where no provision is made for that purpose in this Constitution.

ARTICLE XIII .- CORPORATION.

SECTION 1. The General Assembly shall not create corporations by special act, except for

municipal purposes.
SEC. 2. Corporations may be formed under SEC. 2. Corporations may be formed under general laws, but such laws may at any time be altered or repealed.

ARTIGEM XIV .-. IDERSPRUDENCE.

SECTION 1. The General Assembly, at its first secolon, chall countitute three Commissioners, whose duty it shall be to revise, reform, simplify and abridge the riles of practice, pleadings, forms and proceedings of the Courts of Record of this State, and to provide, no far as practica-ble and expedient, that justice shall be administered by intelligent and miferia proceedings,

without any distinction between law and equity.

SEC. 2. The proceedings of the Commissioners shall be reported to the General Assembly, and be subject to the action of that body.

Auticle XV .- Miscellangous.

SECTION 1. The first General Assembly shall locate the permanent sent of government. Sec. 2. Letteries and the sale of lettery tickets

for any purpose whatever, shall forever be pro-hibited in the State. SEC. 3. No person shall be elected or appoint-

ed to any effice in this State unless they possess the qualifications of an elector SRC. 4. There may be established in the Secre-

tary of Stato's office a Bureau of Statistics and Agriculture, under such regulations as may be prescribed by law, and provision shall be made by the General Assembly for the organization and encouragement of State and county Agri-

SEC. 5. The first General Assembly shall provide by law for scenning to the wife the separate property acquired by her before or after ceve-ture, and the equal right with the husband to the custody of their children during their minority; and in case of death, insanity, intemperance, or gross impropriety of the husband, their oxclusive custody.

ARTICLE XVI .-- AMENDMENTS TO THE CONSTI-TUTION.

Section i. All propositions for amendments to the Constitution shall be made by the General Assembly.

SEC. 2. A concurrence of two-thirds of the members elected to each House shall be neces sary, after which such proposed amendments shall be entered upon the journals with the Yeas and Nays, and the Secretary of State shall cause the same to be published in at least one news-paper in each County in the State where a newspaper is published, for at least six months pre-ceding the next election for Senators and Representatives, when such proposed amendments shall be again referred to the Legislature elected shall be again referred to the Legislature elected next succeeding said publication. If passed by the second Legislature, by a majority of two-thirds of the members elected to each House, such amendments shall be re-published as aforesaid for at least six months prior to the next general election, at which election such proposed amendments shall be submitted to the people for their approval or rejection, and if the majority of the electors voting at such election shall adopt such amendments, the same shall become a part of the Constitution. Sec. 3. When more than one amendment is

submitted at the same time, they shall be so sub-mitted as to enable the electors to vote upon each amendment separately.

SEC. 4. No convention for the formation of a new constitution shall be called, and no amend-ment to the Constitution shall be by the General Assembly made, before the year 1865, nor more than once in five years thereafter.

ARTICLE XVII .- BANKS AND CURRENCY.

SECTION 1. No banks shall be established otherwise than under a General Banking Law.

Suc. 2. If the Governl Assembly shall enset a Section 2.3. It the content Assembly static models General lapiding Law, anch hav shall provide for the registry and countersigning by the Anditro of Statio of all paper craftle designed to be circulated to money, with ample collateral security, readily, convortible into apecle for the redemption of the same in gold or aliver, shall be required; which collateral accurity shall be under the control of the proper officer, or officers of State. Such law shall restrict the aggregate amount of all paper credit to be circulated as money, and the aggregate amount to be put in circulation in any one year; and no note issued under the previous of this section shall be of a less denomination than ten dellars.

SEC. 3. The stockholders in every bank or banking company shall be individually liable to an amount over and above their stock equal to their respective shares of stock for all debts and liabilities of said bank or banking company

Sec. 4. All bills or notes issued as money shall be at all times redeemable in gold or silver; and no law shall be passed sanctioning, directly or indirectly, the suspension by any bank or banking company of specio payments.
SEC. 5. Holders of bank notes shall be ontitled,

in oaso of insolvonov, to preference of specie

na case of insolvonoy, to preserve a specio paymont ovor all other oreditors. Sec. 6. No bank shall receive, directly or in-directly, a greater rate of interest than shall be allowed by law to individuals leaning monoy. Sec. 7. Eyery bank or banking company shall

bo required to cease all banking operations within twenty years from the time of its organization, and promptly thereafter to close its busi-

Sec. 8. The State shall not be a stockholder in any bank or banking institution.

na ony bank or banking institution.

SKC. 9. All banks shall be required to keep
offices and proper officers for the issue and redemption of their paper at some accessible and
convenient point within the State.

SEC. 10. The said Banking law shall contain

a provision reserving the power to alter, amend,

or ropoal said law.

SEC. 11. At the time of submitting this Constitution to the electors for their approval or distution to the electors for their approval of dis-approval, the articles numbered in relation to a Genoral Banking Law shall be submitted as a distinct proposition in the following form: Gen-eral Banking Law; Yes, or No; and if a majority of the votes cast shall be in favor of said article, then the same shall form a part of this Constitu-tion; otherwise it shall be void and form no part thereof.

SCHEDULE.

In order that no inconvenience may arise from the organization and establishment of a State Government, and that the wishes of the people may be fully accomplished, it is declared: First: That no existing rights, suits, prosecu-tions, claims, and contracts shall be affected by

a change in the form of Government.

Second: That his Constitution shall be submitted to the people of Kansas for ratification on the 15th day of December 'ext.

Third: That each qualified elector shall exceen his assent or disagrat to the Constitution by

press his assent or dissert to the Constitution by press and assent or alssert to the Constitution by voting a written or printed ticket labeled "Constitution" or "No Constitution," which election shall be held by the same Judges, and conducted under the same regulations and restrictions as is hereinafter provided for the election of Members of the Constitution of the consti stitution" or "No Constitution," which election shall be held by the same Jugges, and conduct close what were the same regulations and restrictions as is the voters of any election presented in the Territoria of the General Assembly, and the Judges therein elective franchise, the officers are hereby automated shall, within ten days after said election, seal up and transmit to the Chairman of the Edward Assembly, and the Judges there are the present of any other day cuttive Committee of Kansas Territory the result of said election, when the Markov and the any other day tull of said election, when the Markov and the may see proper, of the nocessity of which we will of said election, who shall forthwith make they shall be the exclosive judges, at which

proclamation of the same; and in ease the Constitution be ratified by the people, the Chairman of the Executive Committee shall cause publication to be made by proclamation that an election will be hold on the third Tuesday of January, A.D. 1856, for Governor, Lientenant-Governor, A.D. 1856, for Governor, Lioutomant-Governor, Secrotary of State, Treasurer, Auditor, Judges of the Supreme Cenrt, State Printer, Attornoy-General, Reporter of the Suprome Centr, Clork of the Supreme Centr, and Members of the Gone-ral Assembly, which said election shall be held by the same of adges, under the same restrictions, and conducted in the same manner as is hereinafter provided for the election of Members of the General Assembly; and the Judges herein maned are hereby required, within ten days after said election, to seal up and transmit duplionto copies of the returns of said election to the Chalrman of the Executive Committee, one of which shall be laid before the General Assembly at its first mooting

Fourth: At the same time and place, the qualified voters shall, under the same regulations and rostrictions, cloot a Member of Congress to re resent the State of Kansas in the XXXIVia Congress of the United States; the returns of

Congress of the United Statos; the roturns of and election to be made to the Chairman of the Exocutive Committee, who shall deposit the amount in the office of the Secretary of State as soon as he shall enter upon the discharge of the secretary of State as soon as he shall enter upon the discharge of the Fifth. The General Assembly shall meet on the fourth day of March, A. D. 1856, at the Gity of Topice, at 12 M., at which time and place the Governor, Lieutenaul-Governor, Secretary of State, Judge of the Supreme Court, Trousuror, Anditor, Shate Printer, Roportor and Durk of the Durk of the One of the Supreme Court, Trousuror, Anditor, Shate Printer, Roportor and Durk of the Durk of the One of the Supreme Court, Trousuror, Anditor, Shate Printer, Roportor and Durk of the One of the Supreme Court of the Court of the Supreme Court, Trousuror, Anditor, Shate Printer, Roportor and Durk of the One of the Supreme Court of the poar, take the oath of effice, and enter upon the discharge of the duties of their respective offices under this Constitution, and shall continue in ofunder due constantion, and shall continue in or-fice in the same manner and during the same period they would have done had they been elected on the first Monday of August, A. D. 1856. [The Constitution then goes on to give the boundaries of the Eighteen Election-Districts into which the State is to be divided, to apportion the Senators and Representatives, and to appoint the voting places and the Judges of election. We subjoin the concluding sections:

INSTRUCTION TO JUDGES.

Sec. 7. The three Judges will provide for each Sec. 7. The three Judges will provide for each poll ballet-base for depositing the ballets cast by the control of the second provided by nated in each precinct, and close the same at 6 o'clock P. M. In case any of the officers appointed fail to attend, the officer or officers in atpointed tail is attend, the onner or oncers in ac-tendance shall supply their picces, and in the event of all of them failing to attend the quali-fied voters shall supply their places, and the said Judges shall make out duplicate returns of said election, seal up and transmit the same within ten days to the Chairman of the Executive Committee, one copy of which is to be laid before the General Assembly. If at the time of holding said election it shall be inconvenient, from any

time and place the qualified votors may east their votes

Sec. 8. Until otherwise provided by law, the Chairman of the Executive Committee of Kansas Territory shall aunomous by proclamation the result of the elections and the names of persons

elected to office.

SEC. 9. No person shall be entitled to a seat in the first General Assembly at its organization except the members whose names are contained In the proclamation of the Chairman of the Exo-outive Committee; but after the General As-sembly is organized seats may be contested in

the usual way. SEC. 10. Cordificates of indobtedness may be

issued by the Territorial Executive Committee, for all necessary expenses accruing in the forma-tion of the State Gevernment, not exceeding \$25,000; provided no certificates shall be issued except for legitimate expenses. All claims shall be made in writing, and shall be numbered and kept on file in the Secretary's office, and all cortificates of Indobtedness shall be signed by the Prosident and Secretary, and countersigned by the Treesur, or and numbered to correspond with the numbers of the claim or bill for which it was issued. The certificate shall bear ten per cent. intorest per annum.

SEC. 11. The first General Assembly shall provide by law for the redemption of the certificates of indebtodness issued under the provisions

of the foregoing section.

SEC. 12. Until the great soal of the State of Kansas is agreed upon and precured, as provi-ded for in the eleventh section of the fifth article of this Constitution, the Governor shall use his own private soal as the Seal of State.

Sec. 13. At the election for the ratification of this Constitution, and the first election for State officers, a representation in the Congress of the United States and members of the General Assembly of this State, an actual residence in the Territory of thirty days immediately preceding said election shall be sufficient as a qualifica-tion for the elector; and an actual residence of ninety days for the candidates, provided said election and candidates possess all the other qualifications required by the provisions of this

SEC. 14. The first Legislature shall provide by law for the enforcement of the provisions of the 6th section of the Bill of Rights on or before the 4th day of July, 1857, as to all persons in the Territory before the adoption of this Constitutin, and as to all others, the provisions of said section shall operate from and after the ratification of this Constitution by the people.

ROBERT KLOTZ,
M. J. PABROTT,
M. W. DELAHAY,
W. B. GRIFFITH,
G. S. HILLYEB,
WM. HIOKS,
S. N. LATTA,
JOHN LANDIS,
H. BURSON,
C. W. STEWART,
J. M. ARTHIR. W. GRAHAM, MORRIS HUNT, J. H. NESBITT, O. K. HOLLIDAY, DAVID DODGE. DAVID DODGE,
J. A. WAKEFIELD,
W. Y. ROBERTS,
G. W. SMITH,
J. G. THOL.PSON,
G. A. OUTLER,
J. K. GOODIN,
J. M. TUTUN,
THOMAS BELL,
R. H. OROSRY. J. M. ARTHUB. J. L. SAYLE, CALEB MAY, S. MEWHINY, A. CURTISS, A. HUNTING, B. KNIGHT, C. C. BROWN, R. H. CROSBY, P. C. SCHUYLER, O. ROBINSON, M. F. CONWA I J. S. EMERY,

J. H. LANE, President.

SAM. C. SMITH, Secretary, CHARLES A. FOSTER, Assistant Secretary.

June 30th .- Mr. Douglas reported to the Scnate on several bills submitted by Messrs. Clayton, Toombs, and others, for the pacifi- true intent and meaning of the act to organize

cation of the Kansas troubles, as also deeldedly against Gov. Seward's proposition to admit Kausas as a Free State, under her Topeka Constitution. Mr. Collamor, being the minority of the Territorial Committee. made a brief and pungent counter-report. Mr. Douglas gave notice that he would ask for a final vote on the day after the next.

July 1st.—Bill debated by Messra. Thompson of Ky., Hale of N. H., Bigler of Pa., Adams of Miss., and Crittenden of

July 2d .- Debate continued through the day and following night, the majority resisting all motions to adjourn. Wade, Pugh, Biggs, Bigler, Toombs, Clayton, Crittenden, Bell, Seward, Halo, and nearly half the Senate participated. An amendment moved by Mr. Adams of Miss., the day before, striking out so much of the bill as secures the Right of Suffrage, in the proposed reorganization of Kansas, to alien residents who shall have declared their intention to become citizens, and renounced all allegiance to foreign governments, was adopted : Yeas 22 : Navs 16, as follows :

YEAS—Mosses, Adams and Brown of Miss, Bayard and Clayton of Dol. Biggs and Roid of N. C., John Belf of Tonn., Brodhord of Ponn., C. C. Clay and Fitzpartick of Ala., Collamor and Foot of Vt., Criticulan and J. B. Thompson of Ky., Teesondon of Maine, Fostor of Conn., Geyer of Mo., Hunter and Mason of Va., Iverson Cta., Malloy and Yuleo of Fin.—22. or Pon. RAAS—Basses, Alla S. C. B. Left of Ind. Case of Mich., Dodge of Wiso., Doughts of Ill., Jones of Mich., Dodge of Wiso., Doughts of Ill., Jones of Mich., Dodge of Wiso., Doughts of Ill., Associated to A., Toombo of Ga., Weller of Cal., Wilson of Mass., Wright of N. J.—16.

Sometime in the morning of July 2nd the YEAS-Mossrs, Adams and Brown of Miss

Sometime in the morning of July 3rd, the following amendment, reduced to shape by Mr. Geyer of Mo., was added to the 18th section of the bill-only Brown of Miss., Fitzpatrick of Ala., and Mason of Va., voting against it: Yeas 40. It provides

"No law shall be made or have force or effect in said Territory [of Kansas] which shall require any attestation or oath to support any act of Congress or other legislative act, as a qualification for any civil office, public trust, or for any employ-ment or profession, or to serve as a juror, or vote at an election, or which shall impose any tax upon, or condition to, the exercise of the right of suffrage, by any qualified voter, or which shall restrain or prohibit the free discussion of any law or subject of legislation in the said Torritory, or the free expression of opinion thereon by the people of said Territory."

An amendment proposed by Mr. Clayton. to the same effect as the above, but rather more comprehensive in its terms, was superseded by the adoption of the foregoing, by a party vote: Yeas 34; Nays 11 [Free-State men].

Mr. Trumbull of Ill. moved the following:

"And be it further enacted, That it was the

the Territories of Nebraska and Kansus,' net to legislate slavery into Kanses, nor to exclude it legislate slavery into Kanses, nor to exclude it leaver in the locate in people thereof is slaveral, 'Tambull, Wade, and Wilson--11. — New York States, and the legislate of the legislate is regulated the limitation of slavery in their own ways, milest only to the Constitution of the United States, and that, until the Territorial Legislature acts upon the subject, the owner of a days in one of the States has no right or an elegislature acts upon the subject, the owner of a days in one of the States has no right or an elegislature as unch alway this the Territory of the Control o Kansas, and there hold him as a slave; but every slave taken to the Territory of Kansas by his owner for purposes of settlement is here-by declared to be free, unless there is some valid net of a duly countituted Legislative Assembly of said Territory, under which ho may be hold na a sinvo

The Yeas and Navs being ordered, the proposition was voted down-Yeas 9: Nays 34-as follows:

YEAS—Messrs Durkee, Fessenden, Foot, Foster, Hale, Seward, Trumbull, Wado, and

Wilson

Wilson.—3. Mossrs. Adams. Allon. Bayred. Boll of Tennossov. Boulamin. Blags. Bigler. Bright. of Tennossov. Boulamin. Blags. Bigler. Bright. of Tennossov. Boulamin. Blags. Bigler. Bright. Bri

Mr. Trumbull then proposed the following:

" And be it further enacted, That the provision in the act to organize the Territories of Nobraska and Kansas,' which doclaros it to be ' the true intent and meaning' of said act ' not to legislate slavery into any Territory or Stato, nor to exclude it therefrom, but to leavo the people thoreof perfectly free to form and regulate their domestic institutions in their own way, subject only to the Constitution of the United States,' only to the Constitution of the United States, was intended to, and does, confer upon, or leave to, the people of the Territory of Kansas full power, at any time, through its Territorial Legislature, to exclude slavery from said Territory or to recognize and regulate it therein."

This, too, was voted down, as follows:

This, 100, was voice down, as brilows:
YEAS-Messra. Allen, Beil of New-Hampshire, Collamer, Durkee, Fessenden, Foot, FosMarkey, State of Markey, State of State of

Mr. Trumbull then proposed the follow-

And be it further enacted, That all the acts and proceedings of all and every body of i.en heretofore assembled in said Territory of Kan-sas, and claiming to be a Legislative Assembly thereof, with authority to pass laws for the govneireof, with authority to pass laws for the govinnent of said Torritory, are hereby declared, be utterly null and void. And no person shall all any office, or exercise any authority or judience of the property of the prop ernment of said Torritory, are hereby declared to be utterly null and void. And no person shall hold any office, or exercise any authority or jurisdiction in said Territory, under or by virtue of any power or authority derived from such Legislative Assembly; nor shall the members thereof exercise any power or authority as such.

Mr. Foster of Conn. moved the following amendment:

"Sec. — And be it further enacted, That, until the inhabitants of said Torritory shall proceed to hold a convention to form a State constitution according to the provisions of this not, and tion according to the provisions of anis and and so long as said Territory romains a Territory, the following sections contained in chapter one hundred and fifty-one, in the volume transmitted to the Senate by the President of the United States, as containing the laws of Kansas, be, and the same are hereby, declared to be atterly null and void, vlz.

"SEC. 12. If any free person, by speaking or by writing, assert or maintain that persons have not the right to hold slaves in this Territory, or shall infreright to fold salvos in this Territory, or shall infroduce into this Territory any book, paper, magasine, pampillot, or circular, containing any donial of the right of persons to hold slaves in this Territory, such persons shall be deemed guilty of folouy, and pualth-interpretation of the shall be for a term of not obly imprisonment at hard labor for a term of not

od by imprisonment at hard labor for a term of not less than two years.

"SRO. 13. No person who is consciontiously opposed to holding slaves, or who does not admit the right to hold slaves in this Torritory, shall sit as a juror on the trial of any prosecution for the violation of any one of the sections of this act."

This was rejected [as superfluous, or covered by a former amendment,] as fol-

YiEAS—Messrs. Allen, Holl of New-Hampshire, Clayton, Collamer, Durkee, Fessenden, Foot, Foster, Hale, Seward, Trumbull, Wade, and Wilson—13.

NAYS—Messrs. Bayard, Benjamin, Biggs, Bigler, Bright, Brodhead, Brown, Cass, Clay, Doigo, Douglas, Evans, Fitzpetrick, Geyr, Hunter, Iverson, Johnson, Jones of Iowa, Maidalle, Charles, Collaboration, St. Collabora

Mr. Collamer of Vt. proposed the follow-

And be it further enacted, That until the peo-ple of said Territory shall form a constitution and State government, and be admitted into the union under the provisions of this act, there shall be neither slavory nor involuntary servitude in said Territory, otherwise than in punishment of crimes whereof the party shall have been duly convicted: Provided always, That any person escaping into the same, from whom labor or service is lawfully claimed in any State, such fugitive may be lawfully reclaimed and conveyed to the person claiming his or her sor-vice or labor as aforesaid.

This was voted down as follows:

YEAS-Messrs, Bell of New-Hampshire, Col-

Mr. Wilson of Mass. moved that the whole bill be stricken out and another inserted instead, repealing all the Territorial laws of Kansas.

Rejected: Yeas 8, (Bell of N. H., Collamer, Durkee, Fessenden, Foster, Seward,

Wade and Wilson;) Nays 35.

Mr. Eleward moved to strike out the whole bill, and insert instead one admitting Kansas as a Free State, under the Topeka Constitution: Defeated-Yeas 11; Nays 36as follows:

YEAS -Mosers. Bell of New Hampshire, Col-

YEAS—Mosex, Bell of Now Hampehire, Col-lamer, Durkoe, Pessenden, Poot, Foster, Italo, Soward, Trumbull, Wado, and Wilson—II. NAYS—Mosers, Alton, Bayard, Bell of Ten-neasee, Benjamin, Bigge, Bigler, Bright, Brod-head, Brown, Case, Clay, Ciaytou, Criticutica, Dodgo, Doughas, Evans, Fitapatriok, Geyor, Hunter, Ivorson, Johnson, Jones of Iowa, Mai-lory, Mason, Pratt, Pugh, Reid, Sobastian, Sii-doli, Stuart, Thompson of Kentacky, Toombs, Toucor, Weller, Wright, and Yuloc—36.

The bill was now reported as amended, and the amondment made in Committee of The bill was the Whole concurred in. then (8 A. M.) ordered to be engrossed and read a third time; and, on the question of its final passage, the vote stood—Yeas 33; Nays 12—as follows:

YEAS-Mesers. Allen, Bayard, Bell of Ton-YEAS—Mesers, Allen, Bayard, Bedl of 100-nesse, Benjamin, Biggs, Bigher, Bright, Broth-head, Brown, Gass, Civil, Grother, Bright, Broth-head, Brown, Gass, Civil, Grother, Brother, Johnson, Jones of Jowa, Mallory, Fratt, Pugh, Reid, Schastian, Sliddl, Staart, Thompson of Kenincky, Tombs, Toucey, Weller, Wright, and Yuke—33. Medical States, Wight, NATS—Messrs, Bell of New-Hampshire, Col-NATS—Bubucker, Possenden, Foat, Fea-

lamer, Dedge, Durkee, Fessenden, Foot, Foster, Hale, Soward, Trumbull, Wade, and Wilson —12.

The bill was then sent to the House in the following shape:

AN ACT

To authorize the people of the Territory of Kansas to form a constitution and State government prepara-tory to their admission into the Union on an equal footing with the original States.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Rep-vesentatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That, for the purpose of making an ennmeration of the inhabitants, anthorized to vote under the provisions of this act, an apportionment and an election of members of a convention to form a State constitution for Kansas, as hereinafter provided, five competent persons shall be appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, to be commissioners, a majority of whom shall constitute a quorum for the purpose of carrying into effect the provisions of this act, each of whom, before entering upon the duties of his office, shall take and subscribe an eath or affirmation. tion that he will support the Constitution of the United States, and faithfully and impartially exercise and discharge the duties enjoined on him by this act, according to the best of his skill and judgment, which outh or affirmation shall be ad-ministered to them severally, and be duly certified by a judge, clerk, or commissioner of a court of the United States, and filed and recorded in the office of the Secretary of the Territory of Kansas.

Sec. 2. And be it further enacted, That it shall be the duty of said commissioners, under such regulations as the Secretary of the Interior may prescribe, to cause to be made a full and full full enumeration of the legal votors resident in each county in the said Torritory on the fourth day of July, eighteen hundred and fifty-six, and make returns thereof during the mouth of August next, or as soon thereafter as practicable, one of which returns shall be made to the office of the Secretary of the Interior, and one to the secretary of the Torritory of Kansas, and which shall also exhibit the names of all such legal voters, classed in such manner as shall be prescribed by the regu-lations of the Secretary of the interior.

SEC. 3. And be it further enacted, That it shall be the duty of the Secretary of the Interior, immediately after the passage of this act, to pre-scribe regulations and forms to be observed in making the outmoration aforceald, and to furnish the same with all necessary blanks to each of the commissioners as soon as may be after their op pointment; and the commissioners shall meet without delay at the seat of government of Kan-sas Torritory, and proceed to the discharge of the duties herein imposed upon them, and appoint a secretary to the board and such other persons as shall be necessary to aid and assist them in taking the enumeration heroin provided for, who must also be duly sworn faithfully, im-

partially, and truly to discharge the duties as-

signed them by the commissioners.
SEC. 4. And be it further enacted, That said board of commissioners shall, so soon as said census shall be completed and returns made, proceed to make an apportionment of the members for a convontion, among the different counties in said Territory, in the following manner: The whole number of legal voters shall be divided by fifty-two, and the product of such division, rejecting any fraction of a unit, shall be the ratio or rule of apportionment of members among the several counties; and if any county shall not have a number of legal voters, thus ascertained, equal to the ratio, it shall be attached to some adjoining county, and thus form a representative district, the number of said voters in each county or district shall then be divided by the ratio, and the product shall be the number of representatives apportioned to such county or district: Provided, That the loss in the number of members caused by the fractions remaining in the several counties, in the division of the legal voters thereof, shall be compensated by assigning to so many counties as have the largest fractions an additional member for its fraction, as may be necessary to make the whole number

of representatives fifty-two Sec. 5. And be it further enacted, That the said board, immediately after the apportionment of the members of said convention, shall cause a sufficient number of copies thereof and of the returns of the census (specifying the name of each logal voter in each county or district) to be uses agar voter in each county or district) to be published and distributed among the inhabitants of the several counties, and shall transmit one copy of the said apportionment and ceasus, duly anthenticated by them, to each clerk of a court of record within the Turitory, who shall file the same, and keep open to the inspection of every inhabitant who shall design to examine it. and inhabitant who shall desire to examine it, and shall also cause other copies to be posted up in at least three of the most public places in each voting precinct, to the end that every inhabitant ways used the same and scale to the heard to may inspect the same, and apply to the board to correct any error he may find therein, in the manner horeinafter provided.

Sec. 6. And be it further enacted, That said

board shall remain in session each day, Sundays excepted, from the time of making said appor-tionment until the twentieth day of October

next, at such places as shall be most convenient | of a contest, in which it cannot be satisfactorily to the inhabitanta of sald Territory, and shall proceed to the inspection of said returns, and hear, correct, and mully determine according to the facts, without unreasonable delay, under proper regulations to be unade by the buard, for the accertainment of disputed facts concerning said commerction, all questions concerning the omission of my person from said returns, or the improper insertion of any name on said returns, and any other question affecting the integrity or Adelity of said returns, and for this purpose the said board and onch momber theroof shall have power to administer onths and examine witnesson, and compel their attendance in such manner to said beard shall does necessary

SEC. 7. And be it further enacted, That an soon as the said lists of legal votors shall thus have been revised and corrected, it shall be the duty of said baard to cause copies thereof to be printed and distributed generally among the inhabitants of the proposed State, and one copy shall be deposited with the clork of each court of record within the limits of the proposed State, and one copy delivered to each judge of the election, and at least three copies shall be posted

up at each place of voting.

Sec. 8. And be it further enacted, That an election shall be hold for members of a conventien to form a constitution for the State of Kanass, according to the apportionment to be made aforesaid, on the first Tuesday after the first Mouday in November, eighton hundred and fifty-six, to be held at such places and to be conducted in such manner, both as to persons who shall superintend such election and the returns theroof as the board of commissioners shall appoint and direct, except in ascs by this act otherwise provided; and at such election no person shall be pormitted to vote unless his name shall appear on said corrected lists.

SEC. 9. And be it further enacted, That the

board of commissioners shall have power, and it shall be their duty, to make all needful rules and regulations for the conduct of the said election and the returns thereof. They shall appoint three suitable persons to be judges of the election at each place of voting, and prescribe the mode of supplying vacancies. They shall cause copies of the rules and regulations, with a notice of the places of holding elections and the names of the judges, to be published and distributed in every election-district or precinct ten days be-fore the day of election, and shall transmit a copy thereof to the clerk of each court of record, and

one copy to each judge of election.

SEC. 10. And be it further enacted. That the judges of election shall each, before entering on the discharge of his duties, make oath or affirmation that he will faithfully and impartially dis-charge the duties of judge of the election according to law, which oath may be administered by any officer authorized by law to administer oaths. The clerks of election shall be appointed by the judges, and shall take the like oath or affirmation, to be administered by one of the judges or by any of the officers aforosaid. Duplicate returns of election shall be made and certified by the judges and clerks, one of which shall be deposited in the office of the clerk of the tribund transacting county business for the county in which the election is hold, and the other shall be transmitted to the board of commissioners, whose duty it shall be to decide, under proper regulations to be made by themselves, who are entitled to certificates of election, and to issue tagend to the first of the second of the sec

determined who was duly elected, said commis sioners shall urder a now election in like manner as a hornin provided. Upon the completion of these things the said completioners shall return to Washington, and report their proceedings to the Secretary of the Interior, whereupon said commission shall cose and determine.

SEC. 11. And be it further enacted, That Sec. 11. And we to Juriner character, Anna overy white male oftized of the United States over twenty-one years of 190, who may be a bona fide inhabitant of said Territory on the fourth day of July, eighteen hundred and fifty-nix, and who ahall have resided three months next before said election in the county in which he offers to vote, and no other persons whatever shall be on-titled to vote at said election, and any person qualified as a voter may be a delegate to said convention, and no others; and all persons who shall pessees the other qualifications for voters under this act, and who shall have been bona de inhabitante of said Torritory at any time since its organization, and who shall have absented thomselves thorofrom in consequence of the dis-turbances therein, and who shall return before the first day of Outsly and who shall return before the first day of October nest and become bona fide inhabitants of the Torritory with the intent of making it their permanent home, and shall prosont satisfactory ovidence of these facts to the board of commissioners, shall be ontitled to vote at said election, and to have their names placed on said ocrrected list of votors for that purpose; and to avoid all conflict in the complete execution of this act, all other elections in suid Territory are hereby postponed until such time as said convention shall appoint.

Sec. 12. And be it further enacted, That the

said commissioners, and all persons appointed by them to assist in taking the census, shall have power to administer oaths and examine persons on oath in all cases where it shall be necessary to the full and faithful performance of their duties under this act; and the secretary shall keep a journal of the proceedings of said board, and transmit copies thereof from time to time to the Secretary of the Interior; and when said commissioners shall have completed the business of their appointment, the books and parers of the board shall be deposited in the effice of the Secretary of the Territory and there kept as records of his office.

Sec. 13. And be it further enacted, That if any person by menaces, threats, or force, or by any other unlawful means, shall directly or indirectly attempt to influence any qualified voter in giving his vote, or deter him from going to the polls, or disturb or hinder him in the free exercise of his right of suffrage at said election, the person so offending shall be adjudged guilty of a misdemeanor, and punished by fine of not less than two hundred and fifty dollars, nor exceeding five hundred dollars, or by imprison-ment of not less than three months, nor exceeding one year, or by both. Sec. 14. And be it further enacted, That ever

person, not being a qualified voter according to the provisions of this act, who shall vote at any election within the said Territory, knowing that he is not entitled to vote, and every person who shall, at the same election, vote more than once, whether at the same or a different place, shall be adjudged guilty of a misdemeanor, and be punished by fine of not less than one hundred dollars, nor exceeding two hundred and fifty dollars, or by imprisonment not less than three months, nor

prevent, or defeat a fair expression of the popular visit in the said election, shall be guilty of a frauted with the witnesses against that to have undergonene, and mainted by fan not less than computery process of obtaining witnesses in his five hundred delian, not exceeding one thomand favor, and to have the neelstance of counsel for dollars, and imprisonment not less than six mouths, nor exceeding two years, or both, at the discretion of the court.

Sec. 16. And be it further enacted, That the delegates thus closted shall assemble in convention ut the capitol of said Territory on the first Monday in December next; and when no as sembled, shall first determine by a majority of the whole number of members elected whether it be or be not expedient at that time to form a constitution and State government; and if doemed expedient, shall proceed to form a constitution and State government, which shall be republican in its form, for admission into the Union on an equal footing with the original States in all respects whatever, by the name of the State of Kansas, with the following boundaries, to wit: beginning on the western boundary of the State of Missouri, where the thirty seventh parallel of north latitude crosses the same, then west on said parallol to the one hundred and third meridian of longitude, then north on said meridian that of infittate, then note to state meriana to the fortieth parallel of latitude, thee east on said parallel of latitude to the western bound-ary of the State of Missouri, then southward with said boundary to the beginning; and until the next congressional apportionment the said State shall have one representative in the House of Representatives of the United States.

Sec. 17. And be it further enacted, That said commissioners shall receive, as their compensation, ten dollars per day during their attendance on the business of said commission, beginning on the day they depart from home, and their actual oxponses, and said secretary of the board the sum of eight dellars per day, computed in like manner, and his expenses, and the said assistants, for taking the census, shall receive

assistants, for taking the census, such reasonable compensation as the board shall deem just and equitable.

SEC. 18. And be it further enacted, That inasmuch as the Constitution of the United States and the organic act of said Territory have secured to the inhabitants thereof certain inalionable rights of which they cannot be deprived by any legislative enactment, therefore no religious test shall ever be required as a qualification to test shall ever be required as a qualification to any office or public trust; no law shall be in force or enforced in said Territory respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or of the Light of the people peaceably to assemble, and petition for the redress of gravances; the right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects against unreasonable searches and seizures shall not be violated; and no warrant thes sum not be voluted; and no warrend shall issue but upon probable cause, supported by oath or affirmation, and particularly describ-ing the place to be searched, and the person or things to be soized; nor shall the rights of the people to keep and bear arms be infringed. No person shall no held to answer for a capital or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentouterwise intamous crime, unless on a presson ment or indictment of a grand jury; nor shall any person be subject for the same offense to be twice put in jeopardy of life or limb; nor shall be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness against himself, nor be deprived of life, liborty, or property without due process of law; nor shall or property without due process of hav; not suan private property be taken for public use with-out just compensation. In all criminal prosecu-tion, the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial by an impartial jury of the district wherein the crime shall have been committed,

compulsory process of obtaining witnesses in his favor, and to have the amistance of counsel for his defense. The privilege of habeas corpus shall

not be suspended, nuless when in onse of rebel-lien or invasion, the public sufety may require it. In sults at common law, where the value in confroversy shall exceed twenty dellars, the right of trial by jury shall be preserved, and no fact tried by jury shall be otherwho re-ex-amined in any court of the United States than according to the rules of the common law. Excensive ball shall not be required, nor excessive fluce imposed, nor oruel and unusual punishments No law shall be made or 1 ave force or effoct in said Territory which shad require a test oath or eath to support any net of Congress or other legislative act as a qualification for any civil office or public trust, or for any employ-ment or profession, or to serve as a juror, or vote at an election, or which shall impose any tax upon or condition to the exercise of the right of suffrage by any qualified votor, or which shall restrain or prohibit the free discussion of any law or subject of legislation in the said Territory or the free expression of opinion thereon by the people of said Territory.

SEC. 19. And be it further enacted, That tho following propositions be, and the sume are hereby, offered to the said convention of the people of Kansas for their free acceptance or rejection, which, if accepted by the convention, shall be obligatory on the United States and upon the said State of Kansas, to wit:

First. That sections numbered sixtoen and thirty-six in overy township of public lands in seid State, and where either of said sections or any part thereof has been sold or otherwise been disposed of, other lands, equivalent thereto and as contiguous as may be, shall be granted in

said State for the use of schools. Second. That seventy-two sections of land shall be set apart and reserved for the use and support of a State university, to be selected by the Governor of said State, subject to the approval of the Commissioner of the General Land Office, and to be appropriated and applied in such man-ner as the legislature of said State may pre-scribe for the purpose aforesaid, but for no other

Third. That ten entire sections of land, to be selected by the governor of said State, in legal subdivisions, shall be granted to said State for the purpose of completing the public buildings, or for the erection of others at the seat of gov-ernment, under the direction of the legislature

Fourth. That all salt springs within said State, not exceeding twelve in number, with six sections of land adjoining, or as contiguous as may be to each, shall be granted to said State for its use; the same to be selected by the governor thereof within one year after the admission of said State, and, when so selected, to be used or disposed of on such terms, conditions, and regulations as the legislature shall direct Provided, That no salt spring or land, the right whereof is now vested in any individual or indi-

whereth is now vested it any individuals, or viduals, or which may be hereafter confirmed or adjudged to any individual or individuals, shall by this article be granted to said State.

Fig. That five per centum the no proceeds of sales of all public ladd, the proceeds of sales of the public ladd, the proceeds of sales and the sales of the sales and the sales of the sa deducting all the expenses incident to the same, shall be paid to said State, for the purpose of making public roads and internal improvements, which district shall have been proviously as-certained by law, and to be informed of the foregoing propositions herein offered are on the condition, that the said convention which shall form the constitution of said State chall provide, by a clause in sald constitution, or an ordinance irrevocable without the consent of the United States, that said State shall never interfere with the primary disposal of the soil within the same, by the United States, or with any regulations Congress may find necessary for securing the little in said soil to bona fide purchasers thereof, and that no tax shall be imposed on lands belonging to the United States, and that In no case shall non-resident proprietors be taxed higher

than residents. Sec. 20. And be it further enacted, That the President be, and is hereby, authorized and curpowored, upon application of the said board of commissioners, to employ such military force, according to existing laws, as he shall deem necessary to secure the feithful execution of the provisions of this act.

Passed the Sonate, July 2, 1856. ASBURY DICKINS, Attost:

Secretary.

The bill was never acted on in the House, but lay on the Speaker's table, untouched, when the session terminated by adjournment,

Monday, Aug. 18th.

July 8th .- In Senate, Mr. Douglas reported back from the Committee on Territories the House bill to admit Kansas as a State, with an amendment striking out all after the enacting clause, and inserting instead the Senate bill (No. 356) just given.

Mr. Hale of N. H. moved to amend this substitute by providing that all who migrate to the Territory prior to July 4th, 1857, shall be entitled to a vote in determining the character of the institutions of Kansas:

Lost; Yeas 13; Nays 32.

Mr. Trumbull, of Ill. moved that all the Territorial laws of Kansas be repealed

and the Territorial officers dismissed: Re-jected; Yeas 12; Nays 32.

Mr. Collamer of Vt. proposed an amendment, prohibiting Slavery in all that portion of the Louisiana purchase north of 36° 30' not included in the Territory of Kansas: Rejected—Yeas 12; Navs 30—as follows:

YEAS — Mosers. Beil of N. H., Collamer, Dodgo, Fessenden, Fish, Foot, Foster, Hale, Hamilu, Seward, Trumbull and Wado.

NA'S—Messra. Adams, Bayard, Bonjamin, Biggs, Bright, Brodhead, Buller, Gass, Clay, Crifenden, Douglas, Fitzpatrick, Geyer, Hunfor, Verson, Johnson, Jones of Lows, Jones of Tonth, Jerson, Pearce, Fugh, Redi, Sebastian, Bildory, Mason, Pearce, Fugh, Redi, Sebastian, June 1998, Pearly Start, Thompson of Ny, Toomba, Weler and Yulee.

The substitute reported by Mr. Douglas was then agreed to: Yeas 32; Nays 13and the bill in this shape passed.

This amendment was not concurred in nor ever acted on by the House.]

July 29th.—Mr. Dunn of Ind. called up a bill "To reorganize the Territory of Kansas and for other purposes," which he had originally (July 7th) proposed as a substi-tute for Senate bill (No. 356) aforesaid. sas and for other purposes; which he had originally (July 7th) proposed as a substitute for Senate bill (No. 356) aforesaid.

Its length, and the substantial identity of the first session of the thirty-third Congress,

many of its provisions with those of other bills organizing Territories contained in this volume, dissuade us from quoting it It provides for a legislative election on the first Tuesday in November next;

and section 7 proceeds: But it shall not be competent for said Legislative Assembly to pass any ex post facto law, or law impairing the validity of contracts; nor any law in abridgment of the freedom of speech or naw in thringment of the receion of a peech of of the press, or to deprive any one of the right of trial by jury, or of the writ of habcas corpus; nor any law requiring any property qualification, or religious test, for the right to vote, hold office, or practice law, or serve ou juries, in any Court of Justice; neither shall any person, to be onti-tled to any of said privileges, be required to take an oath or affirmation to support any law other than the Constitution of the United States. Nor shall cruel or unusual punishments be al-lowed, nor reasonable bail be refused to any person accused of any crimo except treason and murder, nor in the latter case unless the proof is ovident or the presumption great.

Soo. 15. And be it further enacted, That all suits, processos, and proceedings, civil and criminal, at law and in chancory, and all indictments and informations which shall be pending and undetermined in the courts of the Torriing and undetermined in the courts of the Assistary of Kansas or of Now-Mxoico, whon this act shall take offect, shall remain in said courts where pending, to be heard, tried, proscouted, and dotormined in such courts as though this act had and the course of the Certificed, nevertheless. That all criminal presecutions now pending in any of the courts of the Territory of Kunsas imputing to any porson or persons the crime of treason against the United States, and all criminal prosecutions, by information or indictment, against any person or persons for any alleged violation or disrogard whatever of what are usually known as the laws of the Legislature of Kansas, shall be forthwith dismissed by the courts where such prosecutions may be pending, and every person who may be restrained of his liberty by reason of said proscoutions, shall be released therefrom without delay. Nor shall there hereafter be insti-tuted any criminal prosecution, in any of the tuted any criminal prosecution, in any or me courts of the United States, or of said Territory, against any person or persons for any such charge of treason in said Territory prior to the passage of this act, or any violation or disregard

of said Legislative enactments at any time. Sec. 16. And be it further enacted. That all justices of the peace, constables, sheriffs, and all other judicial and ministerial officors, who shall be in office within the limits of said Territory when this act shall take effect, shall be, and they are hereby, authorized and required to continue to exercise and perform the duties of their respective offices as officers of the Territory of Kansas, temporarily, and until they, or others, shall be duly appointed and qualified to fill their places, in the manner herein directed, or until their offices shall be abolished.

Sec. 23 grants to every actual settler a right of preëmption to the quarter-section of public land improved and occupied by him in said Territory of Kansas, prior to Jan. 1st, 1858,

The two last and most important sections of Mr. Dunn's bill are verbatim as follows:

commonly known as the Kangas-Nebraska act, as reads as follows, to wit: "Except the clighth an reads an follows, to wit: "Except the clight section of the ant propuratory to the administratory to the administratory of the administratory of the administratory of the section of t ing of this not not to legislate Slavery into any Territory or State, uer to exclude it therefrom, but to leave the people thereof perfectly free to form and regulate their demostic institutions in their own way, subject only to the Constitution of the United States: Provided, That pothing herein contained shall be construed to revive or put in force may law or regulation which may have existed prior to the act of 6th March, 1820, either protecting, establishing, prohibiting, or abolishing Slavory"—be end the same is hereby abolishing Slavory'—no mu the same is neces, repeated, and the said eighth sociation of said act of the 6th of Ma.ch. 1820, is hereby revived and doctared to be in full force and offect within the said Torritories, of Kunsas and Nobraska: Provided, however, That any person lawfally hold to sercharged from such service by reason of such re-peal and rovival of said eighth section, if such person shall be permanently removed from such Territory or Territories prior to the 1st day of January, 1858; and any child or children born in either of said Territories, of any fomale law-fully held to service, if in like manner re-moved without said Territories before the expiration of that date, shall not be, by roason of any-thing in this act, emancipated from any service it might have owed had this act never been passed: And provided further, That any person lawfully held to service in any other State or Territory of the United States, and escaping into oither the Territory of Kansas or Nebraska, may be reclaimed and removed to the person or place where such service is due, under any law of the United States which shall be in force upon the subject.

susject.

Sec. 25. And be it further enacted, That all other parts of the aforesaid Kansas-Nobraska act which relate to the said Torritory of Kansas, and overy other law or usage having, or which is pretended to have, any force or effect in said Territory in conflict with the provisions or the spirit of this act, except such laws of Congress and treaty stipulations as relate to the fadinas, are hereby repealed, and declared void.

Mr. Dunn having carried a reference to the Committee of the Whole, of a bill introduced by Mr. Grow, repealing all the acts of the alleged Territorial Legislature of Kansas, now moved and carried a reconsideration of that vote, and proceeded to the striking out of Mr. Grow's bill and the insertion of his own as a substitute. This motion prevailed. Whereupon Mr. Dunn moved the previous question on ordering this bill to be engrossed and read a third time, which prevailed—Yeas 29; Nays 86—and then the bill passed—Yeas 88; Nays 74—as follows:

YEAS—Mesers. Albright, Allison, Ball, Barbour, Benson, Bishop, Bluss, Bradshaw, Brenton, Bufinton, Campbell of Pa, Campbell of Ohio, Chaffee, Clawson, Colfax, Comius, Covode, Cambeck, Damrell, Dean, Diek, Dodd, Dum, Durftee, Edie, Edwards, Emrie, Fingler, Glidings, Gilbert, Granger, Grow, Hall of Mass., Harlan, Harrison, Haven, Hollowry, Horton of N. Y., Horton of Ohio, Hughston, Kelsey, King,

Kunpp, Knight, Knowlion, Knox, Kunkol, Matteon, M'Carty, Miller, Moeze, Margan, Moezil, Nichola, Navion, Olivor, Farkee, Polion, Peery, Fattit, Fringle, Kurvianee, Richile, Saloin, Sago, Sign, Sheraman, Shimmon, Signare, Handon, Poetla, R. Willer, W. Lander, M. Lander, C. Wang, W. Wang, W.

NAYS—Messra, Aiken, Barkenlade, Bell, Bowtie, Branch, Broom, Burnatt, Campbell of Bowtie, Branch, Broom, Burnatt, Campbell of Ga, Cohlo of Alm, Cox, Greing, Crawford, Galen, Davidou, Davin of Md., Derver, Dowdell, Edmandson, Logdish, Featkner, Fester, Goode, Groenwood, Harrisof Md., Harriso of Ala, Harrisof of Illinois, Houston, Jowest, Jones of Tenn., Jones of Fenn., Konnott, Kidwell, Lake, Letey, Jones of Fenn., Konnott, Kidwell, Lake, Letey, Hillinois, Maxwell, Miller of Indiana, Miller, Reckor, Peck, Pholps, Powell, Partycar, Quitma, Reade, Rondy, Rieand, Rivera, Ruitma, Reade, Rondy, Rieand, Rivera, Ruitma, Savage, Shorter, Smith of Tonn., Smith of Va., Sneed, Rechens, Skowart, Swope, Taylor, Stocker, Stocker, Worden, Stowart, Swope, Taylor, Campbell, Campbell, Physical Research, Campbell, Physical Research, Stowart, Swope, Taylor, Campbell, Physical Research, Physical

This bill was not acted on by the Senate. The House in the course of its action on the

several Annual Appropriation bills, affixed to

several of them, respectively, provisos, abolishing, repealing, or suspending the various obnoxious acts of the Territorial Legislature; but all these were resisted by the Senate, and were ultimately given up by the House, save one appropriating \$20,000 for the pay and expenses of the next Territorial Legislature, which the Senate gave up, on finding itself in serious disagreement with the House, and thus secured the passage of the Civil Appropriation bill. Finally the two Houses were at odds, on a proviso forbidding the employment of the Army to enforce the acts of the Shawnee Mission assemblage. claiming to be a Territorial Legislature of Kansas, when, at noon on the 18th of August, the Speaker's hammer fell, announcing the termination of the session, leaving the Army bill unpassed. But President Pierce immediately issued a proclamation convening an extra session on the 21st (Thursday), when the two Houses reconvened accordingly, and a full quorum of each was found to be pre-sent. The House promptly repassed the Army bill, again affixing a proviso forbidding the use of the army to enforce the disputed Territorial laws, which proviso the Senate as promptly struck out, and the House as promptly reinserted. The Senate insisted on its disagreement, but asked no conference, and the House (Aug. 22d) by a close vote decided to adhere to its proviso: Yeas 97; Nays 93; but one of the yeas (Bocock of Va.) was so given in order to be able to move a reconsideration; so that the true division was 96 to 94, which was the actual division on a motion by Mr. Cobb of Ga. that the House recede from its position. Finally, a motion to reconsider was

made and hid on the table: Yeas 97; Nays 96; and the House thereupon adjourned.

Aug. 23d.—The Senate also voted to adhere: Yeas 35; Nays 9.

Mr. Clayton proposed a Committee of Conference, to which Mr. Seward objected. No action.

In the House, Mr. Campbell of Ohio proposed a similar Committee of Conference. Objected to.

Mr. Matteson of New-York submitted the following:

Whereas, By an act passed by the two Houses of Congress, and approved by the President, actified "Joint resolution for numexing Texas to the United States, approved March 1, 1855," articles of compact were offered to Texas for her admission into the United States, the third article of which tendered compact with the different confictions and guarantees," the third article of which tendered compact was in these words:

"New States of convenious size, not oxcooling four in mumber, in addition to easi State of Toxas, and having sufficient population, may increafter, by the consonit of east State, to foremod out of the Torritory the Foderai Constitution; and such States an may be formed out of the portion of such Torritory (as of 80° 20" north initiated, commonly thrown as the of 80° 20" north initiated, commonly thrown as the Country of the Foderai Constitution, and the profit of scale State asking admission may dealtre; and in such State osking admission may dealtre; and in restate of State as skind to State out State on State as skind to State out skind to show the state out State o

And whereas, Toxas, by a solemn public act, done in a convontion of the people, according to the requirements of the said act of Congress, did accept the said articles of compact, and was admitted into the Union as one of the United States upon the "conditions and guarantoes" mentioned in said joint resolution, and is now a State of this Union in virtue thereof:

And whereas, The said third article of compact as aforesaid, containing a limitation of Slavery in restricting the number of Slaver States to be formed in Toxas south of thirty-six degrees thirty minutes, to four States in addition to Toxas itself, and also a probibition of Slavery, except for crime, north of thirty-six degrees thirty minutes north faltitade, is an independent and substantivomotion, irroposable by either of the contracting parties without the consent of the breaks and its not change of the probability of the state of the state of the contracting parties without the consent of the breaks act, and could not be repealed by that act;

Therefore, b. it resolved, That Slavery, occopifor crime, in all that part of the former province of Louisiana nerth of latitude thirty-six degrees thirty minutes is and remains prohibited, and that the President of the United States is under a double obligation to see that provision faithfaily executed, both as a law of Congress and as and valuable consideration.

And whereas, Besides being a compact with Toxas, the said third article was a compact with Toxas, the said third article was a compremise between the Free and Slave States of this Union with limitation of Slavery, both as to the number of Slave States which might be formed in Texas, and the prohibition of Slavery nort of thirty six was admitted into the Union, and without which she could not have been admitted: Therefere,

Be it resolved, That any attempt to violate said third article of compromise, either by admitting a greater number of Slave States south of thirty-six degrees thirty minutes than allowed therein, or by extending Slavery north of that latitude, is a violation of said compromise and a direct attack men the harmony and stability of the Union.

Mr. Dann of Ind. moved that this do lie on the table: Carried: Yens 101; Nays

Mr. Cobb of Ga. moved that the Honse recede from its Kansas provise: Defeated: Yeas 97; Nays 100. Adjourned.

The struggle for the passage of the bill with the vident the provise centimed until Saturday, Angust 30th, when, several members, hostile to the provise, and hitherto absent, unpaired, having returned, the House again passed the Army bill with the provise modified as follows:

Provided, however, that no part of the military force of the United States, for the support of which appropriations are made by this act, shall be employed in aid of the enforcement of any conctinents heretofore made by the body claiming to be the Territorial Legislature of Kansas.

The bill passed as reported (under the Previous Question;) Yeas 99; Nays 79; and was sent to the Senate, where the above Provise was stricken out; Yeas 26; Nays 7; and the bill thus returned to the House, when the Senate's amendment was concurred in by the following yote:

TRU In y the Interning vote:

YEAS—Messes Alkin, Akors, Barksdale, Bell, Bennett of Miss, Bocoek, Bowie, Boyce, Branch, Burnett, Cadwalader, Campboll of Kry, Carlile, Caskin, Clingman, Cobb of Ga., Cobb of Alt., Cox, Craige, Crawford, Callen, Davidson, Davis of Md., Denver, Dowdell, Edmundson, Elliott, Visio of Md., Denver, Dowdell, Edmundson, Elliott, Visio of Md., Denver, Dowdell, Edmundson, Elliott, Visio of Md., Hurris of Ala, Hurris of Ill., Mer.

Fuller of Mo., Goode, Greenwood, Hall of Lova, Harris of Md., Hurris of Ala, Hurris of Ill., Her.

com. Harbar. Hickman, Hoffman, Houston. Emberegge, Embtis, Forms, Faulkher, Forence, Puller of Mc., Goode, Greenwood, Hall of Iswar, Puller of Mc., Goode, Greenwood, Hall of Iswar, Fluider of Mc., Goode, Greenwood, Hall of Iswar, Flower, Hosen, Hotekan, Hoston, Jowest, Jones of Penn, Jones of Penn, Hose of Penn, Jones of Penn, Hose of Head, Mc., Marshall of Ky., Humphrey Marshall of Ky., Marshall of Ky., Humphrey Marshall of Ky., Marshall of Lill, Maxwell, McKullen, A. K. Marshall of Lill, Maxwell, McKullen, A. K. Marshall of Lill, Maxwell, McKullen, Chivar of McCarlot, McCarlot,

So the Proviso was beaten at last, and the | Fillmore with nearly or quite all those sup-· bill passed, with no restriction on the Pre- porting Buchanan finally acquiescing. sident's discretion in the use of the Army in

In conclusion, it may be said, generally, Kansas; just as all attempts of the House, that nothing has been really done, or (owing to direct the President to have a nolle pro- to the triangular division of parties) could sequi entered in the case of the Free-State have been done, by this Congress with reprisoners in Kansas charged with aiding gard to Kansas, except to collect, authenti-the formation and adoption of the Free-State cate, and present facts to be considered and constitution as aforesaid, had been previous-ly beaton, after prevailing in the House—sidential and Congressional elections. By the Senate striking them out and the House the result of these, in all human probability, (by a union of nearly all the supporters of the fact of Kansas is now to be decided.